
North Dakota Geological Survey Geologic Investigations No. 108

Field Screening for Shallow Gas in Divide County, North Dakota




The investigation of shallow natural gas occurrences within existing ground-water wells in Divide County, North Dakota was conducted over an eight, non-consecutive day period from August 5 to 18, 2009. A total of 326 well sites, were reviewed prior to the field component of this investigation. Of these, 195 well sites, consisting of historic and existing observation and stock wells, were selected to be visited in the field in order to (1) determine the actual existence of the well, (2) to verify its location, and (3) perform flame-ionization detector (FID) field screening for possible shallow natural gas occurrences. 88 well site locations (87 observation wells and 1 stock well) were verified to have a ground-water observation well at their prescribed point and were subsequently field screened. 94 wells were not found at their prescribed locations in the field and were presumed abandoned or destroyed. 13 wells were not visited due to access and/or time contraints.

Each of the wells were field screened for the presence of combustible gases using a portable FID calibrated to methane (100 ppm low-span or 10,000 ppm high-span) in air. The FID was used solely for field screening on all wells. FID response was collected at the top of well casing (TOC) and just above the groundwater/air interface (GWI). After field screening a water level reading within the well was collected using an electric well tape. Of the 88 existing wells field screened, 38 wells returned positive FID responses ranging from 0.1 to 16,155 ppm as methane (Figure 1); 50 of the wells showed no response (i.e., a 0.0 ppm as methane instrument reading) during field screening at both the TOC and GWI. Four wells were found to have detectable concentrations of methane emanating from the TOC (Figure 1). It has been observed in the field that it is more likely to detect methane at the GWI or higher up in the air column within a given well. It has been less typical to actually detect methane emanating from the TOC. The occurence of FID responses are located mostly in the northwestern and northeastern parts of the county, coincident with the locations of the Columbus, Crosby, Little Muddy, Skjermo Lake, and Yellowstone Buried Channel Aquifers. Individual private, irrigation, or municipal water supply wells were not considered as a part of this investigation.

FID field screening is not a stand-alone analytical tool. It must be used in conjunction with additional analytical methods and procedures. A positive FID instrument response indicates that the presence of methane is highly likely at the well since the instrument is selectively sensitive to methane and is calibrated specifically to a predetermined concentration of methane in air. However, excessive moisture and low oxygen levels or high values of carbon dioxide can influence FID response. A confirmatory gas analysis is required to determine and quantify the absolute presence and concentration of methane and other hydrocarbons that may be present in conjunction with FID screening results. The reconnaissance level screening results presented here are intended to aid in the selection of future candidate observation well locations and or areas to conduct additional sampling and analysis and potentially focus future field investigative and exploration efforts.





16009604CCI 16010023CDD2 16010023CDD1 16010023CCC1 16010023CCC2 16010015DDD1 16210335CBC 0.3 16110312BBB 0.1 16110315CDC 0.2 16210104DDA 0.3 16210102ABB 16310135CCA2 16210224BBC 16210215CDD2 16210217CCC 16310234BBB 16310234CCB 16210203ABA 16310233CDD3 16210205BBB 16210312AAA 16210310AAA 16210324CBB 16310122ADB1 *16310116DDD 16410235DDD 16410235AAA 16309727CCC *16309734ABB 16309726CBB2 *16309726CBB1 16309723CBB 16309715ABB 16309715BCC 16309723DDD 16309726DDD 16309725AAA *16309724AAA 0.1



vertical green line at 70%.

(TOC).

Fred J. Anderson and Allison R. Christensen

2010


Figure 1. Graph depicting the relative relationship and absolute maximum values of flame-ionization detector (FID) instrument responses from selected wells in Divide County. FID results for each well are presented in order of field screening occurrence from top to bottom. Values shown are those reported from the groundwater/air interface (GWI) (as CH4 in ppm). The concentration of methane typical in commercial natural gas is highlighted by the

* FID instrument response collected from the top of well casing

Geologic Symbols Existing observation well with a positive numerical FID instrument response in parts 6.3/2,485 per million (ppm) as methane, at the top of casing (TOC) and/or the ground-water/air interface (GWI). (S) indicates stock well. (TOC/GWI) Existing observation well, no FID response at TOC and/or the GWI. 0 Historical observation well location. No existing well at well site location visited. Well presumed abandoned or destroyed. Wells sites not visited during this investigation. Nested wells; locations not separable at this scale. (2)Indicates number of wells drilled at same coordinates. **Other Features** US Highway Water Water - Intermittent State Highway Marsh Paved Road River/Stream - Perennial Unpaved Road ____ Stream - Intermittent

Explanation





Cartographic Compilation: Elroy L. Kadrmas

