

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848  
ORDER NO. 31453

IN THE MATTER OF A HEARING CALLED ON  
A MOTION OF THE COMMISSION TO  
CONSIDER THE APPLICATION OF RED TRAIL  
ENERGY, LLC REQUESTING  
CONSIDERATION FOR THE GEOLOGIC  
STORAGE OF CARBON DIOXIDE IN THE  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION FROM THE RED  
TRAIL ENERGY, LLC ETHANOL FACILITY  
LOCATED IN SECTIONS 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15,  
22 AND 23, TOWNSHIP 139 NORTH, RANGE 92  
WEST, STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA  
PURSUANT TO NORTH DAKOTA  
ADMINISTRATIVE CODE SECTION 43-05-01.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

THE COMMISSION FINDS:

- (1) This cause came on for hearing at 9:00 a.m. on the 12th day of August, 2021.
- (2) Red Trail Energy, LLC (Red Trail) made application to the Commission for an order authorizing geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail ethanol facility in the amalgamated storage reservoir pore space of the Broom Creek Formation, in portions of Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota, pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) 43-05-01, and such other relief as is appropriate.
- (3) Red Trail submitted an application for a Storage Facility Permit and necessary attachments pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-05 and all other provisions of NDAC Chapter 43-05-01 as necessary.
- (4) Case Nos. 28848, 28849, and 28850 were combined for the purposes of hearing.
- (5) Case No. 28849, also on today's docket, is an application filed with the Commission by Red Trail for an order of the Commission determining the amalgamation of storage reservoir pore space, pursuant to a Geologic Storage Agreement for use of pore space falling within portions of Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota in the Broom Creek Formation, has been signed, ratified, or



approved by owners of interest owning at least sixty percent of the pore space interest within said lands pursuant to North Dakota Century Code (NDCC) 38-22-10.

(6) Case No. 28850, also on today's docket, is a motion of the Commission to determine the amount of financial responsibility for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail ethanol facility located in portions of Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota in the Broom Creek Formation, pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-09.1.

(7) Commission staff requested to take administrative notice of the well files and geophysical logs of all wells within or nearby the area of review, to which Red Trail had no objection.

(8) The record in these matters was left open to receive additional information from Red Trail. Such information was received on September 23 and October 18, 2021, and the record was closed.

(9) Pursuant to NDCC Section 38-22-06 and NDAC Section 43-05-01-08: The notice of filing of the application and petition and the time and place of hearing thereof was given, and that at least 45 days prior to the hearing, Red Trail, as the applicant, did give notice of the time and place of said hearing and the Commission has accepted the notice as adequate, and that the applicant did, at least 45 days prior to the hearing, file with the Commission engineering, geological and other technical exhibits to be used and which were used at said hearing, and that the notice so given did specify that such material was filed with the Commission; that due public notice having been given, as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter.

(10) The Commission gave at least a thirty-day public notice and comment period for the draft storage facility permit and issued all notices using methods required to all entities under NDCC Section 38-22-06 and NDAC Section 43-05-01-08. Publication was made July 7, 2021, and the comment period for written comments ended at 5:00 PM CDT August 11, 2021. The hearing was open to the public to appear and provide comments.

(11) The Commission received letters of support for the application from RPGM Inc. and the North Dakota Ethanol Council on August 9 and August 11, 2021, respectively.

(12) The Commission received a letter from the State Historical Society of North Dakota on July 15, 2021 indicating it reviewed the application of Red Trail for potential effect for cultural resources and found that there are no significant sites in this area.

(13) The Commission received a letter from Patricia Meyer (Meyer) on July 26, 2021 indicating an interest in the N/2 NW/4, and NE14 [sic] (Commission assumes NE/4) of Section 22, Township 139 North, Range 92 West. Meyer requested a copy of the permit application, the draft permit, and the study done on the subject properties showing why they are suitable for storage of carbon dioxide. Meyer questioned the chances of carbon dioxide seeping into nearby properties, and the impact of the carbon dioxide storage facility on mineral and oil rights. Meyer is opposed until more informed on the storage of carbon dioxide. The Commission notes Meyer is identified as a mineral owner or lessee requiring notification.

The Commission responded to Meyer on July 26, 2021 and provided the website address where the permit application, draft permit, and supporting documents are available free of charge. The response also provided contact information for the Commission and Red Trail.

(14) Red Trail's application provides adequate data to show suitability of the Broom Creek Formation for geologic storage of carbon dioxide in the facility area.

(15) Red Trail's application provides adequate modeling of the storage reservoir for delineation of the facility area, and adequate monitoring to detect if carbon dioxide is migrating into properties outside of the facility area pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.4. Vertical release of carbon dioxide is addressed by the application pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-13, and lateral release of carbon dioxide from the facility area is addressed by the application pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-05.

(16) The amalgamated storage reservoir pore space to be utilized is not hydrocarbon bearing as determined from test data included with the application. There has been no historic hydrocarbon exploration, production, or studies suggesting there is an economically profitable supply of hydrocarbons from formations above or below the Broom Creek Formation within the proposed storage facility area. There have not been shallow gas reserves or coal seams identified above the Broom Creek Formation within the proposed storage facility area. There has been historic production along the Heart River Fault outside of the area of review. The lateral extent of the stabilized plume and the pressure differential are minor enough to allow for horizontal drilling for hydrocarbon exploration, under the Broom Creek Formation, without penetrating the stored carbon dioxide.

(17) The Red Trail facility is a dry mill ethanol production plant located in Stark County, North Dakota, near the city of Richardton. Carbon dioxide is emitted from the fermentation process during ethanol production.

(18) Red Trail's facility emits an annual average of 180,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide that is expected to be captured, dehydrated, compressed, transported to a Class VI well by a flow line, and then injected.

(19) The entire length of flow line to be utilized for carbon dioxide transportation from the capture facility to the wellhead falls within the facility area delineation and is under the jurisdiction of the Commission.

(20) Stainless steel FlexSteel will be utilized for the flowline and is rated to 2,900 psi. Maximum surface operating pressure is expected to be 2,250 psi. The line will have an external specialized rubber coating for anti-corrosion and will be trenched in and back-filled by the FlexSteel company.

(21) The flow line will be equipped with a DAS/DTS fiber optic cable to detect leaks, triggering an alarm and automatic shut down of the flow line. The flow line is equipped with automatic shutoff valves at the capture facility and wellhead.

(22) The projected composition of the carbon dioxide stream is greater than 99.9% carbon dioxide with trace quantities of nitrogen and oxygen.

(23) The RTE #10 (File No. 37229) is a stratigraphic test well that was used for reservoir characterization and constructed to Class VI requirements, located 600 feet from the south line and 250 feet from the east line of Section 10, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota. This well is to be converted to a Class VI injection well.

(24) The RTE #10.2 (File No. 37858) is a stratigraphic test well that was used for reservoir characterization and constructed to Class VI requirements, located 2,296 feet from the north line and 1,043 feet from the west line of Section 10, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota. This well is to be utilized as a direct method of monitoring the injection zone pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.4.

(25) Red Trail created a geologic model based on site characterization as required by NDAC Section 43-05-01-05.1 to delineate the area of review. Data utilized included well log, seismic, and core data. Well log data was used to determine the dominant lithology in the Amsden Formation, the lower confining zone, the Opeche Formation, the upper confining zone, and the Broom Creek Formation, the injection formation. Geostatistics were used to distribute petrophysical properties throughout the confining zones. Seismic data was also used to aid in determining the dominant lithology in the Broom Creek Formation, and to identify spatial trends to reinforce the distribution of properties. Based on the reservoir pressure obtained from the RTE #10 and RTE #10.2 wells and computationally modelled pressures reached through the life of the project, critical threshold pressure will not be reached using conservative values. Critical threshold pressure has the same meaning as pressure front, defined in NDAC Section 43-05-01-01, for area of review delineation purposes. The predicted extent of the carbon dioxide plume from beginning to end of life of the project, at the time that the carbon dioxide plume ceases to migrate into adjacent cells of the geologic model, was used to define the area of review in this case. Time lapse seismic surveys will be used for monitoring the extent of the carbon dioxide plume.

(26) The area proposed to be included within the storage facility area is as follows:

TOWNSHIP 139 NORTH, RANGE 92 WEST, 5TH PM

ALL OF SECTIONS 10, 11, 14 AND 15, THE E/2 SE/4 AND SE/4 NE/4 OF SECTION 9, THE W/2 SW/4 OF SECTION 12, THE W/2 W/2 OF SECTION 13, THE NE/4 AND N/2 NW/4 OF SECTION 22, AND THE N/2 OF SECTION 23.

(27) The Broom Creek Formation, the upper confining Opeche Formation, and the lower confining Amsden Formation are laterally extensive through the area of review.

(28) Core analysis of the Broom Creek Formation shows sufficient permeability to be suitable for the desired injection rates and pressures without risk of creating fractures in the injection zone. Thin-section investigation shows the Broom Creek Formation is comprised primarily of quartz, with minor occurrences of feldspar, dolomite, and anhydrite as intercrystalline porosity cement. Laterally discontinuous carbonate intervals are present consisting of dolostone, quartz, and iron oxides. Microfracture testing in the RTE #10 well at a

depth of 6,432 feet determined the breakdown pressure of the formation to be 7,863 psi, with a fracture propagation pressure of 4,594 psi, and a fracture closure pressure of 3,762 psi.

Core analysis of the overlying Opeche Formation shows sufficiently low permeability to stratigraphically trap carbon dioxide and displaced fluids. Thin-section investigation shows the Opeche Formation is comprised of intervals of silty mudstone, argillaceous siltstone, mudstone, and anhydrite. Microfracture testing in the RTE #10 well at a depth of 6,376 feet determined the breakdown pressure of the formation to be 7,677 psi, with a fracture propagation pressure of 4,874 psi, and a fracture closure pressure of 4,624 psi.

Core analysis of the underlying Amsden Formation shows sufficiently low permeability to stratigraphically contain carbon dioxide and displaced fluids. Thin-section investigation shows the Amsden Formation is comprised of dolomite, anhydrite, sandy dolomite, and shaly sand.

(29) The in-situ fluid of the Broom Creek Formation in this area is in excess of 10,000 parts per million of total dissolved solids.

(30) Investigation of wells within the area of review found no vertical penetrations of the confining or injection zones requiring corrective action. The area of review will be reevaluated at a period not to exceed five years from beginning of injection operations.

(31) The Fox Hills Formation is the deepest underground source of drinking water (USDW) within the area of review. Its base is situated at a depth of 1,757 feet at the location of the proposed injection well, leaving approximately 4,623 feet between the base of the Fox Hills Formation and the top of the Broom Creek Formation.

(32) Fluid sampling of shallow USDWs has been performed to establish a geochemical baseline, with additional baseline sampling proposed for the Fox Hills Formation and other shallow wells under investigation. Future sampling is proposed in Red Trail's application pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.4.

(33) Soil sampling has been performed to establish a geochemical baseline and additional sampling is proposed adjacent to the injection well and monitoring well. Future sampling is proposed in Red Trail's application pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.4.

(34) The top of the Inyan Kara Formation is at 4,803 feet, approximately 3,046 feet below the base of the Fox Hills Formation and it provides an additional zone of monitoring between the Fox Hills Formation and the Broom Creek Formation to detect vertical carbon dioxide or fluid movement.

(35) No known or suspected regional faults or fractures with transmissibility have been identified during the site-specific characterization. Formation imaging logs run showed fractures in the Opeche Formation to be closed and filled-in by reprecipitated minerals. The Heart River Fault is located 1.4 miles southwest of the area of review boundary and vertically terminates well below the injection and confining formations, creating no risk to containment.

(36) Fluid samples from the Inyan Kara Formation and Broom Creek Formation suggest that they are hydraulically isolated from each other, supporting that the confining formations above the Broom Creek Formation are not compromised by migration pathways.

(37) Apparent thinning in the Opeche Formation isopach map to the west of the proposed storage facility was addressed by supplemental exhibits. Well-control derived isopach mapping indicates a western area of thinning due to an Opeche Formation thickness of 92 feet, apparent in the resistivity log of the Rummel-State 1 (File No. 6797 – SE/4 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 139 North, Range 92 West) due to interpolation of this location's thickness with surrounding wells thicknesses. However, the Opeche Formation thickness is relatively consistent across the area in seismic interpretation.

(38) Salt collapse features were identified in seismic interpretation but did not extend below the Spearfish Formation. Thicker deposition of overlying formation sediments supports the origin of salt collapse features to be dissolution of salt commonly found within the Spearfish Formation.

(39) Geochemical simulation performed with the injection stream and data obtained from the confining and injection zones determined no observable change in injection rate or pressure. Extreme carbon dioxide exposure simulations to the cap rock determined that deterioration compromising confinement would not occur. The modeling was done using a worst-case scenario by assuming that the anhydrite present at the top of the Broom Creek Formation was not present locally.

(40) Risk of induced seismicity is not a concern based on existing studies of major faults within the area of review, tectonic boundaries, relatively stable geologic conditions surrounding the proposed injection site, and the small volume of carbon dioxide to be injected.

(41) NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.3 (3) requires the storage facility operator to maintain pressure on the annulus that exceeds the operating injection pressure, unless the Commission determines that such a requirement might harm the integrity of the well or endanger USDWs. The Commission believes placing this pressure on the annulus will create a risk of micro annulus by debonding of the long string casing–cement sheath during the operational life of the well. A micro annulus would harm external mechanical integrity and provide a potential pathway for endangerment of USDWs.

(42) Both the injection and monitoring wells are equipped with DAS/DTS fiber optic cables enabling continuously monitored external mechanical integrity.

(43) The approval of this application is in the public interest by promoting the policy stated in NDCC Section 38-22-01.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

(1) The creation of the Red Trail Richardton Ethanol Broom Creek Storage Facility #1 in Stark County, North Dakota, is hereby authorized and approved.

(2) Red Trail Energy, LLC, its assigns and successors, is hereby authorized to store carbon dioxide in the Broom Creek Formation in the Red Trail Richardton Ethanol Broom Creek Storage Facility #1.

(3) The Red Trail Richardton Ethanol Broom Creek Storage Facility #1 shall extend to and include the following lands in Stark County, North Dakota:

TOWNSHIP 139 NORTH, RANGE 92 WEST, 5TH PM  
ALL OF SECTIONS 10, 11, 14 AND 15, THE E/2 SE/4 AND SE/4 NE/4 OF SECTION 9,  
THE W/2 SW/4 OF SECTION 12, THE W/2 W/2 OF SECTION 13, THE NE/4 AND N/2  
NW/4 OF SECTION 22, AND THE N/2 OF SECTION 23.

(4) Injection into the Red Trail Richardton Ethanol Broom Creek Storage Facility #1 shall not occur until Red Trail Energy, LLC has met the financial responsibility demonstration pursuant to Order No. 31455.

(5) This authorization does not convey authority to inject carbon dioxide into the Red Trail Richardton Ethanol Broom Creek Storage Facility #1; an approved permit to inject for the RTE #10 well (File No. 37229) shall be issued by the Commission prior to injection operations commencing.

(6) The authorization granted herein is conditioned on the operator receiving and complying with all provisions of the injection permit issued by the Oil and Gas Division of the Industrial Commission, and complying with all provisions of NDAC Chapter 43-05-01 where applicable, and this order.

(7) Definitions.

“Area of review” in this case means an area encompassing a radius around the facility area of one mile.

“Cell” in this case means individual cell blocks of the geologic model; each cell is approximately 300 feet by 300 feet.

“Facility area” means the areal extent of the storage reservoir as defined in paragraph (3) above, that includes lands within one-half mile of the lateral boundary of the carbon dioxide plume from beginning of injection to the time the carbon dioxide plume ceases to migrate into adjacent geologic model cells.

“Storage facility” means the reservoir, underground equipment, and surface facilities and equipment used or proposed to be used in the geologic storage operation. It does not include pipelines used to transport carbon dioxide to the storage facility under NDCC Section 38-22-02.

(8) The storage facility operator shall comply with all conditions of this order, the permit to inject, and NDAC Chapter 43-05-01, where applicable. Any noncompliance constitutes a violation and is grounds for enforcement action, including but not limited to termination, revocation, or modification of this order pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-12.

(9) In an administrative action, it shall not be a defense that it would have been necessary for the storage facility operator to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with this order, the permit to inject, and NDAC 43-05-01, where applicable.

(10) The storage facility operator shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or correct any adverse impact on the environment resulting from noncompliance with this order, the permit to inject, and NDAC 43-05-01, where applicable.

(11) The storage facility operator shall implement and maintain the provided emergency and remedial response plan pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-13.

(12) The storage facility operator shall cease injection immediately, take all steps reasonably necessary to identify and characterize any release, implement the emergency and remedial response plan approved by the Commission, and notify the Commission within 24 hours of carbon dioxide detected above the confining zone.

(13) The storage facility operator shall at all times properly operate and maintain all storage facilities which are installed or used by the storage facility operator to achieve compliance with the conditions this order, the permit to inject, and NDAC 43-05-01, where applicable. Proper operation and maintenance includes effective performance, adequate funding, adequate operator staffing and training, and adequate laboratory and process controls, including appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems only when necessary to achieve compliance.

(14) This order may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-12. The filing of a request by the storage facility operator for and order modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any condition contained therein.

(15) The injection well permit or the permit to operate an injection well does not convey any property rights of any sort of any exclusive privilege.

(16) The storage facility operator shall furnish to the Director, within a time specified, any information which the Director may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this order, or to determine compliance thereof. The storage facility operator shall also furnish to the Director, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this order, the permit to inject, and NDAC 43-05-01, where applicable.

(17) The storage facility operator shall allow the Director, or an authorized representative, upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- (a) Enter upon the storage facility premises where records must be kept pursuant to this order and NDAC Chapter 43-05-01.
- (b) At reasonable times, have access to and copy any records that must be kept pursuant to this order and NDAC Chapter 43-05-01.
- (c) At reasonable times, inspect any facilities, equipment, including monitoring and

control equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required pursuant to this order, the permit to inject, and NDAC Chapter 43-05-01.

- (d) At reasonable times, sample or monitor for the purposes of assuring compliance, any substances or parameters at any location.

(18) The storage facility operator shall maintain and comply with the proposed testing and monitoring plan pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.4

(19) The storage facility operator shall comply with the reporting requirements provided in NDAC Section 43-05-01-18. The volume of carbon dioxide injected, the average injection rate, surface injection pressure, and down-hole temperature and pressure data shall be reported monthly to the Director on or before the fifth day of the second succeeding month once injection commences regardless of the status of operations, until the injection well is properly plugged and abandoned.

(20) The storage facility operator must obtain an injection well permit under NDAC Section 43-05-01-10 and injection wells must meet the construction and completion requirements in NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.

(21) The storage facility operator shall notify the Director at least 48 hours in advance to witness a mechanical integrity test of the tubing-casing annulus in the injection well. The packer must be set within 100 feet of the upper most perforation and in the 13CR-80 casing, as an exception to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11. However, the packer must also be set within confining zone lithology, within carbon dioxide resistant cement, and not interfere down-hole monitoring equipment.

(22) The storage facility operator shall maintain and comply with the prepared plugging plan pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.5.

(23) The storage facility operator shall establish mechanical integrity prior to commencing injection and maintain mechanical integrity pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.1.

(24) The storage facility operator shall implement the worker safety plan pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-13.

(25) The storage facility operator shall comply with leak detection and reporting requirements pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-14.

(26) The storage facility operator shall implement the proposed corrosion monitoring and prevention program pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-05.1.

(27) The storage facility operator shall maintain financial responsibility pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-09.1.

(28) The storage facility operator shall maintain and comply with the proposed post-injection site care and facility closure plan pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-19.



(29) The storage facility operator shall notify the Director within 24 hours of failure or malfunction of surface or bottom hole gauges in the RTE #10 (File No. 37229) injector.

(30) The storage facility operator shall implement surface air and soil gas monitoring as proposed.

(31) This storage facility authorization and permit shall be reviewed at least once every five years from commencement of injection to determine whether it should be modified, revoked, or minor modification made, pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-05.1(4).

(32) The storage facility operator shall pay fees pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-17 annually, no more than thirty days after the receipt of 26 U.S. Code § 45Q tax credits, unless otherwise approved by the Director.

(33) This order shall remain in full force and effect until further order of the Commission.

Dated this 19th day of October, 2021.

INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION  
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

/s/ Doug Burgum, Governor

/s/ Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General

/s/ Doug Goehring, Agriculture Commissioner

SFN 5729  
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

I, Jeanette Bean, being duly sworn upon oath, depose and say: That on 10/21/2021 enclosed in separate envelopes true and correct copies of the attached Order No. 31453 of the North Dakota Industrial Commission, and deposited the same with the United States Postal Service in Bismarck, North Dakota, with postage thereon fully paid, directed to the following persons by the Industrial Commission in Case No. 28848:

LAWRENCE BENDER  
1133 COLLEGE DRIVE, SUITE 1000  
P. O. BOX 1855  
BISMARCK, ND 58502-1000

PATRICIA MEYER  
1902 EAST BECK LANE  
PHOENIX, AZ 58022-3341


NORTH DAKOTA ETHANOL COUNCIL  
DEANA WIESE  
1605 E CAPITOL AVENUE  
PO BOX 1091  
BISMARCK ND 58502


RPMG  
DOUGLAS PUNKE  
1157 VALLEY PARK DRIVE  
STE 100  
SHAKOPEE MN 55379

LORNA MEIDINGER  
HISTORIC PRESERVATION SPECIALIST  
STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY OF ND  
612 E BOULEVARD AVE  
BISMARCK ND 58505

  
Jeanette Bean  
Oil & Gas Division

On this 10/21/2021 before me personally appeared Jeanette Bean to me known as the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that she executed the same as her free act and deed.



  
Notary Public  
State of North Dakota,  
County of Burleigh

U.S. Postal Service™  
**CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT**  
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)®.

**OFFICIAL USE**

Certified Mail Fee

\$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

- ☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Adult Signature Required \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Postmark  
Here

Postage

\$

Total Postage and Fees

\$

Sent To

Kim Hasian stark co. Recorder

Street and Apt. No. or PO Box No.

P.O. Box 130

City, State, ZIP+4®

Dickinson ND 58602-0130

7620 8920 0000 0181 0202

## Certified Mail service provides the following benefits

- A receipt (this portion of the Certified Mail label).
- A unique identifier for your mailpiece.
- Electronic verification of delivery or attempted delivery.
- A record of delivery (including the recipient's signature) that is retained by the Postal Service™ for a specified period.

### Important Reminders:

- You may purchase Certified Mail service with First-Class Mail®, First-Class Package Service®, or Priority Mail® service.
- Certified Mail service is *not* available for international mail.
- Insurance coverage is *not* available for purchase with Certified Mail service. However, the purchase of Certified Mail service does not change the insurance coverage automatically included with certain Priority Mail items.
- For an additional fee, and with a proper endorsement on the mailpiece, you may request the following services:
  - Return receipt service, which provides a record of delivery (including the recipient's signature). You can request a hardcopy return receipt or an electronic version. For a hardcopy return receipt, complete PS Form 3811, *Domestic Return Receipt*; attach PS Form 3811 to your mailpiece; for an electronic return receipt, see a retail associate for assistance. To receive a duplicate return receipt for no additional fee, present this USPS®-postmarked Certified Mail receipt to the retail associate.
  - Restricted delivery service, which provides delivery to the addressee specified by name, or to the addressee's authorized agent.
  - Adult signature service, which requires the signee to be at least 21 years of age (not available at retail).
  - Adult signature restricted delivery service, which requires the signee to be at least 21 years of age and provides delivery to the addressee specified by name, or to the addressee's authorized agent (not available at retail).
- To ensure that your Certified Mail receipt is accepted as legal proof of mailing, it should bear a USPS postmark. If you would like a postmark on this Certified Mail receipt, please present your Certified Mail item at a Post Office™ for postmarking. If you don't need a postmark on this Certified Mail receipt, detach the barcoded portion of this label, affix it to the mailpiece, apply appropriate postage, and deposit the mailpiece.

**IMPORTANT: Save this receipt for your records.**

USPS TRACKING #



First-Class Mail  
Postage & Fees Paid  
USPS  
Permit No. G-10

9590 9402 6643 1060 2655 51

United States  
Postal Service

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box •


Office of Attorney General  
RECEIVED

DEC 16 2021

BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA

Office of Attorney General  
500 N. 9th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501-4509  
Official Mail  
State of North Dakota

Attn: Melissa



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1 2 and 3
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece or on the front if space permits

1 Article Addressed to

Kim Kasian  
 Stark County Recorder  
 P.O. Box 130  
 Dickinson ND 58602-0130



9590 9402 6643 1060 2655 51

2 Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 1810 0000 0288 0791

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY


A. Signature

X *Rita Wannow*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B Received by (Printed Name)

*Rita Wannow*

C Date of Delivery

 D Is delivery address different from Item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES enter delivery address below ☐ No


3 Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery





Wayne Stenehjem  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA  
OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE CAPITOL  
600 E BOULEVARD AVE DEPT 125  
BISMARCK, ND 58505-0040  
(701) 328-2210  
[www.attorneygeneral.nd.gov](http://www.attorneygeneral.nd.gov)

CIVIL LITIGATION  
NATURAL RESOURCES  
AND INDIAN AFFAIRS  
500 NORTH 9<sup>TH</sup> STREET  
BISMARCK, ND 58501-4509  
(701) 328-3640 FAX (701) 328-4300

December 10, 2021  
*via US Certified Mail*

Kim Kasian  
Stark County Recorder  
PO Box 130  
Dickinson, ND 58602-0130

Re: Filing of Red Trail Richardton Ethanol Broom Creek Storage Facility #1  
Order No. 31453  
Storage Facility Permit – Certificate of Issuance

Dear Ms. Kasian:

As requested, enclosed for filing pursuant to North Dakota Century Code § 38-22-11 are Certifications for the Red Trail – Permit Certificate, Order No. 31453, Order No. 31454, and Order No. 31455 in the above referenced matter.

The recorded documents can be returned to me at 500 North 9<sup>th</sup> Street, Bismarck, ND, 58501-4509. If you have any questions, you can reach me at 701 328-3640

Sincerely,

Steven B. Nelson  
Assistant Attorney General

SBN/mjh  
Enclosures



# INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF NORTH DAKOTA

Doug Burgum  
Governor

Wayne Stenehjem  
Attorney General

Doug Goehring  
Agriculture Commissioner

I, Karlene Fine, Executive Director and Secretary to the Industrial Commission of North Dakota, do hereby certify that the attached copy of the Red Trail – Permit Certificate is a true and exact copy of the Red Trail – Permit Certificate on file in the Office of the Industrial Commission, State Capitol, Bismarck, North Dakota.



A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Karlene Fine".

Karlene Fine  
Executive Director and Secretary to the Commission  
December 9, 2021





# INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF NORTH DAKOTA

Doug Burgum  
Governor

Wayne Stenehjem  
Attorney General

Doug Goehring  
Agriculture Commissioner

I, Karlene Fine, Executive Director and Secretary to the Industrial Commission of North Dakota, do hereby certify that the attached copy of Order No. 31453 issued in Case No. 28848 is a true and exact copy of Order No. 31453 on file in the Office of the Industrial Commission, State Capitol, Bismarck, North Dakota.



A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Karlene Fine".

Karlene Fine  
Executive Director and Secretary to the Commission  
December 9, 2021



# INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF NORTH DAKOTA

Doug Burgum  
Governor

Wayne Stenehjem  
Attorney General

Doug Goehring  
Agriculture Commissioner

I, Karlene Fine, Executive Director and Secretary to the Industrial Commission of North Dakota, do hereby certify that the attached copy of Order No. 31454 issued in Case No. 28849 is a true and exact copy of Order No. 31454 on file in the Office of the Industrial Commission, State Capitol, Bismarck, North Dakota.



A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Karlene Fine", is positioned above a horizontal line.

Karlene Fine  
Executive Director and Secretary to the Commission  
December 9, 2021



# INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF NORTH DAKOTA

Doug Burgum  
Governor

Wayne Stenehjem  
Attorney General

Doug Goehring  
Agriculture Commissioner

I, Karlene Fine, Executive Director and Secretary to the Industrial Commission of North Dakota, do hereby certify that the attached copy of Order No. 31455 issued in Case No. 28850 is a true and exact copy of Order No. 31455 on file in the Office of the Industrial Commission, State Capitol, Bismarck, North Dakota.



A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Karlene Fine".

Karlene Fine  
Executive Director and Secretary to the Commission  
December 9, 2021

U.S. Postal Service™  
**CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT**  
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)®.

**OFFICIAL USE**

Certified Mail Fee

\$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

- ☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Adult Signature Required \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Postmark  
Here

Postage

\$

Total Postage and Fees

\$

Sent To

Kim Kasian stark co. Recorder

Street and Apt. No. or PO Box No.

P.O. Box 130

City, State, ZIP+4®

Dickinson ND 58602-0130

7620 8920 0000 0181 0202

## Certified Mail service provides the following benefits:

- A receipt (this portion of the Certified Mail label).
- A unique identifier for your mailpiece.
- Electronic verification of delivery or attempted delivery.
- A record of delivery (including the recipient's signature) that is retained by the Postal Service™ for a specified period.

### Important Reminders:

- You may purchase Certified Mail service with First-Class Mail®, First-Class Package Service®, or Priority Mail® service.
- Certified Mail service is *not* available for international mail.
- Insurance coverage is *not* available for purchase with Certified Mail service. However, the purchase of Certified Mail service does not change the insurance coverage automatically included with certain Priority Mail items.
- For an additional fee, and with a proper endorsement on the mailpiece, you may request the following services:
  - Return receipt service, which provides a record of delivery (including the recipient's signature). You can request a hardcopy return receipt or an electronic version. For a hardcopy return receipt, complete PS Form 3811, *Domestic Return Receipt*; attach PS Form 3811 to your mailpiece; for an electronic return receipt, see a retail associate for assistance. To receive a duplicate return receipt for no additional fee, present this USPS®-postmarked Certified Mail receipt to the retail associate.
  - Restricted delivery service, which provides delivery to the addressee specified by name, or to the addressee's authorized agent.
  - Adult signature service, which requires the signee to be at least 21 years of age (not available at retail).
  - Adult signature restricted delivery service, which requires the signee to be at least 21 years of age and provides delivery to the addressee specified by name, or to the addressee's authorized agent (not available at retail).
- To ensure that your Certified Mail receipt is accepted as legal proof of mailing, it should bear a USPS postmark. If you would like a postmark on this Certified Mail receipt, please present your Certified Mail item at a Post Office™ for postmarking. If you don't need a postmark on this Certified Mail receipt, detach the barcoded portion of this label, affix it to the mailpiece, apply appropriate postage, and deposit the mailpiece.

**IMPORTANT: Save this receipt for your records.**

USPS TRACKING#



First-Class Mail  
Postage & Fees Paid  
USPS  
Permit No. G-10

9590 9402 6643 1060 2655 06

United States  
Postal Service

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box •

Office of Attorney General  
RECEIVED


DEC 06 2021

**Steven B Nelson**

Office of Attorney General  
500 N. 9th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501-4509

Official Mail  
State of North Dakota

BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA





**SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION**

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

**Kim Kasian**  
**Stark County Recorder**  
**PO Box 130**  
**Dickinson ND 58602-0130**



9590 9402 6643 1060 2655 06

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 0090 0000 9652 0761


**COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY**

A. Signature

**X**☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®

- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail

Insured Mail Restricted Delivery  
(over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



Wayne Stenehjem  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA  
**OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL**

STATE CAPITOL  
600 E BOULEVARD AVE DEPT 125  
BISMARCK, ND 58505-0040  
(701) 328-2210  
[www.attorneygeneral.nd.gov](http://www.attorneygeneral.nd.gov)

CIVIL LITIGATION  
NATURAL RESOURCES  
AND INDIAN AFFAIRS  
500 NORTH 9<sup>TH</sup> STREET  
BISMARCK, ND 58501-4509  
(701) 328-3640 FAX (701) 328-4300

November 30, 2021  
*via US Certified Mail*

Kim Kasian  
Stark County Recorder  
PO Box 130  
Dickinson, ND 58602-0130


Re: Filing of Red Trail Richardton Ethanol Broom Creek Storage Facility #1  
Order No. 31453  
Storage Facility Permit – Certificate of Issuance

Dear Ms. Kasian:

Enclosed for filing pursuant to North Dakota Century Code Section 38-22-11 is Certificate of Issuance for the above referenced matter along with Check No. 54518316 to cover the recording fees.

The recorded documents can be returned to me at 500 North 9<sup>th</sup> Street, Bismarck, ND, 58501-4509. If you have any questions, you can reach me at 701 328-3640


Sincerely,



Steven B. Nelson  
Assistant Attorney General

SBN/mjh  
Enclosures





November 15, 2021

**Red Trail Richardton Ethanol Broom Creek Storage Facility #1  
Stark County, North Dakota  
Order No. 31453  
STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT  
CERTIFICATE OF ISSUANCE**

Red Trail Energy, LLC made application to the Commission, on June 4, 2021, for an order authorizing geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail ethanol facility in the amalgamated storage reservoir pore space of the Broom Creek Formation, in portions of Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota, pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01, and such other relief as is appropriate.

The Commission approved this application October 19, 2021:


Order No. 31453 is attached and establishes the Red Trail Richardton Ethanol Broom Creek Storage Facility #1 to include the following lands:

TOWNSHIP 139 NORTH, RANGE 92 WEST, 5TH PM  
ALL OF SECTIONS 10, 11, 14 AND 15, THE E/2 SE/4 AND SE/4 NE/4 OF SECTION 9,  
THE W/2 SW/4 OF SECTION 12, THE W/2 W/2 OF SECTION 13, THE NE/4 AND N/2  
NW/4 OF SECTION 22, AND THE N/2 OF SECTION 23.

Order No. 31454 is attached and establishes amalgamation of the storage reservoir pore space.

Order No. 31455 is attached and establishes financial responsibility for the storage facility permit.

Pursuant to North Dakota Century Code Section 38-22-11, this certificate of issuance is to be filed with the county recorder in Stark County.

Sincerely,  
  
Lynn D. Helms  
North Dakota Industrial Commission  
Department of Mineral Resources  
Oil and Gas Division

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn <lodden@fredlaw.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2021 1:59 PM  
**To:** Fried, Stephen J.  
**Cc:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.; Bender, Lawrence; Phillips, David R.; Connors, Kevin  
**Subject:** additional ratification and updated summary  
**Attachments:** Red Trail another ratification.pdf

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Mr. Fried,

Please see the attached.

Thank you.



*\*\*This is a transmission from the law firm of Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately at our telephone number (701) 221-8700. The name and biographical data provided above are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be a signature or other indication of an intent by the sender to authenticate the contents of this electronic message.\*\**

October 18, 2021

VIA EMAIL

Mr. Bruce Hicks  
Assistant Director  
North Dakota Industrial Commission  
Oil and Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard  
Bismarck, North Dakota 58505

**RE: Red Trail Energy LLC  
CASE NO. 28848**

Dear Mr. Hicks:

In follow-up to the filing submitted to your office this morning via email and hand delivery, please find enclosed herewith an additional ratification signed by Messmer Farms LLP, and an updated Summary of Surface Owners Who Have Ratified.

If you should have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,

  
LAWRENCE BENDER

LB/leo  
Enclosure

cc: Mr. Stephen Fried – (w/enc.) *Via Email*  
Mr. David Phillips – (w/enc.) *Via Email*  
Mr. Dustin Willett – (w/enc.) *Via Email*  
Mr. Kevin Connors – (w/enc.) *Via Email*

4744371\_1.DOC  
74201608.1

**RATIFICATION AND JOINDER OF GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

In consideration of the execution of the Geologic Storage Agreement, Broom Creek Formation, Stark County, North Dakota, dated August 1, 2021 ("Storage Agreement"), the undersigned (whether one or more) hereby expressly joins said Storage Agreement and ratifies, consents and agrees to the terms of said Storage Agreement as fully as though the undersigned had executed the original instrument, as the same is finally approved by order of the North Dakota Industrial Commission.


This Ratification and Joinder shall be effective as to the undersigned's Pore Space Interest and any other interest necessary for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in and under lands within the Storage Facility in which the undersigned has a Pore Space Interest.

This Ratification and Joinder shall be binding upon the undersigned, his, her, or its heirs, devisees, assigns, or successors in interest. All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Storage Agreement, a copy of which has been made available to the undersigned.

EXECUTED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021.

Messmer Farms LLP  
10844 E Queensborough Ave  
Mesa AZ 85212

By:



**PLEASE RETURN ONE (1) EXECUTED COPY TO:**

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Hwy 8 S.  
Richardton, ND 58652

**EXHIBIT B**

Summary of Surface Owners Who Have Ratified

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

<u>Tract</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Land Description</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Tract Net</u> <u>Acres</u>	<u>Tract Participation</u>	<u>Storage Facility</u> <u>Participation</u>	<u>Acreage</u> <u>Leased (Y/N)</u>	<u>RATIFIED</u>
1	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000 <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	Y	
2	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	40.000 <b>40.000</b>	100.00000000%	1.14942529%	Y	1.14942529%
3	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	120.000 <b>120.000</b>	100.00000000%	3.44827586%	Y	3.44827586%
4	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	150.060 <b>150.060</b>	100.00000000%	4.31206897%	Y	4.31206897%
5	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	299.078 <b>299.078</b>	100.00000000%	8.59419540%	Y	8.59419540%
6	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	55.500 <b>55.500</b>	100.00000000%	1.59482759%	Y	1.59482759%
7	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer <b>Tract Total:</b>	64.500 <b>64.500</b>	100.00000000%	1.85344828%	Y	1.85344828%
8	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Barbara Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	113.314 <b>113.314</b>	100.00000000%	3.25614943%	Y	
9	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP <b>Tract Total:</b>	17.878 <b>17.878</b>	100.00000000%	0.51373563%	Y	
10	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP <b>Tract Total:</b>	77.850 <b>77.850</b>	100.00000000%	2.23706897%	Y	

11	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Richard L. Hauck Linda Hauck <b>Tract Total:</b>	10.120 <b>10.120</b>	100.00000000%	0.29080460%	N	
12	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	68.750 <b>68.750</b>	100.00000000%	1.97557471%	Y	
13	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP <b>Tract Total:</b>	143.800 <b>143.800</b>	100.00000000%	4.13218391%	Y	
14	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Kevin Frederick <b>Tract Total:</b>	15.000 <b>15.000</b>	100.00000000%	0.43103448%	N	
15	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher <b>Tract Total:</b>	65.000 <b>65.000</b>	100.00000000%	1.86781609%	Y	1.86781609%
16	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher <b>Tract Total:</b>	40.959 <b>40.959</b>	100.00000000%	1.17698276%	Y	1.17698276%
17	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher <b>Tract Total:</b>	18.658 <b>18.658</b>	100.00000000%	0.53614943%	Y	0.53614943%
18	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher <b>Tract Total:</b>	88.223 <b>88.223</b>	100.00000000%	2.53514368%	Y	2.53514368%
19	Section 14-T139N-R92W	Dwight Schank <b>Tract Total:</b>	607.120 <b>607.120</b>	100.00000000%	17.44597701%	Y	17.44597701%
20	Section 15-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer <b>Tract Total:</b>	640.000 <b>640.000</b>	100.00000000%	18.39080460%	Y	18.39080460%
21	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Messmer Farms LLP <b>Tract Total:</b>	80.000 <b>80.000</b>	100.00000000%	2.29885057%	Y	2.29885057%
22	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Jeffrey R. Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000 <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	Y	
23	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Lori Linder <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000 <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	N	
24	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000 <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	Y	4.59770115%
25	Sections 10,11,13 & 14-T139N-R92W	BNSF Railway Company <b>Tract Total:</b>	124.190 <b>124.190</b>	100.00000000%	3.56867816%	N	
<b>Total Acres:</b>			<b>3480.000</b>	<b>Total Participation:</b>	<b>100.00000000%</b>	<b>91.11178161%</b>	<b>69.80166667%</b>

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn <lodden@fredlaw.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2021 10:58 AM  
**To:** Fried, Stephen J.  
**Cc:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.; Bender, Lawrence; Phillips, David R.; Connors, Kevin  
**Subject:** filing of Red Trail ratifications  
**Attachments:** Red Trail filing.pdf

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Mr. Fried,

Please see the attached. We will hand deliver five copies later today.



*\*\*This is a transmission from the law firm of Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately at our telephone number (701) 221-8700. The name and biographical data provided above are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be a signature or other indication of an intent by the sender to authenticate the contents of this electronic message.\*\**

October 18, 2021

Mr. Bruce Hicks  
Assistant Director  
North Dakota Industrial Commission  
Oil and Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard  
Bismarck, North Dakota 58505

**RE: Red Trail Energy LLC  
CASE NO. 28848**

Dear Mr. Hicks:

Please find enclosed herewith for filing a copy of the exhibits with regard to Case No. 28848, heard August 12, 2021, which contain a fully executed copy of the Geologic Storage Agreement, Summary of Surface Owners Who Have Ratified, and copies of the executed ratifications.

We will hand deliver five copies of the same later today.

If you should have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,



LAWRENCE BENDER

LB/leo

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Stephen Fried – (w/enc.) *Via Email*  
Mr. David Phillips – (w/enc.) *Via Email*  
Mr. Dustin Willett – (w/enc.) *Via Email*  
Mr. Kevin Connors – (w/enc.) *Via Email*

4744371\_1.DOC  
74201608.1



# Red Trail Energy LLC

## Case No. 28848

**Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC requesting consideration for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01. View the draft storage facility permit, fact sheet, and storage facility permit application at [www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/](http://www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/). Red Trail intends to capture carbon dioxide from their ethanol plant and sequester it in the Broom Creek Formation. The Commission will accept and consider written comments on the merits of the application and draft permit if received no later than 5:00 pm CDT August 11, 2021. Submit written comments to the Oil and Gas Division, 1016 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512 or [brkadrmas@nd.gov](mailto:brkadrmas@nd.gov). Further draft permit information may be obtained from Steve Fried, and further hearing information may be obtained from Bethany Kadrmas, both at the North Dakota Oil and Gas Division, 1016 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512, 701-328-8020. Red Trail Energy, LLC, PO Box 11, Richardton, ND 58652.**

**August 12, 2021**

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1     Geologic Storage Agreement**
- 2     Summary of Surface Owner Ratifications**
- 3     Surface Owner Ratifications**

**GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

**THIS AGREEMENT** ("Agreement") is entered into as of the 1st day of August, 2021, by the parties who have executed a pore space lease, signed the original of this instrument, a counterpart thereof, ratification and joinder by order of the Commission or other instrument agreeing to become a Party hereto.

**WITNESSETH:**

**WHEREAS**, it is in the public interest to promote the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in a manner which will benefit the state and the global environment by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and in a manner which will help ensure the viability of the state's ethanol industry, to the economic benefit of North Dakota and its citizens;

**WHEREAS**, to further geologic storage of carbon dioxide, a potentially valuable commodity, may allow for its ready availability if needed for commercial, industrial, or other uses, including enhanced recovery of oil, gas, and other minerals; and

**WHEREAS**, for geologic storage, however, to be practical and effective requires cooperative use of surface and subsurface property interests and the collaboration of property owners, which may require procedures that promote, in a manner fair to all interests, cooperative management, thereby ensuring the maximum use of natural resources.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of the premise and of the mutual agreements herein contained, it is agreed as follows:

**ARTICLE 1  
DEFINITIONS**

As used in this Agreement:

1.1 **Carbon Dioxide** means carbon dioxide in gaseous, liquid, or supercritical fluid state together with incidental associated substances derived from the source materials, capture process and any substances added or used to enable or improve the injection process.

1.2 **Commission** means the North Dakota Industrial Commission.

1.3 **Effective Date** is the time and date this Agreement becomes effective as provided in Article 14.

1.4 **Facility Area** is the land described by Tracts in Exhibit "B" and shown on Exhibit "A" containing 3480.00 acres, more or less.

1.5 **Party** is any individual, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, association, receiver, trustee, curator, executor, administrator, guardian, tutor, fiduciary, or other representative of any kind, any department, agency, or instrumentality of the state, or any governmental subdivision thereof, or any other entity capable of holding an interest in the Storage Reservoir.

1.6 **Pore Space** means a cavity or void, whether natural or artificially created, in any subsurface stratum.

1.7 **Pore Space Interest** is a right to or interest in the Pore Space in any Tract within the boundaries of the Facility Area.

1.8 **Pore Space Owner** is a Party hereto who owns Pore Space Interest.

1.9 **Storage Equipment** is any personal property, lease and well equipment, plants and other facilities and equipment for use in Storage Operations.

1.10 **Storage Expense** is all costs, expense or indebtedness incurred by the Storage Operator pursuant to this Agreement for or on account of Storage Operations.

1.11 **Storage Reservoir** consists of the Pore Space and confining subsurface strata underlying the Facility Area described as the Broom Creek Formation and geologically confined by the Opeche Formation (upper confining zone) and the Amsden Formation (lower confining zone), identified by the gamma ray and resistivity logs run in the Runnel-State 1 well (File No. 6797), located in the SE/4 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota, which encompasses the stratigraphic interval from a depth of 6315 feet to a depth of 7060 feet as measured from the Kelly Bushing elevation of 2494 feet, within the limits of the Facility Area.

1.12 **Storage Facility** is the unitized or amalgamated Storage Reservoir created pursuant to an order of the Commission.

1.13 **Storage Facility Participation** is the percentage shown on Exhibit "C" for allocating payments for use of the Pore Space under each Tract identified in Exhibit "B".

1.14 **Storage Operations** are all operations conducted by the Storage Operator pursuant to this Agreement or otherwise authorized by any lease covering any Pore Space Interest.

1.15 **Storage Operator** is the person or entity named in Section 4.1 of this Agreement.

1.16 **Storage Rights** are the rights to explore, develop, and operate lands within the Facility Area for the storage of Storage Substances.

1.17 **Storage Substances** are Carbon Dioxide and incidental associated substances and fluids.

1.18 **Tract** is the land described as such and given a Tract number in Exhibit “B.”

## **ARTICLE 2 EXHIBITS**

2.1 **Exhibits.** The following exhibits, which are attached hereto, are incorporated herein by reference:

2.1.1 Exhibit “A” is a map that shows the boundary lines of the Storage Facility area and the tracts therein;

2.1.2 Exhibit “B” is a schedule that describes the acres of each Tract in the Storage Facility area;

2.1.3 Exhibit “C” is a schedule that shows the Storage Facility Participation of each Tract; and

2.1.4 Exhibit “D” is the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease.

2.2 **Reference to Exhibits.** When reference is made to an exhibit, it is to the exhibit as originally attached or, if revised, to the last revision.

2.3 **Exhibits Considered Correct.** Exhibits “A,” “B,” “C” and “D” shall be considered to be correct until revised as herein provided.

2.4 **Correcting Errors.** The shapes and descriptions of the respective Tracts have been established by using the best information available. If it subsequently appears that any Tract, mechanical miscalculation or clerical error has been made, Storage Operator, with the approval of Pore Space Owners whose interest is affected, shall correct the mistake by revising the exhibits to conform to the facts. The revision shall not include any re-evaluation of engineering or geological interpretations used in determining Storage Facility Participation. Each such revision of an exhibit made prior to thirty (30) days after the Effective Date shall be effective as of the Effective Date. Each such revision thereafter made shall be effective at 7:00 a.m. on the first day of the calendar month next following the filing for record of the revised exhibit or on such other date as may be determined by Storage Operator and set forth in the revised exhibit.

2.5 **Filing Revised Exhibits.** If an exhibit is revised, Storage Operator shall execute an appropriate instrument with the revised exhibit attached and file the same for record in the county or counties in which this Agreement or memorandum of the same is recorded and shall also file the amended changes with the Commission.

### ARTICLE 3 CREATION AND EFFECT OF STORAGE FACILITY

3.1 **Unleased Pore Space Interests.** Any Pore Space Owner in the Storage Facility who owns a Pore Space Interest in the Storage Reservoir that is not leased for the purposes of this Agreement and during the term hereof, shall be treated as if it were subject to the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease attached hereto as Exhibit "D".

3.2 **Amalgamation of Pore Space.** All Pore Space Interests in and to the Tracts are hereby amalgamated and combined insofar as the respective Pore Space Interests pertain to the Storage Reservoir, so that Storage Operations may be conducted with respect to said Storage Reservoir as if all of the Pore Space Interests in the Facility Area had been included in a single lease executed by all Pore Space Owners, as lessors, in favor of Storage Operator, as lessee and as if the lease contained all of the provisions of this Agreement.

3.3 **Amendment of Leases and Other Agreements.** The provisions of the various leases, agreements, or other instruments pertaining to the respective Tracts or the storage of the Storage Substances therein, including the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease attached hereto as Exhibit "D", are amended to the extent necessary to make them conform to the provisions of this Agreement, but otherwise shall remain in effect.

3.4 **Continuation of Leases and Term Interests.** Injection in to any part of the Storage Reservoir, or other Storage Operations, shall be considered as injection in to or upon each Tract within said Storage Reservoir, and such injection or operations shall continue in effect as to each lease as to all lands and formations covered thereby just as if such operations were conducted on and as if a well were injecting in each Tract within said Storage Reservoir.

3.5 **Titles Unaffected by Storage.** Nothing herein shall be construed to result in the transfer of title of the Pore Space Interest of any Party hereto to any other Party or to Storage Operator.

3.6 **Injection Rights.** Storage Operator is hereby granted the right to inject into the Storage Reservoir any Storage Substances in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, together with the right to drill, use, and maintain injection wells in the Facility Area, and to use for injection purposes.

3.7 **Transfer of Storage Substances from Storage Facility.** Storage Operator may transfer from the Storage Facility any Storage Substances, in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, to any other reservoir, subsurface stratum or formation permitted by the Commission for the storage of carbon dioxide under Chapter 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. The transfer of such Storage Substances out of the Storage Facility shall be disregarded for the purposes of calculating the royalty under any lease covering a Pore Space Interest (including Exhibit "D") and shall not affect the allocation of Storage Substances injected into the Storage Facility through the surface of the Facility Area in accordance with Article 6 of this Agreement.

3.8 **Receipt of Storage Substances.** Storage Operator may accept and receive into the Storage Facility any Storage Substances, in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, being stored in any other reservoir, subsurface stratum or formation permitted by the Commission for the storage of carbon dioxide under Chapter 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. The receipt of such Storage Substances into the Storage Facility shall be disregarded for the purposes of calculating the royalty under any lease covering a Pore Space Interest (including Exhibit "D") and shall not affect the allocation of Storage Substances injected into the Storage Facility through the surface of the Facility Area in accordance with Article 6 of this Agreement.

3.9 **Cooperative Agreements.** Storage Operator may enter into cooperative agreements with respect to lands adjacent to the Facility Area for the purpose of coordinating Storage Operations. Such cooperative agreements may include, but shall not be limited to, agreements regarding the transfer and receipt of Storage Substances pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement.

3.10 **Border Agreements.** Storage Operator may enter into an agreement or agreements with owners of adjacent lands with respect to operations which may enhance the injection of the Storage Substances in the Storage Reservoir in the Facility Area or which may otherwise be necessary for the conduct of Storage Operations.

## **ARTICLE 4 STORAGE OPERATIONS**

4.1 **Storage Operator.** Red Trail Energy, LLC is hereby designated as the initial Storage Operator. Storage Operator shall have the exclusive right to conduct Storage Operations, which shall conform to the provisions of this Agreement and any lease covering a Pore Space Interest. If there is any conflict between such agreements, this Agreement shall govern.

4.2 **Successor Operators.** The initial Storage Operator and any subsequent operator may, at any time, transfer operatorship of the Storage Facility with and upon the approval of the Commission.

4.3 **Method of Operation.** Storage Operator shall engage in Storage Operations with diligence and in accordance with good engineering and injection practices.

4.4 **Change of Method of Operation.** Nothing herein shall prevent Storage Operator from discontinuing or changing in whole or in part any method of operation which, in its opinion, is no longer in accord with good engineering or injection practices. Other methods of operation may be conducted or changes may be made by Storage Operator from time to time if determined by it to be feasible, necessary or desirable to increase the injection or storage of Storage Substances.

## **ARTICLE 5 TRACT PARTICIPATIONS**

5.1 **Tract Participations.** The Storage Facility Participation of each Tract is shown in Exhibit "C." The Storage Facility Participation of each Tract shall be based 100% upon the ratio of surface acres in each Tract to the total surface acres for all Tracts within the Facility Area.

5.2 **Relative Storage Facility Participations.** If the Facility Area is enlarged or reduced, the revised Storage Facility Participation of the Tracts remaining in the Facility Area and which were within the Facility Area prior to the enlargement or reduction shall remain in the same ratio to one another.

## **ARTICLE 6 ALLOCATION OF STORAGE SUBSTANCES**

6.1 **Allocation of Tracts.** All Storage Substances injected shall be allocated to the several Tracts in accordance with the respective Storage Facility Participation effective during the period that the Storage Substances are injected. The amount of Storage Substances allocated to each tract, regardless of whether the amount is more or less than the actual injection of Storage Substances from the well or wells, if any, on such Tract, shall be deemed for all purposes to have been injected into such Tract. Storage Substances transferred or received pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement shall be disregarded for the purposes of this Section 6.1.

6.2 **Distribution within Tracts.** The Storage Substances injected and allocated to each Tract shall be distributed among, or accounted for to, the Pore Space Owners who own a Pore Space Interest in such Tract in accordance with the Pore Space Owners' Storage Facility Participation effective during the period that the Storage Substances were injected. If any Pore Space Interest in a Tract hereafter becomes divided and owned in severalty as to different parts of the Tract, the owners of the divided interests, in the absence of an agreement providing for a different division, shall be compensated for the storage of the Storage Substances in proportion to the surface acreage of their respective parts of the Tract. Storage Substances transferred or received pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement shall be disregarded for the purposes of this Section 6.2.

## **ARTICLE 7 TITLES**

7.1 **Warranty and Indemnity.** Each Pore Space Owner who, by acceptance of revenue for the injection of Storage Substances into the Storage Reservoir, shall be deemed to have warranted title to its Pore Space Interest, and, upon receipt of the proceeds thereof to the credit of such interest, shall indemnify and hold harmless the Storage Operator and other Parties from any loss due to failure, in whole or in part, of its title to any such interest.

7.2 **Injection When Title Is in Dispute.** If the title or right of any Pore Space Owner claiming the right to receive all or any portion of the proceeds for the storage of any Storage Substances allocated to a Tract is in dispute, Storage Operator shall require that the Pore Space



Owner to whom the proceeds thereof are paid furnish security for the proper accounting thereof to the rightful Pore Space Owner if the title or right of such Pore Space Owner fails in whole or in part.

7.3 **Payments of Taxes to Protect Title.** The owner of surface rights to lands within the Facility Area is responsible for the payment of any *ad valorem* taxes on all such rights, interests or property, unless such owner and the Storage Operator otherwise agree. If any *ad valorem* taxes are not paid by or for such owner when due, Storage Operator may at any time prior to tax sale or expiration of period of redemption after tax sale, pay the tax, redeem such rights, interests or property, and discharge the tax lien. Storage Operator shall, if possible, withhold from any proceeds derived from the storage of Storage Substances otherwise due any Pore Space Owner who is a delinquent taxpayer an amount sufficient to defray the costs of such payment or redemption, such withholding to be credited to the Storage Operator. Such withholding shall be without prejudice to any other remedy available to Storage Operator.

7.4 **Pore Space Interest Titles.** If title to a Pore Space Interest fails, but the tract to which it relates is not removed from the Facility Area, the Party whose title failed shall not be entitled to share under this Agreement with respect to that interest.

## **ARTICLE 8 EASEMENTS OR USE OF SURFACE**

8.1 **Grant of Easement.** Storage Operator shall have the right to use as much of the surface of the land within the Facility Area as may be reasonably necessary for Storage Operations and the injection of Storage Substances.

8.2 **Use of Water.** Storage Operator shall have and is hereby granted free use of water from the Facility Area for Storage Operations, except water from any well, lake, pond or irrigation ditch of a Pore Space Owner; notwithstanding the foregoing, Storage Operator may access any well, lake, or pond as provided in Exhibit "D".

8.3 **Surface Damages.** Storage Owner shall pay surface owners for damage to growing crops, timber, fences, improvements and structures located on the Facility Area that result from Storage Operations.

8.4 **Surface and Sub-Surface Operating Rights.** Except to the extent modified in this Agreement, Storage Operator shall have the same rights to use the surface and sub-surface and use of water and any other rights granted to Storage Operator in any lease covering Pore Space Interests. Except to the extent expanded by this Agreement or the extent that such rights are common to the effected leases, the rights granted by a lease may be exercised only on the land covered by that lease. Storage Operator will to the extent possible minimize surface impacts.

## **ARTICLE 9 ENLARGEMENT OF STORAGE FACILITY**

9.1 **Enlargement of Storage Facility.** The Storage Facility may be enlarged from time to time to include acreage and formations reasonably proven to be geologically capable of storing

Storage Substances. Any expansion must be approved in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Commission.

9.2 **Determination of Tract Participation.** Storage Operator, subject to Section 5.2, shall determine the Storage Facility Participation of each Tract within the Storage Facility as enlarged, and shall revise Exhibits “A”, “B” and “C” accordingly and in accordance with the rules, regulations and orders of the Commission.

9.3 **Effective Date.** The effective date of any enlargement of the Storage Facility shall be effective as determined by the Commission.

## **ARTICLE 10 TRANSFER OF TITLE PARTITION**

10.1 **Transfer of Title.** Any conveyance of all or part of any interest owned by any Party hereto with respect to any Tract shall be made expressly subject to this Agreement. No change of title shall be binding upon Storage Operator, or any Party hereto other than the Party so transferring, until 7:00 a.m. on the first day of the calendar month following thirty (30) days from the date of receipt by Storage Operator of a photocopy, or a certified copy, of the recorded or filed instrument evidencing such a change in ownership.

10.2 **Waiver of Rights to Partition.** Each Party hereto agrees that, during the existence of this Agreement, it will not resort to any action to partition any Tract or parcel within the Facility Area or the facilities used in the development or operation thereof, and to that extent waives the benefits or laws authorizing such partition.

## **ARTICLE 11 RELATIONSHIP OF PARTIES**

11.1 **No Partnership.** The duties, obligations and liabilities arising hereunder shall be several and not joint or collective. This Agreement is not intended to create, and shall not be construed to create, an association or trust, or to impose a partnership duty, obligation or liability with regard to any one or more of the Parties hereto. Each Party hereto shall be individually responsible for its own obligations as herein provided.

11.2 **No Joint Marketing.** This Agreement is not intended to provide, and shall not be construed to provide, directly or indirectly, for any joint marketing of Storage Substances.

11.3 **Pore Space Owners Free of Costs.** This Agreement is not intended to impose, and shall not be construed to impose, upon any Pore Space Owner any obligation to pay any Storage Expense unless such Pore Space Owner is otherwise so obligated.

11.4 **Information to Pore Space Owners.** Each Pore Space Owner shall be entitled to all information in possession of Storage Operator to which such Pore Space Owner is entitled by an existing lease or a lease imposed by this Agreement.

## **ARTICLE 12 LAWS AND REGULATIONS**

12.1 **Laws and Regulations.** This Agreement shall be subject to all applicable federal, state and municipal laws, rules, regulations and orders.

## **ARTICLE 13 FORCE MAJEURE**

13.1 **Force Majeure.** All obligations imposed by this Agreement on each Party, except for the payment of money, shall be suspended while compliance is prevented, in whole or in part, by a labor dispute, fire, war, civil disturbance, or act of God; by federal, state or municipal laws; by any rule, regulation or order of a governmental agency; by inability to secure materials; or by any other cause or causes, whether similar or dissimilar, beyond reasonable control of the Party. No Party shall be required against his will to adjust or settle any labor dispute. Neither this Agreement nor any lease or other instrument subject hereto shall be terminated by reason of suspension of Storage Operations due to any one or more of the causes set forth in this Article.

## **ARTICLE 14 EFFECTIVE DATE**

14.1 **Effective Date.** This Agreement shall become effective as determined by the Commission.

14.2 **Ipsa Facto Termination.** If the requirements of Section 14.1 are not accomplished on or before December 31, 2021 this Agreement shall *ipso facto* terminate on that date (hereinafter called "termination date") and thereafter be of no further effect, unless prior thereto Pore Space Owners owning a combined Storage Facility Participation of at least thirty percent (30%) of the Facility Area have become Parties to this Agreement and have decided to extend the termination date for a period not to exceed six (6) months. If the termination date is so extended and the requirements of Section 14.1 are not accomplished on or before the extended termination date this Agreement shall *ipso facto* terminate on the extended termination date and thereafter be of no further effect.

14.3 **Certificate of Effectiveness.** Storage Operator shall file for record in the county or counties in which the land affected is located a certificate stating the Effective Date of this Agreement.

## ARTICLE 15

### TERM

15.1 **Term.** Unless sooner terminated in the manner hereinafter provided or by order of the Commission, this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect until the Commission has issued a certificate of project completion with respect to the Storage Facility in accordance with Section 38-22-17 of the North Dakota Century Code.

15.2 **Termination by Storage Operator.** This Agreement may be terminated at any time by the Storage Operator.

15.3 **Effect of Termination.** Upon termination of this Agreement all Storage Operations shall cease. Each lease and other agreement covering Pore Space within the Facility Area shall remain in force for ninety (90) days after the date on which this Agreement terminates, and for such further period as is provided by Exhibit "D" or other agreement.

15.4 **Salvaging Equipment Upon Termination.** If not otherwise granted by Exhibit "D" or other instruments affecting each Tract, Pore Space Owners hereby grant Storage Operator a period of six (6) months after the date of termination of this Agreement within which to salvage and remove Storage Equipment.

15.5 **Certificate of Termination.** Upon termination of this Agreement, Storage Operator shall file for record in the county or counties in which the land affected is located a certificate that this Agreement has terminated, stating its termination date.

## ARTICLE 16

### APPROVAL

16.1 **Original, Counterpart or Other Instrument.** A Pore Space Owner may approve this Agreement by entering into a pore space lease with Storage Operator signing the original of this instrument, a counterpart thereof, ratification or joinder or other instrument approving this instrument hereto. The signing of any such instrument shall have the same effect as if all Parties had signed the same instrument.

16.2 **Joinder in Dual Capacity.** Execution as herein provided by any Party as either a Pore Space Owner or the Storage Operator shall commit all interests owned or controlled by such Party and any additional interest thereafter acquired in the Facility Area.

16.3 **Approval by the North Dakota Industrial Commission.**  
Notwithstanding anything in this Article to the contrary, all Tracts within the Facility Area shall be deemed to be qualified for participation if this Agreement is duly approved by order of the Commission.

**ARTICLE 17**  
**GENERAL**

17.1 **Amendments Affecting Pore Space Owners.** Amendments hereto relating wholly to Pore Space Owners may be made with approval by the Commission.

17.4 **Construction.** This agreement shall be construed according to the laws of the State of North Dakota.

**ARTICLE 18**  
**SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS**

18.1 **Successors and Assigns.** This Agreement shall extend to, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, devisees, legal representatives, successors and assigns and shall constitute a covenant running with the lands, leases and interests covered hereby.

*[Remainder of page intentionally left blank. Signature page follows.]*

Executed the date set opposite each name below but effective for all purposes as provided by Article 14.

Dated: 10/15, 2021

**STORAGE OPERATOR**

**RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC**

By:  


Its: COO

73044007.1

## EXHIBIT A

### Tract Map

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota





## **EXHIBIT B**

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

<b><u>Tract No.</u></b>	<b><u>Land Description</u></b>	<b><u>Owner Name</u></b>	<b><u>Tract Net Acres</u></b>	<b><u>Tract Participation</u></b>	<b><u>Storage Facility Participation</u></b>
1	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000  <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
2	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	40.000  <b>40.000</b>	100.00000000%	1.14942529%
3	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	120.000  <b>120.000</b>	100.00000000%	3.44827586%
4	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	150.060  <b>150.060</b>	100.00000000%	4.31206897%
5	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	299.078 <b>299.078</b>	100.00000000%	8.59419540%
6	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	55.500 <b>55.500</b>	100.00000000%	1.59482759%

**EXHIBIT B**

Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

7	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer	64.500	100.00000000%	1.85344828%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>64.500</b>		
8	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Barbara Hoff	113.314	100.00000000%	3.25614943%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>113.314</b>		
9	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	17.878	100.00000000%	0.51373563%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>17.878</b>		
10	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	77.850	100.00000000%	2.23706897%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>77.850</b>		
11	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Richard L. Hauck Linda Hauck	10.120	100.00000000%	0.29080460%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>10.120</b>		
12	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff	68.750	100.00000000%	1.97557471%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>68.750</b>		

## EXHIBIT B

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

Neal C. & Bonnie M.  
Messer Farm Properties

13	Section 11-T139N-R92W	LLLP	143.800	100.00000000%	4.13218391%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>143.800</b>		
14	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Kevin Frederick	15.000	100.00000000%	0.43103448%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>15.000</b>		
15	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher	65.000	100.00000000%	1.86781609%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>65.000</b>		
16	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher	40.959	100.00000000%	1.17698276%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>40.959</b>		
17	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher	18.658	100.00000000%	0.53614943%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>18.658</b>		
18	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher	88.223	100.00000000%	2.53514368%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>88.223</b>		
19	Section 14-T139N-R92W	Dwight Schank	607.120	100.00000000%	17.44597701%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>607.120</b>		
20	Section 15-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer	640.000	100.00000000%	18.39080460%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>640.000</b>		

## **EXHIBIT B**

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

21	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Messmer Farms LLP	80.000	100.00000000%	2.29885057%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>80.000</b>		
22	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Jeffrey R. Hoff	160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>160.000</b>		
23	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Lori Hinder	160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>160.000</b>		
24	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff	160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>160.000</b>		
25	Sections 10,11,13 & 14- T139N-R92W	BNSF Railway Company	124.190	100.00000000%	3.56867816%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>124.190</b>		
<b>Total Acres:</b>			<b>3480.000</b>	<b>Total Participation:</b>	<b>100.00000000%</b>

## **EXHIBIT C**

### **Tract Participation Factors**

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

<b><u>Tract No.</u></b>	<b><u>Acres</u></b>	<b><u>Tract Participation Factor</u></b>
1	160.000	4.59770115%
2	40.000	1.14942529%
3	120.000	3.44827586%
4	150.060	4.31206897%
5	299.078	8.59419540%
6	55.500	1.59482759%
7	64.500	1.85344828%
8	113.314	3.25614943%
9	17.878	0.51373563%
10	77.850	2.23706897%
11	10.120	0.29080460%
12	68.750	1.97557471%
13	143.800	4.13218391%
14	15.000	0.43103448%
15	65.000	1.86781609%
16	40.959	1.17698276%
17	18.658	0.53614943%
18	88.223	2.53514368%
19	607.120	17.44597701%
20	640.000	18.39080460%
21	80.000	2.29885057%
22	160.000	4.59770115%
23	160.000	4.59770115%
24	160.000	4.59770115%
25	124.190	3.56867816%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3480.000</b>	<b>100.00000000%</b>

## **EXHIBIT D**

### **Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease**

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

### **FORM OF SURFACE USE AND PORE SPACE LEASE**

THIS SURFACE USE AND PORE SPACE LEASE (this "Lease") is made and entered into this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2018, by and between \_\_\_\_\_, whose address is \_\_\_\_\_ (whether one or more, "Lessor"), and Red Trail Energy, LLC, a North Dakota limited liability company, whose address is 3682 Hwy 8 S., Richardton, North Dakota 58652 (whether one or more, "Lessee"). Lessor and Lessee may be individually referred to herein as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties".

1. **Leased Premises.** Lessor, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, does hereby grant, demise, lease and let unto Lessee for Lessee's geologic storage operations and other purposes set forth herein, the following-described lands situated in Stark County, North Dakota:

Township \_\_\_\_ North, Range \_\_\_\_ West  
Section \_\_\_\_: \_\_\_\_

containing \_\_\_\_ acres, more or less (the "Leased Premises"), subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein.

2. **Term.** The initial term of this Lease shall be for fifty (50) years. Lessee shall have the option, but not the obligation, to extend this lease for an additional fifty (50) year term by paying a bonus of \_\_\_\_\_ and No/100 Dollars (\$\_\_\_\_\_) per net acre before the end of the initial ten (10) year term. This Lease shall continue beyond the second ten (10) year term for so long as any portion of the Leased Premises or Lessee's storage facilities are subject to a permit issued by the North Dakota Industrial Commission (the "Commission") or under the ownership or control of the State of North Dakota; *provided, however*, that all of Lessee's obligations under this Lease shall terminate upon issuance of a certificate of project completion pursuant to Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.

3. **Annual Rentals.** Lessee shall pay to Lessor an annual rental of \_\_\_\_\_ dollars (\$\_\_\_\_\_) per net acre for as long as this Lease is in effect. The annual rental shall be paid each year prior to the anniversary date of this Lease. The first year's rental has been paid to Lessor, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged. The rentals paid under this lease shall not be deducted from the royalties as they accrue. Lessee shall have the right to prepay in a lump sum the annual rentals payable during the terms of this Lease or any extension thereof. Prepaid annual rental shall be refunded on a pro-rata basis in the event this Lease is terminated due to no fault of Lessee. Lessee shall no longer be liable to Lessee for annual rentals upon (i) the termination of this Lease or, (ii) the issuance of a certificate of project completion and transfer of title and custody of Lessor's storage facilities to the State of North Dakota in accordance with Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. For avoidance of doubt, Lessee shall continue to pay Lessor the annual rental for the duration of the ten (10) year period following the date injection operations have ceased in accordance with Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.

4. Royalty. In addition to the annual rental, Lessee shall pay to Lessor a royalty of \_\_\_\_ cents (\$0. \_\_) per ton of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) injected into the reservoirs and pore spaces underlying the Leased Premises. The quantity of carbon dioxide injected into the reservoirs and pore spaces underlying the Leased Premises shall be determined through the use of metering equipment installed and operated by Lessee at the injection site. All royalties due hereunder for carbon dioxide injected into the Leased Premises during any calendar quarter shall be paid to Lessor by the last day of the following month after the calendar quarter.

5. Right to Pore Space/Storage of Carbon Dioxide. Lessor grants to Lessee the exclusive right to inject and store carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and other gaseous substances, from whatever source or sources obtained, into the reservoirs and subsurface pore spaces (as such terms are defined in Ch. 38-22 and Ch. 47-31 of the North Dakota Century Code), stratum or strata underlying the Leased Premises, together with the right to construct, replace, inspect, repair, monitor, maintain, relocate, change the size of, abandon in place any such pipelines, reservoirs, electric and telephone lines, roadways, underground equipment, surface facilities and equipment, buildings and structures Lessee determines reasonably necessary to carry out the purpose of this Lease.

6. Right of Ways. Lessor grants Lessee the rights of ingress and egress over the Leased Premises together with the right of way over, under and across the Leased Premises and the right from time to time to lay, maintain, replace repair, and remove roads, pipelines, tanks, fences, or other facilities and appurtenances on the Leased Premises for the purposes herein granted to Lessee. Lessee shall have the further right to fence the perimeter of any facility on the Leased Premises and sufficiently illuminate the site for the safety of operations. Lessee shall utilize "dark sky" lighting fixtures or shades so as to minimize or reduce night light pollution.

7. Lessee Obligations. Lessee shall have no obligation, express or implied, to begin, prosecute or continue storage operations in, upon or under the Leased Premises, or store and/or sell or use all or any portion of the gaseous substances stored thereon. The timing, nature, manner and extent of Lessee's operations, if any, under this Lease shall be at the sole discretion of Lessee. All obligations of Lessee are expressed herein, and there shall be no covenants implied under this Lease, it being agreed that all amounts paid hereunder constitute full and adequate consideration for this Lease.

8. Ownership. Lessee shall at all times be the owner of (i) the carbon dioxide and other gaseous substances stored in the reservoirs and subsurface pore spaces of the Leased Premises, and (ii) all equipment, buildings, structures, facilities and other property constructed or installed by Lessee on the Leased Premises. Lessee shall have the right, but not the obligation, at any time during this Lease to remove all or any portion of the property or fixtures placed by Lessee on the Lease Premises. Title to the storage facility and to the stored carbon dioxide or other gaseous substances shall be transferred to the State of North Dakota upon issuance of a certificate of project completion by the Commission in accordance with Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.

9. Surrender of Leased Premises. Lessee shall have the right at any time from time to time to execute and deliver to Lessor a surrender and/or release covering all or any part of the Leased Premises for which the subsurface pore space is not being utilized for storage as set forth herein, and upon delivery of such surrender and/or release to Lessor this Lease shall terminate as to such lands, and Lessee shall be released from all further obligations and duties as to the lands so surrendered and/or released, including, without limitation, any obligation to make payments provided for herein, except obligations accrued as of the date of the surrender and/or release.



10. Hold Harmless and Indemnification. The Lessee agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless Lessor from any claims by any person that are a direct result of the Lessee's use of the Leased Premises. Notwithstanding the foregoing, such indemnity/hold harmless obligation excludes (i) any claim or cause of action, or alleged or threatened claim or cause of action, damage, judgment, interest, penalty or other loss arising or resulting from the negligence or intentional acts of Lessor or Lessor's agents, invitees, or licensees; or third parties, and (ii) any claim for exemplary, punitive, special or consequential damages claimed by Lessor. Lessee further accepts liability and indemnifies Lessor for reasonable costs, expenses and attorneys' fees incurred in establishing and litigating the indemnification coverage provided above. The legal defense provided by Lessee to the Lessor under this paragraph must be free of any conflicts of interest even if this requires Lessee to retain separate legal counsel for Lessor.

11. Termination. A material violation or default of any terms of this Lease by Lessee shall be grounds for termination of the Lease. Lessor shall give Lessee written notice of violation or default and Lessee shall have sixty (60) days after receipt of said notice to substantially cure such violations or defaults. If Lessee fails to substantially cure such violations or defaults within the 60-day cure period, Lessor may terminate the Lease. Lessee may terminate the lease with thirty (30) days written notice to Lessor. Upon termination of this Lease, Lessee shall have one hundred eighty (180) days to remove all facilities and property of Lessee located on the Leased Premises.

12. Taxes. Lessee shall pay all taxes, if any, levied against its personal property or on its improvements to the Leased Premises. Lessor shall pay for all real estate taxes and other assessments levied upon the Leased Premises. Lessee shall have the right to pay all taxes, assessments and other fees on behalf of Lessor and to deduct the amount so paid from other payments due to Lessor hereunder.

13. Conduct of Operations. In conducting its operations hereunder, Lessee shall use its best efforts to comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations and ordinances pertaining thereto. Lessee reserves and shall have the right to challenge and/or appeal any law, ruling, regulation, order or other determination and to carry on its operations in accordance with Lessee's interpretation of the same, pending final determination.

14. Force Majeure. Should Lessee be prevented from complying with any express or implied covenant of this Lease, from utilizing the Lease Premises for underground storage purposes by reason of scarcity of or an inability to obtain or to use equipment or material or failure or breakdown of equipment, or by operation of force majeure, any federal or state law or any order, rule or regulation of governmental authority, then while so prevented, Lessee's obligation to comply with such covenant shall be suspended and this Lease shall be extended while and so long as Lessee is prevented by any such cause from utilizing the property for underground storage purposes and the time while Lessee is so prevented shall not be counted against Lessee, anything in this Lease to the contrary notwithstanding.

15. Surface Damage Compensation Act. The annual rental amounts and any and all other compensation contemplated and paid to Lessor hereunder is compensation for, among other things, damages sustained by Lessor for the lost use of and access to Lessor's land, pore space (to the extent required under North Dakota law), and any other damages which are contemplated under Ch. 38-11.1 of the North Dakota Century Code. Lessor agrees that such compensation is just and adequate for any and all damages contemplated under said Chapter 38-11.1 and all other damages which Lessor may sustain as a result of Lessee's use of the property for its storage operations.

16. Warranty of Title. Lessor represents and warrants to Lessee that Lessor is the owner of the surface of the Leased Premises. Lessor hereby warrants and agrees to defend title to the Leased Premises and Lessor hereby agrees that Lessee, at its option, shall have the right to discharge any tax, mortgage, or other lien upon the

Leased Premises, and in the event Lessee does so, Lessee shall be subrogated to such lien with the right to enforce the same and apply annual rental payments or any other such payments due to Lessor toward satisfying the same.

17. Assignment. The rights of either Party hereto may be assigned in whole or part. The assigning party shall provide written notice of any assignment within sixty (60) days after such assignment has become effective; *provided, however*, that an assigning party's failure to deliver written notice of assignment within such 60-day period shall not be deemed a breach of this Lease unless such failure is willful and intentional.

18. Change of Ownership. No change of ownership in the Leased Premises shall be binding on the Lessee for purpose of making payments to Lessor hereunder until the date Lessor, or Lessor's successors or assigns, furnishes Lessee the recorded original or a certified copy of the instrument evidencing the change in ownership.

19. Notices. All notices required to be given under this Lease shall be in writing and addressed to the respective Party at the addresses set forth at the beginning of this Lease unless otherwise directed by either Party.

20. No Waiver. The failure of either Party to insist in any one or more instances upon strict performance of any of the provisions of this Lease or to take advantage of any of its rights hereunder shall not be construed as a waiver of any such provision or the relinquishment of any such rights, but the same shall continue and remain in full force and effect.

21. Notice of Lease. This Lease shall not be recorded in the real property records. Lessee shall cause a memorandum of this Lease to be recorded in the real property records of the county in which the Leased Premises are situated. A recorded copy of said memorandum shall be furnished to Lessor within thirty (30) days of recording.

22. Counterparts. This Lease may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which, when executed and delivered, shall be an original, but all of which shall collectively constitute one and the same instrument.

23. Severability. If any provision of this Lease is found to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, such provision shall be deemed to be severed from this Agreement, and the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions contained herein shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.

24. Governing Law. This Lease shall be governed by, construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of North Dakota and the Parties hereby submit to the jurisdiction of the state or federal courts located in Bismarck, North Dakota.

25. Entire Agreement. This Lease constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and supersedes all prior negotiations, undertakings, notices, memoranda and agreement between the Parties, whether oral or written, with respect to the subject matter hereof. This Lease may only be amended or modified by a written agreement duly executed by Lessor and Lessee.

*[Remainder of page intentionally left blank. Signature page follows.]*

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Lease effective for all purposes as of the date first set forth above.

**LESSOR:**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Print: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Print: \_\_\_\_\_

**LESSEE:**

RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Print: \_\_\_\_\_  
Its: \_\_\_\_\_

**EXHIBIT B**

Summary of Surface Owners Who Have Ratified

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

<u>Tract</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Land Description</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Tract Net</u> <u>Acres</u>	<u>Tract Participation</u>	<u>Storage Facility</u> <u>Participation</u>	<u>Acreage</u> <u>Leased (Y/N)</u>	<u>RATIFIED</u>
1	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000 <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	Y	
2	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	40.000 <b>40.000</b>	100.00000000%	1.14942529%	Y	1.14942529%
3	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	120.000 <b>120.000</b>	100.00000000%	3.44827586%	Y	3.44827586%
4	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	150.060 <b>150.060</b>	100.00000000%	4.31206897%	Y	4.31206897%
5	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	299.078 <b>299.078</b>	100.00000000%	8.59419540%	Y	8.59419540%
6	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	55.500 <b>55.500</b>	100.00000000%	1.59482759%	Y	1.59482759%
7	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer <b>Tract Total:</b>	64.500 <b>64.500</b>	100.00000000%	1.85344828%	Y	1.85344828%
8	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Barbara Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	113.314 <b>113.314</b>	100.00000000%	3.25614943%	Y	
9	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP <b>Tract Total:</b>	17.878 <b>17.878</b>	100.00000000%	0.51373563%	Y	
10	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP <b>Tract Total:</b>	77.850 <b>77.850</b>	100.00000000%	2.23706897%	Y	

11	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Richard L. Hauck Linda Hauck <b>Tract Total:</b>	10.120 <b>10.120</b>	100.00000000%	0.29080460%	N	
12	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	68.750 <b>68.750</b>	100.00000000%	1.97557471%	Y	
13	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP <b>Tract Total:</b>	143.800 <b>143.800</b>	100.00000000%	4.13218391%	Y	
14	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Kevin Frederick <b>Tract Total:</b>	15.000 <b>15.000</b>	100.00000000%	0.43103448%	N	
15	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher <b>Tract Total:</b>	65.000 <b>65.000</b>	100.00000000%	1.86781609%	Y	1.86781609%
16	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher <b>Tract Total:</b>	40.959 <b>40.959</b>	100.00000000%	1.17698276%	Y	1.17698276%
17	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher <b>Tract Total:</b>	18.658 <b>18.658</b>	100.00000000%	0.53614943%	Y	0.53614943%
18	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher <b>Tract Total:</b>	88.223 <b>88.223</b>	100.00000000%	2.53514368%	Y	2.53514368%
19	Section 14-T139N-R92W	Dwight Schank <b>Tract Total:</b>	607.120 <b>607.120</b>	100.00000000%	17.44597701%	Y	17.44597701%
20	Section 15-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer <b>Tract Total:</b>	640.000 <b>640.000</b>	100.00000000%	18.39080460%	Y	18.39080460%
21	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Messmer Farms LLP <b>Tract Total:</b>	80.000 <b>80.000</b>	100.00000000%	2.29885057%	Y	
22	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Jeffrey R. Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000 <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	Y	
23	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Lori Linder <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000 <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	N	
24	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000 <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	Y	4.59770115%
25	Sections 10,11,13 & 14-T139N-R92W	BNSF Railway Company <b>Tract Total:</b>	124.190 <b>124.190</b>	100.00000000%	3.56867816%	N	
<b>Total Acres:</b>			<b>3480.000</b>	<b>Total Participation:</b>	<b>100.00000000%</b>	<b>91.11178161%</b>	<b>67.50281609%</b>

**RATIFICATION AND JOINDER OF GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

In consideration of the execution of the Geologic Storage Agreement, Broom Creek Formation, Stark County, North Dakota, dated August 1, 2021 ("Storage Agreement"), the undersigned (whether one or more) hereby expressly joins said Storage Agreement and ratifies, consents and agrees to the terms of said Storage Agreement as fully as though the undersigned had executed the original instrument, as the same is finally approved by order of the North Dakota Industrial Commission.


This Ratification and Joinder shall be effective as to the undersigned's Pore Space Interest and any other interest necessary for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in and under lands within the Storage Facility in which the undersigned has a Pore Space Interest.

This Ratification and Joinder shall be binding upon the undersigned, his, her, or its heirs, devisees, assigns, or successors in interest. All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Storage Agreement, a copy of which has been made available to the undersigned.

EXECUTED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021.

Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff  
3729 86th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

By: \_\_\_\_\_



**PLEASE RETURN ONE (1) EXECUTED COPY TO**

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Hwy 8 S.  
Richardton, ND 58652

**RATIFICATION AND JOINDER OF GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

In consideration of the execution of the Geologic Storage Agreement, Broom Creek Formation, Stark County, North Dakota, dated August 1, 2021 ("Storage Agreement"), the undersigned (whether one or more) hereby expressly joins said Storage Agreement and ratifies, consents and agrees to the terms of said Storage Agreement as fully as though the undersigned had executed the original instrument, as the same is finally approved by order of the North Dakota Industrial Commission.


This Ratification and Joinder shall be effective as to the undersigned's Pore Space Interest and any other interest necessary for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in and under lands within the Storage Facility in which the undersigned has a Pore Space Interest.

This Ratification and Joinder shall be binding upon the undersigned, his, her, or its heirs, devisees, assigns, or successors in interest. All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Storage Agreement, a copy of which has been made available to the undersigned.

EXECUTED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021.

Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
3713 36th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

By:



**PLEASE RETURN ONE (1) EXECUTED COPY TO:**

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Hwy 8 S.  
Richardton, ND 58652

**RATIFICATION AND JOINDER OF GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

In consideration of the execution of the Geologic Storage Agreement, Broom Creek Formation, Stark County, North Dakota, dated August 1, 2021 ("Storage Agreement"), the undersigned (whether one or more) hereby expressly joins said Storage Agreement and ratifies, consents and agrees to the terms of said Storage Agreement as fully as though the undersigned had executed the original instrument, as the same is finally approved by order of the North Dakota Industrial Commission.

This Ratification and Joinder shall be effective as to the undersigned's Pore Space Interest and any other interest necessary for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in and under lands within the Storage Facility in which the undersigned has a Pore Space Interest.

This Ratification and Joinder shall be binding upon the undersigned, his, her, or its heirs, devisees, assigns, or successors in interest. All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Storage Agreement, a copy of which has been made available to the undersigned.

EXECUTED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021.

Karen L. Messmer  
1990 Mesquite Lp  
Bismarck ND 58503

By: \_\_\_\_\_

*Karen Messmer*

**PLEASE RETURN ONE (1) EXECUTED COPY TO:**

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Hwy 8 S.  
Richardton, ND 58652



**RATIFICATION AND JOINDER OF GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

In consideration of the execution of the Geologic Storage Agreement, Broom Creek Formation, Stark County, North Dakota, dated August 1, 2021 ("Storage Agreement"), the undersigned (whether one or more) hereby expressly joins said Storage Agreement and ratifies, consents and agrees to the terms of said Storage Agreement as fully as though the undersigned had executed the original instrument, as the same is finally approved by order of the North Dakota Industrial Commission.


This Ratification and Joinder shall be effective as to the undersigned's Pore Space Interest and any other interest necessary for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in and under lands within the Storage Facility in which the undersigned has a Pore Space Interest.

This Ratification and Joinder shall be binding upon the undersigned, his, her, or its heirs, devisees, assigns, or successors in interest. All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Storage Agreement, a copy of which has been made available to the undersigned.

EXECUTED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021.

Craig S. Fisher  
8330 39th St. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

By: \_\_\_\_\_



**PLEASE RETURN ONE (1) EXECUTED COPY TO:**

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Hwy 8 S.  
Richardton, ND 58652

**RATIFICATION AND JOINDER OF GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

In consideration of the execution of the Geologic Storage Agreement, Broom Creek Formation, Stark County, North Dakota, dated August 1, 2021 ("Storage Agreement"), the undersigned (whether one or more) hereby expressly joins said Storage Agreement and ratifies, consents and agrees to the terms of said Storage Agreement as fully as though the undersigned had executed the original instrument, as the same is finally approved by order of the North Dakota Industrial Commission.

This Ratification and Joinder shall be effective as to the undersigned's Pore Space Interest and any other interest necessary for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in and under lands within the Storage Facility in which the undersigned has a Pore Space Interest.

This Ratification and Joinder shall be binding upon the undersigned, his, her, or its heirs, devisees, assigns, or successors in interest. All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Storage Agreement, a copy of which has been made available to the undersigned.

EXECUTED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021.

Sheldon Fisher  
8330 39th St SW  
Richardton ND 58652

By: \_\_\_\_\_

*Ray S. Fink* *POA*

**PLEASE RETURN ONE (1) EXECUTED COPY TO:**

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Hwy 8 S.  
Richardton, ND 58652

**RATIFICATION AND JOINDER OF GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

In consideration of the execution of the Geologic Storage Agreement, Broom Creek Formation, Stark County, North Dakota, dated August 1, 2021 ("Storage Agreement"), the undersigned (whether one or more) hereby expressly joins said Storage Agreement and ratifies, consents and agrees to the terms of said Storage Agreement as fully as though the undersigned had executed the original instrument, as the same is finally approved by order of the North Dakota Industrial Commission.


This Ratification and Joinder shall be effective as to the undersigned's Pore Space Interest and any other interest necessary for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in and under lands within the Storage Facility in which the undersigned has a Pore Space Interest.

This Ratification and Joinder shall be binding upon the undersigned, his, her, or its heirs, devisees, assigns, or successors in interest. All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Storage Agreement, a copy of which has been made available to the undersigned.

EXECUTED this 15 day of October, 2021.

Dwight F. Schank  
868 17th ST E  
Dickinson, ND 58601-3458

By:



**PLEASE RETURN ONE (1) EXECUTED COPY TO:**

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Hwy 8 S.  
Richardton, ND 58652

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Fried, Stephen J.  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 23, 2021 8:34 AM  
**To:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL]-Case No. 28849 Clarification  
**Attachments:** RTE-SFP-Supplemental\_Sep21.pdf

Case # 28848 and 28850 supplementals attached.

Stephen Fried  
*Geologist*

---

**From:** Connors, Kevin <kconnors@undeerc.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 22, 2021 8:30 PM  
**To:** Fried, Stephen J. <sjfried@nd.gov>; Dustin Willett <dustin@redtrailenergy.com>  
**Cc:** Lawrence Bender (lbender@fredlaw.com) <lbender@fredlaw.com>; Leroux, Kerryanne <kleroux@undeerc.org>  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL]-Case No. 28849 Clarification

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Stephen,

Please find attached the supplemental information requested below. I have also included information on the financial strength of Ascot Specialty Insurance Company, the insurance provider for the policy that covers the emergency and remedial response and the postinjection site care and facility closure.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks  
Kevin

Kevin Connors  
Principal Policy & Regulatory Strategist, EERC

---

**From:** Fried, Stephen J. <[sjfried@nd.gov](mailto:sjfried@nd.gov)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 8, 2021 9:46 AM  
**To:** Connors, Kevin <[kconnors@undeerc.org](mailto:kconnors@undeerc.org)>; Dustin Willett <[dustin@redtrailenergy.com](mailto:dustin@redtrailenergy.com)>  
**Cc:** Lawrence Bender ([lbender@fredlaw.com](mailto:lbender@fredlaw.com)) <[lbender@fredlaw.com](mailto:lbender@fredlaw.com)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL]-Case No. 28849 Clarification

**CAUTION:** This message originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Kevin or Dustin,

I'm looking for clarification on the planned amount of bonding to be posted for the plugging of wells.

Table 4-5 on page 4-13 estimates the cost of plugging to be \$220,000.

The “Supporting Information – Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan” document, page 1, estimates the cost of plugging each well to be \$35,000 - \$60,000 with an expected value of \$40,000.

Thank you,

Stephen Fried

*Geologist*

701.328.8020 (o) • [sjfried@nd.gov](mailto:sjfried@nd.gov) • [www.dmr.nd.gov](http://www.dmr.nd.gov)



§ 43-05-01-09.1). The facility name, facility contact, and injection well location are provided below:

Facility Name: RTE Ethanol Facility  
Facility Contact: Dustin Willett  
Injection Well Location: RTE-10 (NDIC File No. 37229) SE/SE of Section 10, T139N, R92W (-102.226022, 46.864092)

RTE is providing financial responsibility pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-09.1 using the following financial instruments:

- RTE has established a surety bond to cover the costs of 1) corrective action in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-05.1 and 2) plugging of 4-13 injection wells in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5).
- A third-party pollution liability insurance policy with an aggregate limit of \$20,000,000 to cover the costs of 1) implementing postinjection site care and facility closure activities in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-19 and 2) implementing emergency and remedial response actions, if warranted, in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-13.

The estimated costs of these activities are presented in Table 4-5.

**Table 4-5. Cost Estimates for Activities to Be Covered**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Estimated Total Cost (millions of dollars)</b>
Corrective Action on Wells in the AoR	0
Plugging of Injection and Monitoring Wells	0.25
Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure	1.73
Emergency and Remedial Response (including endangerment to USDWs)	16.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>17.98</b>

The surety bond, which will identify RTE as the principal on the bond, will be provided by International Fidelity Insurance Company. International Fidelity Insurance Company meets all of the following criteria:

1. The surety company is authorized to transact business in North Dakota.
2. The surety company has either passed the specified financial strength requirements based on credit ratings or has met a minimum rating, minimum capitalization, and ability to pass the bond rating, when applicable.
3. The surety bond can be maintained until such time that the Commission determines that the storage operator has fulfilled its financial obligations.

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION – FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY DEMONSTRATION PLAN**

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Pursuant to the North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) Section 43-05-01-09.1, the storage facility permit application must demonstrate that a financial instrument is in place that is sufficient to cover the costs associated with the following actions:

- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-05.1, corrective action on all active and abandoned wells, which are within the area of review (AOR) and penetrate the confining zone, that have the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water through the subsurface movement of the injected carbon dioxide or other fluids.
- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.5, plugging of injection wells.
- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-19, implementation of postinjection site care (PISC) and facility closure activities, which includes the 10-year PISC monitoring program.
- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-13, implementation of emergency and remedial response actions.

This supporting information for the Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan provides the details for the cost estimates for each of the above actions based on the information that is provided in the storage facility permit application.

### **2.0 FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY COST ESTIMATES**

#### **2.1 Corrective Action**

Approach: 1) delineate AOR, 2) identify and evaluate active and abandoned legacy wells within AOR, and 3) remediate legacy wells identified as potential leakage pathways from \$300K to \$500K per well. [No corrective action necessary at time of permitting.](#)

#### **2.2 Plugging of Injection Wells**

Approach: assume plugging of one Class VI injection well and one Class VI-compliant monitoring well from \$35K to \$60K per well, with an expected value of \$50K. [Wellsite reclamation costs estimate at \\$75K, with a total well plugging and site reclamation cost of \\$125K.](#)

#### **2.3 Implementation of Postinjection Site Care (PISC) and Facility Closure Activities**

The estimated costs of \$1.73 million for implementing PISC as described in the postinjection site care and facility closure plan is provided in Table 2-1 which includes the following: a) near-surface monitoring (e.g., soil gas, shallow groundwater, and Fox Hills Formation Aquifer); b) formation monitoring (e.g., injection well annulus pressure, packer fluid levels, downhole pressure and temperature profiles, pulse neutron logs, ultrasonic logs, and mechanical integrity well tests); and c) coordinated repeat 3D seismic, 3D borehole seismic (vertical seismic), and gravity tests and 2) estimate cost of site closure activities, which has been estimated at \$100K based on the integrated environmental control.



A.M. Best has assigned Ascot Bermuda a Financial Strength Rating of A (Excellent) and a Long-Term Credit Rating of 'A' with a Financial Size Category of Class XIV (\$1.5 Billion to \$2 Billion). The outlook of these Credit Ratings is positive.

---

## S&P Global Ratings

S&P has assigned Ascot Group Limited a long term issuer credit rating of 'BBB'. The outlook for this rating is stable.



# Ascot Specialty Insurance Company

**AMB #: 011545    NAIC #: 45055    FEIN #: 050420799**

## Administrative Office

55 West 46th Street  
New York, New York 10036  
United States

**Web:** [www.ascotgroup.com](http://www.ascotgroup.com)

**Phone:** 646-356-8101

[View Additional Address Information](#)

**AM Best Rating Unit:** AMB #: 046638 - Ascot Group Limited

Assigned to insurance companies that have, in our opinion, an excellent ability to meet their ongoing insurance obligations.



[View additional news, reports and products for this company.](#)

Based on AM Best's analysis, 054092 - Canada Pension Plan Investment Board is the **AMB Ultimate Parent** and identifies the topmost entity of the corporate structure. [View a list of operating insurance entities in this structure.](#)

## Best's Credit Ratings

### Financial Strength View Definition

**Rating (Rating Category):** A (Excellent)

**Affiliation Code:** g (Group)

**Outlook (or Implication):** Stable

**Action:** Affirmed

**Effective Date:** September 17, 2021

**Initial Rating Date:** December 20, 2018

**Long-Term Issuer Credit** View Definition**Rating (Rating Category):** a+ (Excellent)**Outlook (or Implication):** Stable**Action:** Upgraded**Effective Date:** September 17, 2021**Initial Rating Date:** December 20, 2018**Financial Size Category** View Definition**Financial Size Category:** XIV (\$1.5 Billion to \$2 Billion)

u Denotes Under Review Best's Rating

**Best's Credit Rating Analyst****Rating Office:** A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc.**Financial Analyst:** Billiah Moturi**Director:** Jennifer Marshall, CPCU, ARM*Note: See the Disclosure information Form or Press Release below for the office and analyst at the time of the rating event.***Note:** Credit Ratings on this company are European Union Endorsed**Disclosure Information****Disclosure Information Form**[View AM Best's Rating Disclosure Form](#)**Press Release**[AM Best Upgrades Issuer Credit Ratings of Ascot Group Limited's Operating Subsidiaries  
September 17, 2021](#)[View AM Best's Rating Review Form](#)**Rating History**

AM Best has provided ratings & analysis on this company since 2018.

#### Financial Strength Rating

Effective Date	Rating
9/17/2021	A
9/4/2020	A
8/29/2019	A
12/20/2018	A

#### Long-Term Issuer Credit Rating

Effective Date	Rating
9/17/2021	a+
9/4/2020	a
8/29/2019	a
12/20/2018	a

#### Best's Credit & Financial Reports



Best's Credit Report - financial data included in Best's Credit Report reflects the data used in determining the current credit rating(s) for AM Best Rating Unit: AMB #: 046638 - Ascot Group Limited.



Best's Credit Report - Archive - reports which were released prior to the current Best's Credit Report.



Best's Financial Report - financial data included in Best's Financial Report reflects the most current data available to AM Best, including updated financial exhibits and additional company information, and is available to subscribers of Best's Insurance Reports.

View additional news, reports and products for this company.

## Press Releases

<u>Date</u>	<u>Title</u>
Sep 17, 2021	AM Best Upgrades Issuer Credit Ratings of Ascot Group Limited's Operating Subsidiaries
Dec 15, 2020	AM Best Assigns Issue Credit Rating to Ascot Group Limited's Senior Unsecured Notes
Sep 04, 2020	AM Best Revises Issuer Credit Rating Outlooks to Positive and Affirms Ratings of Ascot Group Limited's Operating Subsidiaries
Aug 29, 2019	AM Best Affirms Credit Ratings of Ascot Group Limited's Operating Subsidiaries
Dec 20, 2018	AM Best Assigns Credit Ratings to Ascot Insurance Company and Ascot Specialty Insurance Company

## Find a Best's Credit Rating


[Advanced Search](#)

How to Get a  
Best's Credit Rating



Best's Credit Ratings  
Mobile App



### European Union Disclosures

A.M. Best (EU) Rating Services B.V. (AMB-EU), a subsidiary of A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc., is an External Credit Assessment Institution (ECAI) in the EU. Therefore, credit ratings issued and endorsed by AMB-EU may be used for regulatory purposes in the EU as per Directive 2013/36/EU.

### United Kingdom Disclosures

A.M. Best – Europe Rating Services Limited (AMBERS), a subsidiary of A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc., is an External Credit Assessment Institution (ECAI) in the United Kingdom (UK). Therefore, Credit Ratings issued and endorsed by AMBERS may be used for regulatory purposes in the United Kingdom as per the Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment, etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019.

**Australian Disclosures**

A.M. Best Asia-Pacific Limited (AMBAP), Australian Registered Body Number (ARBN No.150375287), is a limited liability company incorporated and domiciled in Hong Kong. AMBAP is a wholesale Australian Financial Services (AFS) Licence holder (AFS No. 411055) under the Corporations Act 2001. Credit ratings emanating from AMBAP are not intended for and must not be distributed to any person in Australia other than a wholesale client as defined in Chapter 7 of the Corporations Act. AMBAP does not authorize its Credit Ratings to be disseminated by a third-party in a manner that could reasonably be regarded as being intended to influence a retail client in making a decision in relation to a particular product or class of financial product. AMBAP Credit Ratings are intended for wholesale clients only, as defined.

Credit Ratings determined and disseminated by AMBAP are the opinion of AMBAP only and not any specific credit analyst. AMBAP Credit Ratings are statements of opinion and not statements of fact. They are not recommendations to buy, hold or sell any securities or any other form of financial product, including insurance policies and are not a recommendation to be used to make investment /purchasing decisions.

**Important Notice:** AM Best's Credit Ratings are independent and objective opinions, not statements of fact. AM Best is not an Investment Advisor, does not offer investment advice of any kind, nor does the company or its Ratings Analysts offer any form of structuring or financial advice. AM Best's credit opinions are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities, or to make any other investment decisions. For additional information regarding the use and limitations of credit rating opinions, as well as the rating process, information requirements and other rating related terms and definitions, please view Guide to Best's Credit Ratings.

---

[About Us](#) | [Careers](#) | [Contact](#) | [Events](#) | [Offices](#) | [Press Releases](#) | [Social Media](#) | [Cookie Notice](#) | [Legal & Licensing](#)  
| [Privacy Notice](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Terms of Use](#)

[Regulatory Affairs](#) - [Form NRSRO](#) - [Code of Conduct](#) - [Rating Methodology](#) - [Historical Performance Data](#)

Copyright © 2021 A.M. Best Company, Inc. and/or its affiliates ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---


**From:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn <lodden@fredlaw.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 4:42 PM  
**To:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Cc:** Bohrer, Mark F.; Day, Ashleigh M.; Bender, Lawrence; Forsberg, Sara  
**Subject:** Red Trail filing - Core Analysis Data Package  
**Attachments:** B Hicks letter.pdf

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Bethany,

As indicated in the attached letter, the following Dropbox contains files for Red Trail records for filing.

- Link to view files: [REDACTED]
  - Password to view files: [REDACTED]
- Link to upload files: [REDACTED]



*\*\*This is a transmission from the law firm of Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately at our telephone number (701) 221-8700. The name and biographical data provided above are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be a signature or other indication of an intent by the sender to authenticate the contents of this electronic message.\*\**

September 15, 2021

VIA EMAIL

Mr. Bruce Hicks  
Assistant Director  
NDIC, Oil and Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard  
Bismarck, ND 58505-0310

**RE: NDIC CASE NOS. 28848, 28849, AND  
28850  
RED TRAIL ENERGY LLC**

Dear Mr. Hicks:

A Dropbox is included in the email forwarding this letter which contains a link to view files and a link to upload those files to supplement the record in the captioned matters.

Should you have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,

  
LAWRENCE BENDER

LB/leo

cc: Mr. Mark Bohrer *Via Email*  
Ms. Ashleigh Day *Via Email*  
Mr. Dustin Willett *Via Email*  
Mr. Gerald Bachmeier *Via Email*

73923186.1

Attorneys & Advisors  
main 701.221.8700  
fax 701.221.8750  
fredlaw.com

Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.  
1133 College Drive, Suite 1000  
Bismarck, North Dakota  
58501-1215

September 15, 2021 filings available upon request.



## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn <lodden@fredlaw.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 3:27 PM  
**To:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Cc:** Fried, Stephen J.  
**Subject:** Red Trail - Cases 28848, 28849 and 28850 - Supplemental Documents for Filing  
**Attachments:** B Hicks ltr filing supplemental RTE.pdf

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Bethany,

As indicated in the attached letter, below is a Dropbox which contains the revisions and/or files requested at hearing for the captioned matters on August 12, 2021:

- **Link to view:** [REDACTED]
- **PW:** [REDACTED]



*\*\*This is a transmission from the law firm of Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately at our telephone number (701) 221-8700. The name and biographical data provided above are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be a signature or other indication of an intent by the sender to authenticate the contents of this electronic message.\*\**

August 26, 2021

VIA EMAIL

Mr. Bruce Hicks  
Assistant Director  
NDIC, Oil and Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard  
Bismarck, ND 58505

**RE: Case Nos. 28848, 28849, 28850  
Red Trail Energy LLC**

Dear Bruce:


As was requested at the hearing held for the captioned matter on August 12, 2021, the email forwarding this letter has a link to a Dropbox which contains the following materials to supplement the record in the captioned matters:

- 1) RTE-SFP-Supplemental\_Aug21.pdf
- 2) RTE-SFP-DemonstrationPlanInfo\_Aug21.pdf; and
- 3) RTE-10.2 USIT Results folder.

If you would like our office to print copies of the afore-mentioned, please advise.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please advise.

Sincerely,



LAWRENCE BENDER

LB/leo

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Dustin Willett – (w/enc.) *Via Email*  
Mr. Gerald Bachmeier – (w/enc.) *Via Email*

73746891.1

Attorneys & Advisors  
main 701.221.8700  
fax 701.221.8750  
fredlaw.com

Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.  
1133 College Drive, Suite 1000  
Bismarck, North Dakota  
58501-1215

## 2.4 Confining Zones

The confining zones for the Broom Creek Formation are the overlying Opeche Formation and underlying Amsden Formation (Figure 2-2, Table 2-10). Both the Amsden and the Opeche Formations consist of impermeable rock layers.

**Table 2-10. Properties of Upper and Lower Confining Zones**

Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone
Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden
Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677
Thickness, ft	103	329
Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24)*
Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8
Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4307	4708


\* Porosity values are reported as the arithmetic mean followed by the range of values in parenthesis.

\*\* Permeability values are reported as the geometric mean followed by the range of values in parenthesis.


### 2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone

In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area (Figures 2-22 and 2-23) and is 6276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10 and Figure 2-24a). The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation's extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact (Figure 2-25).


This document includes the requested supplemental thickness maps for the Opeche Formation. Figure 2-24a displays the estimated thickness of the Opeche Formation in the RTE project area. The interpolated Opeche Formation surface used to generate this map was based on formation top data (NDIC and site-specific), while the Broom Creek Formation horizon was based upon seismic data and formation top data. Figure 2-24b illustrates the thickness of the Opeche Formation using only interpreted seismic horizons. Convergent interpolation with Schlumberger's Petrel software was used to interpolate the surfaces used in Figures 2-24a and 2-24b.



**Figure 2-24a.** Thickness map of the Opeche Formation in the RTE project area. Estimated thickness for each well is shown in blue text.




**Figure 2-24b.** Thickness map of the Opeche Formation in the RTE area. Thicknesses were calculated using interpreted seismic horizons.



**Figure 2-35a.** Examples of the interpreted FMI log for the RTE-10 well. Two examples show the traces of features observed and their interpreted feature type. This example shows the common feature types seen in the Opeche FMI borehole image analysis.





**Figure 2-35b.** Examples of the interpreted FMI log for the RTE-10 well. Two examples show the traces of features observed and their interpreted feature type. This example shows the common feature types seen in the Opeche FMI borehole image analysis.




Figure 2-37. Interpreted FMI log through the lower Opeche Formation.

electrically resistive features likely due to the presence of anhydrite-filled fractures. Toward the upper portion of the formation, fractures are fewer in number but are still found to be electrically resistive. The diagrams shown in Figures 2-38 and 2-39 provide the orientation of the electrically conductive and resistive fractures in the Opeche Formation. As shown, the electrically conductive fractures are fewer in number and are mainly oriented NW–SE. On the other hand, the resistive fractures have no preferred orientation.



The logged interval of the Amsden shows that the main features present are stylolite–tension pairs, an indication that the formation has undergone a reduction in porosity in response to postdepositional stress. Two zones at 6,743 and 6,762 ft, respectively, show some evidence of resistive fractures (Figure 2-40). Core was not retrieved from this depth. The interpretation of this logged interval supports the core-based and thin-section descriptions, suggesting these features are anhydrite-filled. The rose diagrams shown in Figures 2-41 and 2-42 provide the orientation of the conductive and resistive features in the Amsden Formation. As shown, only one electrically conductive feature was picked in the Amsden interval and is oriented NE–SW. Some electrically resistive features are present and oriented N–S, NE–SW, and E–W, respectively. Drilling-induced fractures were identified mainly in the Amsden Formation and are oriented NE–SW (Figure 2-43), parallel to the maximum horizontal stress ( $SH_{max}$ ).





Figure 2-40. Interpreted FMI log through the upper Amsden Formation.



**Figure 3-3.** AoR map in relation to nearby legacy wells and groundwater wells. Shown are the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and 1-mile AoR (dotted black boundary). All groundwater wells and springs in the AoR are identified above.

### Potential Project Emergency Events and Their Detection

The SLRA for the project developed a list of potential technical project risks (i.e., a risk register) which were placed into the following five technical risk categories:

- CO<sub>2</sub> supply, injectivity, and storage capacity
- Containment – lateral migration of CO<sub>2</sub> or formation fluid
- Containment – propagation of subsurface pressure plume
- Containment – vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> or formation water brine via injection wells, plugged and abandoned wells, monitoring wells, or faults/fractures
- Induced seismicity

Based on a review of these technical risk categories of the SLRA, a list of geologic storage project events that could potentially result in the movement of injection fluid or formation fluid in a manner that may endanger a USDW and require an emergency response was developed for inclusion in this ERRP. These events and means for their detection are provided in Table 4-3.

**Table 4-3. Potential Project Emergency Events and Their Detection**

Potential Emergency Events	Detection of Emergency Events
Failure of <b>Underground</b> CO <sub>2</sub> Flow Line from CO <sub>2</sub> Capture System of RTE to CO <sub>2</sub> Injection Wellhead	Distributed temperature sensing (DTS)/distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) fiber optic cable detects a release of CO <sub>2</sub> from the CO <sub>2</sub> flow line.  <b>Frozen ground at leak site may be observed.</b>  <b>CO<sub>2</sub> monitors located in the enclosed wellhead building detects realase of CO<sub>2</sub> from the flow line connection and/or wellhead.</b>
Integrity Failure of Injection or Monitoring Well	Pressure monitoring reveals wellhead pressure exceeds the shutdown pressure specified in the permit.  Annulus pressure indicates a loss of external or internal well containment.  Mechanical integrity test results identify a loss of mechanical integrity.
Injection Well-Monitoring Equipment Failure	Failure of monitoring equipment for wellhead pressure, temperature, and/or annulus pressure is detected.
Storage Reservoir Unable to Contain the Formation Fluid or Stored CO <sub>2</sub>	Elevated concentrations of indicator parameter(s) in soil gas, groundwater, and/or surface water sample(s) are detected.
Induced Seismic Event	Seismic readings are recorded in excess of predefined limits.

In addition to these technical project risks, the occurrence of a natural disaster (e.g., naturally occurring earthquakes, tornado, lightning strike, etc.) also represents an event for which an emergency response action may be warranted. For example, an earthquake or weather-related disasters (e.g., tornado or lightning strike) have the potential to result in injection well problems (integrity loss, leakage, or malfunction) and may also disrupt surface and subsurface storage operations.

#### **4.1.4 Emergency Response Actions**

The response actions that will be taken to address the events listed in Table 4-3, as well as the natural disasters, will follow the same protocol. This protocol consists of the following actions:

- The RTE incident commander (see Section 4.1.6, Emergency Communications Plan) will be notified and, within 24 hours of that notification, make an initial assessment of the severity of the event (i.e., does it represent an emergency event).
- If designated as an emergency event, the RTE incident commander or designee shall notify the NDIC Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program director pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-13 and implement the emergency communications plan.
- Following these actions, RTE will:
  1. Initiate a project shutdown plan (RTE may immediately cease CO<sub>2</sub> injection. However, in some circumstances, RTE may, in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director, determine whether gradual or temporary cessation of injection is more appropriate).
  2. Shut in the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well (close flow valve).
  3. Vent CO<sub>2</sub> from surface facilities.
  4. Limit access to the wellhead to authorized personnel only.
  5. If warranted, initiate the evacuation of the plant in accordance with the RTE action plan and communicate with local emergency authorities (e.g., Stark County) to initiate evacuation plans of nearby residents.
  6. Perform the necessary actions to determine the cause of the event and, in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director, identify and implement appropriate emergency response actions (see Table 4-4 for details regarding the specific actions that will be taken to determine the cause and, if required, mitigation of each of the events listed in Table 4-3).

**Table 4-4. Actions Necessary to Determine Cause of Events and Appropriate Emergency Response Actions**

Failure of <b>Underground</b> CO <sub>2</sub> Flow Line from the CO <sub>2</sub> Capture System of RTE to CO <sub>2</sub> Injection Wellhead	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The CO<sub>2</sub> release and its location will be detected by the DAS/DTS fiber optic <b>cable and/or CO<sub>2</sub> wellhead monitors</b>, which will trigger an alarm and result in the automatic shutdown of the flow line.</li> <li>• If warranted, initiate an evacuation plan in tandem with an appropriate workspace and/or ambient air-monitoring program at the plant boundary to monitor the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and its natural dispersion following the shutdown of the flow line using practices similar to those used to develop the RTE risk management plan.</li> <li>• The pipeline failure will be inspected to determine the root cause of the flow line failure.</li> <li>• Repair/replace the damaged flow line, and if warranted, put in place the measures necessary to eliminate such events in the future.</li> </ul>
Integrity Failure of Injection or Monitoring Well	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure to verify integrity loss and determine the cause and extent of failure.</li> <li>• Identify and implement appropriate remedial actions to repair damage to the well (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director).</li> <li>• If subsurface impacts are detected, implement appropriate site investigation activities to determine the nature and extent of these impacts.</li> <li>• If warranted based on the site investigations, implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director).</li> </ul>
Injection Well-Monitoring Equipment Failure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure (manually if necessary) to determine the cause and extent of failure.</li> <li>• Identify and, if necessary, implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director).</li> </ul>

Continued . . .

§ 43-05-01-09.1). The facility name, facility contact, and injection well location are provided below:

Facility Name: RTE Ethanol Facility  
Facility Contact: Dustin Willett  
Injection Well Location: RTE-10 (NDIC File No. 37229) SE/SE of Section 10, T139N, R92W (-102.226022, 46.864092)

RTE is providing financial responsibility pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-09.1 using the following financial instruments:

- RTE **has established** a surety bond to cover the costs of 1) corrective action in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-05.1 and 2) plugging of 4-13 injection wells in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5).
- A third-party pollution liability insurance policy with an aggregate limit of \$20,000,000 to cover the costs of 1) implementing postinjection site care and facility closure activities in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-19 and 2) implementing emergency and remedial response actions, if warranted, in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-13.

The estimated costs of these activities are presented in Table 4-5.

**Table 4-5. Cost Estimates for Activities to Be Covered**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Estimated Total Cost (millions of dollars)</b>
Corrective Action on Wells in the AoR	0
Plugging of Injection and Monitoring Wells	0.22
Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure	<b>1.73</b>
Emergency and Remedial Response (including endangerment to USDWs)	16.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>17.95</b>

The surety bond, which will identify RTE as the principal on the bond, will be provided by International Fidelity Insurance Company. International Fidelity Insurance Company meets all of the following criteria:

1. The surety company is authorized to transact business in North Dakota.
2. The surety company has either passed the specified financial strength requirements based on credit ratings or has met a minimum rating, minimum capitalization, and ability to pass the bond rating, when applicable.
3. The surety bond can be maintained until such time that the Commission determines that the storage operator has fulfilled its financial obligations.



through the corrosion-monitoring system, and then routed back into a lower-pressure point upstream in the compression system. This loop will operate any time injection is occurring. The operation of this system will provide exposure of the samples to CO<sub>2</sub> that is representative of the composition, temperature, and pressures that will be present at the wellhead and injection tubing.

#### Sample Handling and Monitoring

The exposed materials/coupons will be handled and assessed for corrosion in accordance with ASTM International (ASTM) Method G1-03, Standard Practice for Preparing, Cleaning, and Evaluating Corrosion Test Specimens (ASTM International, 2017). The coupons will be photographed, visually inspected for cracking and pitting with a minimum of 10× power, dimensionally measured (to within 0.0001 in.), and weighed (to within 0.0001 g).

#### 4.4.2.2

#### *Corrosion*

#### *Prevention*

Over the lifetime of the project, anticorrosion chemicals will be added to the CO<sub>2</sub> streamline based on the corrosion-monitoring results, and, if warranted, consumable cathodic protection plates will be used to inhibit and/or prevent corrosion on the surface injection system. The corrosion inhibitor, which must be compatible with the CO<sub>2</sub>, will be used in the tubing–casing annulus of the injection well prior to initiation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection and continuously throughout the project’s lifetime. Periodic fluid sampling will be conducted at critical points in the system to determine the corrosion inhibitor’s concentration and confirm that it is present at levels sufficient, but not in excess of what is needed, to prevent corrosion.

#### **4.4.3 Surface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan**

Surface components of the injection system, including the underground CO<sub>2</sub> transport flow line and wellhead, will be monitored using CO<sub>2</sub> leak detection equipment. The flow line from the capture facility to the wellhead will be buried at least 6.5 ft underground and monitored using a DTS/DAS and DSS fiber optic cable with an interrogator system to provide the ability to detect leaks along the flow line. CO<sub>2</sub> detectors will be installed in the injection wellhead building and key wellsite locations (e.g., flow line riser). Leak detection equipment will be integrated with automated audio and visual warning systems, which will be inspected and tested on a semiannual basis. Any defective equipment will be repaired or replaced within 10 days and retested, if necessary. A record of each inspection result will be kept by the site operator and maintained until project completion and be available to NDIC upon request. Any detected leaks at the surface facilities shall be promptly reported to NDIC.

#### **4.4.4 Subsurface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan**

The monitoring plan for detecting subsurface leaks comprises surface/near-surface- and deep-subsurface-monitoring programs. Surface/near-surface refers to the region from ground surface down to, and including, the deepest USDW as well as surface waters, soil gas (vadose zone), and shallow groundwater (e.g., stock wells, residential drinking water wells, etc.). The deep subsurface zone extends from the base of the deepest USDW to the base of the injection zone of the storage reservoir.

Subsurface leak detection will require multiple approaches to ensure confidence that surface (i.e., ambient and workspace atmospheres and surface waters) and near-surface (i.e., vadose zone, groundwater wells, and the deepest USDWs) environments are protected, and the CO<sub>2</sub> is safely



and permanently stored in the storage reservoir. More specifically, for the RTE geologic storage project, near-surface monitoring will include two dedicated Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells to detect if the deepest USDW is being impacted by operations as well as two soil gas profile stations each located at the RTE-10 injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well sites. In addition, existing groundwater wells within the AoR have been and will continue to be periodically sampled as outlined in the monitoring program. These monitoring efforts will provide additional lines of evidence to assess whether the surface/near-surface environment is being protected and whether the CO<sub>2</sub> is being safely and permanently stored in the storage reservoir.

To complement near-surface/surface monitoring, additional monitoring of the subsurface will ensure CO<sub>2</sub> is staying in the targeted storage reservoir. Operational monitoring at the injection well (RTE-10) including injection rates, pressures, and temperatures will provide data to inform the monitoring approaches. Internal and external mechanical integrity of the injection well will also be demonstrated to ensure no leakage pathway exists that may allow vertical movement of the CO<sub>2</sub>. Additionally, geophysical (seismic) surveys conducted over regular intervals will monitor subsurface CO<sub>2</sub> plume movement.

More details regarding the surface, near-surface, and deep subsurface-monitoring efforts are provided in the remainder of this section.

#### ***4.4.5 Near-Surface Groundwater and Soil Gas Sampling and Monitoring***

Surface and near-surface environments will be monitored to ensure that an out-of-zone migration has not occurred. This will be accomplished by monitoring the environment within the delineated AoR via groundwater wells (e.g., domestic drinking water wells, stock wells, etc.) and vadose zone soil gas sampling prior to CO<sub>2</sub> injection (preoperational baseline), during active CO<sub>2</sub> injection (operational) and during the postoperational-monitoring time frame.

RTE has completed an initial near-surface baseline sampling program, including seasonal sampling of existing groundwater wells and soil gas (Figure 4-3). This completed sampling program and the results are provided in detail in Section 4.4.6.

Prior to injection, RTE plans to install two dedicated Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells at each well site (RTE-10 injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well). The Fox Hills Formation will be sampled, and a state-certified laboratory analysis will be provided to NDIC prior to injection. In addition, two soil gas profile stations will be installed at each well site (RTE-10 injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well), and sample analysis will be provided to NDIC prior to CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations (Figure 4-6). The near-surface monitoring plan, including the additional baseline sampling of the Fox Hills Formation and the soil gas profile stations, is provided in Section 4.4.7

**Table 4-8. Baseline Groundwater-Sampling Results – May Through November 2019**

Parameter Well No.	pH (pH unit)			SpC, $\mu$ S/cm			Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub> , mg/L		
	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19
51002	8.21	8.42	8.47	2,643	2,740	2,731	1,570	1,540	1,540
61337	8.18	8.46	8.51	1,851	1,886	1,890	1,070	1,060	1,040
10648	*	8.36	8.24	*	1,931	1,928	*	1,010	960

\* Well not accessible.

#### 4.4.6.2 Soil Gas Baseline Sampling

Soil gas sampling and analyses have also been performed in order to establish baseline soil-gas concentrations. The sampling and analyses performed to date were generated from 11 soil gas-sampling locations, as shown on Figure 4-5 and identified in Table 4-9 (SG01 through SG11), during the months of May, August, and November 2019. The analyses, which determined the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>, were performed in accordance with ASTM standard procedures (D5314) for soil gas sampling and analysis (ASTM International, 2006). These analytical results were concentrated in the area around and between the injection well (RTE-10) and the monitoring well (RTE-10.2).

The sampling results from these efforts will provide a preoperational baseline of the soil gas chemistry in the vadose zone in and around the CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project.

**Table 4-9. Soil Gas-Sampling Results from RTE Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) Study Region by Sampling Date (*italicized values denote likely ambient air reading/contamination*)**

Parameter: Sample No.	CO <sub>2</sub> , %			O <sub>2</sub> , %			N <sub>2</sub> , %		
	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19
SG01	0.34	0.34	0.88	20.38	21.08	20.55	78.08	78.62	78.57
SG02	0.21	0.49	0.11	21.03	20.35	21.28	79.11	79.16	78.61
SG03	0.62	1.09	0.72	20.68	20.08	20.54	78.60	78.82	78.74
SG04	0.13	*	*	21.27	*	*	79.21	*	*
SG05	0.25	1.01	<i>0.05</i>	21.00	20.19	<i>21.29</i>	78.57	78.80	<i>78.67</i>
SG06	0.26	0.31	<i>0.07</i>	20.44	21.01	<i>21.20</i>	78.83	78.68	<i>78.73</i>
SG07	*	0.79	0.65	*	20.49	20.74	*	78.72	78.61
SG08	*	<i>0.04</i>	0.97	*	<i>21.30</i>	16.42	*	78.66	82.61
SG09	*	0.38	0.12	*	20.75	20.75	*	78.86	79.13
SG10	<i>0.08</i>	0.42	*	<i>20.84</i>	20.75	*	<i>77.71</i>	78.83	*
SG11	<i>0.03</i>	6.86	*	<i>21.13</i>	14.68	*	78.66	78.46	*

\* Sampling location too wet to access/sample.

#### **4.4.7 Near-Surface (Groundwater- and Soil Gas)-Monitoring Plan**

Prior to injection operations, RTE will drill and construct two dedicated groundwater-monitoring wells in the Fox Hills Formation (i.e., deepest USDW) at each well site (RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well) (Figure 4-6). Baseline Fox Hills Formation<sup>1</sup> water samples will be collected from these two monitoring wells prior to CO<sub>2</sub> injection. RTE plans to monitor the vadose zone by installing two soil gas profile stations, one each at the well sites of the RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> injection well (SS01) and RTE-10.2 monitoring well (SS02) (Figure 4-6). RTE is currently investigating Well Nos. 61329 and 51011 to determine accessibility for sampling these existing groundwater wells in the project area, both of which are located within the storage facility area of the RTE geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage project site (Figure 4-6).

During the first 3 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection activities, the two Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells, the soil gas profile stations located at each well site (RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well), and select groundwater wells within the AoR will be sampled on an annual basis, and laboratory results will be filed with NDIC. Starting at Year 5 of injection operations, the Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells and existing groundwater wells will be sampled annually. The sampling of groundwater wells in the AoR will be phased in over time based on monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume in the injection zone. A detailed near-surface monitoring plan is presented in Table 4-10, including the frequency and duration of the sampling that will be made during each phase (i.e., preinjection, operational, and postoperational) of the geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage project.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Fox Hills aquifer underlying the RTE site and western North Dakota is a confined aquifer system which does not receive measurable flow from overlying aquifers or the underlying Pierre shale. The overlying confining layer in the Hell Creek Formation comprises impermeable clays, and the underlying Pierre Shale serves as the lower confining layer (Trapp and Croft, 1975). Recharge occurs hundreds of miles to the southwest in the Black Hills of South Dakota where the corresponding geologic layers are exposed at the surface. Flow within the aquifer is to the northwest with a rate on the order of single feet per year. Thus groundwater in the Fox Hills aquifer at the RTE site is geochemically stable as it is isolated from its source of recharge and does not receive other sources of recharge (Fischer, 2013). The aquifer itself is a quartz-rich sand and not known to contain reactive mineralogy. Thus minimal geochemical variation can be expected to occur across the site, attributable to minor variations in the geologic composition of the aquifer sediments.




Figure 4-6. RTE near-surface monitoring plan sample locations showing the Fox Hills Formation (deepest USDW) monitoring wells, existing groundwater wells, and the two soil-gas profile stations in and around the RTE geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage project site. RTE **is currently investigating** Well Nos. 61329 and **51011** to determine accessibility for potential sampling. Well Nos. 61338 and 51004 are both identified as abandoned in the North Dakota State Water Commission database.

**Table 4-10. Baseline (preinjection), Operational, and Postoperational Monitoring Frequency and Duration for Soil Gas, and Groundwater**


Monitoring Type	Baseline (preinjection)*	Operational	Postoperational
<b>Soil Monitoring</b>			
Soil Gas Profile Stations (SS01 and SS02) (Figure 4-6)	Duration: minimum 1 year	Duration: 20 years	Duration: minimum 10 years
Soil Gas Probes (SG01 to SG11) (Figures 4-3 and 4-5)	Frequency: Sample 3–4 events per well to establish seasonal baseline  Soil gas profile stations identified in Figure 4-6 will be sampled prior to initiation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection operations and analyses will be combined with previously completed sampling results from soil gas probe locations SG01 to SG11, identified in Figure 4-5.  Two soil-gas profile stations located at the RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 well sites (see Figure 4-6).	Frequency: 3–4 sample events per year at soil gas profile stations SS01 and SS02 (Figure 4-6) to account for seasonal fluctuation	Frequency: 3–4 seasonal sample events at soil gas stations SS01 and SS02 (Figure 4-6) performed every 3 years following cessation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection.
<b>Water Monitoring</b>			
Groundwater (existing freshwater wells)	Duration: minimum 1 year  Frequency: completed baseline sampling program (Figure 4-4). RTE is currently investigating Well Nos. 61329 and 51011 to determine accessibility for potential sampling identified in Figure 4-6.	Duration: 20 years  Frequency: sampling of select groundwater wells within the AoR will occur at a minimum of once a year during Years 1–3 and during Year 5 of injection operations, then every 5 years thereafter. Wells will be phased in over time based on monitoring of the CO <sub>2</sub> plume in the injection zone.	Duration: 10 years  Frequency: 3–4 sample events at cessation of injection and 3–4 sample events as part of the final site closure assessment.

Continued . . .



## FRESHWATER WELL FLUID-SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS

The preinjection baseline of groundwater-monitoring results acquired for the RTE project site were collected and characterized groundwater samples taken from Well Nos. 51002, 61337, and 10648 in May, August, and November 2019. The locations of these wells are shown in the repeat figure and table below, with detailed laboratory analyses for each sampling event following.



**Figure C-1.** Location of baseline groundwater wells (currently sampled and planned for sampling prior to injection) and abandoned wells within a 1.5-mile buffer around the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well.

**Table C-1. Baseline Groundwater-Sampling Results – May Through November 2019**

**Note:** Highlighted well colors coordinate with the following analysis results reports.

Parameter	pH (pH unit)			SpC, $\mu\text{S/cm}$			Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub> , mg/L		
Well No.	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19
51002	8.21	8.42	8.47	2,643	2,740	2,731	1,570	1,540	1,540
61337	8.18	8.46	8.51	1,851	1,886	1,890	1,070	1,060	1,040
10648	*	8.36	8.24	*	1,931	1,928	*	1,010	960

\* Well not accessible.

Numerous assessments have shown several key indicators linked to chemical and biological processes that provide a strong chemical response during exposure laboratory tests to low CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Leroux and others, 2018; Gal and others, 2013). Groundwater indicators specifically included a sudden significant drop of pH coupled with a doubling of alkalinity and an increase in specific conductance (Leroux and others, 2018). Other potential indicators include significant increases in total dissolved solids and total inorganic carbon. These same key indicators are to be expected at the RTE CCS site; thus the previous assessments provided a guide to site selection, sampling protocols (described in Appendix D), and selection of baseline parameters to be monitored (Leroux and others, 2020).

## References

Gal, F., Proust, E., Humez, P., Braibant, G., Brach, M., Koch, F., Widory, D., and Girard, J., 2013, Inducing a CO<sub>2</sub> leak into a shallow aquifer (CO<sub>2</sub>FieldLab EUROGIA+ project)—monitoring the CO<sub>2</sub> plume in groundwaters: *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies*, v. 37, p. 3583–3593.

Leroux, K.M., Azzolina, N.A., Glazewski, K.A., Kalenze, N.S., Botnen, B.W., Kovacevich, J.T., Abongwa, P.T., Thompson, J.S., Zacher, E.J., Hamling, J.A., and Gorecki, C.D., 2018, Lessons learned and best practices derived from environmental monitoring at a large-scale CO<sub>2</sub> injection project: *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, v. 78, p. 254–270.

Leroux, K.M., Klapperich, R.J., Ayash, S.C., Kalenze, N.S., Jensen, M.D., Jacobson, L.L., Crocker, C.R., Doll, T.E., Livers-Douglas, A.J., Azzolina, N.A., Crossland, J.L., Connors, K.C., Nakles, D.V., Hamling, J.A., Peck, W.D., Bosshart, N.W., Daly, D.J., Wilson IV, W.I., Gorecki, C.D., Brad D. Piggott Austyn E. Vance Piggott, B., and Vance, A.E., 2020, Subtask 1.3 –Integrated carbon capture and storage for North Dakota ethanol production: Final report (November 1, 2016 – May 31, 2020) for U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FE0024233, Grand Forks, North Dakota, Energy & Environmental Research Center, May.

		<p>sources of drinking water.</p> <p>(3) Identify potential migration of carbon dioxide into any mineral zone in the facility area.</p>			Figure 4-6. RTE near-surface monitoring plan sample locations showing the Fox Hills Formation (deepest USDW) monitoring wells, existing groundwater wells, and the two soil-gas profile stations in and around the RTE geologic CO <sub>2</sub> storage project site. RTE <b>is currently investigating</b> Well Nos. 61329 and <b>51011</b> to determine accessibility for potential sampling. Well Nos. 61338 and 51004 are both identified as abandoned in the North Dakota State Water Commission database.
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1h	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1h</b></p> <p>h. A leak detection and monitoring plan to monitor any movement of the carbon dioxide outside of the storage reservoir. This may include the collection of baseline information of carbon dioxide background concentrations in ground water, surface soils, and chemical composition of in situ waters within the facility area and the storage reservoir and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of the facility area’s outside boundary. Provisions in the plan will be dictated by the site characteristics as documented by materials submitted in support of the permit application but must:</p> <p>(1) Identify the potential for release to the atmosphere.</p> <p>(2) Identify potential degradation of ground water resources with particular emphasis on underground sources of drinking water.</p> <p>(3) Identify potential migration of carbon dioxide into any mineral zone in the facility area.</p>	f. A subsurface leak detection and monitoring plan to monitor for any movement of the carbon dioxide outside of the storage reservoir. This may include the collection of baseline information of carbon dioxide background concentrations in ground water, surface soils, and chemical composition of in situ waters within the facility area and the storage reservoir and within 1 mile of the facility area’s outside boundary.	<p><b>4.4.4 Subsurface Leak Detection and Monitoring Program</b></p> <p><b>4.4.5 Near Surface Groundwater and Soil Gas Sampling Monitoring</b></p> <p><b>4.4.6 Completed Baseline Sampling Program</b></p> <p><i>4.4.6.1 Groundwater Baseline Sampling</i></p> <p><i>4.4.6.2 Soil Gas Baseline Sampling</i></p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i</b></p> <p>i. A testing and monitoring plan pursuant to Section 43-05-01-11.4;</p>	g. A testing and monitoring plan pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.4.	<p><b>4.4 Testing and Monitoring Plan</b></p> <p><b>4.4.1 Analysis of Injected Co2 and Injection Well Testing</b></p> <p><i>4.4.1.1 CO2 Analysis</i></p> <p><i>4.4.1.2 Injection Well Integrity Tests</i></p> <p><b>4.4.5 Near-Surface Groundwater and Soil Gas Sampling and Monitoring</b></p> <p><b>4.4.6 Completed Baseline Sampling Program</b></p> <p><b>4.4.7 Near-Surface (Groundwater – and Soil Gas) Monitoring Plan</b></p> <p><b>4.4.8 Deep Subsurface Monitoring of Free-Phase CO2 Plume and Pressure Front</b></p>	<p>Table 4-6. Overview of RTE Monitoring Program for the Geologic Storage of CO<sub>2</sub></p> <p>Table 4-7. Chemical Components Targeted for Characterization in the Injected CO<sub>2</sub></p> <p>Table 4-10. Baseline (preinjection), Operational, and Postoperational Monitoring Frequency and Duration for Soil Gas, Groundwater, and Surface Air</p> <p>Table 4-11. Description of RTE Monitoring Program</p>



## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION – FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY DEMONSTRATION PLAN**

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION – FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY DEMONSTRATION PLAN**

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Pursuant to the North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) Section 43-05-01-09.1, the storage facility permit application must demonstrate that a financial instrument is in place that is sufficient to cover the costs associated with the following actions:

- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-05.1, corrective action on all active and abandoned wells, which are within the area of review (AOR) and penetrate the confining zone, that have the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water through the subsurface movement of the injected carbon dioxide or other fluids.
- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.5, plugging of injection wells.
- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-19, implementation of postinjection site care (PISC) and facility closure activities, which includes the 10-year PISC monitoring program.
- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-13, implementation of emergency and remedial response actions.

This supporting information for the Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan provides the details for the cost estimates for each of the above actions based on the information that is provided in the storage facility permit application.

### **2.0 FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY COST ESTIMATES**

#### **2.1 Corrective Action**

Approach: 1) delineate AOR, 2) identify and evaluate active and abandoned legacy wells within AOR, and 3) remediate legacy wells identified as potential leakage pathways from \$300K to \$500K per well.

#### **2.2 Plugging of Injection Wells**

Approach: assume plugging of one Class VI injection well and one Class VI-compliant monitoring well from \$35K to \$60K per well, with an expected value of \$40K.

#### **2.3 Implementation of Postinjection Site Care (PISC) and Facility Closure Activities**

The estimated costs of \$1.73 million for implementing PISC as described in the postinjection site care and facility closure plan is provided in Table 2-1 which includes the following: a) near-surface monitoring (e.g., soil gas, shallow groundwater, and Fox Hills Formation Aquifer); b) formation monitoring (e.g., injection well annulus pressure, packer fluid levels, downhole pressure and temperature profiles, pulse neutron logs, ultrasonic logs, and mechanical integrity well tests); and c) coordinated repeat 3D seismic, 3D borehole seismic (vertical seismic), and gravity tests and 2) estimate cost of site closure activities, which has been estimated at \$100K based on the integrated environmental control.

**Table 2-1. Cost Estimates for Ten-Year PISC Monitoring Efforts**

<b>Near-Surface Monitoring</b>	<b>Notes/Comments</b>	<b>Total Estimated Cost</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Soil Gas Sampling and Analysis</li> </ul>	24 samples [2 soil gas stations sampled 4 times per year for 3 years] at \$6300 per sample	\$151,200
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Groundwater Sampling and Analysis</li> <li>• Fox Hills Aquifer Sampling and Analysis</li> </ul>	56 samples [7 wells sampled 4 times per year for 2 years] at \$4400 per sample	\$246,400
<b>Downhole Monitoring</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PNL Logs</li> </ul>	3 logs and \$20,000 per log	\$60,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• USIT Tests</li> </ul>	3 tests @ \$5,000 per test	\$15,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mechanical Integrity Tests</li> </ul>	2 tests @ \$10,000 per test	\$20,000
<b>Geophysical Monitoring</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DAS/DTS equipment and maintenance</li> </ul>		\$110,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3-D seismic data acquisition</li> </ul>	Perform 3 3-D seismic surveys	\$890,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3-D seismic data processing</li> </ul>		\$60,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gravity test data acquisition and processing</li> </ul>	Perform minimum of 2 tests	\$60,000
Planning, Coordination, Data Interpretation, and Reporting		\$116,000
Total		\$1,728,600

## 2.4 Implementation of Emergency and Remedial Response Actions

### 2.4.1 Emergency Response Actions

A review of the technical risk categories for the Red Trail Energy (RTE) storage project identified a list of events that could potentially result in the movement of injected CO<sub>2</sub> or formation fluids in a manner that may endanger an underground source of drinking water (USDW) and require an emergency response. These events are as follows:

- Integrity failure of injection and/or monitoring well
- Injection well monitoring equipment failure
- Storage reservoir is unable to contain the formation fluid or stored CO<sub>2</sub>
- An induced seismic event

If it is determined that one or more of these events have occurred, the emergency response actions that will be implemented are described in the Emergency and Remedial Response Plan. These response actions are summarized in Table 2-2.

**Table 2-2. Response Actions for Potential Emergency Events**

<b>Emergency Event</b>	<b>Response Action</b>
Integrity Failure of Injection or Monitoring Well	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure to verify integrity loss and determine the cause and extent of failure.</li><li>• Stop CO<sub>2</sub> injection/vent CO<sub>2</sub> from surface facilities.</li><li>• Identify and implement appropriate remedial actions to repair damage to the well (in consultation with the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) underground injection control (UIC) program director).</li><li>• If subsurface impacts are detected, implement appropriate site investigation activities to determine the nature and extent of these impacts.</li><li>• If warranted based on the site investigations, implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li></ul>
Injection Well-Monitoring Equipment Failure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure (manually if necessary) to determine the cause and extent of failure.</li><li>• Stop CO<sub>2</sub> injection/vent CO<sub>2</sub> from surface facilities.</li><li>• Identify and, if necessary, implement appropriate remedial actions to repair/replace well monitoring equipment (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li><li>• If subsurface impacts are detected, implement appropriate site investigation activities to determine the nature and extent of these impacts.</li><li>• If warranted based on the site investigations, implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li></ul>

Continued . . .

<b>Table 2-2. Response Actions for Potential Emergency Events (continued)</b>	
<b>Emergency Event</b>	<b>Response Action</b>
The Storage Reservoir Is Unable to Contain the Formation Fluid or Stored CO <sub>2</sub>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Collect confirmation sample(s) of groundwater, soil gas, ambient air, and/or surface water, and analyze them for indicator parameters (see Testing and Monitoring Plan of the supporting plans of the storage facility permit application).</li> <li>• If the presence of indicator parameters is confirmed, develop (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director) a case-specific work plan to:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Install additional monitoring points near the impacted area to delineate the extent of impact.                   <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. If a USDW is impacted above drinking water standards, arrange for an alternative potable water supply for all users of that USDW.</li> <li>b. If a surface release of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere is confirmed, initiate an evacuation plan, if warranted, in tandem with an appropriate workspace and/or ambient air monitoring program at the plant boundary to monitor the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and its natural dispersion following the termination of CO<sub>2</sub> injection, following practices similar to those described in the RTE Risk Management Plan for analyzing the potential impacts of other chemical releases from the RTE plant.</li> <li>c. If surface release of CO<sub>2</sub> to surface waters is confirmed, implement appropriate surface water-monitoring program to determine if water quality standards are being exceeded.</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. Proceed with efforts, if necessary, to 1) remediate USDW to achieve compliance with drinking water standards (e.g., install system to intercept/extract brine or CO<sub>2</sub> or “pump and treat” to air-strip CO<sub>2</sub> from the impacted water (or implement other active remediation processes) and reinject treated water into the subsurface, 2) monitor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the workspace and ambient air to document</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

Continued . . .

<b>Table 2-2. Response Actions for Potential Emergency Events (continued)</b>	
<b>Emergency Event</b>	<b>Response Action</b>
The Storage Reservoir Is Unable to Contain the Formation Fluid or Stored CO <sub>2</sub> (continued)	<p>reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations to background levels over time; and  3) monitor the reduction of impacts to surface waters to background levels as a result of natural attenuation processes or implement active/passive remediation of surface waters to achieve acceptable background levels of impacts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue all remediation and monitoring at an appropriate frequency (as determined by RTE and the NDIC DMR UIC program director) until the unacceptable, adverse impacts have been fully addressed.</li> </ul>
Induced Seismic Event	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify where (i.e., the epicenter) and when the event occurred.</li> <li>• Determine whether there is a connection with injection activities.</li> <li>• Determine mechanical integrity of all project wells and formation seals.</li> <li>• If warranted, stop CO<sub>2</sub> injection/vent CO<sub>2</sub> from surface facilities, and implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li> </ul>
Natural Disasters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure to verify status of wells and determine the cause and extent of any failure.</li> <li>• If warranted, perform additional monitoring of groundwater, surface water, and/or workspace/ambient air to delineate extent of any impacts.</li> <li>• If impacts or endangerment of USDWs are detected, identify and implement appropriate response actions in accordance with the RTE Emergency Action Plan (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li> </ul>

### 2.4.2 Estimation of Costs of Emergency Response Actions

Estimating the costs of implementing these emergency response actions in Table 2-2 is challenging since remediation measures specifically dedicated to CO<sub>2</sub> storage impacts are poorly documented, with one of the more important data gaps being the lack of precise knowledge of the leakage mechanisms and associated impacts (Manceau and others, 2014). Without this knowledge, it is not possible to design appropriate remedial measures. Furthermore, to date, no remediation action following CO<sub>2</sub> leakage after geologic storage has ever been implemented mainly because of the absence of established impacts (Manceau and others, 2014). Consequently, the degree of maturity of remediation measures in the carbon capture and storage (CCS) field is low, making it necessary to rely on literature that is primarily based on modeling or analogies with other pollutants, e.g., the analogy between CO<sub>2</sub> and volatile organic compounds, the latter having been addressed extensively in the literature. Additionally, for the remedial measures, costs and time for adequate removal are generally site-dependent, and no information is specifically available in this area in the CCS field.

Based on this current situation, two key technical manuscripts were relied upon to identify and estimate the costs of mitigation/remediation technologies to address undesired migration of CO<sub>2</sub> from a geological storage unit (Manceau and others, 2014).

#### 2.4.2.1 Identification of Remediation Technologies

Manceau and others (2014) identified several remediation technologies/strategies that are available to address the potential impacted media that may result from an emergency event. These impacted media and remediation measures are listed in Table 2-3. The impacted media in Table 2-3 include groundwater/USDWs, unsaturated zone soil, surface water, indoor environments, and atmosphere;

**Table 2-3. Proposed Technologies/Strategies for Remediation of Potential Impacted Media**

<b>Impacted Media</b>	<b>Potential Remedial Measures</b>
Groundwater	Monitored natural attenuation
	Pump-and-treat
	Air sparging
	Permeable reactive barrier
	Extraction/injection
	Biological remediation
Unsaturated Zone	Monitored natural attenuation
	Soil vapor extraction
	pH adjustment (via spreading of alkaline supplements, irrigation, and drainage)
Surface Water	Passive systems, e.g., natural attenuation
	Active venting systems
Atmosphere	Passive systems, e.g., natural mixing, dispersion
Indoor/Workplace Environment	Sealing of leak points
	Depressurization
	Ventilation adjustment

the remedial measures include a combination of active (e.g., air sparging) and passive (e.g., dispersion, natural attenuation) systems. However, it is important to note that, at this time, there is no widely accepted methodology for designing intervention and remediation plans for CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage projects. Consequently, there remains a need for establishing the best field-applied and test practices for mitigating an undesired CO<sub>2</sub> migration. This effort will be based on a combination of available literature and experience that is gained over time in existing CO<sub>2</sub> storage projects.

#### *2.4.2.2 Estimation of Costs for Implementing Emergency Event Responses*

Given the lack of a site-specific estimate of implementing the emergency event responses at the CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage site of RTE, cost estimates developed by Bielicki and others (2014) were used to derive a cost range for the project related to the undesired migration of CO<sub>2</sub> from a geologic storage unit. Extrapolating these literature costs, which were based on a case study site in the Michigan Sedimentary Basin, to the RTE project only provides an order-of-magnitude estimate of the potential costs due to the significant site-specific differences in the storage projects; however, the range of costs estimated in this manner are believed to be conservatively high in nature, making them more than sufficient for informing the value of the financial instrument that must be secured for the project, as described in the Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan.

#### Case Study Description

Bielicki and others (2014) examined the costs associated with remediating undesired migration of CO<sub>2</sub> from a geologic storage unit as part of a case study of an extreme leakage situation. The case study involved the continuous annual injection of 9.5 Mt (9,500,000 metric tons) of CO<sub>2</sub> into the Mt. Simon sandstone of the Michigan Sedimentary Basin over a period of 30 years. It assumed every well in the basin was a potential leakage pathway and that no action was taken to mitigate any of these leakage pathways. In addition, eight UIC Class I injection wells, which were located within approximately 1 mile of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well, were also identified as leakage pathways. Four hundred probabilistic simulations of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection were performed and produced estimates of the area of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume as well as leakage rates of CO<sub>2</sub> from the storage reservoir to four aquifers as well as to the surface.

#### Cost Estimates

Story lines were developed for the site based on 1) risk assessments for the geologic storage of CO<sub>2</sub>; 2) consequences of leakage; 3) lay and expert opinion of leakage risk; 4) modeling of CO<sub>2</sub> injection and leakage for the case study; and 5) input from local experts, oil and gas engineers, academics, attorneys, and other environmental professionals familiar with the Michigan Sedimentary Basin. Cost estimates for managing leakage events were then generated for first-of-a-kind (FOAK) and nth-of-a-kind (NOAK) projects based on a low-cost and high-cost story line. These cost estimates provided a breakdown of the costs into the following categories:

- Find and fix a leak
- Environmental remediation
- Injection interruption
- Technical remedies for damages
- Legal costs
- Business disruption to others, e.g., natural gas storage



- Labor burden to others

Of interest for the financial responsibility demonstration plan is the environmental remediation cost estimate, which was provided for a leak scenario where there was interference with groundwater as well as a scenario where there was groundwater interference combined with CO<sub>2</sub> migration to the surface.

#### Environmental Remediation – Low-Cost and High-Cost Story Line

The low-cost and high-cost story lines for the two components of environmental remediation, groundwater interference and migration to the surface are summarized in Table 2-4. As shown in Table 2-4, the low-cost story lines are characterized by independent leak scenarios that either result in interference with groundwater or CO<sub>2</sub> migration to the surface. On the other hand, the high-cost story lines are interrelated, where it is assumed that the high-cost story line for CO<sub>2</sub> migration to the surface is conditional upon the existence of the high-cost story line for groundwater interference.

#### **Estimated Environmental Remediation Costs – FOAK and NOAK Projects**

Based on the above story lines, the estimated environmental remediation costs for the high-cost story lines are basically the same for both FOAK and NOAK projects:

- High-cost story line – Groundwater interference, alone: ~ \$13M
- High-cost story line – Groundwater interference with CO<sub>2</sub> migration to the surface: \$15M to \$16M

#### *2.4.2.3 Input for the Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan*

The estimated costs for the environmental remediation of the high-cost story line for the case study, \$15M to \$16M, likely represents a conservatively high estimate of similar costs for the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project. This statement is based primarily on the fact that the quantity of CO<sub>2</sub> injection of the case study (9,500,000 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year) is significantly larger than the planned injection quantity of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project (180,000 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year). Furthermore, the case study site had 450,000 active and abandoned wells, 400,000 of which penetrate the shallow subsurface to provide for drinking water, irrigation, and industrial uses. In contrast, there is one abandoned well (no corrective action necessary), one proposed CO<sub>2</sub> injection well, and one CO<sub>2</sub> storage monitoring well located in the area of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project. As such, the extreme leakage scenario of the case study represents a more extensive leakage scenario that could exist at the RTE site. Accordingly, even though the same remedial technologies and strategies may be used at both sites to address CO<sub>2</sub> migration, it is assumed that the cost estimates provided for the case study represent a conservatively high, maximum cost, for the RTE project. It is on this basis that the value of \$16M has been used as one of the cost inputs into the determination of the financial instrument that will be put in place for the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project.

**Table 2-4. Low-Cost and High-Cost Story Line for Environmental Remediation**

<b>Low-Cost Story Line</b>	
Groundwater Interference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A small amount of CO<sub>2</sub> migrates into a deep formation that has a total dissolved solids concentration of ~9000 ppm. By definition, this unit is a USDW, but the state has abundant water resources, and there are no foreseeable uses for water from this unit.</li><li>• Regulators require that two monitoring wells be drilled into the affected USDW and three monitoring wells be drilled into the lower most potable aquifer (total dissolved solids concentration of &lt;1000 ppm) to verify the extent of the impacts of the leak. No legal action is taken.</li><li>• Injection is halted from the time that the leak is discovered until monitoring confirms that containment is effective (9 months).</li><li>• The UIC regulator determines that no additional remedial actions are necessary.</li></ul>
CO <sub>2</sub> Migration to the Surface	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A leaking well provides a pathway whereby CO<sub>2</sub> discharges directly to the atmosphere.</li><li>• Neither CO<sub>2</sub> nor brine leaks into the subsurface formation outside the injection formation in significant quantities.</li><li>• The CO<sub>2</sub> injection is halted for 5 days, and the leaking well is promptly plugged.</li></ul>
<b>High-Cost Story Line</b>	
Groundwater Interference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A community water system reports elevated arsenic. Monitoring suggests that the native arsenic in the formation may have been mobilized by pH changes in the aquifer caused by CO<sub>2</sub> impacts to the aquifer.</li><li>• A new water supply well is installed to serve the community, and the former water supply wells are plugged and capped.</li><li>• Potable water is provided to the affected households during the 6 months required to drill the new water supply wells.</li><li>• Groundwater regulators take legal action on the geologic storage operator to force remediation of the affected USDW using pump and treat technology.</li><li>• UIC regulators require remedial action to remove, through a CO<sub>2</sub> extraction well, an accumulation of CO<sub>2</sub> that has the potential to affect the drinking water.</li><li>• CO<sub>2</sub> injection is halted for 1 year during these remediation activities.</li></ul>
CO <sub>2</sub> Migration to the Surface	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The high-cost story line for groundwater is required.</li><li>• A hyperspectral survey completed during the diagnostic monitoring program identifies surface leakage in a sparsely populated area.</li><li>• Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are detected by a soil-gas survey and by indoor air quality sampling in basements of several residences.</li><li>• Affected residents are housed in a local hotel for several nights while venting systems are installed in their basements.</li><li>• A soil venting system is installed at the site.</li><li>• CO<sub>2</sub> injection is halted for a year during these remediation activities.</li></ul>

To provide additional perspective for this \$16M cost estimate for environmental remediation, two other cost estimates for the remediation of potential environmental impacts associated with the geologic storage of CO<sub>2</sub> were found in the literature. These costs ranged from \$9M to \$34M. The source of the lower limit (\$9M) was a 2012 study (“Valuation of Potential Risks Arising from a Model, Commercial Scale CCS Project Site, prepared for CCS Valuation Project Sponsor Group by Industrial Economics, Inc., June 2012”) which estimated the damages, i.e., dollars necessary to remediate or compensate for harm, should a release occur at a commercial storage site (i.e., FutureGen 1.0 located in Jewett, TX) that planned to inject 1,000,000 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year. This study estimated the “most likely (50th percentile)” total damages to be approximately \$8.7M and the “upper end (95th and 99th percentiles)” of the total damages to be approximately \$20.1M and \$26.2M, respectively (all estimates in 2020 dollars).

The upper limit of the range (\$34M) came from a Class VI, Underground Injection Control Permit, which was issued to Archer Daniels Midland (ADM) by EPA (Underground Injection Control Permit – Class VI; Permit Number: IL-115-6A-0001). As part of the Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan of the ADM permit, a cost estimate of \$33.8M was provided for the cost element, Emergency and Remedial Response, which is slightly higher than the 99th percentile cost estimate of \$26.2M for the FutureGen 1.0 site. The planned injection rate for the ADM geologic storage project was ~1,200,000 metric tons per year.<sup>1</sup>

## REFERENCES

- Bielicki, J.M., Pollak, M.F., Fitts, J.P., Peters, C.A., Wilson, E.J., 2013, Causes and financial consequences of geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage reservoir leakage and interference with other subsurface resources: *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, v. 20, p. 272–284.
- Manceau, J.C., Hatzignatiou, D.G., Latour, L.L., Jensen, N.B., Réveillére, A., 2014, Mitigation and remediation technologies and practices in case of undesired migration of CO<sub>2</sub> from a geological storage unit—current status: *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, v. 22, p. 272–290.
- Trabucchi, C., Donlan, M., Huguenin, M., Konopka, M., Bolthrunis, S., 2012, Valuation of potential risks arising from a model, commercial-scale CCS project site: Prepared for CCS Valuation Sponsor Group, June 1, 2012.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Underground injection control permit—Class VI wells used for geologic sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub>: <https://www.epa.gov/uic/class-vi-wells-used-geologic-sequestration-co2>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that both of these examples are injecting CO<sub>2</sub> at a rate that is approximately 5 to 7 times the planned injection at the RTE geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage facility, which suggests that these cost estimates are likely greater than the costs that will be required for the RTE project.



## Software Integrated Solutions Data Services

### Cement Evaluation

Company:	Red Trail Energy LLC
Field:	Wildcat
Well Name:	RTE 10.2
Log Date:	11-Feb-2021
Service:	Isolation Scanner
Run Number:	Three
Analyst:	Palak Bansal
Reviewed by:	Apoorva Kumar

Other company, product, and service names are the properties of their respective owners.  
Copyright © Schlumberger 2012. All rights reserved. 12-DC-0063-C

# Schlumberger

<b>1. Schlumberger Legal Disclaimer .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Recorded data and comments .....	3
Objectives .....	3
Results and Observations.....	3
<b>3. Observations.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4. Contextual Information.....</b>	<b>9</b>
Well Sketch.....	10
Tool Sketch .....	11
Cement Information .....	12
<b>5. Log Quality Control.....</b>	<b>13</b>
Tool Eccentering (ECCE).....	13
Processing flags (UFLG) and anomalies .....	13
First echo amplitude (AWBK) .....	13
<b>6. Appendix .....</b>	<b>15</b>
Acoustic Impedance Measurement.....	15
Flexural Attenuation Measurement.....	16

## 1. Schlumberger Legal Disclaimer

ANY INTERPRETATION, RESEARCH, ANALYSIS, DATA, RESULTS, ESTIMATES, OR RECOMMENDATION FURNISHED WITH THE SERVICES OR OTHERWISE COMMUNICATED BY SCHLUMBERGER TO CUSTOMER AT ANY TIME IN CONNECTION WITH THE SERVICES ARE OPINIONS BASED ON INFERENCES FROM MEASUREMENTS, EMPIRICAL RELATIONSHIPS AND/OR ASSUMPTIONS, WHICH INFERENCES, EMPIRICAL RELATIONSHIPS AND/OR ASSUMPTIONS ARE NOT INFALLIBLE, AND WITH RESPECT TO WHICH PROFESSIONALS IN THE INDUSTRY MAY DIFFER. ACCORDINGLY, SCHLUMBERGER CANNOT AND DOES NOT WARRANT THE ACCURACY, CORRECTNESS OR COMPLETENESS OF ANY SUCH INTERPRETATION, RESEARCH, ANALYSIS, DATA, RESULTS, ESTIMATES OR RECOMMENDATION. CUSTOMER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT IT IS ACCEPTING THE SERVICES "AS IS", THAT SCHLUMBERGER MAKES NO REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, OF ANY KIND OR DESCRIPTION IN RESPECT THERETO. SPECIFICALLY, CUSTOMER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT SCHLUMBERGER DOES NOT WARRANT THAT ANY INTERPRETATION, RESEARCH, ANALYSIS, DATA, RESULTS, ESTIMATES, OR RECOMMENDATION IS FIT FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO COMPLIANCE WITH ANY GOVERNMENT REQUEST OR REGULATORY REQUIREMENT. CUSTOMER FURTHER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT SUCH SERVICES ARE DELIVERED WITH THE EXPLICIT UNDERSTANDING AND AGREEMENT THAT ANY ACTION TAKEN BASED ON THE SERVICES RECEIVED SHALL BE AT ITS OWN RISK AND RESPONSIBILITY AND NO CLAIM SHALL BE MADE AGAINST SCHLUMBERGER AS A CONSEQUENCE THEREOF.

## 2. Executive Summary

### Recorded data and comments

An Isolation Scanner (IBC) was logged in the RTE 10.2 on 11-February-2021.

The logging data was recorded over the 7" 29ppf casing from 62ft – 6918ft. The well was reported to contain 10 lbm/gal brine at the time of logging. The data was recorded at 0 psi.

The well was cemented with 266.14bbl of 11.5ppg lead cement and 161.06bbl of 14.5ppg tail cement.

### Objectives

The objective of the logging is general cement evaluation of 7" casing and identify zonal isolation across formations Inyan Kara (4853ft – 5205ft) and Broom Creek (6431ft – 6770ft).

### Results and Observations

1. In the interval 62ft – 1749ft, liquid filled annulus with minor scattered solids was observed.
2. In the interval ~1749ft – 1971ft, mainly liquid along with patchy solids was observed. The top of azimuthal cement was observed at ~1971ft.
3. Moderate to good coverage of cement with minor isolated liquid pockets was observed in the interval 1971ft – 2386ft while good coverage of cement with minimal liquid pockets was observed in the interval ~2386ft – 4853ft.
4. Moderate to good coverage of cement with scattered liquid pockets was observed across the interval 4853ft – 5391ft while good coverage of cement with minimal liquid pockets was observed across the interval 5391ft – 6907ft. However, in the interval 6907ft – 6918ft moderate coverage of cement with liquid channels was observed.
5. A sharp decrease in flexural attenuation was observed at ~3823ft, which shows a clear transition between the lead and the tail cement and suggests the top of tail cement at ~3823ft. The tail cement in the interval ~3823ft – 6918ft has lower flexural attenuation and high acoustic impedance, suggesting a possible highly dense cement.
6. Inyan Kara (4853ft – 5205ft): Below and across this interval, azimuthal presence of cement with scattered liquid pockets was observed on acoustic impedance, flexural attenuation and SLG maps suggesting possible zonal isolation across the complete formation. Above this formation good coverage of cement was observed which is most likely to provide zonal isolation.
7. Broom Creek (6431ft – 6770ft): Around and across this formation, zonal isolation was observed due to azimuthal presence of cement with minor isolated liquid pockets as seen on acoustic impedance, flexural attenuation and SLG maps. Relatively large liquid pockets are observed across the interval 6665ft – 6701ft, however they are unlikely to disturb the overall zonal isolation across Broom Creek formation.



## 7" Casing section




Figure 1- Summary Log (62ft – 6918ft)

\*Middle of the First Echo Amplitude, Acoustic Impedance, Flexural Attenuation and SLG maps show low side of the casing



### 3. Observations

Figure 2 below shows the 7" casing across the interval 62ft – 1749ft.

In the interval ~62ft – 1749ft, liquid filled annulus with minor scattered solids was observed on acoustic impedance, flexural attenuation and SLG maps. The overall interpretation was confirmed with the low average acoustic impedance reading ~1.7 MRayl and average flexural attenuation reading ~63 dB/m.

Clear TIE (Third Interface Echo) arrival was observed across this section. TIE arrival indicated 7" casing fairly centered against the outer 9.625" casing.




Figure 2- 7" casing section cement evaluation in the interval 62ft – 1749ft.



Figure 3 below shows the 7" casing across the interval ~1749ft – 4516ft.

In the interval ~1749ft – 1971ft, mainly liquid along with patchy solids was observed. The top of azimuthal lead cement was observed at ~1971ft.

Moderate to good coverage of cement with minor isolated liquid pockets was observed in the interval 1971ft – 2386ft while good coverage of cement with minimal liquid pockets was observed in the interval ~2386ft – 4516ft. The material in the annulus across the interval ~1971ft – 3823ft was observed to be lead cement which has an average acoustic impedance of ~3.8 Mrayl and an average flexural attenuation of ~91 dB/m. A sharp decrease in flexural attenuation was observed at ~3823ft, which shows a clear transition between the lead and the tail cement and suggests the top of tail cement at ~3823ft.

The tail cement in the interval ~3823ft – 4516ft has lower flexural attenuation and high acoustic impedance, suggesting a possible highly dense cement, as it provides good coupling, resulting in the disappearance of compressional leakage and hence the drop in flexural attenuation. This is said to be past the evanescence point, indicating presence of high density / fast cement. The average acoustic impedance was reading ~6.5 Mrayl and average flexural attenuation was reading ~56 dB/m in the tail cement.

Clear TIE was observed in the interval ~1749ft – 3823ft. The TIE arrivals clearly show the surface casing shoe at 1,952 feet with cement placed inside. However, in the interval 3823ft – 4516ft, TIE was observed intermittently. The flexural attenuation maps clearly show continuous external fiber optic cable and the acoustic impedance, flexural attenuation and SLG maps show cable clamps/centralizers across almost every joint.




Figure 3- 7" casing section cement evaluation in the interval 1749ft – 4516ft.



Figure 4 below shows the 7" casing across the interval ~4516ft – 6918ft. Moderate to good coverage of cement with scattered liquid pockets was observed across the interval 4853ft – 5391ft while good coverage of cement with minimal liquid pockets was observed across the intervals 4516ft – 4853ft and 5391ft – 6907ft. However, in the interval 6907ft – 6918ft moderate coverage of cement with liquid channels was observed.

The tail cement in the interval ~4516ft – 6918ft has lower flexural attenuation and high acoustic impedance, suggesting a possible highly dense cement, as it provides good coupling, resulting in the disappearance of compressional leakage and hence the drop in flexural attenuation. This is said to be past the evanescence point, indicating presence of high density / fast cement. The average acoustic impedance was reading ~5.3 Mrayl and average flexural attenuation was reading ~58 dB/m across this section.

Intermittent TIE (Third Interface Echo) arrival was observed in this section. The flexural attenuation maps clearly show continuous external fiber optic cable and the acoustic impedance, flexural attenuation and SLG maps show cable clamps/centralizers across almost every joint.





Figure 4- 7" casing section cement evaluation in the interval 4516ft – 6918ft



High AI, Low FA (Past evanescence point) – Good cement






## 4. Contextual Information


## Field Log Header: Run Three

Schlumberger						
Company:		Red Trail Energy LLC				
Well:		RTE 10.2				
Field:		Wildcat				
County:		Stark		State: North Dakota		
County: Stark Field: Wildcat Location: 2296' FNL X 1043' FWL Well: RTE 10.2 Company: Red Trail Energy LLC	Isolation Scanner					
	Cement Evaluation					
	Gamma Ray - CCL					
	Location:	2296' FNL X 1043' FWL SWNW Sec 10, T139, R92			Elev.: K.B. 2476.00 ft G.L. 2464.00 ft D.F. 2475.00 ft	
		Permanent Datum:		Ground Level	Elev.: 2464.00 f	
Log Measured From:		Kelly Bushing	12.00 ft above Perm.Datum			
Drilling Measured From:		Kelly Bushing				
API Serial No.		Section:	Township:	Range:		
33-089-00906		10	139	92		
Logging Date		11-Feb-2021				
Run Number		Three				
Depth Driller		7025.00 ft				
Schlumberger Depth		7025.00 ft				
Bottom Log Interval		6920.00 ft				
Top Log Interval		50.00 ft				
Casing Fluid Type		Water				
Salinity						
Density		10 lbm/gal				
Fluid Level		8.00 ft				
BIT/CASING/TUBING STRING						
Bit Size		8.75 in				
From		1965.00 ft				
To		7025.00 ft				
Casing/Tubing Size		7 in				
Weight		29 lbm/ft				
Grade		N/A				
From		0.00 ft				
To		7025.00 ft				
Max Recorded Temperatures		159 degF				
Logger on Bottom		Time	11-Feb-2021 14:40:00			
Unit Number	Location:	9111	Williston			
Recorded By		Avery Becker				
Witnessed By		Mark Lawlar				

## Well Sketch



## Tool Sketch



## Cement Information

### Estimated Values

The following expected acoustic impedance of the materials are estimated based on the post cementing reports provided by client<sup>1</sup>. The acoustic impedance readings of all the materials are summarized in the Table1 below.

The expected flexural attenuation in free pipe is computed based on Schlumberger ICE 2 Tool planner module. The flexural attenuation readings of the gas and liquid assuming the annulus and logging fluid density below are summarized in the Table2 below.

### 7" Casing

#### Estimated Acoustic Impedance (AI)

Table 1 Acoustic Impedance Summary

Material	Approximate AI (MRayl)	Comments and assumptions
Lead Cement Slurry	3.52	11.5ppg lead cement with UCA TT of ~10 µs/in (assumed)
Tail Cement Slurry	4.438	14.5ppg tail cement with UCA TT of ~10 µs/in (assumed)

#### Estimated Flexural Attenuation (FA)

Table 2 Flexural Attenuation Summary

Material	Approximate FA (dB/m)	Comments and assumptions
Gas	35	Assuming annulus fluid density 10ppg OBM and logging fluid density 10ppg Brine
Liquid	71	

<sup>1</sup> Post Job Report - Red Trail Energy - RTE 10.2 - Long String



## **5. Log Quality Control**

### **Tool Eccentering (ECCE)**

The tool eccentricization is mostly within accepted limits across the logged interval.

### **Processing flags (UFLG) and anomalies**

Processing flags are noted at the collars which is a normal response due to the increase in metal thickness.

### **First echo amplitude (AWBK)**

The first echo amplitude image is generally clean throughout the logging interval. It shows high amplitude (yellow) color when the tool is well centered, suggesting a clean internal surface. When eccentricity increases, this becomes progressively darker as expected.




Figure 7- LQC Log Example of 7" casing section

## 6. Appendix

### Acoustic Impedance Measurement


The IBC sub contains the traditional USIT transducer that acts as both a transmitter and receiver. Short pulse of high frequency acoustic energy is emitted with multiple echoes received from the casing, cement and formation interfaces. The casing will resonant within its thickness mode creating multiple reflections. These multiple reflections lose energy back into the mud or cement and the decay of this signal is a function of the acoustic impedance of the material at the casing external interface. We also refer to that as the 2nd interface, the 3rd interface being the formation wall or 2nd casing string in multiple casing strings.

The acoustic impedance ( $z$ ) is measured in MRayl. The acoustic impedance of fresh water is 1.5 MRayl. The acoustic impedance of cement can vary from 2.0 MRayl for <11.5 ppg LiteCrete and as high as 8.5 MRayl for a > 16.0 ppg DensCrete. The USI uses a threshold for the cement map to differentiate cement from liquid. The threshold is set 0.5 MRayl above the expected acoustic impedance of the fluid (mud) behind the casing.

The AI cement map is a 360 degree representation of the azimuthal AI values measured. Any AI (acoustic impedance) above the cement threshold will be a shade of brown and increasingly darker as the AI increases.

### USI cement image settings


The USI discriminates between solid, liquid and gas/dry microannulus using acoustic impedance thresholds.



## Flexural Attenuation Measurement

Three obliquely aligned transducers transmit and receive high-frequency pulsed beams to excite the casing in a flexural mode. The flexural wave radiates acoustic energy into the annulus and back toward the receiving transducers resulting in a circumferential scan of the casing, annulus, cement and near wellbore formation.

The decay rate of the reflected signal at the two (near, far) receivers is measured and presented in dB/m (decibels per meter). The flexural attenuation for liquid and light cements up to acoustic impedance of 3.9 MRayl is linear. Above that threshold the attenuation drops sharply to a small value such that high impedance cement, such as Class G has attenuation similar to liquid. This ambiguity is resolved by determining the AI of the cement with the USI transducer and comparing that with the FA so that the full range of liquids and cement can be identified. See figure below.



*Three clouds of points are generated in SLG mapping of the measurement plane for a Class G cement.  $Z_{usit}$  is the impedance determined by the pulse-echo technique; the attenuation is for the flexural wave technique.*

Company: Red Trail Energy LLC

Well: RTE 10.2

Field: Wildcat

County: Stark State: North Dakota

County: Stark Field: Wildcat Location: 2296' FNL X 1043' FWL Well: RTE 10.2 Company: Red Trail Energy LLC	Isolation Scanner				
	ND State				
	Gamma Ray - CCL Log				
	Location:	2296' FNL X 1043' FWL SWNW Sec 10, T139, R92		Elev.:	K.B. 2476.00 ft G.L. 2464.00 ft D.F. 2475.00 ft
		Permanent Datum:	Ground Level	Elev.:	2464.00 f
		Log Measured From:	Kelly Bushing	12.00 ft	above Perm.Datum
		Drilling Measured From:	Kelly Bushing		
	API Serial No. 33-089-00906		Section: 10	Township: 139	Range: 92

Logging Date		11-Feb-2021	
Run Number		Three	
Depth Driller		7025.00 ft	
Schlumberger Depth		7025.00 ft	
Bottom Log Interval		6920.00 ft	
Top Log Interval		50.00 ft	
Casing Fluid Type		Water	
Salinity			
Density		10 lbm/gal	
Fluid Level		8.00 ft	
BIT/CASING/TUBING STRING			
Bit Size		8.75 in	
From		1965.00 ft	
To		7025.00 ft	
Casing/Tubing Size		7 in	
Weight		29 lbm/ft	
Grade		N/A	
From		0.00 ft	
To		7025.00 ft	
Max Recorded Temperatures		159 degF	
Logger on Bottom	Time	11-Feb-2021	14:40:00
Unit Number	Location:	9111	Williston
Recorded By		Avery Becker	
Witnessed By		Mark Lawlar	

## Disclaimer

THE USE OF AND RELIANCE UPON THIS RECORDED-DATA BY THE HEREIN NAMED COMPANY (AND ANY OF ITS AFFILIATES, PARTNERS, REPRESENTATIVES, AGENTS, CONSULTANTS AND EMPLOYEES) IS SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS AGREED UPON BETWEEN SCHLUMBERGER AND THE COMPANY, INCLUDING: (a) RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF THE RECORDED-DATA; (b) DISCLAIMERS AND WAIVERS OF WARRANTIES AND REPRESENTATIONS REGARDING COMPANY'S USE AND RELIANCE UPON THE RECORDED-DATA; AND (c) CUSTOMER'S FULL AND SOLE RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY INFERENCE DRAWN OR DECISION MADE IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF THIS RECORDED-DATA.

## Contents

1. Header
2. Disclaimer
3. Contents
4. Well Sketch
5. Borehole Size/Casing/Tubing Record
6. Remarks and Equipment Summary
7. Depth Summary
8. IBC Fluid Properties Measurement
9. Three ND State Log
  - 9.1 Integration Summary
  - 9.2 Software Version
  - 9.3 Composite Summary
  - 9.4 Log ( Import of ND State Only )
  - 9.5 Parameter Listing
10. Three IBC Goodwin Compressed
  - 10.1 Integration Summary
  - 10.2 Composite Summary

in )  
14. Tail

10.3 Log ( IBC Goodwin )

11. Three Corelation Log

11.1 Integration Summary


11.2 Composite Summary

11.3 Log ( Correlation 5 Inch )

12. XYZ ( IBC Fluid Acoustic Slowness vs Depth 6.0 in )


13. XYZ ( IBC Acoustic Impedance of Mud vs Depth 6.0

## Well Sketch





FAR-SENS  
OR:4831  
IBC-TX  
NEAR-SEN  
SOR:4838  
IBC-TX  
USI-SENS  
OR:4823  
IBC-TX  
EMITTER-  
SENSOR:4  
835  
IBC-TX



USI Sen 0.87  
sor  
Head Te  
nsion  
TOOL\_ZERO

Lengths are in ft  
Maximum Outer Diameter = 5.000 in  
Line: Sensor Location, Value: Gating Offset  
All measurements are relative to TOOL\_ZERO

## Depth Summary

Three

### Depth Measuring Device

Type	IDW-JA
Serial Number	4854
Calibration Date	24-Sep-2020
Calibrator Serial Number	57
Calibration Cable Type	7-39 PIXXS
Wheel Correction 1	-6
Wheel Correction 2	-6

### Tension Device

Type	CMTD-B/A
Serial Number	1703
Calibration Date	20-Aug-2020
Calibrator Serial Number	78135A
Number of Calibration Points	10
Calibration Root Mean Square Error	11
Calibration Peak Error	20

### Logging Cable

Type	7-39P-LXS
Serial Number	
Length	17500.00 ft
Conveyance Type	Wireline
Rig Type	

### Three:Depth Control Parameters

Log Sequence	Subsequent Trip To the Well
Reference Log Name	Borehole Profile
Reference Log Run Number	2C
Reference Log Date	14-Oct-2020

### Depth Control Remarks

Schlumberger depth control procedures followed  
IDW used as primary depth control system  
Z-Chart used as secondary depth control system  
Log correlated to SLB log 2C dated 14-Oct-2020



Reference Log Date14-Oct-2020Log correlated to SLD log 2C dated 14-Oct-2020

Subsequent Trip Down Log

Correction

USIT - Fluid Properties Measurement

Run Name	Pass Name	Start Depth(ft)	Stop Depth(ft)
Run 1	Log[7]:Up	6919.99	47.89

Fluid Velocity = "Automatic".  
CFVL equals DFSL channel

Start Depth(ft)	Stop Depth(ft)	Start Value(us/ft)	End Value(us/ft)
-----------------	----------------	--------------------	------------------

Mud Impedance = "FreePipe Norm."  
Free Pipe normalization zone is : 155.28m(509.46ft) to 157.89m(518.00ft)  
MUD\_N\_FRP = 1.16  
DFD = 1.20g/cm3(10.00lbm/gal)  
CZMD median computed in free pipe normalization interval = 2.23 MRayl

Start Depth(ft)	Stop Depth(ft)	Start Value(Mrayl)	End Value(Mrayl)
-----------------	----------------	--------------------	------------------

Three

ND State Log

Software Version

Acquisition System	Version
Maxwell 2020.0	10.0.202864.3100

Pass Summary

Run Name	Pass Objective	Direction	Top	Bottom	Start	Stop	DSC Mode	Depth Shift	Include Parallel Data
Three	Log[7]:Up	Up	47.89 ft	6919.99 ft	11-Feb-2021 8:20:28 PM	11-Feb-2021 10:07:46 PM	ON	11.79 ft	Yes


All depths are referenced to toolstring zero


Log


Company:Red Trail Energy LLCWell:RTE 10.2


Three: Log[7]:Up:S007


Description: USI CorrosionFormat: Log ( Import of ND State Only )Index Scale: 2 in per 100 ftIndex Unit: ftIndex Type: Measured DepthCreation Date: 11-Feb-2021 22:58:06












































Description: USI Corrosion    Format: Log ( Import of ND State Only )    Index Scale: 2 in per 100 ft    Index Unit: ft    Index Type: Measured Depth    Creation Date: 11-Feb-2021 22:58:06

Channel Processing Parameters				
Three: Parameters				
Parameter	Description	Tool	Value	Unit
BARI(ISSBAR)	Barite Mud Presence Flag	Borehole	No	
BERJ	Bad Echo Rejection	USIT-E	On	
BHS	Borehole Status (Open or Cased Hole)	Borehole	Cased	
BS	Bit Size	WLSESSION	Depth Zoned	in
CASING_PRATIO	Casing Poisson Ratio	USIT-E	Standard Poisson Ratio	
CBLO	Casing Bottom (Logger)	WLSESSION	7025	ft
CDEN	Cement Density	USIT-E	Depth Zoned	lbm/gal
CDEN	Cement Density	EDTC-B	16.69	lbm/gal
CMTY(U-USIT_CEMT)	Cement Type	USIT-E	Regular Cement	
THNO	Nominal Casing Thickness - Zoned along logger depths	WLSESSION	0.408	in



CYSTLGR	Casing Yield Strength - Zoned along logger depths	WLSESSION	0	psi
DFD	Drilling Fluid Density	Borehole	10	lbm/gal
DFT_CATEGORY	Drilling Fluid Type	Borehole	Water	
DTMD	Borehole Fluid Slowness	Borehole	200	us/ft
FD	Fluid Density	USIT-E	10	lbm/gal
FDII	FPM Data Interpolation Interval	USIT-E	0	ft
GCSE_DOWN_PASS	Generalized Caliper Selection for WL Log Down Passes	Borehole	BS(RT)	
GCSE_UP_PASS	Generalized Caliper Selection for WL Log Up Passes	Borehole	BS(RT)	
GR_MULTIPLIER	Gamma Ray Multiplier	EDTC-B	1	
HEMA	Hematite Presence Flag	Borehole	No	
IBC_FRP_OFFSET	IBC Flexural Offset from Free Pipe	USIT-E	6.42	dB/m
IBC_FVEL_SEL	IBC Fluid Velocity Selection	USIT-E	Automatic	
IBC_OFFSET_SEL	IBC Flexural Offset Selector	USIT-E	UFAO	
IBC_ZMUD_SEL	IBC Mud Impedance Selection	USIT-E	FreePipe Norm.	
IMAR	Image Rotation	USIT-E	Off	
MEAS_WLEN	Tcube Processing Window Length in Measurement Mode	USIT-E	25.48	us
MUD_N_FRP	Free Pipe Mud Normalization Factor	USIT-E	1.16	
MUD_N_INV	IBC Inversion Mud Normalization Factor	USIT-E	1.15	
MUD_N_THE	Theoretical Mud Normalization Factor	USIT-E	1.05	
RCOD	Reference Calibrator Outer Diameter	USIT-E	7	in
RCSO	Reference Calibrator Standoff	USIT-E	1.181	in
RCTH	Reference Calibrator Thickness	USIT-E	0.295	in
RPLUS_PROCESS	Ultrasonic R+ Processing	USIT-E	No	
SOCN	Standoff Distance	EDTC-B	0.125	in
SOCO	Standoff Correction Option	EDTC-B	No	
THDH	Maximum Search Thickness (percentage of nominal)	USIT-E	130	%
THDL	Minimum Search Thickness (percentage of nominal)	USIT-E	70	%
TPOS_EDTC	Tool Position: Centered or Eccentered	EDTC-B	Eccentered	
U-USIT_DFSZ	Drilling Fluid Specific Acoustic Impedance	USIT-E	1.6	Mrayl
U-USIT_UFAO	SIT Flexural Attenuation Offset	USIT-E	6	dB/m
U-USIT_UIAP	IBC Answer Product Enabled	USIT-E	SolidLiquidGasMap	
THDP	Thickness Detection Policy	USIT-E	Fundamental	
VCAS	Ultrasonic Transversal Velocity in Casing	USIT-E	51.4	us/ft
ZCAS	Acoustic Impedance of Casing	USIT-E	46.25	Mrayl
ZINI	Initial Estimate of Cement Impedance	USIT-E	-1	Mrayl
ZMUD	Acoustic Impedance of Mud	Borehole	1.9	Mrayl
ZTCM	Acoustic Impedance Threshold for Cement	USIT-E	2.6	Mrayl
ZTGS	Acoustic Impedance Threshold for Gas	USIT-E	0.3	Mrayl

Depth Zone Parameters				
Parameter	Value	Start ( ft )	Stop ( ft )	
BS	20	18	92	
BS	13.5	92	1965	
BS	8.75	1965	6919.5	
CDEN	11.5	18	3802	
CDEN	14.5	3802	6919.5	
All depth are actual.				
Tool Control Parameters				

Three: Parameters				
Parameter	Description	Tool	Value	Unit

AGMN	Minimum Gain of Cartridge	USIT-E	-12	dB
AGMX	Maximum Gain of Cartridge	USIT-E	48	dB
U-USIT_DDT5	USIC Downhole Decimation for T5 only	USIT-E	0_NONE	
DOT(DOS)	Distance between Opposite Transducer Faces	USIT-E	2.874	in
EMXV	EMEX Voltage	USIT-E	65	V
HRES	Horizontal Resolution	USIT-E	10 deg	
IBC_ACQTYPE	IBC Acquisition type	USIT-E	1 MHz	
IBC_FLEXDBP	IBC Flex Duration Before Peak	USIT-E	30	us
ICE2_ACQ	Ultrasonic ICE2 Acquisition	USIT-E	Yes	
MOTOR_PROTECT	Motor Protection	USIT-E	On	
UACLV_PERM	Ultrasonic ACLV Permanent	USIT-E	Yes	
U-USIT_UFWB	Far Receiver Window Begin Time	USIT-E	126.22	us
U-USIT_UFWE	Far Receiver Window End Time	USIT-E	169.47	us
U-USIT_UNWB	Near Receiver Window Begin Time	USIT-E	91.84	us
U-USIT_UNWE	Near Receiver Window End Time	USIT-E	134.07	us
USFR	Ultrasonic Sampling Frequency	USIT-E	666667	Hz
UPAT	USIT Emission Pattern	USIT-E	Pattern 300 KHz	
UWKM	USIT Working Mode	USIT-E	10 deg at 6.0 in	
USSP	Ultrasonic Service	USIT-E	IBC	
U-USIT_UTAN	Transducer Angles	USIT-E	38_DEG	
VRES	Vertical Resolution	USIT-E	6.0 in	
WINB	Window Begin Time	USIT-E	Time Zoned	us
WINE	Window End Time	USIT-E	75.17	us

Time Zone Parameters					
Parameter	Value	Start Time	Stop Time	Start Depth ( ft )	Stop Depth ( ft )
WINB	35.17	11-Feb-2021 20:20:28	11-Feb-2021 20:37:13	6919.99	5796.51
WINB	32.32	11-Feb-2021 20:37:13	11-Feb-2021 22:07:46	5796.51	47.89

All depth are at tool zero.					
Three					
IBC Goodwin Compressed					

Pass Summary									
Run Name	Pass Objective	Direction	Top	Bottom	Start	Stop	DSC Mode	Depth Shift	Include Parallel Data
Three	Log[7]:Up	Up	47.89 ft	6919.99 ft	11-Feb-2021 8:20:28 PM	11-Feb-2021 10:07:46 PM	ON	11.79 ft	Yes

All depths are referenced to toolstring zero									
Log	Company:Red Trail Energy LLC      Well:RTE 10.2 Three: Log[7]:Up:S007								

Description: USI Goodwin    Format: Log ( IBC Goodwin )    Index Scale: 0.1 in per 100 ft    Index Unit: ft    Index Type: Measured Depth    Creation Date: 11-Feb-2021 22:58:16

TIME\_1900 - Time Marked every 60.00 (s)

Gamma Ray (ECGR\_E DTC) EDTC-B


0      150 gAPI

Amplitude


Acoustic Impedance Minimum (AIMN) USIT-E


-1 Mrayl 90 dB/ft 100


Minimum Flexural Attenuation (U-USIT\_UFAN) USIT-E












































































































Gamma Ray (ECGR\_EDTC) EDTC-B

gAPI

Casing Collar

Locator

Ultrasonic

(CCLU)

Cable Tension (TENS)

5000

lbf

TIME\_1900 - Time Marked every 60.00 (s)

Description: Format: Log ( Correlation 5 Inch ) Index Scale: 5 in per 100 ft Index Unit: ft Index Type: Measured Depth Creation Date: 11-Feb-2021 22:58:19

XYZ

Company:Red Trail Energy LLC Well:RTE 10.2


Three: Log[7]:Up:S007

# Fluid Acoustic Slowness vs Depth

2D Cross Plot

Index Range: From 6919.00 to 47.50 ft

● CFVL-UDEP



XYZ

Company:Red Trail Energy LLC Well:RTE 10.2

Three: Log[7]:Up:S007


# Acoustic Impedance of Mud vs Depth

2D Cross Plot



Index Range: From 6919.00 to 47.50 ft

● CZMD-UDEP



Company:	Red Trail Energy LLC	Schlumberger
Well:	RTE 10.2	
Field:	Wildcat	
County:	Stark	
State:	North Dakota	
Isolation Scanner		
ND State		
Gamma Ray - CCL Log		

**Kadrmass, Bethany R.**

---

**From:** Phillips, David R.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 4:42 PM  
**To:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn  
**Cc:** Bender, Lawrence; Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Subject:** RE: Red Trail Energy LLC, Case Nos. 28848, 28849, 28850

This request is granted. The record will remain open until the end of the day, September 1, 2021.

**David R. Phillips**

Assistant Attorney General  
500 North 9th Street  
Bismarck, ND 58501-4509  
Direct: (701) 328-4944  
[drphillips@nd.gov](mailto:drphillips@nd.gov)

---

**From:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn <lodden@fredlaw.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 4:35 PM  
**To:** Phillips, David R. <drphillips@nd.gov>  
**Cc:** Bender, Lawrence <LBender@fredlaw.com>; Kadrmass, Bethany R. <brkadrmass@nd.gov>  
**Subject:** Red Trail Energy LLC, Case Nos. 28848, 28849, 28850

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Assistant Attorney General Phillips,

Lawrence Bender asked me to relay the following message to you:

As you know, this office made a request on behalf of Red Trail Energy LLC on August 20, 2021 for the record to remain open until today, August 25, 2021. However, Red Trail is unable to make this deadline and is requesting an additional week, or until Wednesday, September 1, 2021, for the record to remain open so it may supplement the record in these matters.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you for your consideration.

Lawrence



*\*\*This is a transmission from the law firm of Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately at our telephone number (701) 221-8700. The name and biographical data provided above are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be a signature or other indication of an intent by the sender to authenticate the contents of this electronic message.\*\**

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Phillips, David R.  
**Sent:** Friday, August 20, 2021 8:36 AM  
**To:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn  
**Cc:** Bender, Lawrence; Kadrmass, Bethany R.; Hamilton, Melissa J.  
**Subject:** RE: Red Trail Energy letter RE deadline to supplement the record  
**Attachments:** Red Trail D Phillips letter.pdf

The attached request is granted. The record will remain open through August 25, 2021 for Red Trail Energy to submit the supplements discussed at the hearing.

### David R. Phillips

Assistant Attorney General  
500 North 9th Street  
Bismarck, ND 58501-4509  
Direct: (701) 328-4944  
[drphillips@nd.gov](mailto:drphillips@nd.gov)

---

**From:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn <lodden@fredlaw.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, August 20, 2021 8:25 AM  
**To:** Phillips, David R. <drphillips@nd.gov>  
**Cc:** Bender, Lawrence <LBender@fredlaw.com>; Kadrmass, Bethany R. <brkadmars@nd.gov>  
**Subject:** Red Trail Energy letter RE deadline to supplement the record

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

David,

Please see the attached letter from Lawrence.



*\*\*This is a transmission from the law firm of Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately at our telephone number (701) 221-8700. The name and biographical data provided above are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be a signature or other indication of an intent by the sender to authenticate the contents of this electronic message.\*\**

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn <lodden@fredlaw.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, August 20, 2021 8:25 AM  
**To:** Phillips, David R.  
**Cc:** Bender, Lawrence; Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Subject:** Red Trail Energy letter RE deadline to supplement the record  
**Attachments:** Red Trail D Phillips letter.pdf

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

David,

Please see the attached letter from Lawrence.



*\*\*This is a transmission from the law firm of Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately at our telephone number (701) 221-8700. The name and biographical data provided above are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be a signature or other indication of an intent by the sender to authenticate the contents of this electronic message.\*\**

August 20, 2021

VIA EMAIL

Mr. David Phillips  
Assistant Attorney General  
NDIC, Oil and Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard  
Bismarck, ND 58505


**RE: Red Trail Energy LLC**  
**Case Nos. 28848, 28849, 28850**

Dear David:

At the hearing held for the captioned matters on August 12, 2021, it was discussed that the record would remain open with Red Trail Energy LLC making a request as to a specific date the following week. Accordingly, please accept this letter as Red Trail's request for the record to remain open until Wednesday, August 25, 2021, for it to supplement the record as requested at hearing in the captioned matters.

Should you have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,



LAWRENCE BENDER

LB/leo

cc: Ms. Kerryanne Leroux *Via Email*  
73675640.1

Attorneys & Advisors  
main 701.221.8700  
fax 701.221.8750  
fredlaw.com

Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.  
1133 College Drive, Suite 1000  
Bismarck, North Dakota  
58501-1215



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

"Our Farms, Our Fuel, Our Future"

PO Box 11 Richardton, ND 58652 (701)-974-3308 FAX (701)-974-3309

## RED TRAIL ENERGY – CARBON DIOXIDE GEOLOGIC STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT

North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Facility Permit Application

*Prepared for:*

Lynn Helms

North Dakota Industrial Commission  
Oil & Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard Avenue  
Department 405  
Bismarck, ND 58505-0840

*Prepared by:*

Dustin Willett  
Gerald Bachmeier

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Highway 8 South  
PO Box 11  
Richardton, ND 58652

**RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC**  
**CASE NO. 28848**  
**EXHIBIT 2**

Energy & Environmental Research Center

University of North Dakota  
15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018  
Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018

INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION  
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA  
DATE 8-12-21 CASE NO. 28848-50  
Introduced By Red Trail  
Exhibit 2  
Identified By Red Trail

June 2021





# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

"Our Farms, Our Fuel, Our Future"

PO Box 11 Richardton, ND 58652 (701)-974-3308 FAX (701)-974-3309

## RED TRAIL ENERGY – CARBON DIOXIDE GEOLOGIC STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT

North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Facility Permit Application

*Prepared for:*

Lynn Helms

North Dakota Industrial Commission  
Oil & Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard Avenue  
Department 405  
Bismarck, ND 58505-0840

*Prepared by:*

Dustin Willett  
Gerald Bachmeier

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Highway 8 South  
PO Box 11  
Richardton, ND 58652

Energy & Environmental Research Center

University of North Dakota  
15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018  
Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018

June 2021



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## 1.0 PORE SPACE ACCESS

## **1.0 PORE SPACE ACCESS**

North Dakota law explicitly grants title of the pore space in all strata underlying the surface of lands and waters to the overlying surface estate; i.e., the surface owner owns the pore space (North Dakota Century Code [NDCC] Chapter 47-31-Subsurface Pore Space Policy). Prior to issuance of the Storage Facility Permit (SFP), the storage operator is mandated by North Dakota statute for geologic storage of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) to obtain the consent of landowners who own at least 60% of the pore space of the storage reservoir. The statute also mandates that a good faith effort be made to obtain consent from all pore space owners and that all nonconsenting pore space owners are or will be equitably compensated. North Dakota law grants the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) the authority to require pore space owned by nonconsenting owners to be included in a storage facility and subject to geologic storage through pore space amalgamation. Amalgamation of pore space will be considered at an administrative hearing as part of the regulatory process required for consideration of the SFP application (NDCC § 38-22-06(3) and -06(4) and North Dakota Administrative Code [NDAC] § 43-05-01-08(1) and -08(2)).

In connection herewith, Red Trail Energy (RTE) submits the form of storage agreement attached hereto as Attachment 1, which, upon final approval by NDIC, shall govern certain rights and obligations of the storage operator and the persons owning pore space within the amalgamated storage reservoir.

RTE has identified the owners (surface and mineral); in addition, no mineral lessees or operators of mineral extraction activities are within the facility area or within 0.5 miles of its outside boundary. RTE will notify all owners of a pore space amalgamation hearing at least 45 days prior to the scheduled hearing and will provide information about the proposed CO<sub>2</sub> storage project and the details of the scheduled hearing. An affidavit of mailing will be provided to NDIC to certify that these notifications were made.

The identification of the owners, lessees, and operators that require notification was based on the following, recognizing that all surface owners also own the underlying pore space per North Dakota law, which vests the title to pore space in all strata underlying the surface of lands to the owner of the overlying surface estate (NDCC Chapter 47-31):

- A map showing the extent of the pore space that will be occupied by CO<sub>2</sub> over the life of the project, including the storage reservoir boundary and 0.5 miles (0.8 kilometers) outside of the storage reservoir boundary with a description of pore space ownership, surface owner, and pore space lessees of record (Figure 1-1 and Figure 1-2).
- A table identifying all pore space (surface) owners, each owner's mailing address, and a legal description of pore space landownership (Table 1-1).
- A table identifying each owner of record of minerals and each mineral lessee of record (Table 1-2).

Note: All surface owners and pore space owners and lessees are the same owner of record, and there are no operators of mineral extraction activities within the storage facility area.






Figure 1-1. Storage facility area map.





**Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification**

Owner, Lessee, or Operator Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff	3729 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2S2
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2S2
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: SE4
Vernon J. Tormaschy and Kathleen M. Tormaschy	3549 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: E2SW4 and W2SW4
Karen Messmer	8860 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: SE4
Neal C. and Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	10339 Hwy 10	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: North Tract in E2 and Tract B in E2
Jody A. Hoff and Marla A. Hoff	3729 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: Tract in NE4NE4
Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	8601 Hwy 10 E	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: Tract in NE4NE4
Jody A. Hoff and Marla A. Hoff	8601 Hwy 10 E	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NE4 less tracts
Neal C. and Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	10339 Hwy 10	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: Tract in SE4 North of I-94
Gerald L. Hoff	422 1st Ave. W	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: 15.09-acre Tract in SE4 and 76.1-acre Tract in SW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification (continued)**

Owner, Lessee, or Operator Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Joann Hoselton	13877 145th St. SW	Red Lake Falls	MN	56750	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: 15.09-acre Tract in SE4 and 76.1-acre Tract in SW4
Barbara Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 S	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: 15.09-acre Tract in SE4 and 76.1-acre Tract in SW4
William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff	Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4
William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff	Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: Tracts in S2
Neal C. and Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	10339 Hwy 10	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4 and SW4 less Tracts
Richard L. Hauck and Linda Hauck	8559 Hwy 10 East	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: 7.51-acre Tract in SE4SW4
Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff	3729 86th Ave. S	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: N2N2NW4
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: N2N2NW4
Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NW4 less N2N2NW4
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte R. Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: NW4
Craig S. Fisher	8330 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: SW4 less tracts

Continued . . .

**Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification (continued)**

Owner, Lessee, or Operator Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Kevin Frederick	1325 27th St. SE #900	Minot	ND	58701	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: 18.3-acre Tract in NW4SW4
Kenneth Moore	Box 56	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: East 40 acres of SW4
Craig S. Fisher	8330 39th St SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: N2 lying north of Northern Pacific Railway ROW
Sheldon Fisher	8330 39th St SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: N2 lying south of Northern Pacific Railway ROW and S2 less tracts
Dwight F. Schank	3840 91st Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: All
Karen L. Messmer	1990 Mesquite Lp	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: All
Karen L. Messmer	1990 Mesquite Lp	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2
Gerald L. Hoff and JoAnn Hoselton	422 1st Ave. West	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4
Jeffrey R. Hoff	3960 87th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: E2
Messmer Farms LLP	10844 East Queensborough Ave.	Mesa	AZ	85212	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NW4
Lori Linder	613 Rose Ave.	Wheatland	CA	95692	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: E2NW4 and W2NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification (continued)**

Owner, Lessee, or Operator Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Randy Mischel	7410 Keystone Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: N2SE4
Gary Mischel	1036 SE 6th St.	Cape Coral	FL	33990	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2SE4
Dalton Rixen	201 Linden Ave.	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: N2SW4
Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	3713 36th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: W2NE4 and E2NE4
Kent Mischel	5411 Trace Bd	Bryan	TX	77807	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NW4

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Lee Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Lucille C. Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Althea Prible	12015 SW Rose Vista Dr.	Portland	OR	97223	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Carole Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Rose Schnell	7536 SE 141st Ave.	Portland	OR	97236	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Aloys Gress	7526 East Maple Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98664	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Anton Gress	941 NE 113 Ave.	Portland	OR	97200	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
George Gress	10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6	Yuma	AZ	85365	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
John Gress	3140 Hwy 8	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
John Gress Family Trust					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Gerald Gress	3112 La Tierra Dr.	Roswell	NM	88201	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Francis Gress	825 Elm Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Victor Gress	488 NW 6th Ave. Apt. 12	Gresham	OR	97013	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Barbara E. Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 South	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NE4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NE4
Lee Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Lucille C. Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Althea Prible	12015 SW Rose Vista Dr.	Portland	OR	97223	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Carole Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Rose Schnell	7536 SE 141st Ave.	Portland	OR	97236	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Aloys Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Eleanor Gaman	7526 East Maple Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98664	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Anton Gress	836 S Curry St Unit 304	Portland	OR	97239	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
George Gress	10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6	Yuma	AZ	85368	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
John Gress	3140 Hwy 8	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
John Gress Family Trust					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Gerald Gress	3112 La Tierra Dr.	Roswell	MN	88201	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Francis Gress	825 Elm Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Victor Gress	488 NW 6th Ave. Apt. 12	Gresham	OR	97013	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Kathleen McVay	14530 Westchester Dr.	Colorado Springs	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Curtis Hoff	4817 Cheyenne Dr.	Larkspur	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Joyce Kastner	4720 Ignacio Ave.	Loveland	CO	80118	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jane Will	1222 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	50538	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Joel Hoff	1141 Clark	Billings	MT	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Theodore Hoff	Box 7268	Bozeman	MT	49102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Emily Knopik	903 13th St. West	Billings	MT	49771	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Regina Pfeifer	1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Rose Mary Hoff	21138 Saddleback Circle	Parker	CO	80138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Barbara E. Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 South	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Sarah Jane Wolf	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Ann Geck	716 East Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Kathryn Geck	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	MD	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Clemens Geck	668 Knollwood Dr.	Woodland	CA	95695	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Sarah Surry	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Ann Kilzer	716 E. Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Kathryn Dorgan	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust, dated 01/04/1982	Box 371	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
James L. Hoff	606 Dakota St. N	Elgin	ND	58533	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Lee Ann Hoff	78 Stratford St.	West Roxbury	MA	02132	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Kenneth Hoff	6165 Paisley Dr. North	Olmstead	OH	44070	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Marie Hoff	4262 Shaw, Apt 1 East	St. Louis	MO	63100	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Lee R. Hoff	2618 South Willow Wood	Mesa	AZ	85209	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Bernadine Hoff	7202 Lake Shore Rd	Derby	NY	14047	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Judith Lee Dinyer	318 Bluffview Dr.	Brownwood	TX	76801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust, dated 06/29/2012	340 North Ave. East	Missoula	MT	59801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Kathleen McVay	14530 Westchester Dr.	Colorado Springs	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Curtis Hoff	4817 Cheyenne Dr.	Larkspur	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Joyce Kastner	4720 Ignacio Ave.	Loveland	CO	80118	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Jane Will	1222 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	50538	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Joel Hoff	1141 Clark	Billings	MT	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Theodore Hoff	Box 7268	Bozeman	MT	49102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Emily Knopik	903 13th St. West	Billings	MT	49771	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Regina Pfeifer	1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Rose Mary Hoff	21138 Saddleback Circle	Parker	CO	80138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Sarah Jane Wolf	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Ann Geck	716 East Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Kathryn Geck	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	MD	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Clemens Geck	668 Knollwood Dr.	Woodland	CA	95695	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Sarah Surry	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Ann Kilzer	716 East Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Kathryn Dorgan	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust, dated 01/04/1982	Box 371	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
James L. Hoff	606 Dakota St. North	Elgin	ND	58533	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Lee Ann Hoff	78 Stratford St.	West Roxbury	MA	02132	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Kenneth Hoff	6165 Paisley Dr. North	Olmstead	OH	44070	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Marie Hoff	4262 Shaw, Apt 1 East	St. Louis	MO	63100	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Lee R. Hoff	2618 South Willow Wood	Mesa	AZ	85209	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Bernadine Hoff	7202 Lake Shore Rd	Derby	NY	14047	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Judith Lee Dinyer	318 Bluffview Dr.	Brownwood	TX	76801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust, dated 06/29/2012	340 North Ave. East	Missoula	MT	59801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Kathleen McVay	14530 Westchester Dr.	Colorado Springs	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Curtis Hoff	4817 Cheyenne Dr.	Larkspur	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Joyce Kastner	4720 Ignacio Ave.	Loveland	CO	80118	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Jane Will	1222 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	50538	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Joel Hoff	1141 Clark	Billings	MT	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Theodore Hoff	Box 7268	Bozeman	MT	49102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Emily Knopik	903 13th St. West	Billings	MT	49771	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Regina Pfeifer	1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Rose Mary Hoff	21138 Saddleback Circle	Parker	CO	80138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Sarah Jane Wolf	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Ann Geck	716 East Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Kathryn Geck	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	MD	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Clemens Geck	668 Knollwood Dr.	Woodland	CA	95695	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Sarah Surry	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Ann Kilzer	716 E. Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Kathryn Dorgan	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust, dated 01/04/1982	Box 371	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
James L. Hoff	606 Dakota St. North	Elgin	ND	58533	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Lee Ann Hoff	78 Stratford St.	West Roxbury	MA	02132	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Kenneth Hoff	6165 Paisley Dr. North	Olmstead	OH	44070	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Marie Hoff	4262 Shaw, Apt 1 East	St. Louis	MO	63100	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Lee R. Hoff	2618 South Willow Wood	Mesa	AZ	85209	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Bernadine Hoff	7202 Lake Shore Rd	Derby	NY	14047	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Judith Lee Dinyer	318 Bluffview Dr.	Brownwood	TX	76801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust, dated 06/29/2012	340 N Ave. East	Missoula	MT	59801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: S4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Magdalena Hauck					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Carolyn Jurgens	PO Box 204	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Robert Bosch	7032 57th Dr. NE	Marysville	WA	98270	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Patty Bosch	2013 Hewitt Dr.	Billings	MT	59102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Kaire Bosch	3170 121st Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Richard Hauck	8559 Hwy 10 East	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Marilyn Marx	3129 Lakeview Dr.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Gladys Schwehr	1716 West 40th Ave.	Kennewick	WA	99337	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Dwight Hauck	41625 228th Ave. SE	Enumclaw	WA	98022- 9079	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Glenn Hauck	947 – 24th St. West	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
David Hauck	2233 Hwy 8	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Bryan Hauck	PO Box 154	Smoot	WY	83126	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Frank Hoff, Jr.					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Juanita Baesler	409 Ashbrook Ln	Russellville	AR	72802	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaeysk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
William Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Faye Stockie King	2117 Debra Dr.	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr. 5009	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Mark Stockie	West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Heather Moff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	2718 N 153rd Dr.	Goodyear	AZ	85395	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Ann Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Tristan Hoff	1 Michele Ln	Kennebunk	ME	04043	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Daniel Hoff	12040 SW Fairfield St.	Beaverton	OR	97005	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Jane Hoff Hutz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Edward Wehri	2639 Camino Lenada	Oakland	CA	94611	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James E. Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Ann Clara Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Lee Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Lucille C. Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Althea Prible	12015 SW Rose Vista Dr.	Portland	OR	97223	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Rose Schnell	7536 SE 141st Ave.	Portland	OR	97236	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Aloys Gress	7526 East Maple Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98664	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Eleanor Gaman					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Anton Gress	836 South Curry St. Unit 304	Portland	OR	97239	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
George Gress	10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6	Yuma	AZ	85365	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
John Gress	3140 Hwy 8	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Gerald Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992	3112 La Tierra Dr.	Rosewell	NM	88201	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Francis Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992	825 Elm Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Victor Gress	488 NW 6th Ave. Apt. 12	Gresham	OR	97013	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Frank Hoff, Jr.					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Juanita Baesler	409 Ashbrook Ln	Russellville	AR	72802	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
William Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Faye Stockie King	2117 Debra Dr.	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Heather Moff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
James Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	2718 N 153rd Dr.	Goodyear	AZ	85395	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Ann Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Tristan Hoff	1 Michele Ln	Kennebunk	ME	04043	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Daniel Hoff	12040 SW Fairfield St.	Beaverton	OR	97005	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Jane Hoff Hutz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Edward Wehri	2639 Camino Lenada	Oakland	CA	94611	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
James E. Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Ann Clara Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Frank Hoff, Jr.					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Juanita Baesler	409 Ashbrook Ln	Russellville	AR	72802	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
William Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Faye Stockie King	2117 Debra Dr.	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Heather Moff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	2718 N 153rd Dr.	Goodyear	AZ	85395	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Ann Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Tristan Hoff	1 Michele Ln	Kennebunk	ME	04043	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Daniel Hoff	12040 SW Fairfield St.	Beaverton	OR	97005	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jane Hoff Hutz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Edward Wehri	2639 Camino Lenada	Oakland	CA	94611	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James E. Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Ann Clara Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
State Treasurer, as Trustee for the State of North Dakota	1707 N 9th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Robert D. Barth	PO Box 270	Dickinson	ND	58562	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Lorraine Thompson	5990 Tanforan Ct.	Fair Oaks	CA	95628-2634	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Lucille Wendt	PO Box 788	Medical Lake	WA	99022	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Delnita Messer	3052 Lakeview Dr.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Kim Glasser	1228 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Randy Barth	581 Cottonwood Loop	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Larry Meyer	252 7th Ln SW	Fairfield	MT	59436	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Steve Meyer	205 7th Ave. NW	Watford City	ND		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Nancy Bishop	22860 Sky St.	Rapid City	SD	57703	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth Trust Dated January 13, 2015	1900 West Camino Granada	Yuma	AZ	85364	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co-Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015	1307 N 18th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Luann Woeste	1014 1st Ave. NW	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Pamela Meissner	650 52-1/2 Ave. SW #12	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Alicia Holum	5512 64th Ave. NW	Gig Harbor	WA		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Kathleen Mangan	3053 N 19th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Cynthia Martin	5110 99th Ave. SW	Lefor	ND	58641	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Wayne Pechtl	3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Jeanne Betlaf	8075 Haas Ln	Blackhawk	SD		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
AgriBank, FCB	30 East 7th St. Suite 1600	St. Paul	MN		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Robert D. Barth	PO Box 270	Dickinson	ND	58562	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Lorraine Thompson	5990 Tanforan Ct.	Fair Oaks	CA	95628- 2634	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Lucille Wendt	PO Box 788	Medical Lake	WA	99022	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Delnita Messer	3052 Lakeview Dr.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Kim Glasser	1228 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Randy Barth	581 Cottonwood Loop	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Larry Meyer	252 7th Ln SW	Fairfield	MT	59436	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Steve Meyer	205 7th Ave. NW	Watford City	ND		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Nancy Bishop	22860 Sky St.	Rapid City	SD	57703	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth Trust Dated January 13, 2015	1900 West Camino Granada	Yuma	AZ	85364	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co-Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015	1307 N 18th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Luann Woeste	1014 1st Ave. NW	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Pamela Meissner	650 52-1/2 Ave. SW #12	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Alicia Holum	5512 64th Ave. NW	Gig Harbor	WA		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Kathleen Mangan	3053 N 19th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Cynthia Martin	5110 99th Ave. SW	Lefor	ND	58641	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Wayne Pechtl	3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Jeanne Betlaf	8075 Haas Ln	Blackhawk	SD		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
State Treasurer, as Trustee for the State of North Dakota	1707 N 9th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Robert D. Barth	PO Box 270	Dickinson	ND	58562	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Lorraine Thompson	5990 Tanforan Ct.	Fair Oaks	CA	95628-2634	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Lucille Wendt	PO Box 788	Medical Lake	WA	99022	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Delnita Messer	3052 Lakeview Dr.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Kim Glasser	1228 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Randy Barth	581 Cottonwood Loop	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Larry Meyer	252 7th Ln SW	Fairfield	MT	59436	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Steve Meyer	205 7th Ave. NW	Watford City	ND		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Nancy Bishop	22860 Sky St.	Rapid City	SD	57703	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth Trust Dated January 13, 2015	1900 West Camino Granada	Yuma	AZ	85364	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co-Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015	1307 N 18th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Luann Woeste	1014 1st Ave. NW	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Pamela Meissner	650 52-1/2 Ave. SW #12	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Alicia Holum	5512 64th Ave. NW	Gig Harbor	WA		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Kathleen Mangan	3053 N 19th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Cynthia Martin	5110 99th Ave. SW	Lefor	ND	58641	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Wayne Pechtl	3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Jeanne Betlaf	8075 Haas Ln	Blackhawk	SD		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
John Messmer					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Regina V. Messmer	145 Wilson St.	Bordulac	ND	58421	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Amalia Amann	N 1818 Cook St.	Spokane	WA	99207	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Joe Messmer	4478 Essex St. SE	Salem	OR	97301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Rose Steiner		Reeder	ND	58649	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Beatrice Zimmerman	620 112th St. SE #316	Everett	WA	98208	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Jack Messmer					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Ida Stergios	4043 Lucille Ave. SE	Salem	OR	97302	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Anna Grassest	3016 Oak Crest Dr. NW	Salem	OR	97306	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Francis Messmer	4825 Yellowstone Court NE	Salem	OR	97301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Linus Messmer	4121 Markins Dr.	Corpus Christi	TX	78411	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Albert Messmer	Rt. 3, Box 16	Mott	ND	58646	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Ernest Messmer					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Kathy L. Hoyt, as Trustee of the Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust dated August 10, 2011	1013 Fir Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Donald J. Blatz and Venita F. Blatz, Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust, under Trust Agreement dated June 27, 1995	7718 Mustang Ln	Lina Lakes	MN	55014	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J. Messmer Living Trust	PO Box 13	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Victor Messmer and Clara Messmer	3515 N 19th St., Apt. 4	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Karen Messmer, as Trustee of T K Messmer Mineral Trust	1990 Mesquite Loop	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
James Walby and Mary Ann Walby	502 2nd St. SW	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
William R. Messmer and Jennifer Lynne Messmer	11303 Halma Ln	Woodstock	IL	60098	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Jennifer Anne Hischer	445 31st Ave. East	West Fargo	ND	58078	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Paul Robert Helten	3147 Morgan Circle	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Gerald T. Rixen	PO Box 9583	Fargo	ND	58109	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Patricia M. Meyer	1902 East Beck Ln	Phoenix	AZ	85022- 3341	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Linda M. Reisenauer	PO Box 116	New England	ND	58647	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Dennis J. Rixen	508 5th St. NE	Jamestown	ND	58401	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Leroy A. Rixen, Jr.	37 - 29th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Wayne M. Rixen	1301 4th St. NE	Jamestown	ND	58401	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Bonnie J. Saetz	3030 115th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Dennis Mischel	Box 6	Horace	ND	58049	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: E2NE4
Lori Linder	613 Rose Ave.	Wheatland	CA	95692	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: E2NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Donald Mischel	608 Lynn Dr.	Argusville	ND	58005	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: W2NE4
Diane Mischel	5212 Meadow Ln Court	Rapid City	SD	57703-6581	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: W2NW4
United States of America Bureau of Land Management	5001 Southgate Dr.	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Garrett BTF Minerals, LLC	9701 North Broadway	Oklahoma City	OK	73114	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
The Pfannenstiel Company, LLC	PO Box 12928	Oklahoma City	OK	73157	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Somerset Development, Inc.	15660 North Dallas Parkway, Suite 700	Dallas	TX	75248	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Youngblood LTD	3826 N. Versailles Ave.	Dallas	TX	75209	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
J. Lee Youngblood, Trustee	128 West Denver Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Estate of Jerry Schnell	2522 West Meredith Dr. (1993)	Vienna	VA	22181	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Carla Schnell	2522 West Meredith Dr. (1993)	Vienna	VA	22181	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Gordon W. Schnell and Sandra Y. Schnell	801 9th Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Tom Schnell	1437 South Washington Ave	Royal Oaks	MI	48067	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Courtney Moody	27680 Spring Valley Rd	Farmington Hills	MI	48336	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Brian Schnell	6016 Erin Terrace	Edina	MN	55439	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
MAP2006-OK	101 N. Robinson, Suite 100	Oklahoma City	OK	73102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Dennis L. Roossien, Jr., as the duly appointed Chapter 11 Trustee for Provident Royalties, LLC, and its affiliate debtors					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Assumption Abbey	418 3rd Ave. West	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
United States of America Bureau of Land Management	5001 Southgate Dr.	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Donald Roy Gress	12881 Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Estate of Jerry Schnell	2522 West Meredith Dr.	Vienna	VA	22181	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Carla Schnell	2522 West Meredith Dr.	Vienna	VA	22181	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Gordon W. Schnell Sandra Y. Schnell	801 9th Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Tom Schnell	1437 South Washington Ave.	Royal Oaks	MI	48067	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Courtney Moody	27680 Spring Valley Rd	Farmington Hills	MI	48336	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Brian Schnell	6016 Erin Terrace	Edina	MN	55439	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Ambrose R. Hoff and Chalotte Hoff	3713 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	59652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Vernon J. and Kathleen M. Tomaschy	3549 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	59652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Great Northern Properties LP	PO Box 1745	Miles City	MT	59301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Donald R. Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Patrick M. Carroll	306 2nd Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Bonnie M. Carroll	306 2nd Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Gene Lacher and Joyce Lacher	616 S. Anderson St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
St. John's Lutheran Church	PO Box 126	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
William Robinson	Christian Colony	Ripon	WI		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Farmer's Loom & Trust Co.		New York	NY		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Edwin H. McHenry		St. Paul	MN		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
United States of America	306 2nd Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
Patrick M. Carroll and Bonnie M. Carroll	PO Box 126	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
St. John's Lutheran Church	Rt. 1, Box 41	Sentinel Butte	ND	58654	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
Home of the Range	8749 Hwy. 10	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
Jason R. Tormaschy and Hannah Tormaschy	PO Box 11	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
Red Trail Energy, LLC	306 2nd Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
BNSF Railroad Co.	2500 Lou Menk Dr.	Fort Worth	TX	76131-2830	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: E2, E2W2
Assumption Abby, Inc.	PO Box A	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: E2, E2W2
State of North Dakota	608 East Boulevard Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58505-0700	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: E2, E2W2
James L. Hoff	Route 1	Leith	ND	58551	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Lee Ann Hoff	71A Appleton	Boston	MA	2116	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Kenneth Hoff	6165 Paisley Dr. N	Olmstead	OH	44070	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Marie Hoff	4262 Shaw, Apt. 1	East St. Louis	MO	63100	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Lee R. Hoff	Box 143	Leith	ND	58551	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Bernadine Hoff	7200 Old Lake Shore Rd	Derby	NY	14047- 0266	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust	Box 371	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Regina Pfeifer	708 8th Ave. NW	Mandan	ND	58554	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Clemens Geck	668 Knollwood Dr.	Woodland	CA	95695	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Rose Mary Hoff	7939 Pecos	Denver	CO	80221	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Judith Lee Dinyer	221 East Owens Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Raymond J. Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust	340 E North Ave.	Missoula	MT	59801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Emil M. Hoff	1023 Alderson	Billings	MT	59102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Emily Knopik	1023 Alderson	Billings	MT	59102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Joel Hoff	712 Kirkland Circle #A303	Kirkland	WA	98033	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Curtis Hoff	17780 Canterbury Dr.	Monument	CO	80132	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Theodore Hoff	3380 Penwell Bridge Rd.	Belgrade	MT	59714	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Joyce Kastner	1802 W. 37th	Loveland	CO	80537	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Jane Will	1222 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Kathleen McVay	14530 Westchester Dr.	Colorado Springs	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Red Trail Energy, LLC	PO Box 11	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Adam Dale Schank	4809 Southbay Dr.	Mandan	ND	58554	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership	1107 N. 27th St., Suite 201	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
William S. Hoff & Doris Hoff	8547 Hwy 10 E	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Edward Wehri	7901 Winthrope St.	Oakland	CA	94605	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Juanita Baesler	509 Scenic Dr.	Ville Platte	LA	70586	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Frances Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
James E. Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Ann Clara Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
James Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Ann Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
William Hoff	8547 Hwy 10 East	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Harlan Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N. 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Bremer Bank, NA	128 North B St., PO Box 352	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Faye Stockie King	1043 Cinnamon Ave.	Eugene	OR	97404	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Heather Hoff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	1252 First Street West	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Tristan Hoff	PO Box 10947	Jackson	WY	83002	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Daniel Hoff	426 - RD 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Jane Hoff Hotz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	3713 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff	3729 86th Ave. .	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Lee Gress	941 NE 113 Ave.	Portland	OR	97200	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Rose Schnell	941 NE 113 Ave.	Portland	OR	97200	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97218	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Aloys Gress	5100 NE 19th Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98660	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Anton Gress	941 N.E. 113 Ave.	Portland	OR	97200	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
George Gress	Doby Lou's Trailer Park, 1980 Colorado St.	Yuma	AZ	85364	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Victor Gress	3250 SE Hillyard Rd	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
John Gress		Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Ambrose R. Hoff and Chalotte Hoff	3713 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	59652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
AgriBank	30 E. 7th St., #1600	St. Paul	MN	55101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Joel and Linda Zimmerman, Trustees of the Zimmerman Living Trust	44236 N 12th St.	New River	AZ	85087	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
R.A. Couse and Darlene Couse, Trustees of the Robert and Darlene Couse Trust	493 Avenida Dr.	Arroyo Grande	CA	93420	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Marie Wehri	17 South Merriam Ave.	Miles City	MT	59301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Alvin Hoff	426 - RD - 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Juanita Baesler	409 Ashbrook Ln	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Frances Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Earl E. Hart III	629 N St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
James E. Hart,	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Ann Clara Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
William Hoff	8547 Hwy 10 East	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Mitch Erdle	8160 35th St.	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Faye Stockie King	1043 Cinnamon Ave.	Eugene	OR	97404	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
James Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Ann Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
William J. Jones, Earl E. Hart and Denise M. Drye, Co-Trustees of the Residual Trust under the Jones Family Living Trust Dated January 14, 1992	1507 Shaw Dr.	San Jose	CA	95118	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Edward Wehri	7901 Winthrope St.	Oakland	CA	94605	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Heather Hoff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	1252 First St. West	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Tristan Hoff	PO Box 10947	Jackson	WY	83002	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Daniel Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Jane Hoff Hotz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Samantha Mitchell Hart	629 N 18th St.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Dakota Community Bank and Trust	609 Main St. PO Box 431	Hebron	ND	58638-0431	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Rocky Mountain Exploration, Inc.	5441 Preserve Parkway S	Greenwood Village	CO	80121	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Tracker Resources Development II, LLC	1050 17th St., Suite 975	Denver	CO	80265	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
BNSF Railway Company	2500 Lou Menk Dr.	Fort Worth	TX	76131-2830	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership	1101 N 27th St., Suite 201	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
State of North Dakota	608 East Boulevard Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58505-0700	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Kenneth E. Moore	8465 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Gerald R. Aluisse & Valerie A. Aluisse	8441 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Sheldon Fisher	8330 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Naomi Elkins	131 Boise	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Janice Faye Wahlers	44628 308 St.	Mission Hill	SD	57046	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Cheryl Harriet Keenan	15922 Dunmoor	Houston	TX	77059	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Joy Beth Mische	1335 Hwy 30	Pipestone	MN	56164	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Melodie Joy Alt	7015 County Rd 4	Grafton	ND	58237	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
William S. Hoffand Doris Hoff	Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Frank Hoff, Jr.					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Edward Wehri	7901 Winthrop St.	Oakland	CA	94605	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Juanita Baesler	5009 Scenic Dr.	Ville Platte	LA	70586	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Frances Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
James E. Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Ann Clara Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Faye Stockie King	1043 Cinnamon Ave..	Eugene	OR	97404	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
James Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Ann Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Heather Hoff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	1252 First St. West	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Tristan Hoff	PO Box 10947	Jackson	WY	83002	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Daniel Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Jane Hoff Hotz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	101 N Phillips Ave.	Sioux Falls	SD	57104	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
State of North Dakota	1707 N 9th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
James Erdle	8840 37th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Mary Mooer	192 Hwy 200 South	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Kathleen Heimbuch	9748 122nd Ave. SE	Cogswell	ND	58017	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Lucille Trotman	2701 Berkshire Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Teresa Hoff	128 West Denver Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Karen Elstoen	505 Halyard Dr.	Allen	TX	75013	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Jerome Erdle	21051 Gresham St.; Apt 201	Canoga Park	CA	91304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Tim Erdle	16901 Northridge Ave. N	Marine On St. Croix	MN	55047	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Assumption Abbey	PO Box A	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Carey D. Rummel	534 10th St. West	West Fargo	ND	58078	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Darcie M. Rummel	2327 Hoover Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Peggy A. Rummel	7735 Hwy 9 SE	Carrington	ND	58421	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Peggy A. Rummel	7735 Hwy 9 SE	Carrington	ND	58421	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Anthony Messmer and Karen Messmer, as Trustees of the TK Messmer Mineral Trust	8860 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Barbara E. Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 South	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Gerald L. Hoff	422 1st Ave. West	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Joann Hoselton	13877 145th St. SW	Red Lake Falls	MN	56750	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Sharon Schaefer	12012 NW 35th Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98685	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Ambrose Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Rita Schaefer	5415 N 179 Dr.	Litchfield Park	AZ	85340	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Jeffrey Hoff	3960 87th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Lucas Hoff	8969 31st St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Fred J. Williams III, as Trustee of the Fred J. Williams III 2017 GST Trust under agreement dated January 27, 2010, as amended	4437 Beach Ln South	Fargo	ND	58104	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Fred J. Williams III and Jennifer G. Williams, collectively, as Trustees of the Jennifer G. Williams GST Trust under agreement, effective August 6, 2020	6119 East Osborn Rd	Scottsdale	AZ	85251	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Bruce C. Fjelde, as Trustee of the Bruce C. Fjelde Revocable Trust, dated the 13th day of July, 2015	1200 Harwood Dr. South, #127	Fargo	ND	58104	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Williams Mineral Investments, LLC	1042 Morningside Court	Casselton	ND	58012	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Frederick W. Burgum	Box 206	Arthur	ND	58006	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
A. C. Johnson	Box 2643, 1736-8 St. S	Fargo	ND	58108	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Black Stone Minerals Company, L.P.	1001 Fannin, Suite 2020	Houston	TX	77002- 6709	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Bonnie J. Saetz	3030 115th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Jolene F. Gress	746 8th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Jerilyn L. Haberstroh	6608 80th Ave. SW	Mott	ND	58646	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Michelle L. Kuhn	1201 Prairie View Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Gerald T. Rixen	PO Box 9583	Fargo	ND	58106- 9583	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Patricia M. Meyer	7821 Arroyo Dr.	Paradise Valley	AZ	85253-3006	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Linda M. Reisenauer	Rt. 2, Box 87	New England	ND	58647	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Wayne M. Rixen	3421 East Acoma Dr.	Phoenix	AZ	85032-5165	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Dennis J. Rixen	117 2nd Ave. East	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
LeRoy A. Rixen, Jr.	RR 1, Box 60	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Barabra E. Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 South	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Joann Hoselton	13877 145th St. SW	Red Lake Falls	MN	56750	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Sharon Schaefer	12012 NW 35th Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98685	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Gerald L. Hoff	422 1st Ave. West	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Ambrose Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Rita Schaefer	5415 N 179 Dr.	Litchfield Park	AZ	85340	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Jeffery Hoff	3960 87th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Lucas Hoff	8969 31st St. SW	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
JRH Enterprises	3960 87th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Jennifer Anne Hischer	445 31st Ave. East	West Fargo	ND	58078-8301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Paul Robert Helten	3147 Morgan Circle	Bismarck	ND	58503-0154	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Betty L. Zacher	261 Boothill Rd.	Custer	SD	57730-6223	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Kathleen A. Porubensky	6305 Mountain Meadow Dr.	Blackhawk	SD	57718	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
John J. Zacher	2221 Merlot Cr.	Fort Collins	CO	80528	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Lynn M. Groh	16147 Harvard Ln.	Lakeville	MN	55044	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Richard A. Zacher	105 Buckboard Ct.	Custer	SD	57730	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
William R. and Jennifer Lynne Messmer	11303 Halma Ln	Woodstock	IL	60098-7537	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
James and Mary Ann Walby	502 2nd St. SW	Bowman	ND	58623-4533	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Todd Walby	PO Box 784	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Scott Walby	P.O. Box 109	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Daniel Walby	1486 13th St. W	Dickinson	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jason Walby	2403 Benders Place	Mandan	ND	58554	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Eric Walby	207 9th Ave. NW	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Karen Messmer, as Trustee of the T.K. Messmer Mineral Trust	8860 39th St. W	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Terry Messmer	220 Buckingham Dr	Providence	UT	84332- 9669	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Timothy Messmer	1245 Holly St.	Denver	CO	80220	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Victoria Jessop	PO Box 265	Mott	ND	58646	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Carrie Gerving	4245 62nd Ave.	Glen Ullin	ND	58631	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Victor Messmer and Clara Messmer	3515 N 19th St., Apt. 4	Bismarck	ND	58503- 5395	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Kathy L Hoyt, as Trustee of the Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust	1031 Fir Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J. Messmer Living Trust	15 S Main St.	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Donald and Venita F. Blatz, Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust	216 Capitol Dr.	Appleton	WI	54911- 1204	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Albert Messmer		Mott	ND	58646	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

<b>Mineral Owner Name</b>	<b>Addresses</b>				<b>Legal Description</b>
	<b>Street</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	
Russell James Messmer, as Trustee of the Magdaline E. Messmer Family Mineral Trust	10695 Annette Ct.	Portland	OR	97229-8801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Rocky Mountain Exploration, Inc.	5441 Preserve Parkway S	Greenwood Village	CO	80121-2148	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Tracker Resources Development II, LLC	1050 17th St., Suite 975	Denver	CO	80265-1001	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership	1107 N 27th St., Suite 201	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Dalton John Rixen	201 Linden Ave.	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Tracy John Rixen and Debbie Ann Rixen	8429 44th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Grace Rixen-Handford	4496 85th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Gary Mischel	1036 South E 6th St.	Cape Coral	FL	33990	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Randy Mischel	7410 Keystone Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Farm Credit Services of Mandan, FLCA	1600 Old Red Trail	Mandan	ND	58554	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Joy Beth Mische	1335 State Hwy 30	Pipestone	MN	56164	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Melodie Joy Alt	7015 County Rd 4	Grafton	ND	58237	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Cheryl H. Keenan	15922 Dunmoor	Houston	TX	77059	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Janice Faye Wahlers	44628 308th St.	Mission Hill	SD	57046	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Naomi Elkins	131 Boise	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Sheldon Fisher	8330 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Dorothy Palm Monte	12420 SE Steele	Portland	OR	97236	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Angela Palm Brouillette	24335 S. Brockway Rd	Oregon City	OR	97045	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Mary Teresa Palm Miller	11272 SE 64th Ave.	Milwaukee	OR	97222	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Geriann Palm Courtney	10485 SW Kiowa St.	Tualatin	OR	97062	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Michael Palm	6627 SE Mabel Ave.	Milwaukee	OR	97267	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Chantra Boehm	2120 South 12th St.; Apt. 112	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Kent Mischel	5411 Trace Bend	Bryan	TX	77807	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Nancy Schmidt	533 South 17th St.	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Benjamin B. Saunders, Frances Fohs Sohn and Fred Sohn	1116 SE Terrace St.	Roseburg	OR	97470	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Charlotte R. Richards, Trustee, Fohs Sohn Oil and Gas Trust	PO Box 1001	Roseburg	OR	97470	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Adobe Oil Company	Petroleum Life Building	Midland	TX	79701	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
SFER Properties - A, Inc.	1616 S Voss; Suite 1000	Houston	TX	77057	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Assumption Abbey	PO Box A	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## ATTACHMENT 1

### GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT



**GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

**THIS AGREEMENT** ("Agreement") is entered into as of the 1st day of August, 2021, by the parties who have executed a pore space lease, signed the original of this instrument, a counterpart thereof, ratification and joinder by order of the Commission or other instrument agreeing to become a Party hereto.

**WITNESSETH:**

**WHEREAS**, it is in the public interest to promote the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in a manner which will benefit the state and the global environment by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and in a manner which will help ensure the viability of the state's ethanol industry, to the economic benefit of North Dakota and its citizens;

**WHEREAS**, to further geologic storage of carbon dioxide, a potentially valuable commodity, may allow for its ready availability if needed for commercial, industrial, or other uses, including enhanced recovery of oil, gas, and other minerals; and

**WHEREAS**, for geologic storage, however, to be practical and effective requires cooperative use of surface and subsurface property interests and the collaboration of property owners, which may require procedures that promote, in a manner fair to all interests, cooperative management, thereby ensuring the maximum use of natural resources.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of the premise and of the mutual agreements herein contained, it is agreed as follows:

**ARTICLE 1  
DEFINITIONS**

As used in this Agreement:

1.1 **Carbon Dioxide** means carbon dioxide in gaseous, liquid, or supercritical fluid state together with incidental associated substances derived from the source materials, capture process and any substances added or used to enable or improve the injection process.

1.2 **Commission** means the North Dakota Industrial Commission.

1.3 **Effective Date** is the time and date this Agreement becomes effective as provided in Article 14.

1.4 **Facility Area** is the land described by Tracts in Exhibit "B" and shown on Exhibit "A" containing 3480.00 acres, more or less.

1.5 **Party** is any individual, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, association, receiver, trustee, curator, executor, administrator, guardian, tutor, fiduciary, or other representative of any kind, any department, agency, or instrumentality of the state, or any governmental subdivision thereof, or any other entity capable of holding an interest in the Storage Reservoir.

1.6 **Pore Space** means a cavity or void, whether natural or artificially created, in any subsurface stratum.

1.7 **Pore Space Interest** is a right to or interest in the Pore Space in any Tract within the boundaries of the Facility Area.

1.8 **Pore Space Owner** is a Party hereto who owns Pore Space Interest.

1.9 **Storage Equipment** is any personal property, lease and well equipment, plants and other facilities and equipment for use in Storage Operations.

1.10 **Storage Expense** is all costs, expense or indebtedness incurred by the Storage Operator pursuant to this Agreement for or on account of Storage Operations.

1.11 **Storage Reservoir** consists of the Pore Space and confining subsurface strata underlying the Facility Area described as the Broom Creek Formation and geologically confined by the Opeche Formation (upper confining zone) and the Amsden Formation (lower confining zone), identified by the gamma ray and resistivity logs run in the Runnel-State 1 well (File No. 6797), located in the SE/4 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota, which encompasses the stratigraphic interval from a depth of 6315 feet to a depth of 7060 feet as measured from the Kelly Bushing elevation of 2494 feet, within the limits of the Facility Area.

1.12 **Storage Facility** is the unitized or amalgamated Storage Reservoir created pursuant to an order of the Commission.

1.13 **Storage Facility Participation** is the percentage shown on Exhibit "C" for allocating payments for use of the Pore Space under each Tract identified in Exhibit "B".

1.14 **Storage Operations** are all operations conducted by the Storage Operator pursuant to this Agreement or otherwise authorized by any lease covering any Pore Space Interest.

1.15 **Storage Operator** is the person or entity named in Section 4.1 of this Agreement.

1.16 **Storage Rights** are the rights to explore, develop, and operate lands within the Facility Area for the storage of Storage Substances.

1.17 **Storage Substances** are Carbon Dioxide and incidental associated substances and fluids.



1.18 **Tract** is the land described as such and given a Tract number in Exhibit "B."

## **ARTICLE 2 EXHIBITS**

2.1 **Exhibits.** The following exhibits, which are attached hereto, are incorporated herein by reference:

2.1.1 Exhibit "A" is a map that shows the boundary lines of the Storage Facility area and the tracts therein;

2.1.2 Exhibit "B" is a schedule that describes the acres of each Tract in the Storage Facility area;

2.1.3 Exhibit "C" is a schedule that shows the Storage Facility Participation of each Tract; and

2.1.4 Exhibit "D" is the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease.

2.2 **Reference to Exhibits.** When reference is made to an exhibit, it is to the exhibit as originally attached or, if revised, to the last revision.

2.3 **Exhibits Considered Correct.** Exhibits "A," "B," "C" and "D" shall be considered to be correct until revised as herein provided.

2.4 **Correcting Errors.** The shapes and descriptions of the respective Tracts have been established by using the best information available. If it subsequently appears that any Tract, mechanical miscalculation or clerical error has been made, Storage Operator, with the approval of Pore Space Owners whose interest is affected, shall correct the mistake by revising the exhibits to conform to the facts. The revision shall not include any re-evaluation of engineering or geological interpretations used in determining Storage Facility Participation. Each such revision of an exhibit made prior to thirty (30) days after the Effective Date shall be effective as of the Effective Date. Each such revision thereafter made shall be effective at 7:00 a.m. on the first day of the calendar month next following the filing for record of the revised exhibit or on such other date as may be determined by Storage Operator and set forth in the revised exhibit.

2.5 **Filing Revised Exhibits.** If an exhibit is revised, Storage Operator shall execute an appropriate instrument with the revised exhibit attached and file the same for record in the county or counties in which this Agreement or memorandum of the same is recorded and shall also file the amended changes with the Commission.



### ARTICLE 3 CREATION AND EFFECT OF STORAGE FACILITY

3.1 **Unleased Pore Space Interests.** Any Pore Space Owner in the Storage Facility who owns a Pore Space Interest in the Storage Reservoir that is not leased for the purposes of this Agreement and during the term hereof, shall be treated as if it were subject to the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease attached hereto as Exhibit "D".

3.2 **Amalgamation of Pore Space.** All Pore Space Interests in and to the Tracts are hereby amalgamated and combined insofar as the respective Pore Space Interests pertain to the Storage Reservoir, so that Storage Operations may be conducted with respect to said Storage Reservoir as if all of the Pore Space Interests in the Facility Area had been included in a single lease executed by all Pore Space Owners, as lessors, in favor of Storage Operator, as lessee and as if the lease contained all of the provisions of this Agreement.

3.3 **Amendment of Leases and Other Agreements.** The provisions of the various leases, agreements, or other instruments pertaining to the respective Tracts or the storage of the Storage Substances therein, including the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease attached hereto as Exhibit "D", are amended to the extent necessary to make them conform to the provisions of this Agreement, but otherwise shall remain in effect.

3.4 **Continuation of Leases and Term Interests.** Injection in to any part of the Storage Reservoir, or other Storage Operations, shall be considered as injection in to or upon each Tract within said Storage Reservoir, and such injection or operations shall continue in effect as to each lease as to all lands and formations covered thereby just as if such operations were conducted on and as if a well were injecting in each Tract within said Storage Reservoir.

3.5 **Titles Unaffected by Storage.** Nothing herein shall be construed to result in the transfer of title of the Pore Space Interest of any Party hereto to any other Party or to Storage Operator.

3.6 **Injection Rights.** Storage Operator is hereby granted the right to inject into the Storage Reservoir any Storage Substances in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, together with the right to drill, use, and maintain injection wells in the Facility Area, and to use for injection purposes.

3.7 **Transfer of Storage Substances from Storage Facility.** Storage Operator may transfer from the Storage Facility any Storage Substances, in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, to any other reservoir, subsurface stratum or formation permitted by the Commission for the storage of carbon dioxide under Chapter 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. The transfer of such Storage Substances out of the Storage Facility shall be disregarded for the purposes of calculating the royalty under any lease covering a Pore Space Interest (including Exhibit "D") and shall not affect the allocation of Storage Substances injected into the Storage Facility through the surface of the Facility Area in accordance with Article 6 of this Agreement.

3.8 **Receipt of Storage Substances.** Storage Operator may accept and receive into the Storage Facility any Storage Substances, in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, being stored in any other reservoir, subsurface stratum or formation permitted by the Commission for the storage of carbon dioxide under Chapter 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. The receipt of such Storage Substances into the Storage Facility shall be disregarded for the purposes of calculating the royalty under any lease covering a Pore Space Interest (including Exhibit "D") and shall not affect the allocation of Storage Substances injected into the Storage Facility through the surface of the Facility Area in accordance with Article 6 of this Agreement.

3.9 **Cooperative Agreements.** Storage Operator may enter into cooperative agreements with respect to lands adjacent to the Facility Area for the purpose of coordinating Storage Operations. Such cooperative agreements may include, but shall not be limited to, agreements regarding the transfer and receipt of Storage Substances pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement.

3.10 **Border Agreements.** Storage Operator may enter into an agreement or agreements with owners of adjacent lands with respect to operations which may enhance the injection of the Storage Substances in the Storage Reservoir in the Facility Area or which may otherwise be necessary for the conduct of Storage Operations.

#### **ARTICLE 4 STORAGE OPERATIONS**

4.1 **Storage Operator.** Red Trail Energy, LLC is hereby designated as the initial Storage Operator. Storage Operator shall have the exclusive right to conduct Storage Operations, which shall conform to the provisions of this Agreement and any lease covering a Pore Space Interest. If there is any conflict between such agreements, this Agreement shall govern.

4.2 **Successor Operators.** The initial Storage Operator and any subsequent operator may, at any time, transfer operatorship of the Storage Facility with and upon the approval of the Commission.

4.3 **Method of Operation.** Storage Operator shall engage in Storage Operations with diligence and in accordance with good engineering and injection practices.

4.4 **Change of Method of Operation.** Nothing herein shall prevent Storage Operator from discontinuing or changing in whole or in part any method of operation which, in its opinion, is no longer in accord with good engineering or injection practices. Other methods of operation may be conducted or changes may be made by Storage Operator from time to time if determined by it to be feasible, necessary or desirable to increase the injection or storage of Storage Substances.



## **ARTICLE 5 TRACT PARTICIPATIONS**

5.1 **Tract Participations.** The Storage Facility Participation of each Tract is shown in Exhibit "C." The Storage Facility Participation of each Tract shall be based 100% upon the ratio of surface acres in each Tract to the total surface acres for all Tracts within the Facility Area.

5.2 **Relative Storage Facility Participations.** If the Facility Area is enlarged or reduced, the revised Storage Facility Participation of the Tracts remaining in the Facility Area and which were within the Facility Area prior to the enlargement or reduction shall remain in the same ratio to one another.

## **ARTICLE 6 ALLOCATION OF STORAGE SUBSTANCES**

6.1 **Allocation of Tracts.** All Storage Substances injected shall be allocated to the several Tracts in accordance with the respective Storage Facility Participation effective during the period that the Storage Substances are injected. The amount of Storage Substances allocated to each tract, regardless of whether the amount is more or less than the actual injection of Storage Substances from the well or wells, if any, on such Tract, shall be deemed for all purposes to have been injected into such Tract. Storage Substances transferred or received pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement shall be disregarded for the purposes of this Section 6.1.

6.2 **Distribution within Tracts.** The Storage Substances injected and allocated to each Tract shall be distributed among, or accounted for to, the Pore Space Owners who own a Pore Space Interest in such Tract in accordance with the Pore Space Owners' Storage Facility Participation effective during the period that the Storage Substances were injected. If any Pore Space Interest in a Tract hereafter becomes divided and owned in severalty as to different parts of the Tract, the owners of the divided interests, in the absence of an agreement providing for a different division, shall be compensated for the storage of the Storage Substances in proportion to the surface acreage of their respective parts of the Tract. Storage Substances transferred or received pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement shall be disregarded for the purposes of this Section 6.2.

## **ARTICLE 7 TITLES**

7.1 **Warranty and Indemnity.** Each Pore Space Owner who, by acceptance of revenue for the injection of Storage Substances into the Storage Reservoir, shall be deemed to have warranted title to its Pore Space Interest, and, upon receipt of the proceeds thereof to the credit of such interest, shall indemnify and hold harmless the Storage Operator and other Parties from any loss due to failure, in whole or in part, of its title to any such interest.

7.2 **Injection When Title Is in Dispute.** If the title or right of any Pore Space Owner claiming the right to receive all or any portion of the proceeds for the storage of any Storage Substances allocated to a Tract is in dispute, Storage Operator shall require that the Pore Space

Owner to whom the proceeds thereof are paid furnish security for the proper accounting thereof to the rightful Pore Space Owner if the title or right of such Pore Space Owner fails in whole or in part.

7.3 **Payments of Taxes to Protect Title.** The owner of surface rights to lands within the Facility Area is responsible for the payment of any *ad valorem* taxes on all such rights, interests or property, unless such owner and the Storage Operator otherwise agree. If any *ad valorem* taxes are not paid by or for such owner when due, Storage Operator may at any time prior to tax sale or expiration of period of redemption after tax sale, pay the tax, redeem such rights, interests or property, and discharge the tax lien. Storage Operator shall, if possible, withhold from any proceeds derived from the storage of Storage Substances otherwise due any Pore Space Owner who is a delinquent taxpayer an amount sufficient to defray the costs of such payment or redemption, such withholding to be credited to the Storage Operator. Such withholding shall be without prejudice to any other remedy available to Storage Operator.

7.4 **Pore Space Interest Titles.** If title to a Pore Space Interest fails, but the tract to which it relates is not removed from the Facility Area, the Party whose title failed shall not be entitled to share under this Agreement with respect to that interest.

## ARTICLE 8 EASEMENTS OR USE OF SURFACE

8.1 **Grant of Easement.** Storage Operator shall have the right to use as much of the surface of the land within the Facility Area as may be reasonably necessary for Storage Operations and the injection of Storage Substances.

8.2 **Use of Water.** Storage Operator shall have and is hereby granted free use of water from the Facility Area for Storage Operations, except water from any well, lake, pond or irrigation ditch of a Pore Space Owner; notwithstanding the foregoing, Storage Operator may access any well, lake, or pond as provided in Exhibit "D".

8.3 **Surface Damages.** Storage Owner shall pay surface owners for damage to growing crops, timber, fences, improvements and structures located on the Facility Area that result from Storage Operations.

8.4 **Surface and Sub-Surface Operating Rights.** Except to the extent modified in this Agreement, Storage Operator shall have the same rights to use the surface and sub-surface and use of water and any other rights granted to Storage Operator in any lease covering Pore Space Interests. Except to the extent expanded by this Agreement or the extent that such rights are common to the effected leases, the rights granted by a lease may be exercised only on the land covered by that lease. Storage Operator will to the extent possible minimize surface impacts.

## ARTICLE 9 ENLARGEMENT OF STORAGE FACILITY

9.1 **Enlargement of Storage Facility.** The Storage Facility may be enlarged from time to time to include acreage and formations reasonably proven to be geologically capable of storing



Storage Substances. Any expansion must be approved in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Commission.

9.2 **Determination of Tract Participation.** Storage Operator, subject to Section 5.2, shall determine the Storage Facility Participation of each Tract within the Storage Facility as enlarged, and shall revise Exhibits "A", "B" and "C" accordingly and in accordance with the rules, regulations and orders of the Commission.

9.3 **Effective Date.** The effective date of any enlargement of the Storage Facility shall be effective as determined by the Commission.

## **ARTICLE 10 TRANSFER OF TITLE PARTITION**

10.1 **Transfer of Title.** Any conveyance of all or part of any interest owned by any Party hereto with respect to any Tract shall be made expressly subject to this Agreement. No change of title shall be binding upon Storage Operator, or any Party hereto other than the Party so transferring, until 7:00 a.m. on the first day of the calendar month following thirty (30) days from the date of receipt by Storage Operator of a photocopy, or a certified copy, of the recorded or filed instrument evidencing such a change in ownership.

10.2 **Waiver of Rights to Partition.** Each Party hereto agrees that, during the existence of this Agreement, it will not resort to any action to partition any Tract or parcel within the Facility Area or the facilities used in the development or operation thereof, and to that extent waives the benefits or laws authorizing such partition.

## **ARTICLE 11 RELATIONSHIP OF PARTIES**

11.1 **No Partnership.** The duties, obligations and liabilities arising hereunder shall be several and not joint or collective. This Agreement is not intended to create, and shall not be construed to create, an association or trust, or to impose a partnership duty, obligation or liability with regard to any one or more of the Parties hereto. Each Party hereto shall be individually responsible for its own obligations as herein provided.

11.2 **No Joint Marketing.** This Agreement is not intended to provide, and shall not be construed to provide, directly or indirectly, for any joint marketing of Storage Substances.

11.3 **Pore Space Owners Free of Costs.** This Agreement is not intended to impose, and shall not be construed to impose, upon any Pore Space Owner any obligation to pay any Storage Expense unless such Pore Space Owner is otherwise so obligated.

11.4 **Information to Pore Space Owners.** Each Pore Space Owner shall be entitled to all information in possession of Storage Operator to which such Pore Space Owner is entitled by an existing lease or a lease imposed by this Agreement.



## **ARTICLE 12 LAWS AND REGULATIONS**

12.1 **Laws and Regulations.** This Agreement shall be subject to all applicable federal, state and municipal laws, rules, regulations and orders.

## **ARTICLE 13 FORCE MAJEURE**

13.1 **Force Majeure.** All obligations imposed by this Agreement on each Party, except for the payment of money, shall be suspended while compliance is prevented, in whole or in part, by a labor dispute, fire, war, civil disturbance, or act of God; by federal, state or municipal laws; by any rule, regulation or order of a governmental agency; by inability to secure materials; or by any other cause or causes, whether similar or dissimilar, beyond reasonable control of the Party. No Party shall be required against his will to adjust or settle any labor dispute. Neither this Agreement nor any lease or other instrument subject hereto shall be terminated by reason of suspension of Storage Operations due to any one or more of the causes set forth in this Article.

## **ARTICLE 14 EFFECTIVE DATE**

14.1 **Effective Date.** This Agreement shall become effective as determined by the Commission.

14.2 **Ipsa Facto Termination.** If the requirements of Section 14.1 are not accomplished on or before December 31, 2021 this Agreement shall *ipso facto* terminate on that date (hereinafter called "termination date") and thereafter be of no further effect, unless prior thereto Pore Space Owners owning a combined Storage Facility Participation of at least thirty percent (30%) of the Facility Area have become Parties to this Agreement and have decided to extend the termination date for a period not to exceed six (6) months. If the termination date is so extended and the requirements of Section 14.1 are not accomplished on or before the extended termination date this Agreement shall *ipso facto* terminate on the extended termination date and thereafter be of no further effect.

14.3 **Certificate of Effectiveness.** Storage Operator shall file for record in the county or counties in which the land affected is located a certificate stating the Effective Date of this Agreement.

## ARTICLE 15

### TERM

15.1 **Term.** Unless sooner terminated in the manner hereinafter provided or by order of the Commission, this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect until the Commission has issued a certificate of project completion with respect to the Storage Facility in accordance with Section 38-22-17 of the North Dakota Century Code.

15.2 **Termination by Storage Operator.** This Agreement may be terminated at any time by the Storage Operator.

15.3 **Effect of Termination.** Upon termination of this Agreement all Storage Operations shall cease. Each lease and other agreement covering Pore Space within the Facility Area shall remain in force for ninety (90) days after the date on which this Agreement terminates, and for such further period as is provided by Exhibit "D" or other agreement.

15.4 **Salvaging Equipment Upon Termination.** If not otherwise granted by Exhibit "D" or other instruments affecting each Tract, Pore Space Owners hereby grant Storage Operator a period of six (6) months after the date of termination of this Agreement within which to salvage and remove Storage Equipment.

15.5 **Certificate of Termination.** Upon termination of this Agreement, Storage Operator shall file for record in the county or counties in which the land affected is located a certificate that this Agreement has terminated, stating its termination date.

## ARTICLE 16

### APPROVAL

16.1 **Original, Counterpart or Other Instrument.** A Pore Space Owner may approve this Agreement by entering into a pore space lease with Storage Operator signing the original of this instrument, a counterpart thereof, ratification or joinder or other instrument approving this instrument hereto. The signing of any such instrument shall have the same effect as if all Parties had signed the same instrument.

16.2 **Joinder in Dual Capacity.** Execution as herein provided by any Party as either a Pore Space Owner or the Storage Operator shall commit all interests owned or controlled by such Party and any additional interest thereafter acquired in the Facility Area.

16.3 **Approval by the North Dakota Industrial Commission.** Notwithstanding anything in this Article to the contrary, all Tracts within the Facility Area shall be deemed to be qualified for participation if this Agreement is duly approved by order of the Commission.

**ARTICLE 17  
GENERAL**

17.1 **Amendments Affecting Pore Space Owners.** Amendments hereto relating wholly to Pore Space Owners may be made with approval by the Commission.

17.4 **Construction.** This agreement shall be construed according to the laws of the State of North Dakota.

**ARTICLE 18  
SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS**

18.1 **Successors and Assigns.** This Agreement shall extend to, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, devisees, legal representatives, successors and assigns and shall constitute a covenant running with the lands, leases and interests covered hereby.

*[Remainder of page intentionally left blank. Signature page follows.]*

Executed the date set opposite each name below but effective for all purposes as provided by Article 14.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2021

**STORAGE OPERATOR**

**RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Its: \_\_\_\_\_


73044007.1



## EXHIBIT A

### Tract Map

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota





## EXHIBIT B

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

<u>Tract No.</u>	<u>Land Description</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Tract Net Acres</u>	<u>Tract Participation</u>	<u>Storage Facility Participation</u>
1	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000  <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
2	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	40.000  <b>40.000</b>	100.00000000%	1.14942529%
3	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	120.000  <b>120.000</b>	100.00000000%	3.44827586%
4	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	150.060  <b>150.060</b>	100.00000000%	4.31206897%
5	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	299.078 <b>299.078</b>	100.00000000%	8.59419540%
6	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	55.500 <b>55.500</b>	100.00000000%	1.59482759%

## EXHIBIT B

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

7	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer	64.500	100.00000000%	1.85344828%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>64.500</b>		
8	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Barbara Hoff	113.314	100.00000000%	3.25614943%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>113.314</b>		
9	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	17.878	100.00000000%	0.51373563%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>17.878</b>		
10	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	77.850	100.00000000%	2.23706897%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>77.850</b>		
11	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Richard L. Hauck Linda Hauck	10.120	100.00000000%	0.29080460%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>10.120</b>		
12	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff	68.750	100.00000000%	1.97557471%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>68.750</b>		

## EXHIBIT B

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

Neal C. & Bonnie M.  
Messer Farm Properties

13	Section 11-T139N-R92W	LLL	143.800	100.00000000%	4.13218391%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>143.800</b>		
14	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Kevin Frederick	15.000	100.00000000%	0.43103448%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>15.000</b>		
15	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher	65.000	100.00000000%	1.86781609%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>65.000</b>		
16	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher	40.959	100.00000000%	1.17698276%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>40.959</b>		
17	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher	18.658	100.00000000%	0.53614943%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>18.658</b>		
18	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher	88.223	100.00000000%	2.53514368%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>88.223</b>		
19	Section 14-T139N-R92W	Dwight Schank	607.120	100.00000000%	17.44597701%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>607.120</b>		
20	Section 15-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer	640.000	100.00000000%	18.39080460%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>640.000</b>		

## **EXHIBIT B**

### **Tract Summary**

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

21	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Messmer Farms LLP	80.000	100.00000000%	2.29885057%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>80.000</b>		
22	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Jeffrey R. Hoff	160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>160.000</b>		
23	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Lori Hinder	160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>160.000</b>		
24	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff	160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
		Charlotte Hoff			
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>160.000</b>		
25	Sections 10,11,13 & 14- T139N-R92W	BNSF Railway Company	124.190	100.00000000%	3.56867816%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>124.190</b>		
		<b>Total Acres:</b>	<b>3480.000</b>	<b>Total Participation:</b>	<b>100.00000000%</b>

## **EXHIBIT C**

### **Tract Participation Factors**

**Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota**

<b><u>Tract No.</u></b>	<b><u>Acres</u></b>	<b><u>Tract Participation Factor</u></b>
1	160.000	4.59770115%
2	40.000	1.14942529%
3	120.000	3.44827586%
4	150.060	4.31206897%
5	299.078	8.59419540%
6	55.500	1.59482759%
7	64.500	1.85344828%
8	113.314	3.25614943%
9	17.878	0.51373563%
10	77.850	2.23706897%
11	10.120	0.29080460%
12	68.750	1.97557471%
13	143.800	4.13218391%
14	15.000	0.43103448%
15	65.000	1.86781609%
16	40.959	1.17698276%
17	18.658	0.53614943%
18	88.223	2.53514368%
19	607.120	17.44597701%
20	640.000	18.39080460%
21	80.000	2.29885057%
22	160.000	4.59770115%
23	160.000	4.59770115%
24	160.000	4.59770115%
25	124.190	3.56867816%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3480.000</b>	<b>100.00000000%</b>



## **EXHIBIT D**

### **Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease**

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

### **FORM OF SURFACE USE AND PORE SPACE LEASE**

THIS SURFACE USE AND PORE SPACE LEASE (this "Lease") is made and entered into this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2018, by and between \_\_\_\_\_, whose address is \_\_\_\_\_ (whether one or more, "Lessor"), and Red Trail Energy, LLC, a North Dakota limited liability company, whose address is 3682 Hwy 8 S., Richardton, North Dakota 58652 (whether one or more, "Lessee"). Lessor and Lessee may be individually referred to herein as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties".

1. **Leased Premises.** Lessor, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, does hereby grant, demise, lease and let unto Lessee for Lessee's geologic storage operations and other purposes set forth herein, the following-described lands situated in Stark County, North Dakota:

Township \_\_\_\_ North, Range \_\_\_\_ West  
Section \_\_\_\_: \_\_\_\_

containing \_\_\_\_ acres, more or less (the "Leased Premises"), subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein.

2. **Term.** The initial term of this Lease shall be for fifty (50) years. Lessee shall have the option, but not the obligation, to extend this lease for an additional fifty (50) year term by paying a bonus of \_\_\_\_\_ and No/100 Dollars (\$\_\_\_\_\_) per net acre before the end of the initial ten (10) year term. This Lease shall continue beyond the second ten (10) year term for so long as any portion of the Leased Premises or Lessee's storage facilities are subject to a permit issued by the North Dakota Industrial Commission (the "Commission") or under the ownership or control of the State of North Dakota; *provided, however*, that all of Lessee's obligations under this Lease shall terminate upon issuance of a certificate of project completion pursuant to Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.

3. **Annual Rentals.** Lessee shall pay to Lessor an annual rental of \_\_\_\_\_ dollars (\$\_\_\_\_\_) per net acre for as long as this Lease is in effect. The annual rental shall be paid each year prior to the anniversary date of this Lease. The first year's rental has been paid to Lessor, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged. The rentals paid under this lease shall not be deducted from the royalties as they accrue. Lessee shall have the right to prepay in a lump sum the annual rentals payable during the terms of this Lease or any extension thereof. Prepaid annual rental shall be refunded on a pro-rata basis in the event this Lease is terminated due to no fault of Lessee. Lessee shall no longer be liable to Lessee for annual rentals upon (i) the termination of this Lease or, (ii) the issuance of a certificate of project completion and transfer of title and custody of Lessor's storage facilities to the State of North Dakota in accordance with Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. For avoidance of doubt, Lessee shall continue to pay Lessor the annual rental for the duration of the ten (10) year period following the date injection operations have ceased in accordance with Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.



4. Royalty. In addition to the annual rental, Lessee shall pay to Lessor a royalty of \_\_\_\_ cents (\$0.\_\_\_\_) per ton of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) injected into the reservoirs and pore spaces underlying the Leased Premises. The quantity of carbon dioxide injected into the reservoirs and pore spaces underlying the Leased Premises shall be determined through the use of metering equipment installed and operated by Lessee at the injection site. All royalties due hereunder for carbon dioxide injected into the Leased Premises during any calendar quarter shall be paid to Lessor by the last day of the following month after the calendar quarter.

5. Right to Pore Space/Storage of Carbon Dioxide. Lessor grants to Lessee the exclusive right to inject and store carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and other gaseous substances, from whatever source or sources obtained, into the reservoirs and subsurface pore spaces (as such terms are defined in Ch. 38-22 and Ch. 47-31 of the North Dakota Century Code), stratum or strata underlying the Leased Premises, together with the right to construct, replace, inspect, repair, monitor, maintain, relocate, change the size of, abandon in place any such pipelines, reservoirs, electric and telephone lines, roadways, underground equipment, surface facilities and equipment, buildings and structures Lessee determines reasonably necessary to carry out the purpose of this Lease.

6. Right of Ways. Lessor grants Lessee the rights of ingress and egress over the Leased Premises together with the right of way over, under and across the Leased Premises and the right from time to time to lay, maintain, replace repair, and remove roads, pipelines, tanks, fences, or other facilities and appurtenances on the Leased Premises for the purposes herein granted to Lessee. Lessee shall have the further right to fence the perimeter of any facility on the Leased Premises and sufficiently illuminate the site for the safety of operations. Lessee shall utilize "dark sky" lighting fixtures or shades so as to minimize or reduce night light pollution.

7. Lessee Obligations. Lessee shall have no obligation, express or implied, to begin, prosecute or continue storage operations in, upon or under the Leased Premises, or store and/or sell or use all or any portion of the gaseous substances stored thereon. The timing, nature, manner and extent of Lessee's operations, if any, under this Lease shall be at the sole discretion of Lessee. All obligations of Lessee are expressed herein, and there shall be no covenants implied under this Lease, it being agreed that all amounts paid hereunder constitute full and adequate consideration for this Lease.

8. Ownership. Lessee shall at all times be the owner of (i) the carbon dioxide and other gaseous substances stored in the reservoirs and subsurface pore spaces of the Leased Premises, and (ii) all equipment, buildings, structures, facilities and other property constructed or installed by Lessee on the Leased Premises. Lessee shall have the right, but not the obligation, at any time during this Lease to remove all or any portion of the property or fixtures placed by Lessee on the Lease Premises. Title to the storage facility and to the stored carbon dioxide or other gaseous substances shall be transferred to the State of North Dakota upon issuance of a certificate of project completion by the Commission in accordance with Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.

9. Surrender of Leased Premises. Lessee shall have the right at any time from time to time to execute and deliver to Lessor a surrender and/or release covering all or any part of the Leased Premises for which the subsurface pore space is not being utilized for storage as set forth herein, and upon delivery of such surrender and/or release to Lessor this Lease shall terminate as to such lands, and Lessee shall be released from all further obligations and duties as to the lands so surrendered and/or released, including, without limitation, any obligation to make payments provided for herein, except obligations accrued as of the date of the surrender and/or release.



10. Hold Harmless and Indemnification. The Lessee agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless Lessor from any claims by any person that are a direct result of the Lessee's use of the Leased Premises. Notwithstanding the foregoing, such indemnity/hold harmless obligation excludes (i) any claim or cause of action, or alleged or threatened claim or cause of action, damage, judgment, interest, penalty or other loss arising or resulting from the negligence or intentional acts of Lessor or Lessor's agents, invitees, or licensees; or third parties, and (ii) any claim for exemplary, punitive, special or consequential damages claimed by Lessor. Lessee further accepts liability and indemnifies Lessor for reasonable costs, expenses and attorneys' fees incurred in establishing and litigating the indemnification coverage provided above. The legal defense provided by Lessee to the Lessor under this paragraph must be free of any conflicts of interest even if this requires Lessee to retain separate legal counsel for Lessor.

11. Termination. A material violation or default of any terms of this Lease by Lessee shall be grounds for termination of the Lease. Lessor shall give Lessee written notice of violation or default and Lessee shall have sixty (60) days after receipt of said notice to substantially cure such violations or defaults. If Lessee fails to substantially cure such violations or defaults within the 60-day cure period, Lessor may terminate the Lease. Lessee may terminate the lease with thirty (30) days written notice to Lessor. Upon termination of this Lease, Lessee shall have one hundred eighty (180) days to remove all facilities and property of Lessee located on the Leased Premises.

12. Taxes. Lessee shall pay all taxes, if any, levied against its personal property or on its improvements to the Leased Premises. Lessor shall pay for all real estate taxes and other assessments levied upon the Leased Premises. Lessee shall have the right to pay all taxes, assessments and other fees on behalf of Lessor and to deduct the amount so paid from other payments due to Lessor hereunder.

13. Conduct of Operations. In conducting its operations hereunder, Lessee shall use its best efforts to comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations and ordinances pertaining thereto. Lessee reserves and shall have the right to challenge and/or appeal any law, ruling, regulation, order or other determination and to carry on its operations in accordance with Lessee's interpretation of the same, pending final determination.

14. Force Majeure. Should Lessee be prevented from complying with any express or implied covenant of this Lease, from utilizing the Lease Premises for underground storage purposes by reason of scarcity of or an inability to obtain or to use equipment or material or failure or breakdown of equipment, or by operation of force majeure, any federal or state law or any order, rule or regulation of governmental authority, then while so prevented, Lessee's obligation to comply with such covenant shall be suspended and this Lease shall be extended while and so long as Lessee is prevented by any such cause from utilizing the property for underground storage purposes and the time while Lessee is so prevented shall not be counted against Lessee, anything in this Lease to the contrary notwithstanding.

15. Surface Damage Compensation Act. The annual rental amounts and any and all other compensation contemplated and paid to Lessor hereunder is compensation for, among other things, damages sustained by Lessor for the lost use of and access to Lessor's land, pore space (to the extent required under North Dakota law), and any other damages which are contemplated under Ch. 38-11.1 of the North Dakota Century Code. Lessor agrees that such compensation is just and adequate for any and all damages contemplated under said Chapter 38-11.1 and all other damages which Lessor may sustain as a result of Lessee's use of the property for its storage operations.

16. Warranty of Title. Lessor represents and warrants to Lessee that Lessor is the owner of the surface of the Leased Premises. Lessor hereby warrants and agrees to defend title to the Leased Premises and Lessor hereby agrees that Lessee, at its option, shall have the right to discharge any tax, mortgage, or other lien upon the

Leased Premises, and in the event Lessee does so, Lessee shall be subrogated to such lien with the right to enforce the same and apply annual rental payments or any other such payments due to Lessor toward satisfying the same.

17. Assignment. The rights of either Party hereto may be assigned in whole or part. The assigning party shall provide written notice of any assignment within sixty (60) days after such assignment has become effective; *provided, however*, that an assigning party's failure to deliver written notice of assignment within such 60-day period shall not be deemed a breach of this Lease unless such failure is willful and intentional.

18. Change of Ownership. No change of ownership in the Leased Premises shall be binding on the Lessee for purpose of making payments to Lessor hereunder until the date Lessor, or Lessor's successors or assigns, furnishes Lessee the recorded original or a certified copy of the instrument evidencing the change in ownership.

19. Notices. All notices required to be given under this Lease shall be in writing and addressed to the respective Party at the addresses set forth at the beginning of this Lease unless otherwise directed by either Party.

20. No Waiver. The failure of either Party to insist in any one or more instances upon strict performance of any of the provisions of this Lease or to take advantage of any of its rights hereunder shall not be construed as a waiver of any such provision or the relinquishment of any such rights, but the same shall continue and remain in full force and effect.

21. Notice of Lease. This Lease shall not be recorded in the real property records. Lessee shall cause a memorandum of this Lease to be recorded in the real property records of the county in which the Leased Premises are situated. A recorded copy of said memorandum shall be furnished to Lessor within thirty (30) days of recording.

22. Counterparts. This Lease may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which, when executed and delivered, shall be an original, but all of which shall collectively constitute one and the same instrument.

23. Severability. If any provision of this Lease is found to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, such provision shall be deemed to be severed from this Agreement, and the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions contained herein shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.

24. Governing Law. This Lease shall be governed by, construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of North Dakota and the Parties hereby submit to the jurisdiction of the state or federal courts located in Bismarck, North Dakota.

25. Entire Agreement. This Lease constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and supersedes all prior negotiations, undertakings, notices, memoranda and agreement between the Parties, whether oral or written, with respect to the subject matter hereof. This Lease may only be amended or modified by a written agreement duly executed by Lessor and Lessee.

*[Remainder of page intentionally left blank. Signature page follows.]*

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Lease effective for all purposes as of the date first set forth above.

**LESSOR:**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Print: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Print: \_\_\_\_\_

**LESSEE:**

RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Print: \_\_\_\_\_  
Its: \_\_\_\_\_





# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## 5.0 INJECTION WELL AND STORAGE OPERATIONS

## 5.0 INJECTION WELL AND STORAGE OPERATIONS


This section of the Storage Facility Permit (SFP) application presents the engineering criteria for completing and operating the injection well in a manner that protects underground sources of drinking water (USDWs). The information that is presented meets the permit requirements for injection well and storage operations as presented in North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) § 43-05-01-05 (SFP, Table 5-1) and NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3

**Table 5-1. RTE-10 Proposed Injection Well Operating Parameters**

Item	Values	Description/Comments
<b>Injected Volume</b>		
Total Injected Volume	3.7 million tonnes (71 Bscf)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year (3.5 Bscf/year) for 20 years at an average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day (using 360 operating days per year).
<b>Injection Rates</b>		
Proposed Average Injection Rate	500 tonnes/day (9.6 MMscf/day)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year for 20 years (using 360 operating days per year).
Calculated Maximum Daily Injection Rate	4,100 tonnes/day (120 MMscf/day)	Based on surface maximum injection pressure (2,250 psi).
<b>Pressures</b>		
Formation Fracture Pressure at Top Perforation	4,466 psi	Modular dynamics testing (MDT) results fracture propagation formation fracture gradient of 0.7 psi/ft.
Average Operating Surface Injection Pressure	1,300 psi	Proposed injection well operating surface injection pressure.
Surface Maximum Injection Pressure	2,250 psi	Based on maximum pressure rating of the flow line.
Average Operating Bottomhole Pressure (BHP)	3,000 psi	An average BHP of 3,000 psi based on average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day.
Maximum BHP	4,019 psi	Calculated maximum BHP 4,019 psi based 90% of the formation fracture pressure 4,466 psi
Tubing-Casing Annular Pressure	100 psi	Variance requested (see Section 5.3) from NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure.

### 5.1 RTE-10 Well – Proposed Completion Procedure to Conduct Injection Operations

Red Trail Energy (RTE) constructed the RTE-10 well (Figure 5-1 and Table 5-2) with intentions to conduct CO<sub>2</sub> stream injection operations, as referenced in previous sections. The following proposed completion procedure outlines the steps necessary to complete the RTE-10 well for injection purposes.




# RTE-10

As-Constructed Well Schematic



08-2020-Post\_Drilling



Note:

Depths are updated based on Casing Collar Locator (CCL) log performed on July 30, 2020

Not to scale

Figure 5-1. RTE-10 as-constructed wellbore schematic.



**Table 5-2. RTE-10 Wellbore Casing and Proposed Injection Tubing Properties**

<b>o.d., in.</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Weight, lb/ft</b>	<b>Connection</b>	<b>i.d., in.</b>	<b>Drift i.d., in.</b>	<b>Collapse, psi</b>	<b>Burst, psi</b>	<b>Tension, klb</b>
7	L-80	29	LTC	6.184	6.059	7,030	8,160	587
7	13Cr-80	29	VAM TOP	6.184	6.059	7,030	8,160	587
3½	13Cr-80	9.2	JFEBEAR	2.992	2.867	10,540	10,160	207.2

## **RTE-10 Proposed Completion Procedure for CO<sub>2</sub> Injectate Well**

### Site and Well Work Preparation

- Contact the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) and provide schedule to perform NDIC-approved well work.
- Work road and location as needed for safe operations.
- Install rig anchors and test to 20,000 lbf (or as required). If installed, confirm recent anchor test date and that tension has been performed according to company policy.
- Confirm actual casing depths and casing-conveyed gauges with the company representative and designated field engineer.
- Conduct safety meetings prior to shifts and treatments.
- Move in rental equipment:
  1. ~7,000 ft of 2⅞-in. L-80 workover (WO) string – inspect and drift tubing prior to use.
  2. Four 400-barrel (bbl) tanks filled with produced saltwater.
- Move in ~6,400 ft of 3½-in. 13Cr-80 injection tubing plus pup joints, inspect and drift tubing prior to running downhole.

### Clean Wellbore and Test Production Casing

1. Move in and rig up (MIRU) workover rig.
2. Check wellhead pressure gauge for pressure prior to removing wellhead. If under pressure, bleed pressure off slowly to a tank if possible.
3. Nipple down (ND) wellhead (7⅞-in. valve and night cap).
4. Nipple up (NU) blowout preventer (BOP), record BOP test with a low/high pressure of 250 psi/4,000 psi.
5. Pick up (PU) 2⅞-in. L-80 WO string.
6. Round-trip (RT) 6-in. bit on 2⅞-in. L-80 WO string and tag plug back total depth (PBSD).
7. Fill 2⅞-in. WO string with 40 bbl of produced saltwater and circulate hole with bottoms up, a minimum of 201 bbl of produced saltwater.  
*Record volume required to fill/catch pressure if fluid level is not at surface.*
8. Lay down (LD) 6-in. bit and stand back 2⅞-in. L-80 WO string.

9. Pressure-test production casing to 1,000 psi.
  - a. Top off production casing with produced saltwater.
  - b. Pressure casing to 1,000 psi and shut-in valves, record pressure for a minimum of 30 min.
  - c. If casing pressure drops more than 10% variance (NDAC § 43-02-03-21), contact designated field engineer and RTE representative for further instructions.


#### Run Cased-Hole Logs

10. MIRU wireline service company.
11. Rig up (RU) wireline lubricator and pressure-test to 4,000 psi.
12. Run in hole (RIH) with ultrasonic-variable density log (VDL) –casing collar locator (CCL) – temperature–gamma ray (GR) log from plug back total depth (PBSD) to surface.
13. Review cement evaluation log with designated field engineer and wireline company domain. If poor cement shows, repeat test with 1,000 psi applied pressure on production casing. Correlate the cement log depths with the triple combo openhole log March 2020 and with the isolation scanner log July 2020.

#### Perforate Broom Creek Formation

14. RU perforating guns to perforate the Broom Creek Formation to encompass depths from 6,432 to 6,676 ft measured depth (MD), Figure 5-2, with proposed intervals denoted by the green-shaded sections utilizing the RTE-10\_triple combo openhole log March 2020.
  - a. Halliburton recommends a minimum of 10 ft from the casing-conveyed bottomhole temperature and pressure (BHT/P) gauges, at 6,410.5 and 6,417.5 ft to minimize impact.
  - b. Actual perforation depths will be determined by designated geologist and engineers and based on the log analysis review.
  - c. Perforation parameters recommended for ~0.46-in. holes with ±28 in. penetration and 6 spf 60° phasing.
15. Rig down (RD) wireline service company.





Perform Injection Test and Stimulate Broom Creek Formation

16. PU 7-in. retrievable packer on 2 $\frac{7}{8}$ -in. L-80 WO string and set at  $\pm 6,390$  ft.  
Avoid setting packer within 10 ft of casing-conveyed BHT/P gauges installed at 6,410.5 and 6,417.5 ft.
17. Fill 2 $\frac{7}{8}$ -in. WO string with 37 bbl and top off annulus with produced saltwater.
18. Pressure-test packer via annulus to 1,000 psi for 15 min. If greater than 10% variance, discuss with RTE and designated field representative, as packer will need to be reset.
19. RU pump service company
  - a. Hold prejob safety meeting and fill out job safety analysis (JSA).
  - b. Pressure-test surface lines to 5,000 psi.
  - c. Set pressure relief valve (PRV) at 4,000 psi or the maximum surface treating pressure.
  - d. Monitor annulus with annular pressure gauge for communication.
  - e. Ensure treating fluid has temporary clay stabilizer added. Actual injection fluid is to be determined (TBD) by selected vendor.
  - f. Open master valve and perform proposed step rate injection test (SRT), detailed in Table 5-3.
    - a. Inject at step rates of 1 barrel per minute (bpm).
    - b. Inject at constant rate for 15-min increments.
  - g. After indication of formation breakdown (change in pressure slope):
    - a. Continue to inject at breakdown rate for an additional 15 min.
    - b. Increase rate by  $\pm 1$  bpm (as pump truck capable) for an additional 15 min.
    - c. Continuously record rate vs. pressure data throughout the entire test.
  - h. Shut down and record instant shut-in pressure (ISIP), 5-, 10-, and 15-min pressure readings.
  - i. Shut-in well via master valve and bleed pressure off the surface lines back to the pump truck.
  - j. Monitor and record all pressures for initial reservoir radial flow and continue to monitor for stable radial flow as required (NDAC § 43-05-01-11.2), for pressure falloff testing.
  - k. RD service company pumping equipment.



**Table 5-3. RTE-10 Proposed Step Rate Injection Test of Broom Creek Formation**

Step	Rate, bpm	Time, min	Volume, bbl	Cumulative Volume, bbl	Max. Tubing Pressure, psi	Casing Pressure, psi	Comments
0	0	0	0	0		500	Pressure test
1	0.75	15	11.25	11.25			Minimum in lockup
2	1	15	15	26.25			
3	2	15	30	56.25			
4	3	15	45	101.25			
5	4	15	60	161.25			
6	5	15	75	236.25			
7	6	15	90	326.25			
8	7	15	105	431.25			
9	8	15	120	551.25			
10	8.5	15	127.5	678.75			
ISIP							Record ISIP
5 min							Record 5-min SIP
10 min							Record 10-min SIP
15 min							Record 15-min SIP
<b>Total</b>		150		678.75			

20. If operations are not continuous after SRT above, RU pump service company for stimulation.
  - a. Hold prejob safety meeting and fill out JSA.
  - b. Pressure-test surface lines to 5,000 psi.
  - c. Set PRV at 4,000 psi, or maximum surface treating pressure, not to exceed determined fracture pressure.
  - d. Monitor annulus for communication.
21. Perform a matrix acid, hydrochloric or hydrofluoric, treatment based on recommendation of chosen vendor based on formation solubility test.
22. **Maximum pressure not to exceed formation fracture pressure determined in SRT.**
23. Remain shut-in and monitor as recommended.
24. RD service pump company.
25. Trip out of hole (TOOH) and LD 7-in. retrievable packer and 2 $\frac{7}{8}$ -in. WO string.
26. Change out the pipe ram from 2 $\frac{7}{8}$  to 3 $\frac{1}{2}$  in. and pressure-test accordingly (test low/high 250 psi/4,000 psi).
27. MIRU wireline service company.
28. Install and pressure-test lubricator to 4,000 psi.

29. Make up 3½-in. chrome wireline reentry guide, XN and 7-in. × 3½-in. packer assembly (wireline-set packer) with pump-out plug or ceramic burst disc.
30. Set 7-in. chrome packer at ±6,385 ft.
  - a. Note: If packer is set greater than 50 ft from top perforation, NDIC variance is required (NDAC § 43-05-01-11).
  - b. Avoid setting packer within 10 ft of casing-conveyed BHT/P gauges installed at 6,410.5 and 6,417.5 ft.
  - c. Avoid setting packer in casing collars at 6,364.4 and 6,405.6 ft, based upon casing tally.
  - d. Ensure the end of tubing has the ability to land a plug and prong or alternative plug while maintaining the largest inner diameter possible (alternative plug types available).
31. Pressure-test packer to 1,000 psi, pending maximum injection pressure, with rig pump. Ensure that pressure does not exceed tubing pump-out plug rating (~2,100 psi).
32. Rig down move out (RDMO) wireline service company.
33. Make up seal assembly, locator subs, and necessary connections. RIH with 3½-in. chrome tubing (13Cr -80, 9.2#, JFEBEAR).
34. Pump 161.5 bbl corrosion-inhibited packer fluid down 3½-in. tubing and displace with 56 bbl clean saltwater to displace packer fluid into the annulus.
35. Sting the seal-bore assembly into the packer bore, space out and stack ±30,000 lb compression on packer. Pre-pressure-test annulus, packer, and seal bore to 1,000 psi for 30 min with rig pump. Record pressure readings every 5 min.
36. Contact NDIC to witness mechanical integrity test (MIT) 24-hr prior to official testing.
  - a. Pressure well to 1,000 psi, or as directed by NDIC while charting entire pressure test.
  - b. NDIC must witness MIT in accordance with state regulations.
37. Land tubing with tubing head, lock down, and secure.
38. ND BOP and NU proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead, Figure 5-3.
39. Pressure up tubing to ±2,100 psi to pump out the plug using the rig pump.
40. RDMO workover rig, continuing to be careful of wellhead equipment. Load out surplus equipment. Clear and clean location.
41. Well is to begin injection operations after NDIC approval, including approved MIT.
42. Well is ready for installation of surface equipment for injection operations, Figure 5-4, proposed completed wellbore.



Figure 5-3. RTE-10 well – proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead schematic – Cameron Supplier.






# EERC

## RTE-10

Proposed Completed Well Schematic



10-2020-V005



Note:

\* Depths have not been confirmed

Not to scale

Figure 5-4. RTE-10 well – proposed completed wellbore schematic.

## **5.2 RTE-10.2 Well – Proposed Procedure for Monitoring Well Operations**

RTE constructed a second well, the RTE-10.2, Figure 5-5, for direct reservoir-monitoring purposes, as referenced in Section 4, to support deep subsurface monitoring of the RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> stream injection well. Monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume location and the storage reservoir pressure will be conducted continuously through use of the casing-conveyed temperature and pressure gauges installed on the outside of the long-string production casing. Monitoring will be conducted during injection operations, Table 4-6, as well as during the PISC period using the methods summarized in Table 4-23, which are also discussed in more detail in the Testing and Monitoring section of this permit application. Monitoring methods include a combination of formation-monitoring methods (e.g., downhole pressure, downhole temperature, MITs; pulsed-neutron capture/reservoir saturation tool logs) that support CO<sub>2</sub> plume stabilization assessments.






# RTE-10.2

As-Constructed Well Schematic



10-2020-Post\_Drilling

**Note:**

This schematic has been updated post-drilling before CBL logging in the long-string hole section. PBTD at 6,985' based on the GR/CCL during gauge verification pre-cement.

Not to scale

Figure 5-5. RTE-10.2 as-constructed well schematic.

**Table 5-4. RTE-10.2 As-Constructed Wellbore Casing Properties**

<b>o.d., in.</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Weight, lb/ft</b>	<b>Connection</b>	<b>i.d., in.</b>	<b>Drift i.d., in.</b>	<b>Collapse, psi</b>	<b>Burst, psi</b>	<b>Tension, klb</b>
7	L-80	29	LTC	6.184	6.059	7,030	8,160	587
7	13Cr-80	29	Tenaris Blue®	6.184	6.125	7,030	8,160	587

**RTE-10.2 – Proposed Procedure for Monitoring Well for CO<sub>2</sub> Plume**Site and Well Work Preparation


- Contact NDIC and provide schedule to perform NDIC-approved well work.
- Work road and location as needed for safe operations.
- Conduct safety meetings prior to shifts and treatments.

Install Wellhead

1. Check wellhead pressure gauge for wellbore pressure prior to removing wellhead. If under pressure, bleed pressure off slowly to a tank if possible.
2. ND current wellhead assembly (7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>16</sub>-in. valve and night cap).
3. NU CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead, Figure 5-6, Cameron Supplier.
4. Pressure-test production casing to 1,000 psi.
  - a. Top off/fill casing with produced saltwater – *Record volume required to fill if fluid level is not at surface.*
  - b. PU casing to 1,000 psi. Shut-in valves, record pressure for a minimum of 30 min.
  - c. If casing pressure drops more than 10% variance (NDAC § 43-02-03-21) contact designated field engineer and RTE representative for further instructions.

Run Cased-Hole Logs

5. MIRU wireline service company.
6. RIH with ultrasonic–VDL–CCL–temperature–GR log from PBTD to surface. If TOC is not at surface, discuss with RTE company representative.
7. Review cement evaluation log with field engineer and wireline company domain. If poor cement shows, repeat with 1,000 psi pressure on production casing. Correlate the log depths with RTE-10.2\_triple combo openhole log October 2020 and compare with the RTE-10.2\_isolation scanner log October 2020.
8. RD wireline service company.
9. Install surface equipment installation for continual monitoring operations with proposed completed wellbore, Figure 5-7.





CAMERON CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION			
DO NOT SCALE		 <b>CAMERON</b> A Schlumberger Company	Surface Systems
DRAWN BY: C.MOORE	DATE: 12/18/2019		
CHECKED BY: N.PRIVETT	DATE: 12/18/2019	3-1/8" 5K Production Tree	
DRAWING NO.: 1762404	REV: 01		

Figure 5-6. RTE-10.2 well – proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead schematic – Cameron Supplier.






# RTE-10.2

Proposed Completed Well Schematic



10-2020-Post\_Drilling



**Note:**

This schematic has been updated post-drilling before CBL logging in the long-string hole section. PBTD at 6,985' based on the GR/CCL during gauge verification pre-cement.

Not to scale

Figure 5-7. RTE-10.2 well – proposed completed wellbore schematic.

### **5.3 Variance Request for Operating Annular Pressure**

RTE requests a variance from NDAC §43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain pressure on the tubing-casing annulus that exceeds the operating injection pressure. The basis for this request is to minimize the risk of well integrity degradation.

NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 states in part, “The storage operator shall maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure, unless the commission determines that such requirement might harm the integrity of the well or endanger underground sources of drinking water.”

The RTE-10 proposed CO<sub>2</sub> injection well is designed to operate at 1,300 psi surface injection pressure, with a maximum surface injection pressure at 2,250 psi. Operating the annulus pressure above these injection pressures could result in the debonding of the well cement interfaces with the long-string casing being exposed to varying pressures throughout the wellbore. Micro annuli are the most common failures caused by the tensile forces exceeding the cement bonding strength (ARMA 18-1298, Numerical investigations of cement interface debonding for assessing well integrity risks).

RTE is proposing to operate the RTE-10 annular pressure at 100 psi (Table 5-1).

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION – FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY DEMONSTRATION PLAN**

**RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC**  
**CASE NO. 28848**  
**EXHIBIT 3**

INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION  
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA  
DATE 8-12-21 CASE NO. 28848-50  
Introduced By Red Trail  
Exhibit 3  
Identified By Red Trail



## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION – FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY DEMONSTRATION PLAN**

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Pursuant to the North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) Section 43-05-01-09.1, the storage facility permit application must demonstrate that a financial instrument is in place that is sufficient to cover the costs associated with the following actions:

- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-05.1, corrective action on all active and abandoned wells, which are within the area of review (AOR) and penetrate the confining zone, that have the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water through the subsurface movement of the injected carbon dioxide or other fluids.
- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.5, plugging of injection wells.
- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-19, implementation of postinjection site care (PISC) and facility closure activities, which includes the 10-year PISC monitoring program.
- Pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-13, implementation of emergency and remedial response actions.

This supporting information for the Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan provides the details for the cost estimates for each of the above actions based on the information that is provided in the storage facility permit application.

### **2.0 FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY COST ESTIMATES**

#### **2.1 Corrective Action**

Approach: 1) delineate AOR, 2) identify and evaluate active and abandoned legacy wells within AOR, and 3) remediate legacy wells identified as potential leakage pathways from \$300K to \$500K per well.

#### **2.2 Plugging of Injection Wells**

Approach: assume plugging of one Class VI injection well and one Class VI-compliant monitoring well from \$35K to \$60K per well, with an expected value of \$40K.

#### **2.3 Implementation of Postinjection Site Care (PISC) and Facility Closure Activities**

The estimated costs of \$1.76 million for implementing PISC as described in the post injection site care and facility closure plan is provided in table 2-1 which includes the following: a) near-surface monitoring (e.g., soil gas, shallow groundwater, and Fox Hills Formation Aquifer); b) formation monitoring (e.g., injection well annulus pressure, packer fluid levels, downhole pressure and temperature profiles, pulse neutron logs, ultrasonic logs, and mechanical integrity well tests); and c) coordinated repeat 3D seismic, 3D borehole seismic (vertical seismic), and gravity tests and

2) estimate cost of site closure activities, which has been estimated at \$100K based on the integrated environmental control.

Table 2-1 – Cost Estimates for Ten-Year PISC Monitoring Efforts		
Near-Surface Monitoring	Notes/Comments	Total Estimated Cost
• Soil Gas Sampling and Analysis	24 samples [2 soil gas stations sampled 4 times per year for 3 years] at \$6,300 per sample	\$151,200
• Groundwater Sampling and Analysis	56 samples [7 wells sampled 4 times per year for 2 years] at \$4,400 per sample	\$246,300
• Fox Hills Aquifer Sampling and Analysis		
Downhole Monitoring		
• PNL Logs	3 logs and \$20,000 per log	\$60,000
• USIT Tests	10 tests @ \$5,000 per test	\$50,000
• Mechanical Integrity Tests	2 tests @ \$10,000 per test	\$20,000
Geophysical Monitoring		
• DAS/DTS equipment and maintenance		\$110,000
• 3-D seismic data acquisition	Perform 3 3-D seismic surveys	\$890,000
• 3-D seismic data processing		\$60,000
• Gravity test data acquisition and processing	Perform minimum of 2 tests	\$60,000
Planning, Coordination, Data Interpretation, and Reporting		\$116,000
Total		\$1,763,500

## 2.4 Implementation of Emergency and Remedial Response Actions

### 2.4.1 Emergency Response Actions

A review of the technical risk categories for the Red Trail Energy (RTE) storage project identified a list of events that could potentially result in the movement of injected CO<sub>2</sub> or formation fluids in a manner that may endanger an underground source of drinking water (USDW) and require an emergency response. These events are as follows:

- Integrity failure of injection and/or monitoring well
- Injection well monitoring equipment failure
- Storage reservoir is unable to contain the formation fluid or stored CO<sub>2</sub>
- An induced seismic event



If it is determined that one or more of these events have occurred, the emergency response actions that will be implemented are described in the Emergency and Remedial Response Plan. These response actions are summarized in Table 2-2.

#### ***2.4.2 Estimation of Costs of Emergency Response Actions***

Estimating the costs of implementing these emergency response actions in Table 2-2 is challenging since remediation measures specifically dedicated to CO<sub>2</sub> storage impacts are poorly documented, with one of the more important data gaps being the lack of precise knowledge of the leakage mechanisms and associated impacts (Manceau and others, 2014). Without this knowledge, it is not possible to design appropriate remedial measures. Furthermore, to date, no remediation action following CO<sub>2</sub> leakage after geologic storage has ever been implemented mainly because of the absence of established impacts (Manceau and others, 2014). Consequently, the degree of maturity of remediation measures in the carbon capture and storage (CCS) field is low, making it necessary to rely on literature that is primarily based on modeling or analogies with other pollutants, e.g., the analogy between CO<sub>2</sub> and volatile organic compounds, the latter having been addressed extensively in the literature. Additionally, for the remedial measures, costs and time for adequate removal are generally site-dependent, and no information is specifically available in this area in the CCS field.

Based on this current situation, two key technical manuscripts were relied upon to identify and estimate the costs of mitigation/remediation technologies to address undesired migration of CO<sub>2</sub> from a geological storage unit (Manceau and others, 2014).

**Table 2-2. Response Actions for Potential Emergency Events**

<b>Emergency Event</b>	<b>Response Action</b>
Integrity Failure of Injection or Monitoring Well	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure to verify integrity loss and determine the cause and extent of failure.</li> <li>• Stop CO<sub>2</sub> injection/vent CO<sub>2</sub> from surface facilities.</li> <li>• Identify and implement appropriate remedial actions to repair damage to the well (in consultation with the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) underground injection control (UIC) program director).</li> <li>• If subsurface impacts are detected, implement appropriate site investigation activities to determine the nature and extent of these impacts.</li> <li>• If warranted based on the site investigations, implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li> </ul>
Injection Well-Monitoring Equipment Failure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure (manually if necessary) to determine the cause and extent of failure.</li> <li>• Stop CO<sub>2</sub> injection/vent CO<sub>2</sub> from surface facilities.</li> <li>• Identify and, if necessary, implement appropriate remedial actions to repair/replace well monitoring equipment (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li> <li>• If subsurface impacts are detected, implement appropriate site investigation activities to determine the nature and extent of these impacts.</li> <li>• If warranted based on the site investigations, implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li> </ul>

Continued . . .

**Table 2-2. Response Actions for Potential Emergency Events (continued)**

Emergency Event	Response Action
The Storage Reservoir Is Unable to Contain the Formation Fluid or Stored CO <sub>2</sub>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Collect confirmation sample(s) of groundwater, soil gas, ambient air, and/or surface water, and analyze them for indicator parameters (see Testing and Monitoring Plan of the supporting plans of the storage facility permit application).</li> <li>• If the presence of indicator parameters is confirmed, develop (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director) a case-specific work plan to:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Install additional monitoring points near the impacted area to delineate the extent of impact.                   <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. If a USDW is impacted above drinking water standards, arrange for an alternative potable water supply for all users of that USDW.</li> <li>b. If a surface release of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere is confirmed, initiate an evacuation plan, if warranted, in tandem with an appropriate workspace and/or ambient air monitoring program at the plant boundary to monitor the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and its natural dispersion following the termination of CO<sub>2</sub> injection, following practices similar to those described in the RTE Risk Management Plan for analyzing the potential impacts of other chemical releases from the RTE plant.</li> <li>c. If surface release of CO<sub>2</sub> to surface waters is confirmed, implement appropriate surface water-monitoring program to determine if water quality standards are being exceeded.</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. Proceed with efforts, if necessary, to 1) remediate USDW to achieve compliance with drinking water standards (e.g., install system to intercept/extract brine or CO<sub>2</sub> or “pump and treat” to air-strip CO<sub>2</sub> from the impacted water (or implement other active remediation processes) and reinject treated water into the subsurface, 2) monitor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the workspace and ambient air to document</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

Continued . . .

**Table 2-2. Response Actions for Potential Emergency Events (continued)**

<b>Emergency Event</b>	<b>Response Action</b>
The Storage Reservoir Is Unable to Contain the Formation Fluid or Stored CO <sub>2</sub> (continued)	<p>reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations to background levels over time; and 3) monitor the reduction of impacts to surface waters to background levels as a result of natural attenuation processes or implement active/passive remediation of surface waters to achieve acceptable background levels of impacts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Continue all remediation and monitoring at an appropriate frequency (as determined by RTE and the NDIC DMR UIC program director) until the unacceptable, adverse impacts have been fully addressed.</li></ul>
Induced Seismic Event	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Identify where (i.e., the epicenter) and when the event occurred.</li><li>• Determine whether there is a connection with injection activities.</li><li>• Determine mechanical integrity of all project wells and formation seals.</li><li>• If warranted, stop CO<sub>2</sub> injection/vent CO<sub>2</sub> from surface facilities, and implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li></ul>
Natural Disasters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure to verify status of wells and determine the cause and extent of any failure.</li><li>• If warranted, perform additional monitoring of groundwater, surface water, and/or workspace/ambient air to delineate extent of any impacts.</li><li>• If impacts or endangerment of USDWs are detected, identify and implement appropriate response actions in accordance with the RTE Emergency Action Plan (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC program director).</li></ul>



#### 2.4.2.1 Identification of Remediation Technologies

Manceau and others (2014) identified several remediation technologies/strategies that are available to address the potential impacted media that may result from an emergency event. These impacted media and remediation measures are listed in Table 2-3. The impacted media in Table 2-3 include groundwater/USDWs, unsaturated zone soil, surface water, indoor environments, and atmosphere; the remedial measures include a combination of active (e.g., air sparging) and passive (e.g., dispersion, natural attenuation) systems. However, it is important to note that, at this time, there is no widely accepted methodology for designing intervention and remediation plans for CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage projects. Consequently, there remains a need for establishing the best

**Table 2.3 Proposed Technologies/Strategies for Remediation of Potential Impacted Media**

<b>Impacted Media</b>	<b>Potential Remedial Measures</b>
Groundwater	Monitored natural attenuation
	Pump-and-treat
	Air sparging
	Permeable reactive barrier
	Extraction/injection
	Biological remediation
Unsaturated Zone	Monitored natural attenuation
	Soil vapor extraction
	pH adjustment (via spreading of alkaline supplements, irrigation, and drainage)
Surface Water	Passive systems, e.g., natural attenuation
	Active venting systems
Atmosphere	Passive systems, e.g., natural mixing, dispersion
Indoor/Workplace Environment	Sealing of leak points
	Depressurization
	Ventilation adjustment

field-applied and test practices for mitigating an undesired CO<sub>2</sub> migration. This effort will be based on a combination of available literature and experience that is gained over time in existing CO<sub>2</sub> storage projects.

#### 2.4.2.2 Estimation of Costs for Implementing Emergency Event Responses

Given the lack of a site-specific estimate of implementing the emergency event responses at the CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage site of RTE, cost estimates developed by Bielicki and others (2014) were used to derive a cost range for the project related to the undesired migration of CO<sub>2</sub> from a geologic storage unit. Extrapolating these literature costs, which were based on a case study site in the Michigan Sedimentary Basin, to the RTE project only provides an order-of-magnitude estimate of the potential costs due to the significant site-specific differences in the storage projects; however, the range of costs estimated in this manner are believed to be conservatively high in nature, making them more than sufficient for informing the value of the financial instrument that must be secured for the project, as described in the Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan.



### Case Study Description

Bielicki and others (2014) examined the costs associated with remediating undesired migration of CO<sub>2</sub> from a geologic storage unit as part of a case study of an extreme leakage situation. The case study involved the continuous annual injection of 9.5 Mt (9,500,000 metric tons) of CO<sub>2</sub> into the Mt. Simon sandstone of the Michigan Sedimentary Basin over a period of 30 years. It assumed every well in the basin was a potential leakage pathway and that no action was taken to mitigate any of these leakage pathways. In addition, eight UIC Class I injection wells, which were located within approximately 1 mile of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well, were also identified as leakage pathways. Four hundred probabilistic simulations of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection were performed and produced estimates of the area of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume as well as leakage rates of CO<sub>2</sub> from the storage reservoir to four aquifers as well as to the surface.

### Cost Estimates

Story lines were developed for the site based on 1) risk assessments for the geologic storage of CO<sub>2</sub>; 2) consequences of leakage; 3) lay and expert opinion of leakage risk; 4) modeling of CO<sub>2</sub> injection and leakage for the case study; and 5) input from local experts, oil and gas engineers, academics, attorneys, and other environmental professionals familiar with the Michigan Sedimentary Basin. Cost estimates for managing leakage events were then generated for first-of-a-kind (FOAK) and nth-of-a-kind (NOAK) projects based on a low-cost and high-cost story line. These cost estimates provided a breakdown of the costs into the following categories:

- Find and fix a leak
- Environmental remediation
- Injection interruption
- Technical remedies for damages
- Legal costs
- Business disruption to others, e.g., natural gas storage
- Labor burden to others

Of interest for the financial responsibility demonstration plan is the environmental remediation cost estimate, which was provided for a leak scenario where there was interference with groundwater as well as a scenario where there was groundwater interference combined with CO<sub>2</sub> migration to the surface.

### Environmental Remediation – Low-Cost and High-Cost Story Line

The low-cost and high-cost story lines for the two components of environmental remediation, groundwater interference and migration to the surface are summarized in Table 2-4. As shown in Table 2-4, the low-cost story lines are characterized by independent leak scenarios that either result in interference with groundwater or CO<sub>2</sub> migration to the surface. On the other hand, the high-cost story lines are interrelated, where it is assumed that the high-cost story line for CO<sub>2</sub> migration to the surface is conditional upon the existence of the high-cost story line for groundwater interference.

**Table 2-4. Low-Cost and High-Cost Story Line for Environmental Remediation**

<b>Low-Cost Story Line</b>	
Groundwater Interference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A small amount of CO<sub>2</sub> migrates into a deep formation that has a total dissolved solids concentration of ~9000 ppm. By definition, this unit is a USDW, but the state has abundant water resources, and there are no foreseeable uses for water from this unit.</li><li>• Regulators require that two monitoring wells be drilled into the affected USDW and three monitoring wells be drilled into the lower most potable aquifer (total dissolved solids concentration of &lt;1000 ppm) to verify the extent of the impacts of the leak. No legal action is taken.</li><li>• Injection is halted from the time that the leak is discovered until monitoring confirms that containment is effective (9 months).</li><li>• The UIC regulator determines that no additional remedial actions are necessary.</li></ul>
CO <sub>2</sub> Migration to the Surface	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A leaking well provides a pathway whereby CO<sub>2</sub> discharges directly to the atmosphere.</li><li>• Neither CO<sub>2</sub> nor brine leaks into the subsurface formation outside the injection formation in significant quantities.</li><li>• The CO<sub>2</sub> injection is halted for 5 days, and the leaking well is promptly plugged.</li></ul>
<b>High-Cost Story Line</b>	
Groundwater Interference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A community water system reports elevated arsenic. Monitoring suggests that the native arsenic in the formation may have been mobilized by pH changes in the aquifer caused by CO<sub>2</sub> impacts to the aquifer.</li><li>• A new water supply well is installed to serve the community, and the former water supply wells are plugged and capped.</li><li>• Potable water is provided to the affected households during the 6 months required to drill the new water supply wells.</li><li>• Groundwater regulators take legal action on the geologic storage operator to force remediation of the affected USDW using pump and treat technology.</li><li>• UIC regulators require remedial action to remove, through a CO<sub>2</sub> extraction well, an accumulation of CO<sub>2</sub> that has the potential to affect the drinking water.</li><li>• CO<sub>2</sub> injection is halted for 1 year during these remediation activities.</li></ul>
CO <sub>2</sub> Migration to the Surface	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The high-cost story line for groundwater is required.</li><li>• A hyperspectral survey completed during the diagnostic monitoring program identifies surface leakage in a sparsely populated area.</li><li>• Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are detected by a soil-gas survey and by indoor air quality sampling in basements of several residences.</li><li>• Affected residents are housed in a local hotel for several nights while venting systems are installed in their basements.</li><li>• A soil venting system is installed at the site.</li><li>• CO<sub>2</sub> injection is halted for a year during these remediation activities.</li></ul>

### **Estimated Environmental Remediation Costs – FOAK and NOAK Projects**

Based on the above story lines, the estimated environmental remediation costs for the high-cost story lines are basically the same for both FOAK and NOAK projects:

- High-cost story line – Groundwater interference, alone: ~ \$13M
- High-cost story line – Groundwater interference with CO<sub>2</sub> migration to the surface: \$15M to \$16M

#### **2.4.2.3 Input for the Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan**

The estimated costs for the environmental remediation of the high-cost story line for the case study, \$15M to \$16M, likely represents a conservatively high estimate of similar costs for the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project. This statement is based primarily on the fact that the quantity of CO<sub>2</sub> injection of the case study (9,500,000 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year) is significantly larger than the planned injection quantity of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project (180,000 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year). Furthermore, the case study site had 450,000 active and abandoned wells, 400,000 of which penetrate the shallow subsurface to provide for drinking water, irrigation, and industrial uses. In contrast, there is 1 abandoned well (no corrective action necessary), 1 proposed CO<sub>2</sub> injection well, and 1 CO<sub>2</sub> storage monitoring well located in the area of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project. As such, the extreme leakage scenario of the case study represents a more extensive leakage scenario that could exist at the RTE site. Accordingly, even though the same remedial technologies and strategies may be used at both sites to address CO<sub>2</sub> migration, it is assumed that the cost estimates provided for the case study represent a conservatively high, maximum cost, for the RTE project. It is on this basis that the value of \$16M has been used as one of the cost inputs into the determination of the financial instrument that will be put in place for the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project.

To provide additional perspective for this \$16M cost estimate for environmental remediation, two other cost estimates for the remediation of potential environmental impacts associated with the geologic storage of CO<sub>2</sub> were found in the literature. These costs ranged from \$9M to \$34M. The source of the lower limit (\$9M) was a 2012 study (“Valuation of Potential Risks Arising from a Model, Commercial Scale CCS Project Site, prepared for CCS Valuation Project Sponsor Group by Industrial Economics, Inc., June 2012”) which estimated the damages, i.e., dollars necessary to remediate or compensate for harm, should a release occur at a commercial storage site (i.e., FutureGen 1.0 located in Jewett, TX) that planned to inject 1,000,000 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year. This study estimated the “most likely (50th percentile)” total damages to be approximately \$8.7M and the “upper end (95th and 99th percentiles)” of the total damages to be approximately \$20.1M and \$26.2M, respectively (all estimates in 2020 dollars).

The upper limit of the range (\$34M) came from a Class VI, Underground Injection Control Permit, which was issued to Archer Daniels Midland (ADM) by EPA (Underground Injection Control Permit – Class VI; Permit Number: IL-115-6A-0001). As part of the Financial Responsibility Demonstration Plan of the ADM permit, a cost estimate of \$33.8M was provided for the cost element, Emergency and Remedial Response, which is slightly higher than the 99th

percentile cost estimate of \$26.2M for the FutureGen 1.0 site. The planned injection rate for the ADM geologic storage project was ~1,200,000 metric tons per year.<sup>1</sup>

## REFERENCES

- Bielicki, J.M., Pollak, M.F., Fitts, J.P., Peters, C.A., Wilson, E.J., 2013, Causes and financial consequences of geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage reservoir leakage and interference with other subsurface resources: *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, v. 20, p. 272–284.
- Manceau, J.C., Hatzignatiou, D.G., Latour, L.L., Jensen, N.B., Réveillère, A., 2014, Mitigation and remediation technologies and practices in case of undesired migration of CO<sub>2</sub> from a geological storage unit—current status: *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, v. 22, p. 272–290.
- Trabucchi, C., Donlan, M., Huguenin, M., Konopka, M., Bolthrunis, S., 2012, Valuation of potential risks arising from a model, commercial-scale CCS project site: Prepared for CCS Valuation Sponsor Group, June 1, 2012.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Underground injection control permit—Class VI wells used for geologic sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub>: <https://www.epa.gov/uic/class-vi-wells-used-geologic-sequestration-co2>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that both of these examples are injecting CO<sub>2</sub> at a rate that is approximately 5 to 7 times the planned injection at the RTE geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage facility, which suggests that these cost estimates are likely greater than the costs that will be required for the RTE project.



## EXHIBIT B

## Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

Tract No.	Land Description	Owner Name	Tract Net Acres	Tract Participation	Storage Facility Participation	Acreage Leased (Y/N)
1	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff Tract Total:	160.000 160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	Y
2	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff Tract Total:	40.000 40.000	100.00000000%	1.14942529%	Y
3	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff Tract Total:	120.000 120.000	100.00000000%	3.44827586%	Y
4	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff Tract Total:	150.060 150.060	100.00000000%	4.31206897%	Y
5	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC Tract Total:	299.078 299.078	100.00000000%	8.59419540%	Y
6	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC Tract Total:	55.500 55.500	100.00000000%	1.59482759%	Y
7	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer Tract Total:	64.500 64.500	100.00000000%	1.85344828%	Y
8	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Barbara Hoff Tract Total:	113.314 113.314	100.00000000%	3.25614943%	Y
9	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP Tract Total:	17.878 17.878	100.00000000%	0.51373563%	Y
10	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP Tract Total:	77.850 77.850	100.00000000%	2.23706897%	Y
11	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Richard L. Hauck Linda Hauck Tract Total:	10.120 10.120	100.00000000%	0.29080460%	N
12	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff Tract Total:	68.750 68.750	100.00000000%	1.97557471%	Y
13	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP Tract Total:	143.800 143.800	100.00000000%	4.13218391%	Y
14	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Kevin Frederick Tract Total:	15.000 15.000	100.00000000%	0.43103448%	N
15	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher Tract Total:	65.000 65.000	100.00000000%	1.86781609%	Y
16	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher Tract Total:	40.959 40.959	100.00000000%	1.17698276%	Y
17	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher Tract Total:	18.658 18.658	100.00000000%	0.53614943%	Y
18	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher Tract Total:	88.223 88.223	100.00000000%	2.53514368%	Y
19	Section 14-T139N-R92W	Dwight Schank Tract Total:	607.120 607.120	100.00000000%	17.44597701%	Y
20	Section 15-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer Tract Total:	640.000 640.000	100.00000000%	18.39080460%	Y
21	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Messmer Farms LLP Tract Total:	80.000 80.000	100.00000000%	2.29885057%	Y
22	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Jeffrey R. Hoff Tract Total:	160.000 160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	Y
23	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Lori Linder Tract Total:	160.000 160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	N
24	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff Tract Total:	160.000 160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%	Y
	Sections 10, 11, 13 & 14- T139N-R92W	BNSF Railway Company Tract Total:	124.190 124.190	100.00000000%	3.56867816%	N

Percentage  
Leased: 91.11178161%

Total Acres: 3480.000 Total Participation: 100.00000000%

INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION  
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

DATE 8-12-21 CASE NO. 28848-50

Introduced By Red Trail

Exhibit 4

Identified By Red Trail

Exhibit 4



BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA    )  
  ) ss  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH        )

Lyn Odden, being first duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

1.

That I am an Executive Legal Assistant and Office Administrator with the firm of Fredrikson & Byron, P. A., P.O. Box 1855, Bismarck, North Dakota, attorneys of record for Red Trail Energy LLC ("Red Trail") in Case No. 28848.

2.

That under my control and supervision, all surface owners, all mineral owners, all mineral owner lessees, and all operators<sup>1</sup> (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Owners") as identified and provided to me by Red Trail, were sent notice of the hearing for the captioned matter.

3.

That notice to the Owners was provided by U.S. Mail certified return receipt requested, on June 24, 2021, as set forth on the Affidavit of Service by Mail, attached herewith as Exhibit 1.

---

1 There are not any operators.

INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION  
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA  
DATE 8-12-21 CASE NO. 28848-50  
Introduced By Red Trail  
Exhibit 5  
Identified By Red Trail

4.

That a number of the certified mailings were returned as undeliverable.

5.

That in an effort to provide notice as required by statute, rules and regulations of the North Dakota Industrial Commission, I engaged Research Knowledge Management Division ("RKM"), a service provided by Fredrikson & Byron, P. A. which conducts searches for updated addresses, to search for current addresses.

6.

That RKM conducted a search using TransUnion TLOxp., a product used to locate current addresses for the Owners whose envelopes were returned.

7.

That notice was provided to some of the Owners whose envelopes were returned, at their current addresses as provided by RKM, via U.S. Mail certified return receipt requested, on July 9, 2021, as set forth on the Affidavit of Service by Mail, attached herewith as Exhibit 2.

9.

That notice was provided to some of the Owners whose envelopes were returned, at their current addresses as provided by RKM, via U.S. Mail certified return receipt requested, on July 13, 2021, as set forth on the Affidavit of Service by Mail, attached herewith as Exhibit 3.

10.

That notice was provided to some of the Owners whose envelopes were returned, at their current addresses as provided by RKM, via U.S. Mail certified return receipt requested, on July 20, 2021, as set forth on the Affidavit of Service by Mail, attached herewith as Exhibit 4.

11.

That notice was provided to some of the Owners whose envelopes were returned, at their current addresses as provided by RKM, via U.S. Mail certified return receipt requested, on July 21, 2021, as set forth on the Affidavit of Service by Mail, attached herewith as Exhibit 5.

12.

That notice was provided to some of the Owners whose envelopes were returned, at their current addresses as provided by RKM, via U.S. Mail certified return receipt requested, on July 23, 2021, as set forth on the Affidavit of Service by Mail, attached herewith as Exhibit 6.

13.

That notice was provided to some of the Owners whose envelopes were returned, at their current addresses as provided by RKM, via U.S. Mail certified return receipt requested, on July 29, 2021, as set forth on the Affidavit of Service by Mail, attached herewith as Exhibit 7.

14.

That notice was provided to an Owner whose envelope was returned, at said Owner's current address as provided by RKM, via U.S. Mail certified return receipt requested, on August 2, 2021, as set forth on the Affidavit of Service by Mail, attached herewith as Exhibit 8.

15.

That notice was provided to some of the Owners whose envelopes were returned, at their current addresses as provided by RKM, via U.S. Mail certified return receipt requested, on August 4, 2021, as set forth on the Affidavit of Service by Mail, attached herewith as Exhibit 9.

16.

That attached herewith as Exhibit 10 is an Affidavit of Publication indicating that notice of

the captioned matter was published in The Dickinson Press for three weeks from July 7 through July 21, 2021, consecutively.

17.

That attached herewith as Exhibit 11 is a list of those Owners whose envelopes were returned as being undeliverable indicating the type of interest owned by each Owner.

18.

That as a result of RKM's search for certain Owners, it was determined that certain Owners are now deceased as indicated by copies of obituaries attached herewith as Exhibit 12.

19.

That attached herewith as Exhibit 13 is a copy of United States Postal Service Form 3877 which indicates the tracking/article number for each Owner who was sent notice of the captioned matter on June 24, 2021, via certified mail return receipt requested.

20.

That attached herewith as Exhibit 14 is a copy of the certified return receipts showing receipt of the notice by the Owners.

21.

That attached herewith as Exhibit 15 is a list of all the parties who were sent notice indicating that service was attempted via certified mail, if the certified mailing was received or returned, if an updated address was provided by RKM, where applicable, and that service was again attempted via certified mail, and if the certified mailing to the current address was received or returned.

22.


That a review of Exhibit B attached to the Storage Agreement reflects that all surface owners,

as set forth on Exhibit B to the Storage Agreement, were served via U.S. Mail, certified mail returned receipt requested.

23.


That page B-4 of Exhibit B to the Geologic Storage Agreement, which is a part of the Storage Facility Permit, inadvertently references "Lori Hinder" and should reference "Lori Linder". Attached herewith as Exhibit 16 is a copy of pages 1-6 and 1-35 of Section 1.0 of Red Trail Energy LLC's Exhibit 2, to be presented at hearing on August 12, 2021, which correctly references "Lori Linder" and a copy of the certified receipt executed by Lori Linder.

DATED this 11 day of August, 2021.



LYN ODDEN


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 11 day of August, 2021.



Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 2-5-2022

73559493.1





BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )


Kim Nagel, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kim Nagel

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff  
3729 86th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
2461 81st Ave. SW  
Hebron ND 58638

Vernon J. Tormaschy and  
Kathleen M. Tormaschy  
3549 86th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Karen Messmer  
8860 39th St. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Neal C. and Bonnie M.  
Messer Farm Properties LLLP  
10339 Hwy 10  
Dickinson ND 58601

Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
8601 Hwy 10 E  
Richardton ND 58652

Joann Hoselton  
13877 145th St. SW  
Red Lake Falls MN 56750

Barbara Hoff  
3752 Hwy 8 S  
Richardton ND 58652

William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff  
Box 204  
Richardton ND 58652

Richard L. Hauck and  
Linda Hauck  
8559 Hwy 10 East  
Richardton ND 58652

Craig S. Fisher  
8330 39th St. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Kevin Frederick  
1325 27th St. SE #900  
Minot ND 58701

Kenneth Moore  
Box 56  
Taylor ND 58656

Sheldon Fisher  
8330 39th St SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Dwight F. Schank  
3840 91st Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Karen L. Messmer  
1990 Mesquite Lp  
Bismarck ND 58503

Gerald L. Hoff and JoAnn Hoselton  
422 1st Ave. West  
Richardton ND 58652

Jeffrey R. Hoff  
3960 87th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Messmer Farms LLP  
10844 E Queensborough Ave  
Mesa AZ 85212

Lori Linder  
613 Rose Ave.  
Wheatland CA 95692

Randy Mischel  
7410 Keystone Dr.  
Bismarck ND 58503

Gary Mischel  
1036 SE 6th St.  
Cape Coral FL 33990

Dalton Rixen  
201 Linden Ave.  
Taylor ND 58656

Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
3713 36th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Kent Mischel  
5411 Trace Bd  
Bryan TX 77807

Althea Prible  
12015 SW Rose Vista Dr.  
Portland OR 97223

Rose Schnell  
7536 SE 141st Ave.  
Portland OR 97236

## EXHIBIT A

Aloys Gress  
7526 East Maple Ave.  
Vancouver WA 98664

Anton Gress  
941 NE 113 Ave.  
Portland OR 97200

Anton Gress  
836 S Curry St. Unit 304  
Portland OR 97239

George Gress  
10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6  
Yuma AZ 85365

John Gress  
3140 Hwy 8  
Richardton ND 58652

Gerald Gress  
3112 La Tierra Dr.  
Roswell NM 88201

Gerald Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John  
Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992  
3112 La Tierra Dr.  
Rosewell NM 88201

Francis Gress  
825 Elm Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Francis Gress, as Co-Trustee of the  
John Gress Family Trust  
Dated May 6, 1992  
825 Elm Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Victor Gress  
488 NW 6th Ave., Apt. 12  
Gresham OR 97013

Donald Roy Gress  
12881 NW Bayonne Lane  
Portland OR 97229

Charles F. Gress  
483 SW Pemberly Loop  
McMinnville OR 97128

Eleanor Gaman  
7526 East Maple Ave  
Vancouver WA 98664

Kathleen McVay  
14530 Westchester Dr.  
Colorado Springs CO 80921

Curtis Hoff  
4817 Cheyenne Dr.  
Larkspur CO 80921

Joyce Kastner  
4720 Ignacio Ave.  
Loveland CO 80118

Jane Will  
1222 Richmond Dr.  
Bismarck ND 50538

Joel Hoff  
1141 Clark  
Billings MT 58501

Theodore Hoff  
Box 7268  
Bozeman MT 49102

Emily Knopik  
903 13th St. West  
Billings MT 49771

Regina Pfeifer  
1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1  
Bismarck ND 58501

Rose Mary Hoff  
21138 Saddleback Circle  
Parker CO 80138

Sarah Jane Wolf  
1780 NW 7th Pl  
Gresham OR 97030

Sarah Surry  
1780 NW 7th Pl  
Gresham OR 97030

Ann Geck  
716 East Turnpike Ave.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Ann Kilzer  
716 E. Turnpike Ave.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Timothy R. Geck  
4560 Lake Ave.  
Saint Paul MN 55110

Kathryn Geck  
1121 West Highland Acres Rd.  
Bismarck MD 58501

Kathryn Dorgan  
1121 West Highland Acres Rd.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Clemens Geck  
668 Knollwood Drive  
Woodland CA 95695

Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees  
of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust,  
dated 01/04/1982  
Box 371  
Richardton ND 58652

James L. Hoff  
606 Dakota St. North  
Elgin ND 58533

Lee Ann Hoff  
78 Stratford St.  
West Roxbury MA 2132

Kenneth Hoff  
6165 Paisley Drive North  
Olmstead OH 44070

Marie Hoff  
4262 Shaw, Apt #1 East  
St. Louis MO 63100

Lee R. Hoff  
2618 South Willow Wood  
Mesa AZ 85209

Bernadine Hoff  
7202 Lake Shore Road  
Derby NY 14047

Judith Lee Dinyer  
318 Bluffview Dr.  
Brownwood TX 76801

Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff  
Family Revocable Trust, dated  
06/29/2012  
340 North Avenue East  
Missoula MT 59801

Carolyn Jurgens  
PO Box 204  
Taylor ND 58656

Robert Bosch  
7032 57th Dr. NE  
Marysville WA 98270

Patty Bosch  
2013 Hewitt Dr.  
Billings MT 59102

Kaire Bosch  
3170 121st Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Marilyn Marx  
3129 Lakeview Dr.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Gladys Schwehr  
1716 West 40th Ave.  
Kennewick WA 99337

Dwight Hauck  
41625 228th Ave. SE  
Enumclaw WA 98022-9079

Glenn Hauck  
947 – 24th St. West  
Dickinson ND 58601

David Hauck  
2233 Hwy 8  
Richardton ND 58652

Bryan Hauck  
PO Box 154  
Smoot WY 83126

Alvin Hoff  
426 Rd 261  
Glendive MT 59330

Donna Stockie  
795 Montview Way  
Springfield OR 97477

Juanita Baesler  
409 Ashbrook Ln  
Russellville AR 72802

Juanita Baesler  
509 Scenic Drive  
Ville Platte LA 70586

Robert Hoff  
PO Box 5063  
Nikolaevsk AK 99556

Harold Hoff  
733 Chaffee Row  
Beulah ND 58523

Faye Stockie King  
2117 Debra Dr.  
Springfield OR 97477

Faye Stockie King  
1043 Cinnamon Avenue  
Eugene OR 97404

Guy Stockie  
5720 125th St. SE  
Snohomish WA 98296

James Baesler  
4018 Maple Dr. 5009  
Chesapeake VA 23321

Mark Stockie  
5009 West Rosewood Avenue  
Glendale AZ 85304

Audrey Baesler Gund  
852 Cliff Rd  
Russellville AR 72801

Audrey Baesler Gund  
852 Cliff Road  
Russellville AR 72801

Leland Baesler  
PO Box 80751  
San Diego CA 92138

Earl E. Hart III  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Heather Moff  
2702 North 191st Ave.  
Buckeye AZ 85326

James Hart  
PO Box 110266  
Campbell CA 95011

James E. Hart  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva  
2718 North 153rd Dr.  
Goodyear AZ 85395

Tristan Hoff  
1 Michele Ln  
Kennebunk ME 4043

Daniel Hoff  
12040 SW Fairfield St.  
Beaverton OR 97005

Jane Hoff Hutz  
1407 First Avenue NE  
Beulah ND 58523

Edward Wehri  
2639 Camino Lenada  
Oakland CA 94611

Katelyn Elaine Hart  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Samantha Michelle Hart  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Madalyn Jacqueline Hart  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Ann Clara Hart  
178 Echo Ave.  
Campbell CA 95008

State Treasurer, as Trustee for the  
State of North Dakota  
1707 North 9th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Robert D. Barth  
PO Box 270  
Dickinson ND 58562

Lorraine Thompson  
5990 Tanforan Ct.  
Fair Oaks CA 95628-2634

Lucille Wendt  
PO Box 788  
Medical Lake WA 99022

Delnita Messer  
3052 Lakeview Dr.  
Dickinson ND 58601



Kim Glasser  
1228 Richmond Dr.  
Bismarck ND 58504

Randy Barth  
581 Cottonwood Loop  
Bismarck ND 58504

Larry Meyer  
252 7th Ln SW  
Fairfield MT 59436

Steve Meyer  
205 7th Ave. NW  
Watford City ND

Nancy Bishop  
22860 Sky Street  
Rapid City SD 57703

Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as  
Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth  
Trust Dated January 13, 2015  
1900 West Camino Granada  
Yuma AZ 85364

John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co-  
Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family  
Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015  
1307 North 18th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Luann Woeste  
1014 1st Ave. NW  
Hazen ND 58545

Pamela Meissner  
650 52-1/2 Avenue SW, #12  
Hazen ND 58545

Alicia Holum  
5512 64th Ave. NW  
Gig Harbor WA

Kathleen Mangan  
3053 North 19th Street  
Bismarck ND 58501

Cynthia Martin  
5110 99th Ave. SW  
Lefor ND 58641

Wayne Pechtl  
3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13  
Bismarck ND 58503

Jeanne Betlaf  
8075 Haas Lane  
Blackhawk SD 57718

AgriBank, FCB  
30 East 7th St. Suite 1600  
St. Paul MN 55101

Regina V. Messmer  
145 Wilson St.  
Bordulac ND 58421

Amalia Amann  
North 1818 Cook St.  
Spokane WA 99207

Joe Messmer  
4478 Essex St. SE  
Salem OR 97301

Beatrice Zimmerman  
620 112th St. SE #316  
Everett WA 98208

Ida Stergios  
4043 Lucille Ave. SE  
Salem OR 97302

Anna Grasseth  
3016 Oak Crest Dr. NW  
Salem OR 97306

Francis Messmer  
4825 Yellowstone Court NE  
Salem OR 97301

Linus Messmer  
4121 Markins Dr.  
Corpus Christi TX 78411

Albert Messmer  
Rt. 3, Box 16  
Mott ND 58646

Kathy L. Hoyt, as Trustee of the  
Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust  
dated August 10, 2011  
1013 Fir Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Donald J. Blatz and Venita F. Blatz,  
Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust,  
under Trust Agreement dated June 27, 1995  
7718 Mustang Lane  
Lina Lakes MN 55014

Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J.  
Messmer Living Trust  
PO Box 13  
Bowman ND 58623

Victor Messmer and Clara Messmer  
3515 N 19th St., Apt. 4  
Bismarck ND 58501

Karen Messmer, as Trustee of T K  
Messmer Mineral Trust  
1990 Mesquite Loop  
Bismarck ND 58503

James Walby and Mary Ann Walby  
502 2nd St. SW  
Bowman ND 58623

William R. Messmer and Jennifer  
Lynne Messmer  
11303 Halma Lane  
Woodstock IL 60098

Jennifer Anne Hischer  
445 31st Ave. East  
West Fargo ND 58078

Paul Robert Helten  
3147 Morgan Circle  
Bismarck ND 58503-0154

Gerald T. Rixen  
PO Box 9583  
Fargo ND 58109

Patricia M. Meyer  
1902 East Beck Lane  
Phoenix AZ 85022-3341

Linda M. Reisenauer  
PO Box 116  
New England ND 58647

Dennis J. Rixen  
508 5th St. NE  
Jamestown ND 58401

Leroy A. Rixen, Jr.  
37 - 29th Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Wayne M. Rixen  
1301 4th St. NE  
Jamestown ND 58401

Bonnie J. Saetz  
3030 115th Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Dennis Mischel  
Box 6  
Horace ND 58049

Donald Mischel  
608 Lynn Dr.  
Argusville ND 58005

Diane Mischel  
5212 Meadow Lane Court  
Rapid City SD 57703-6581

Garrett BTF Minerals, LLC  
9701 North Broadway  
Oklahoma City OK 73114

The Pfanenstiel Company, LLC  
PO Box 12928  
Oklahoma City OK 73157

Somerset Development, Inc.  
15660 North Dallas Parkway,  
Suite 700  
Dallas TX 75248

Youngblood LTD  
3826 N. Versailles Avenue  
Dallas TX 75209

J. Lee Youngblood, Trustee  
128 West Denver Drive  
Bismarck ND 58501

Estate of Jerry Schnell  
2522 West Meredith Drive (1993)  
Vienna VA 22181

Carla Schnell  
2522 West Meredith Drive (1993)  
Vienna VA 22181

Gordon W. Schnell and Sandra Y.  
Schnell  
801 9th Avenue  
Dickinson ND 58601

Tom Schnell  
1437 South Washington Ave  
Royal Oaks MI 48067

Courtney Moody  
27680 Spring Valley Road  
Farmington Hills MI 48336

Brian Schnell  
6016 Erin Terrace  
Edina MN 55439

MAP2006-OK  
101 N. Robinson, Suite 100  
Oklahoma City OK 73102

Assumption Abbey  
418 3rd Avenue West  
Richardton ND 58652

United States of America Bureau of  
Land Management  
5001 Southgate Drive  
Billings MT 59101

Carla Schnell  
2522 West Meredith Drive  
Vienna VA 22181

Great Northern Properties LP  
P.O. Box 1745  
Miles City MT 59301

Patrick M. Carroll  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Bonnie M. Carroll  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Gene Lacher and Joyce Lacher  
616 S. Anderson St.  
Bismarck ND 58501

St. John's Lutheran Church  
P.O. Box 126  
Taylor ND 58656

William Robinson  
Christian Colony  
Ripon WI

United States of America  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Patrick M. Carroll and Bonnie M.  
Carroll  
P.O. Box 126  
Taylor ND 58656

St. John's Lutheran Church  
Rt. 1, Box 41  
Sentinel Butte ND 58654

Home of the Range  
8749 Hwy. 10  
Richardton ND 58652

Jason R. Tormaschy & Hannah  
Tormaschy  
P.O. Box 11  
Richardton ND 58652

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Assumption Abby, Inc.  
P.O. Box A  
Richardton ND 58652

State of North Dakota  
608 East Boulevard Avenue  
Bismarck ND 58505-0700

James L. Hoff  
Route 1  
Leith ND 58551

Lee Ann Hoff  
71A Appleton  
Boston MA 2116

Lee R. Hoff  
Box 143  
Leith ND 58551

Bernadine Hoff  
7200 Old Lake Shore Road  
Derby NY 14047-0266

Regina Pfeifer  
708 8th Ave. NW  
Mandan ND 58554

Rose Mary Hoff  
7939 Pecos  
Denver CO 80221

Judith Lee Dinyer  
221 East Owens Avenue  
Bismarck ND 58501

Emil M. Hoff  
1023 Alderson  
Billings MT 59102

Emily Knopik  
1023 Alderson  
Billings MT 59102

Joel Hoff  
712 Kirkland Circle #A303  
Kirkland WA 98033

Curtis Hoff  
17780 Canterbury Dr.  
Monument CO 80132

Theodore Hoff  
3380 Penwell Bridge Rd.  
Belgrade MT 59714

Joyce Kastner  
1802 W. 37th  
Loveland CO 80537

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
PO Box 11  
Richardton ND 58652

Adam Dale Schank  
4809 Southbay Drive  
Mandan ND 58554

Great Northern Properties  
Limited Partnership  
1107 N. 27th Street, Suite 201  
Billings MT 59101

William S. Hoff & Doris Hoff  
8547 HWY 10 E  
Richardton ND 58652

Edward Wehri  
7901 Winthrope Street  
Oakland CA 94605

Frances Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

James E. Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

Bremer Bank, NA  
128 North B Street, P.O. Box 352  
Richardton ND 58652

Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva  
1252 First Street West  
Dickinson ND 58601

Tristan Hoff  
P.O. Box 10947  
Jackson WY 83002

Daniel Hoff  
426 - RD 261  
Glendive MT 59330

Jane Hoff Hotz  
1407 First Avenue NE  
Beulah ND 58523

Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
3713 86th Avenue SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Lee Gress  
941 N.E. 113 Avenue  
Portland OR 97200

Aloys Gress  
5100 N.E. 19th Avenue  
Vancouver WA 98660

George Gress  
Doby Lous Trailer Park,  
1980 Colorado Street  
Yuma AZ 85364

Victor Gress  
3250 S.E. Hillyard Road  
Gresham OR 97030

AgriBank  
30 E. 7th St., #1600  
St. Paul MN 55101

Joel and Linda Zimmerman, Trustees of  
the Zimmerman Living Trust  
44236 N 12th St.  
New River AZ 85087

R.A. Couse and Darlene Couse, Trustees of  
the Robert and Darlene Couse Trust  
493 Avenida Dr.  
Arroyo Grande CA 93420

Marie Wehri  
17 South Merriam Ave.  
Miles City MT 59301

Ann Clara Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

William Hoff  
8547 Hwy 10 East  
Richardton ND 58652

Mitch Erdle  
8160 35th St.  
Hebron ND 58638

James Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

Ann Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

William J. Jones, Earl E. Hart and Denise  
M. Drye, Co-Trustees of the Residual  
Trust under the Jones Family Living  
Trust Dated January 14, 1992  
1507 Shaw Drive  
San Jose CA 95118

Edward Wehri  
7901 Winthrop St.  
Oakland CA 94605

Heather Hoff  
2702 North 191st Ave.  
Buckeye AZ 85326

Daniel Hoff  
426 RD 261  
Glendive MT 59330

Jane Hoff Hotz  
1407 First Ave. NE  
Beulah ND 58523

Dakota Community Bank and Trust  
609 Main Street P.O. Box 431  
Hebron ND 58638-0431

Rocky Mountain Exploration, Inc.  
5441 Preserve Parkway S.  
Greenwood Village CO 80121

Tracker Resources  
Development II, LLC  
1050 17th St., Suite 975  
Denver CO 80265

BNSF Railway Company  
2500 Lou Menk Drive  
Fort Worth TX 76131-2830

Great Northern Properties Limited  
Partnership  
1101 N. 27th Street, Suite 201  
Billings MT 59101

Kenneth E. Moore  
8465 39th Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Gerald R. Aluisse & Valerie A. Aluisse  
8441 39th Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Naomi Elkins  
131 Boise  
Bismarck ND 58501

Cheryl Harriet Keenan  
15922 Dunmoor  
Houston TX 77059

Heather Hoff  
2702 North 191st Avenue  
Buckeye AZ 85326

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.  
101 North Phillips Avenue  
Sioux Falls SD 57104

State of North Dakota  
1707 N. 9th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501

James Erdle  
8840 37th St. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Mary Mooer  
192 HWY 200 South  
Glendive MT 59330

Kathleen Heimbuch  
9748 122nd Avenue SE  
Cogswell ND 58017

Lucille Trotman  
2701 Berkshire Drive  
Bismarck ND 58503

Teresa Hoff  
128 West Denver Drive  
Bismarck ND 58501

Karen Elstoen  
505 Halyard Drive  
Allen TX 75013

Jerome Erdle  
21051 Gresham Street; Apt 201  
Canoga Park CA 91304



Tim Erdle  
16901 Northridge Ave. North  
Marine On St. Croix MN 55047

Assumption Abbey  
P.O. Box A  
Richardton ND 58652

Carey D. Rummel  
534 10th Street West  
West Fargo ND 58078

Darcie M. Rummel  
2327 Hoover Avenue  
Bismarck ND 58501

Peggy A. Rummel  
7735 Highway 9 SE  
Carrington ND 58421

Anthony Messmer and Karen Messmer,  
as Trustees of the TK Messmer  
Mineral Trust  
8860 39th Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Sharon Schaefer  
12012 NW 35th Ave.  
Vancouver WA 98685

Rita Schaefer  
5415 North 179 Drive  
Litchfield Park AZ 85340

Lucas Hoff  
8969 31st Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Fred J. Williams III, as Trustee of the Fred J.  
Williams III 2017 GST Trust under agreement  
dated January 27, 2010, as amended  
4437 Beach Lane South  
Fargo ND 58104

Fred J. Williams III & Jennifer G.  
Williams, collectively, as Trustees of the  
Jennifer G. Williams GST Trust under  
agreement, effective August 6, 2020  
6119 East Osborn Road  
Scottsdale AZ 85251

Bruce C. Fjelde, as Trustee of the Bruce C.  
Fjelde Revocable Trust, dated the  
13th day of July, 2015  
1200 Harwood Drive South, #127  
Fargo ND 58104

Williams Mineral Investments, LLC  
1042 Morningside Court  
Casselton ND 58012

Frederick W. Burgum  
Box 206  
Arthur ND 58006

A. C. Johnson  
Box 2643, 1736-8 Street So.  
Fargo ND 58108

Black Stone Minerals Company, L.P.  
1001 Fannin, Suite 2020  
Houston TX 77002-6709

Bonnie J. Saetz  
3030 115th Ave SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Jolene F. Gress  
746 8th Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Jerilyn L. Haberstroh  
6608 80th Ave. SW  
Mott ND 58646

Michelle L. Kuhn  
1201 Prairie View Dr.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Gerald T. Rixen  
7821 Arroyo Dr.  
Paradise Valley AZ 0

Linda M. Reisenauer  
Rt. 2, Box 87  
New England ND 58647

Wayne M. Rixen  
3421 East Acoma Dr.  
Phoenix AZ 85032-5165

Dennis J. Rixen  
117 2nd Ave. E  
Dickinson ND 58601

LeRoy A. Rixen, Jr.  
RR 1, Box 60  
Dickinson ND 58601

Lucas Hoff  
8969 31st St. SW  
Richardton ND 58625

JRH Enterprises  
3960 87th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58625

Jennifer Anne Hischer  
445 31st Ave. E  
West Fargo ND 58078-8301

Betty L. Zacher  
261 Boothill Rd.  
Custer SD 57730-6223

Kathleen A. Porubensky  
6305 Mountain Meadow Dr.  
Blackhawk SD 57718

John J. Zacher  
2221 Merlot Cr.  
Fort Collins CO 80528

Lynn M. Groh  
16147 Harvard Ln.  
Lakeville MN 55044

Richard A. Zacher  
105 Buckboard Ct.  
Custer SD 57730

James and Mary Ann Walby  
502 2nd St. SW  
Bowman ND 58623-4533

Todd Walby  
P.O. Box 784  
Bowman ND 58623

Scott Walby  
P.O. Box 109  
Bowman ND 58623

Daniel Walby  
1486 13th St. W  
Dickinson ND 58623

Jason Walby  
2403 Benders Place  
Mandan ND 58554

Eric Walby  
207 9th Ave. NW  
Bowman ND 58623

Terry Messmer  
220 Buckingham Dr  
Providence UT 84332-9669

Timothy Messmer  
1245 Holly St.  
Denver CO 80220

Victoria Jessop  
P.O. Box 265  
Mott ND 58646

Carrie Gerving  
4245 62nd Ave.  
Glen Ullin ND 58631

Kathy L Hoyt, as Trustee of the  
Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust  
1031 Fir Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Bob Morland, Trustee of the  
Roy J. Messmer Living Trust  
15 S Main St.  
Bowman ND 58623

Donald and Venita F. Blatz, Trustees  
of the Blatz Revocable Trust  
216 Capitol Dr.  
Appleton WI 54911-1204

Russell James Messmer, as Trustee  
of the f E. Messmer  
Family Mineral Trust  
10695 Annette Ct.  
Portland OR 97229-8801

Tracy John Rixen and  
Debbie Ann Rixen  
8429 44th ST. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Grace Rixen-Handford  
4496 85th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Farm Credit Services of  
Mandan, FLCA  
1600 Old Red Trail  
Mandan ND 58554

Joy Beth Mische  
1335 State Highway 30  
Pipestone MN 56164

Melodie Joy Alt  
7015 County Road 4  
Grafton ND 58237

Cheryl H. Keenan  
15922 Dunmoor  
Houston TX 77059

Janice Faye Wahlers  
44628 308th Street  
Mission Hill SD 57046

Dorothy Palm Monte  
12420 S.E. Steele  
Portland OR 97236

Angela Palm Brouillette  
24335 S. Brockway Road  
Oregon City OR 97045

Mary Teresa Palm Miller  
11272 SE 64th Avenue  
Milwaukee OR 97222

Gerianne Palm Courtney  
10485 SW Kiowa Street  
Tualatin OR 97062

Michael Palm  
6627 SE Mabel Avenue  
Milwaukee OR 97267

Chantra Boehm  
2120 South 12th Street; Apt. 112  
Bismarck ND 58504

Nancy Schmidt  
533 South 17th Street  
Bismarck ND 58504

Benjamin B. Saunders, Frances Fohs  
Sohn and Fred Sohn  
1116 SE Terrace St.  
Roseburg OR 97470

Charlotte R. Richards, Trustee, Fohs  
Sohn Oil and Gas Trust  
P.O. Box 1001  
Roseburg OR 97470

Adobe Oil Company  
Petroleum Life Building  
Midland TX 79701

SFER Properties - A, Inc.  
1616 South Voss; Suite 1000  
Houston TX 77057

Leonard Hueske  
PO Box 311  
Richardton, ND 58652

Jason R. Tormaschy  
and Hannah Tormaschy  
8749 Hwy 10  
Richardton, ND 58652

Lenard Luithle &  
Mary Ann Luithle  
PO Box 100  
Richardton, ND 58652

Gerald L. Hoff and Kileen Hoff  
422 1st Ave W  
Richardton, ND 58652

Phillip Messer, Jr.  
and Betty Messer  
8510 52nd St SW  
Richardton, ND 58652

ROUGH RIDER ELECTRIC  
COOPERATIVE, INC.  
PO Box 1038  
Dickinson, ND 58602

Dorothy Frederick  
212 B St. N  
Richardton, ND 58652

Kenneth Moore  
and Monica Moore  
Box 56  
Taylor, ND 58656

Duane Mischel  
PO Box 848  
West Fargo, ND 58078

Chantra Boehm  
1915 N 115th Street, Unit #2  
Bismarck, ND 58501-2031

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )


Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 9th day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

AMBROSE HOFF AND  
CHARLOTTE HOFF  
3713 86TH AVENUE SW  
RICHARDTON ND 58652

AMBROSE R. HOFF AND  
CHARLOTTE HOFF  
3713 36TH AVE. SW  
RICHARDTON ND 58652

AMBROSE R. HOFF AND  
CHARLOTTE HOFF  
8601 HWY 10 E  
RICHARDTON ND 58652

WILLIAM ROBINSON  
552 E JACKSON ST.  
RIPON, WI 54971

NAOMI ELKINS  
131 BOISE AVENUE #1,  
BISMARCK, ND 58504

TRACKER RESOURCES  
DEVELOPMENT II LLC  
1001 17TH ST. SUITE 1000  
DENVER CO 80202

JAMES HOFF  
PO BOX 74  
CARSON, ND 58529

SOMERSET DEVELOPMENT, INC.  
1412 MAIN ST., STE. 2400  
DALLAS TX 75202-4011

REGINA V. MESSMER  
310 9TH AVE SE  
DEVILS LAKE, ND 58301

REGINA V. MESSMER  
145 WILSON ST.  
CARRINGTON, ND 58421

SFER PROPERTIES - A, INC.  
1616 S VOSS ROAD, SUITE 1000  
HOUSTON TX 77057

JOEL AND LINDA ZIMMERMAN.  
TRUSTEES OF THE ZIMMERMAN  
LIVING TRUST  
14602 N SHIPROCK DR.  
SUN CITY, AZ 85351

JOEL AND LINDA ZIMMERMAN.  
TRUSTEES OF THE ZIMMERMAN  
LIVING TRUST  
18051 N 49TH DR  
GLENDALE, AZ 85308

GERALD R. BARTH AND MARY ANN  
BARTH AS TRUSTEES OF THE GERALD  
AND MARY BARTH TRUST DATED  
JANUARY 13, 2015  
302 PARRISH ST.  
GENOA, WI 54632

GERALD R. BARTH AND MARY ANN  
BARTH AS TRUSTEES OF THE GERALD  
AND MARY BARTH TRUST DATED  
JANUARY 13, 2015  
375 COUNTY ROAD 302  
DURANGO, CO 81303

BRUCE C. FJELDE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE  
BRUCE C. FJELDE REVOCABLE TRUST,  
DATED THE 13TH DAY OF JULY, 2015  
2108 18TH AVENUE S  
FARGO, ND 58103

BRUCE C. FJELDE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE  
BRUCE C. FJELDE REVOCABLE TRUST,  
DATED THE 13TH DAY OF JULY, 2015  
33RD AVE E, APT. 224  
WEST FARGO, ND 58078

ROBERT D. BARTH  
PO BOX 270  
NEW LEIPZIG, ND 58562

RANDY MISCHER  
PO BOX 3252  
DICKINSON, ND 58602

RANDY MISCHER  
232 TELSTAR DR.  
BISMARCK, ND 5850

BONNIE J. SAETZ  
1570 14TH ST W  
DICKINSON, ND 58601

ESTATE OF JERRY SCHNELL  
2050 PACIFIC BEACH DR  
UNIT 309  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92109

CARLA SCHNELL  
2050 PACIFIC BEACH DR  
UNIT 309  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92109

CAREY D. RUMMEL  
523 APPLETREE LN  
MOORHEAD, MN 56560

JENNIFER ANNE HISCHER  
970 ALBERT DR W  
WEST FARGO, ND 58078

KENNETH MOORE  
AND MONICA MOORE  
8465 39TH ST SW  
RICHARDTON, ND 58652-9408

DUANE MISCHER  
5828 AUTUMN DR S  
FARGO, ND 58104-7654

EXHIBIT A



JOHN D. BARTH AND EDITH A. BARTH,  
AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE JOHN AND  
EDITH BARTH FAMILY MINERAL TRUST  
DATED AUGUST 10, 2015  
5582 BISHOPS BLVD S  
FARGO, ND 58104-7251

VICTORIA JESSOP  
PO BOX 1802  
EUNICE, NM 88231-1802

PATRICK M. CARROLL AND  
BONNIE M. CARROLL  
306 2ND AVE SW  
DICKINSON, ND 58601-5715

JANE HOFF HOTZ  
1184 59TH AVE SW  
BEULAH, ND 58523-9570

GEORGE GRESS  
13439 E 54TH DR  
YUMA, AZ 85367-8458

JANE HOFF HUTZ  
1184 59TH AVE SW  
BEULAH, ND 58523-9570

VICTOR MESSMER  
AND CLARA MESSMER  
704 E ASH AVE APT 211  
GLEN ULLIN, ND 58631-7127

PATRICK M. CARROLL AND  
BONNIE M. CARROLL  
PO BOX 113  
MOFFIT, ND 58560-0113

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )


Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 13th day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13th day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

Emil M. Hoff  
c/o Theodore Hoff  
4892 E Shoreline Dr.  
Post Falls, ID 83854-6854

Great Northern Properties  
Limited Partnership  
c/o Capitol Corporate Services Inc.  
26 W Sixth Ave.  
Helena, MT 59601

Kevin Frederick  
8455 Highway 10 E  
Richardton, ND 58652

Mark Stockie  
795 Montview Way  
Springfield, OR 97477-3679

Michael Palm  
3200 SE Silverleaf Ln Unit 9  
Portland, OR 97267-2815

Peggy A. Rummel  
6611 4TH ST NE  
Carrington, ND 58421-8916

Peggy A. Rummel  
1900 Main St  
Carrington, ND 58421-8616

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )


Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 20th day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of July, 2021.



  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

73451631.1

**EXHIBIT 4**

Timothy Messmer  
1245 S Holly Street  
Denver, CO 80246-3234

Karen Messmer  
1990 Mesquite Loop  
Bismarck, ND 58503-0198

Dwight F. Schank  
868 17th ST E  
Dickinson, ND 58601-3458

Anthony Messmer and Karen Messmer,  
Trustees of TK Messmer Mineral Trust  
1990 Mesquite Loop  
Bismarck, ND 58503-0198

Kathy L. Hoyt, Trustee of Pauline E.  
Messmer Family Tr. dtd Aug. 10, 2011  
3777 Molon Labe PL  
Mandan, ND 58554-7848

Dorothy Frederick  
8451 Highway 10 E  
Richardton, ND 58652-9404

Jeanne (Jean) Ann Pecht  
F/K/A Jeanne Betlaf  
409 Tamarack DR  
Rapid City, SD 57701-7676

St. John's Lutheran Church  
146 6th AVE W  
Dickinson, ND 58601

St. John's Lutheran Church  
120 Elliott Street  
Sentinel Butte, ND 58654

St. John's Lutheran Church  
387 S Central Ave  
Beach, ND 58621

Edward Wehri  
1501 37th Ave APT A9  
Oakland, CA 94601



BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA     )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH     )


Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 21st day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21st day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

Adobe Oil Company  
c/o Devon Energy Corporation  
33 West Sheridan Avenue  
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Williams Mineral Investments , LLC  
c/o JAMES L WILLIAMS III  
1235 Morningside Dr  
Casselton, ND 58012-3713

Joyce Kastner  
4720 Ignacio Ave.  
Loveland, CO 80538-6842

Youngblood LTD  
c/o Penny L. Youngblood  
2488 Fairview Rd.  
Millsap, TX 76066 USA

Theodore Hoff  
4892 E Shoreline Dr  
Post Falls, ID 83854-6854

Sharon Schaefer a/k/a  
Sharon Hoff Schaefer  
1801 NW 92ND St.  
Vancouver, WA 98665-6627

Darcie M. Rummel  
2929 Chicago Ave., Unit 1109  
Minneapolis, MN 55407-5014



Mitch Erdle  
3475 83RD AVE  
HEBRON, ND 58638-9620

Gerald Rixen  
724 SAINT LOUIS PL  
BISMARCK, ND 58504-7106

Jerry Thomas Rixen  
18366 260TH ST  
FERGUS FALLS, MN 56537-7426

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )


Kim Nagel, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 29th day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kim Nagel

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29th day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:



Tristan Hoff  
426 ROAD 261  
GLEN DIVE, MT 59330-9534

Marie Hoff  
911 N MANDAN ST  
BISMARCK, ND 58501-3507

Victor Gress  
488 NW 6TH AVE APT 12  
CANBY, OR 97013-3538

**EXHIBIT A**

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )


Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 2nd day of August, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**Teresa Hoff  
1220 Imperial Dr.  
Bismarck, ND 58504-7510**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2nd day of August, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

73554297.1

**EXHIBIT 8**

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )


Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 4th day of August, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**see attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of August, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

Alicia Holum  
5512 64TH AVE NW  
GIG HARBOR, WA 98335-6647

Cheryl Harriet Keenan  
4626 STERLING WOOD WAY  
HOUSTON, TX 77059-3168

Cheryl Harriet Keenan  
4626 STERLING ST  
HOUSTON, TX 77051-2632

## **EXHIBIT A**



**Forum Communications Company FORUM COMMUNICATIONS**

 PO BOX 2020  
 FARGO, ND 58107-2020

 PO BOX 2020  
 FARGO, ND 58107-2020
**CLIENT**
 FREDRIKSON & BYRON  
 1133 COLLEGE DR, #1000  
 BISMARCK, ND 58501
**INVOICE**

ACCOUNT NUMBER 328538	INVOICE DATE 07/21/2021
INVOICE NUMBER CL01774569	INVOICE AMOUNT 265.74

**AMOUNT PAID**

REMITTANCE PORTION: CUT AND RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT

Account Number	Terms	Invoice Date	Invoice Number
328538	<b>DUE UPON RECEIPT</b>	07/21/2021	CL01774569
<b>Ad Text:</b> BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01. CASE NO. _____ TO: ALL PERSONS, KNOWN AND UNKNOWN, HAVING OR CLAIMING AN ESTATE OR INTEREST IN THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED BELOW AND ANY PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NORTH DAKOTA INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION (NDCC § 38-22-06), WHETHER AS HEIRS, DEVISEES, LEGATEES, OR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF A DECEASED PERSON, OR UNDER ANY OTHER TITLE OR INTEREST, AND WHETHER OR NOT IN POSSESSION OR APPEARING OF RECORD IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER, THE CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT, OR THE COUNTY AUDITOR OF THE COUNTY IN WHICH THE LAND IS SITUATED. NOTICE OF HEARING PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Red Trail Energy LLC ("Red Trail") has made application to the North Dakota Industrial Commission ("Commission") requesting an order providing approval of a carbon dioxide storage facility permit as follows. 1. The carbon dioxide storage facility will be located near the city of Richardton, Stark County, North Dakota, more particularly described as follows: Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: SE/4NE/4, E/2SE/4 Section 10: All Section 11: All Section 12: W/2SW/4 Section 13: W/2NW/4, W/2SW/4 Section 14: All Section 15: All Section 22: N/2NW/4, NE/4 Section 23: N/2 2. A hearing to consider the application of Red Trail will be held before the Commission at 9:00 a.m. on August 12, 2021, at the Department of Mineral Resources Conference Room, Oil and Gas Division, 1000 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota. 3. A copy of the permit application and draft permit may be obtained from the Commission. 4. All comments regarding the storage facility permit application must be in writing and submitted to the Commission prior to hearing or presented at the hearing. 5. Amalgamation of the storage reservoirs pore space is required to operate the storage facility and the Commission may require that the pore space owned by nonconsenting owners be included in the storage facility and subject to geologic storage, and the amalgamation of pore space will be considered at the hearing. DATED this 23rd day of June, 2021. FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. /s/ Lawrence Bender LAWRENCE BENDER, ND Bar #03908 Attorneys for Applicant, Red Trail Energy LLC 1133 College Drive, Suite 1000 P. O. Box 1855 Bismarck, ND 58502-1000 (701) 221-8700 (July 7, 14 & 21, 2021) 2895706			
<b>Ad #:</b> 2895706	<b>Date:</b> 07/02/2021	<b>Ad Size:</b> 1 col. x 104.00 Lines	<b>Word Count:</b> 413
<b>Ad Heading:</b> ND LEGALS	<b>Tearsheets:</b>	<b>P.O. #:</b>	265.74

**EXHIBIT 10**



**TOTAL:** 265.74**ADJUSTMENTS:** 0.00**PAYMENTS:** 0.00**Amount Due:** 265.74

**TERMS:** A FINANCE CHARGE OF 1.5% PER MONTH, WHICH IS AN ANNUAL PERCENTAGE RATE OF 18%, IS CHARGED ON ALL PAST DUE ACCOUNTS AFTER 60 DAYS.

## AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

ss.

COUNTY OF STARK


**Elisabeth Beam**, *The Dickinson Press*, being duly sworn, states as follows:


1. I am the designated agent of The Dickinson Press, under the provisions and for the purposes of, Section 31-04-06, NDCC, for the newspaper listed on the attached exhibit.

2. The newspaper listed on the exhibit published the advertisement of: **Legal Notice; (3) time: July 7, July 14 and July 21, 2021**, as required by law or ordinance.

3. All of the listed newspapers are legal newspapers in the State of North Dakota and, under the provisions of Section 46-05-01, NDCC, are qualified to publish any public notice or any matter required by law or ordinance to be printed or published in a newspaper in North Dakota.

Dated this 21st day of July, 2021.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Legals Clerk

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

EVAN KJOS  
Notary Public  
State of North Dakota  
My Commission Expires May 26, 2024

### BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL

### COMMISSION

### STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

TO: ALL PERSONS, KNOWN AND UNKNOWN, HAVING OR CLAIMING AN ESTATE OR INTEREST IN THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED BELOW AND ANY PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NORTH DAKOTA INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION (NDCC § 38-22-06), WHETHER AS HEIRS, DEVISEES, LEGATEES, OR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF A DECEASED PERSON, OR UNDER ANY OTHER TITLE OR INTEREST, AND WHETHER OR NOT IN POSSESSION OR APPEARING OF RECORD IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER, THE CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT, OR THE COUNTY AUDITOR OF THE COUNTY IN WHICH THE LAND IS SITUATED.

### NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Red Trail Energy LLC ("Red Trail") has made application to the North Dakota Industrial Commission ("Commission") requesting an order providing approval of a carbon dioxide storage facility permit as follows.

1. The carbon dioxide storage facility will be located near the city of Richardton, Stark County, North Dakota, more particularly described as follows:

Township 139 North,  
Range 92 West

Section 9:  
SE/4NE/4, E/2SE/4

Section 10:  
All

Section 11:  
All

Section 12:  
W/2SW/4

Section 13:  
W/2NW/4, W/2SW/4

Section 14:  
All

Section 15:  
All

Section 22:  
N/2NW/4, NE/4

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

Section 23:  
N/2

FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.

/s/ Lawrence Bender

LAWRENCE BENDER, ND

Bar #03908

Attorneys for Applicant, Red Trail

Energy LLC

1133 College Drive, Suite 1000

P.O. Box 1855

Bismarck, ND 58502-1000

(701) 221-8700

(July 7, 14 & 21, 2021) 2895706

DATED this 23rd day of June, 2021.

# INVOICE

Invoice ID: 0500-2748-2859

<b>Vendor</b>	Forum Communications Company
<b>Requester</b>	Lawrence Bender [Officers   001913   bendla]
<b>Created By</b>	Sara Forsberg [Secretarial   002913   forssa]
<b>Create Date</b>	08/02/2021

## Invoice Information

<b>Vendor</b>	Forum Communications Company [101315]
<b>Address</b>	Forum Communications [1] PO Box 2020 Fargo,ND 58107-2020
<b>Invoice Number</b>	CL01774569
<b>Invoice Date</b>	07/21/2021
<b>Invoice Amount</b>	265.74 USD

## Allocation Details

Amount [USD]

<b>Client Related</b>	265.74
<b>Amount To Be Expensed</b>	265.74

<b>055360.0021</b>	Red Trail Energy, LLC
<b>Line</b>	0001
<b>Description</b>	Publication of NDIC application
<b>Cost Code</b>	Publication Fees

## Allocation Summary

Amount (USD)

<b>055360.0021</b>	Red Trail Energy, LLC Case No. 28848- geologic storage of carbon dioxide, Red Trail ethanol facility, Secs. 9,10,11,12,13,14,15,22&23,	265.74
--------------------	---	--------

## Expense Summary

Amount (USD)

<b>Client Related</b>	265.74
-----------------------	--------

OWNERS OF MINERAL INTERESTS  
(BOTH INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE STORAGE AREA)  
AND SURFACE ONLY OWNERS  
(OUTSIDE THE STORAGE AREA)  
WHO WERE NOT SERVED

**ALL SURFACE OWNERS WITHIN THE STORAGE AREA WERE SERVED**

- 1 Adobe Oil Company
- 2 Albert Messmer – deceased
- 3 Alicia Holum
- 4 Alvin Hoff
- 5 Anna Grasseth
- 6 Beatrice Zimmerman – deceased
- 7 Benjamin B. Saunders, Frances Fohs Sohn and Fred Sohn
- 8 Cheryl H. Keenan
- 9 Cheryl Harriet Keenan
- 10 Clemens Geck
- 11 Earl E. Hart III
- 12 Eleanor Gaman - deceased
- 13 Emil M. Hoff
- 14 Estate of Jerry Schnell
- 15 Francis Messmer – deceased
- 16 George Gress
- 17 Ida Stergios – deceased
- 18 J. Lee Youngblood, Trustee
- 19 James E. Hart
- 20 James L. Hoff
- 21 Jason Walby
- 22 Jennifer Anne Hischer
- 23 Jerilyn L. Haberstroh
- 24 Joe Messmer – deceased
- 25 Jolene F. Gress
- 26 Joyce Kastner
- 27 Judith Lee Dinyer – deceased
- 28 Karen Elstoen
- 29 Katelyn Elaine Hart
- 30 Kenneth Hoff – deceased
- 31 Kent Mischel
- 32 Lee Gress
- 33 Linus Messmer – deceased
- 34 Lorraine Thompson
- 35 Madalyn Jacqueline Hart
- 36 Marilyn Marx
- 37 Michael Palm

38 Naomi Elkins – deceased  
39 Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff – both deceased  
40 Paul Robert Helten  
41 Peggy A. Rummel  
42 Regina Pfeifer – deceased  
43 Rita Schaefer  
44 Robert Bath – deceased  
45 Rose Mary Hoff  
46 Rose Schnell – deceased  
47 Samantha Michelle Hart  
48 Sarah Jane Wolf and Sarah Surry (same individual) – deceased  
49 SFER Properties - A, Inc.  
50 Somerset Development, Inc.  
51 Theodore Hoff  
52 Tom Schnell  
53 Tracker Resources , Development II, LLC  
54 Victor Gress  
55 William J. Jones, Earl E. Hart and Denise M. Drye, Co-Trustees of the Residual,  
Trust under the Jones Family Living, Trust Dated January 14, 1992  
56 William R. Messmer and Jennifer Lynne Messmer  
57 William Robinson  
58 Williams Mineral Investments, LLC  
59 Youngblood LTD

73633060.1



# Albert J. Messmer

September 23, 1927 - July 06, 2012

Share this obituary



[Send Flowers](#)

[Sign Guestbook](#) | [View Guestbook Entries](#) | [Send Sympathy Card](#)

Albert Messmer, age 84 of Mott, passed away early Friday morning, July 6, 2012 at MedCenter One in Bismarck.

The Mass of Christian burial will be held at 10:30am Monday, July 9, 2012 at St. Vincent's Catholic Church in Mott. Fr. Mike Millard and Deacon Robert Zent will officiate with burial Sunnyslope Cemetery in Mott.

A Rosary Service will be held 7:00 pm on Sunday evening at St. Vincent's Catholic Church in Mott with Deacon David Crane, officiating.

Special music will be provided by Pat Schwartz.

Serving as casketbearers are Gene Messmer, Dale Friedt, Jack Zent, Tim Hoyt, Duane Friedt, and Kelly Messmer.

ALBERT JOHN MESSMER was born September 23, 1927 at Mott, ND, the oldest of five children born to Lloyd and Philipena (Marthaller) Messmer. He grew up on the family farm and attended a local country school near his home and at an early age began working with his parents on the farm.

He was united in marriage to Josephine Koenig on October 20, 1947 at St. Stephen's Catholic Church south of Richardton. They began their life together on the Messmer farm where they farmed, ranched, and raised their family.

Albert was a member of St. Vincent's Catholic Church, was a member of the Knights of Columbus, and served for many years as the Acme Township Board chairman.

**EXHIBIT 12**

He enjoyed playing cards and visiting with his many friends over a cup of coffee.

Survivors include his wife Josie, Mott, 3 daughters and sons-in-law, Elaine and Chuck Peterson, Withee, WI; Yvonne and Tim Wagner, Sarasota, FL; JoAnn and Jerry Gilles, Ankeny, IA; 1 son and daughter-in-law, Chuck and Jeanne Messmer, Richardton, ND; 5 grandchildren, 12 great grandchildren, 1 brother and 2 sisters-in-law, Victor and Clara Messmer, Bismarck, ND; Pauline Messmer, Dickinson, ND 1 sister and brother-in-law, Venita and Don Blatz, Lino Lakes, MN; and numerous nieces and nephews.

The visitation will be Sunday from 2:00 to 7:00pm at St. Vincent's Catholic Church in Mott.

He was preceded in death by his parents, a daughter, Marie Therese Messmer in infancy, 2 brothers, Clarence and Ernest Messmer.



## Eleanor Gaman

January 10, 1920 - November 15, 2009

 Recommend 0

Recommend Eleanor's obituary to your friends.

### Obituary

Eleanor M. Gaman was born January 10, 1920 in Glen Ullin, ND to Cyprian and Anna (Berger) Doll. She had been an elementary school teacher. She enjoyed gardening and dancing. In earlier years she enjoyed fishing and camping with her husband Al Gress. After 50 plus years of marriage, he preceded her in death. She later married Paul Gaman, who also preceded her in death. She is survived by three sons Dennis Gress, James Gress and Kenneth Gress.



## Beatrice "Bea" Zimmerman

**Send Flowers**

 Share

**B**eatrice "Bea" Zimmerman & Beatrice "Bea" Zimmerman, 89, went to be with the Lord on June 23, 2001 in Everett. Beatrice was born on March 2, 1912 in Richardton, ND to Jacob and Rose Messmer, who were German immigrants from Russia. Bea came to Washington from North Dakota in 1942, living in the Queen Anne area of Seattle. She was a member of St. Anne's Catholic Church until moving to Everett 18 years ago, where she became a member of St. Mary Magdalen Catholic Church. Bea was the ninth of sixteen children and is survived by two brothers and two sisters. She is the beloved mother of four children, Jerry (Roberta) Zimmerman, Donald (Mary Annette) Zimmerman, Sylvia (John) Ebert and Gloria (Carl) Zimmerman-Scribner; 13 grandchildren; 33 great-grandchildren; and numerous nieces and nephews. Bea was preceded in death by her beloved childhood sweetheart and husband of 60 years, Leo Zimmerman. Visitation will be Wednesday, June 27, 2001 from 5:00-7:30pm followed by the Recitation of the Rosary both at Purdy & Walters with Cassidy Funeral Home. Mass of Christian Burial will be Thursday, June 28, 2001 at 11:00am at St. Mary Magdalen Catholic Church, 8717 7th Ave. SE, Everett. Bea loved life and was loved by her family and friends who know she is at home with the Lord.

# Francis Messmer



**F**rancis John Messmer

June 20, 1921 - April 19, 2012

SALEM - Francis John Messmer passed away on April 19 at the age of 90. He was born June 20, 1921 in Richardton, North Dakota to Jacob and Rosa (Fleck) Messmer.

Francis was raised in North Dakota. He served in the U.S. Army and later became an x-ray technician in Texas. He was a district manager for Riker and 3M Pharmaceuticals in Dallas, Texas. He and his brothers formed a singing group named The Quntions then the Skylarks. Francis came to Salem from California in 1988. In 2001, he married Kathleen Bailey.

Francis enjoyed bowling, bingo, cards, playing pool with Merle and spending time with his family. He was active at Keizer Senior Center. Francis was preceded in death by his son Garry. He is survived by his wife Kathleen of Salem; seven stepchildren, three grandchildren, Chris deceased, Sean, Patrick and numerous other grandchildren and great grandchildren. He had 15 brothers and sisters and is his sister Anne Nelson of Springfield, Oregon is the only surviving sibling.

A gathering to celebrate Francis' life will be from 11am to 1pm on Sunday, May 6 at Virgil T. Golden Funeral Service.



# Ida Helen Messmer Wolfe Rothweiler Stergios

[Send Flowers](#)[Share](#)

**I**da Helen Messmer Wolfe Rothweiler Stergios July 25, 1916 - January 18, 2010  
SALEM - Ida was born in Richardton, North Dakota on July 25, 1916, daughter of Jacob and Rosa (Fleck) Messmer. Ida, age 93, passed away peacefully on January 18 in her sleep in Salem. She grew up on the family farm along with 13 brothers and sisters. She attended St. Mary Catholic School in Richardton. She enjoyed music; especially singing with her brothers and sisters at home and in church. In 1937, she left home to visit her sister, Rose, and brother-in-law, Leonard Steiner, in Longview, Washington and made her home with them. In 1938, she married Xavier J. Wolfe, in Shaw, Oregon. They had three children; Judith, Marjorie and Wayne. In 1945, they bought an 18 acre farm near Aumsville. In October 1945, after only three months on the farm, Xavier died from complications of pneumonia. She and the children then moved to Salem. She married

Roy Rothweiler in 1946, and he helped raise her children. He died in 1971. She met Jerry Stergios through Parents Without Partners. They married in 1975 and enjoyed 18 years of marriage until he died in 1993. In the early days, Ida worked at Starr Food Cannery. She went to work for the Salem School District and worked her way up from dishwasher to manager of the North Salem High cafeteria. When all the school kitchens were consolidated at McNary High School in the 1960's, she was selected as the first manager of the District wide food service program. She retired in 1981. Through the years, Ida enjoyed many friends, bowling, sewing, bridge and other card games at which she was invariably lucky, much to the marvel of her kids and anyone who played with her. She enjoyed entertaining and cooking for her many relatives and friends. She was an excellent cook. She was a lifelong, faithful member of the Catholic Church, attending Queen of Peace for the last 40 years. Surviving are daughter, Judith Bowers and husband James of Boulder, Colo.; daughter, Marjorie Jensen and husband Garth of Salem; and son, Wayne Wolfe and his wife Donna of Salem; grandchildren, Laura Wellman and husband Jeffrey of Fort Collins, Colo., Timothy Bowers of Longmont, Colo., Dr. David Bowers and wife Rayelen of Johnsburg, Ill., Melanie Saprony and husband Mark of Westminster, Colo., Troy Jensen and wife Gretchen of Vancouver, Wash., Michael Jensen and wife Wendy of Oregon City, Ore., Elizabeth Upchurch and husband Brad of Portland, Ore., and Molly Kostecky and husband Clayton of Longmont, Colo. There are 17 great-grandchildren. Also surviving are brothers, Victor Messmer and wife Liz of Dallas, Tex., Francis Messmer and wife Kay, of Salem; and sister, Anne Nelson of Eugene, Ore.; as well as many nieces and nephews. Funeral Services will be held at 11 a.m. on Friday, January 22 at Queen of Peace Catholic Church. Arrangements by Virgil T. Golden Funeral Service.

To plant trees in memory, please visit our [Sympathy Store](#).



## **Joseph Leo Messmer**

**Dec. 19, 1907 — March 21, 1993**

Joseph Leo Messmer, 85, of Salem, died Sunday.

He was born in Richardton, N.D., and married Beatrice Steiner in 1929. She died in 1972. He married Lena M. Schagunn Endres on July 3, 1973, in Salem.

He farmed in North Dakota until moving to Salem in 1943 where he owned and operated Joe's Grocery Store for eight years. Then he worked in the shipping department for Monarch Cannery, which later became Truitt Brothers. He retired in 1969.

He enjoyed singing in church choirs and was an avid pool player.


Survivors include his wife; son, Howard of Salem; daughters, Marcella Miller of Coos Bay, Caroline Endres of Salem, Joan Herrington of San Jose, Calif., Geraldine Jennings of Sausalito, Calif., Janice Fiore of San Francisco, and Janet Newman of La Quinta, Calif.; stepdaughter, Margaret Hudson of Show Low, Ariz.; stepsons, Don and Joseph Endres, both of Phoenix, Ariz., and Alan Endres of Salem; brothers, Roy of Bowman, N.D., Francis and Victor, both of Salem, and Linus of Corpus Christi, Texas; sisters, Molly Amann of Spokane, Wash., Beatrice Zimmerman of Everett Wash., and Anne Grasseth and Ida Stergios, both of Salem; 39 grandchildren; 37 great-grandchildren.

Rosary will be recited at 7 p.m. Tuesday in Rigdon-Ransom mortuary. Mass will begin at 2 p.m. Wednesday in St. Vincent de Paul Catholic Church. Visiting hours will be from noon to 7 p.m. Tuesday in the mortuary. Interment will be in Belcrest Memorial Park.

Contributions may be made to the American Heart Association or St. Vincent de Paul Catholic Church.

SL 3/22/93

# Kenneth M. Hoff

 Send Flowers

 Share

**K**ENNETH M. HOFF age 74. Beloved husband of the late Mary Arlene (nee Wendel).

Loving father of Marie Gibbons (Michael), Clarice Kelly (Kevin), Anne Hoff (Phil), Elaine Hoff (Rich), Aimee Wright (George) and Elise Hoff.

Loving grandfather of George, Jamie and Joshua.

Loving brother of Jim (Judy), Lee (Karol), LeeAnn,

Bernadine and Marie Hoff. Funeral Services

Monday, Dec. 29, 2008, at 1 p.m., in the chapel of Sunset Memorial Park, 6245 Columbia Rd., Friends may call at the KACIREK FUNERAL HOME, 29150 LORAIN RD., AT STEARNS RD., NORTH OLMSTED (IN THE CHAMBERS FUNERAL HOME) SUNDAY, 2 - 4 AND 6 - 8 P.M. Memorial contributions may be made to Catholic Charities. KACIREK FUNERAL HOME (440)777-5522.



## Linus Francis "Lindy" Messmer obituary

### **Linus Francis 'Lindy' Messmer**

Oct. 14, 1923 — April 4, 2001

**ARROYO GRANDE, Calif. —** Linus Messmer, 77, died April 4.

Born in Richardton, N.D., he served in the Navy during World War II. He was a pharmaceutical salesman for Bristol Laboratories, winning awards and trips



to Europe and Asia. He retired in 1986 and moved to Salem in 1999. He married Cova in 1954; she died in 1998. He was a member of the Lions Club and volunteered for the Salvation Army.

Survivors include his wife, Clydie, whom he married in 1999; stepdaughters, Dolores Fenner, Mary Harris and Jayne Senavsky; stepson, Jim Bradbury; sisters, Bea Zimmerman, Ida Stergios and Anna Nelson; brothers, Francis and Victor; seven stepgrandchildren; and two stepgreat-grandchildren.

Interment was at Memory Gardens Cemetery, Corpus Christi, Texas. Contributions: Lions Club International or American Diabetes Association.

Show article text (OCR) ▼

# Naomi Elkins

Jan 15, 2012 Updated Apr 19, 2016

Subscriber times, only \$1



**N**aomi L. Elkins, 57, Bismarck, formerly of Taylor, died Jan. 12, 2012, at St. Alexius Medical Center, Bismarck; she fought a courageous battle against cancer. Naomi's funeral service will be held at 1 p.m. MST Monday, Jan. 16, at Taylor Lutheran Church, Taylor, with the Rev. Bob Wittstruck officiating. Interment will follow at the Pioneer Cemetery, south of Taylor.

Visitation will be from 1 to 3 p.m. MST today at Ladbury Funeral Service, Dickinson, and will continue at the church on Monday from noon to 1 p.m. MST. There will be a prayer service at 2 p.m. MST today at Ladbury Funeral Service.

Naomi was born March 19, 1954, in Dickinson, the daughter of Elmo R. and Vivian I (Wahlers) Elkins. She was raised and educated in Taylor where she graduated from Taylor High School in 1972. She went on to graduate from the Bismarck School of Nursing in 1975. Naomi worked as a nurse at the Hettinger Hospital, Thief River Falls, Minn., and the Kidney Dialysis Unit at St. Alexius Medical Center, Bismarck.

She never had any children of her own, her nieces and nephews, and great-nieces and nephews were thought of as her own. Naomi will be missed by all, and remembered as a compassionate and giving person. She enjoyed traveling, reading and watching sports.



We all loved her and will miss her dearly. Naomi is survived by her mother, Vivian, Taylor; her brothers, Kenneth (Becky), Taylor, and Keith (Mariane), Taylor; her nieces and nephews, Stacy (Danny) Braun, Dickinson, Beth (Jarrod) Simek, Fargo, Seth, Bismarck, Tyler (friend-Kacy), Richardton, Shane, Taylor, Dustin (Mandi), Richardton, and Brandon (fiancée-Acacia), Fargo; great-nieces, Bailey, Sydney, Carley and Danika. She also leaves behind many special friends and co-workers.

She was preceded in death by her father, Elmo; her grandparents; and an infant brother. Arrangements are with Ladbury Funeral Service, Dickinson.  
([www.ladburyfuneralservice.com](http://www.ladburyfuneralservice.com))

## IN MEMORY OF

**ELEANOR****HOFF****Obituary for Eleanor Hoff**

---



A Funeral Mass for Eleanor Hoff, 94, a resident of Evergreen in Dickinson, ND, formerly of Richardton, ND will be 9:30 a. m. , Saturday, August 17, 2013 at St. Mary's Catholic Church in Richardton with Father Boniface Muggli O. S. B. and Abbot Brian Wangler O. S. B. celebrating. Burial will follow in St. Mary's Cemetery. Visitation for Eleanor will be 2:00 p. m. to 8:00 p. m. , Friday, August 16th at Stevenson Funeral Home in

Dickinson with a Rosary and Vigil being held at 7:00 p. m. Eleanor passed away Monday, August 12, 2013 at St. Joseph's Hospital and Health Center in Dickinson. Eleanor was born January 7, 1919 in Richardton, the daughter of Joseph and Mary (Braulick) Hammerschmidt. She lived on a farm until the age of six, when her father died. The family then moved into Richardton where she grew up. She attended school in Richardton and graduated from St. Mary's High School. Following graduation, Eleanor worked at the Telephone Company as a switchboard operator. On July 3, 1940, she married Paul Hoff. They moved to a farm 8 miles north of Richardton where they ranched and farmed and raised their 8 children. Eleanor was a devoted mother, nurturing her family and assisting Paul on the farm. She was a hard worker, but she enjoyed fun activities such as square dancing, picnics, and family gatherings. She was an exceptional baker and enjoyed sewing, quilting, gardening, and canning, making many gallons of delicious chokecherry jelly. In 1983 they retired from farming and in 1985 they moved into a new home in Richardton. Paul and Eleanor always loved to travel and in their retirement years they traveled to Europe, Alaska, and Hawaii and visited the Holy Land. They made many trips to visit their children. Another memorable trip was snowmobiling in the Black Hills when they were in their 70's. She was a member of the North Valley Homemakers Club, Christian Mothers Society and Oblates of St. Benedict. Eleanor and Paul were very involved with St. Mary's Catholic Church. Faith was a cornerstone of their lives. She had a servants heart and was always concerned for others well-being. She loved spending time with her grandchildren and great-grandchildren. Eleanor is survived by her children, Paulette (Clarence) Farber of Dickinson,

Antoinette (Dave) Skinner of Santa Ana, CA, Mary (Bob) Young of Danbury, CT, Grace (Magnus) Meier of Hettinger, David (Patty) Hoff of Beaverton, OR, Patrick of Gracia, Costa Rica, Stephanie (Brian) Mularkey of Rochester, MN and Lori (Joel) Newgard of Mandan; 26 grandchildren, 55 great-grandchildren, 2 great-great grandchildren; numerous nieces and nephews; brother, Joe Hammerschmidt of Richardton; sister, Marie Sickler of Dickinson and her sister-in-law, Dolores Hoff of Missoula, MT. She was preceded in death by her parents; husband, Paul; brother, Frank Hammerschmidt; sisters, Genevieve Hammerschmidt, Rose Born, Loretta Hardy, Louise Schmidt, Florence Hammerschmidt and Frieda Kuntz; and one infant granddaughter.

Burial Date: August 17, 2013  
Funeral Home Dickinson, ND

Funeral Mass: Saturday: St. Mary's Catholic Church, Richardton, ND

This obituary is protected by copyright by Dickinson. Proudly Serving the Community of Dickinson. Dickinson is located in the state of North Dakota, United States.


All rights reserved. This obituary is also archived at [obitree.com](http://obitree.com)


Dickinson

Print



# Regina Pfeifer

 Send Flowers

 Share

**R**egina Hoff Pfeifer, 94, died Oct. 30, 2004, in a Bismarck hospital. Services will be held at 10:30 a.m. Thursday, Nov. 4, at the Church of Corpus Christi, Bismarck, with the Rev. Paul Becker officiating. Burial will be at Mandan Union Cemetery.

Visitation will be held from 1 to 9 p.m. today at Eastgate Funeral Service, Bismarck, where a rosary will be said at 7 p.m. Visitation will continue one hour prior to the service at the church.

Regina Hoff was born May 21, 1910, in Richardton, the daughter of Lee and Katherine (Gress) Hoff. She was raised and educated in Bismarck, Richardton and Dickinson. Regina was the family baker for her nine brothers and sisters. She taught rural school for a few years before she married Julius Pfeifer Aug. 19, 1933. They lived in Richardton when Julius was postmaster, moving to New England to operate the John Deere dealership. They also lived in Dickinson, and in 1951, they moved to Mandan after purchasing Mandan Abstract. Julius died Nov. 29, 1995. After Julius' death, Regina moved to Bismarck in 1996. Regina was a member of the Catholic Daughters Court No. 322 and was a longtime member and choir member of Christ the King Catholic Church, Mandan. She enjoyed traveling, visiting Europe many times. She also loved playing bridge, playing in many marathons.

---

Regina is survived by her son-in-law and his wife, Eugene and Carole Kralicek, Bismarck; three grandchildren, Michael, Bismarck, Thomas, Vail, Colo., and JoLynn Simental, Chapel Hill, N.C.; four great-grandchildren; her brother, Raymond Hoff, Missoula, Mont.; and her caregiver, Dorothy Rhone Ulrich.

She was preceded in death by her husband, Julius; her daughter, Carol; her son, Jon; five brothers; and three sisters.

Regina was very special. She was a very giving and loving person.

The family prefers memorials to the University of Mary, Bismarck.



# Robert Barth

Mar 11, 2003 Updated Apr 19, 2016 0

Subscribe 6 mos. only \$1



**N**EW LEIPZIG -- Robert D. Barth, 65, New Leipzig, passed away with his wife by his side March 11, 2003, at his home following a two-year battle with cancer. Services will be held at 10 a.m. MST Monday at St. John's Catholic Church, New Leipzig. Burial will be at 3 p.m. CST Monday at the North Dakota Veterans Cemetery, Mandan.

Visitation will be held from 9 a.m. to 9 p.m. MST Sunday at Hertz Brothers Funeral Home, New Leipzig, where a rosary will be said at 7 p.m. MST.


Robert was born May 27, 1937, at Kensal, N.D., to John and Pauline (Steckler) Barth. He graduated from high school at Richardton Abbey in 1955. He served two years in the United States Army, in which he took pride. He loved his flag and his country. He tried his hand at the banking business, bar business, insurance and clothing business. He then returned to his first love, farming and ranching, which he has done successfully for the past 30 years.

Robert married Rosalie Faulhaber at Dickinson on Jan. 18, 1961. They were blessed with three children, Dennis, James and Donna. He enjoyed traveling and was fortunate enough to have been able to make trips to see his children and grandchildren as often as possible, following his diagnosis. His grandchildren were his pride and joy.

He is survived by his wife, Rosalie; two sons, Dennis (Sheila) Barth and Jim (Angie) Barth; one daughter, Donna (Steve) Hintz; three grandchildren, Jacob Barth, Danielle Hintz and Bradley Hintz; two step-grandchildren, Tom Foryan and Sandra (Kevin) Lauckner; one step-great-grandchild, Brenden Lauckner; three brothers, Vincent (Rita), John (Edith) and Gerald (Mary Ann); four sisters, Lorraine (Bill) Thompson, Lucille Wendt, Bernice (Fred) Meyer and Carolyn (Ed) Pechtl; and numerous nieces and nephews.

He was preceded in death by his parents; and one brother, Larry.

# Rose Marie Schnell

 Send Flowers

 Share

**S**chnell, Rose Marie (Gress) 99 08/12/1909  
07/15/2009 Rose Marie was born in  
Richardton, N.D She was a homemaker Rose  
Marie is survived by her sons, Nasie, Gordon,  
Harold, Kenneth, Jim, George and Glen; and  
daughters, Reta Pyett, Delores Cooper, Joanne  
Waite and Caroline Stock. A service will be at 11  
a.m. Saturday, July 18, 2009, in St. Joseph the  
Worker Catholic Church. Arrangements by Oregon  
Cremation.

To plant trees in memory, please visit our  
[Sympathy Store](#).



# Sarah Jane (Geck) Wolf-Surry

👤 Pamplin Media Group 📅 March 21 2017



**September 14, 1936 to January 3rd, 2017 - Sarah Jane (Geck) Wolf-Surry of Gresham, Oregon passed away peacefully at her home**



Sarah Jane (Geck) Wolf-Surry

Sarah Jane (Geck) Wolf-Surry of Gresham, Oregon passed away peacefully at her home on January 3rd, 2017 at the age of 80.

Sarah was born in Richardton ND on Sept. 14, 1936 to Clem and Barbara (Hoff) Geck. The family moved to Bismarck ND in 1952 and Sarah graduated from St. Mary's High School with honors in 1954.

She attended the University of South Dakota and graduated in 1959 and taught elementary school. Sarah married Ronald Wolf of Dickinson on December 26th 1959. The family moved to Gresham, Oregon and had two sons. Ron passed away unexpectedly in 1979.

Later Sarah would marry Leonard Surry on June 24, 1986. Leonard passed away in 2013.

Sarah was a teacher and enjoyed traveling. She liked going to estate sales and rooting for her favorite teams, the San Francisco Forty Niners and the Nebraska Cornhuskers.

Sarah is survived by her brother, Timothy (Holly) Geck of White Bear Lake, MN, sister, Kathryn (Darrell) Dorgan of Bismarck, ND sons, Brian (Cari) Wolf of Gresham, OR, Thomas (Sean Howard) Wolf of Seattle, WA, granddaughter Brittney (Ryan) Connell of Gresham, OR, and stepchildren Pam (Bruce) Packard of Gresham, OR, Kathy (Lowell) Rau of Scotts Valley, CA, and Nancy (Don) Harrington of St. Charles, MO.

She was preceded in death by her parents, sister, Ann Kilzer of Bismarck, ND, first husband Ronald Wolf of Gresham, OR and second husband Leonard Surry of Gresham.

In lieu of flowers, contributions may be made to Providence Hospice in Gresham, OR or the Assumption Abbey in Richardton, ND.

Cremation has taken place and a celebration of life is being planned.



**Judith Lee Dinyer in Highlands Ranch, CO**

---

**Deceased**

*Home address, vacation, business, rental and apartment property addresses for Judith*

3428 Sturbridge Dr, Highlands Ranch, CO 80129 -Current    5844 S Curtice St, APT 305, Littleton, CO 80120

Po Box 698, Encampment, WY 82325

1600 Mapleton Ave, APT 311, Bismarck, ND 58503

221 E Owens Ave, Bismarck, ND 58501

*Home telephone number and mobile/wireless/cell phone numbers for Judith*

(307) 327-5334 -Current

(325) 643-3463



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender <b>Fredrikson &amp; Byron, P.A.</b> <b>1133 College Drive</b> <b>Suite 1000</b> <b>Bismarck, ND 58501</b>		Check type of mail or service <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.											
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee	
1. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9428	Mitch Erdle 8160 35th St. Hebron ND 58638	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9411	William J. Jones, Earl E. Hart and Denise M. Drye, Co-Trustees of the Residual Trust under the Jones Family Living Trust Dated January 14, 1992 1507 Shaw Drive San Jose CA 95118	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9404	Daniel Hoff 426 RD 261 Glendive MT 59330	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9398	Rocky Mountain Exploration, Inc. 5441 Preserve Parkway S. Greenwood Village CO 80121	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8865	Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership 1101 N. 27th Street, Suite 201 Billings MT 59101	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8858	Naomi Elkins 131 Boise Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8841	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. 101 North Phillips Avenue Sioux Falls SD 57104	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8834	Mary Moorer 192 HWY 200 South Glendive MT 59330	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)													

EXHIBIT 13



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.											
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express												
		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail												
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise												
		<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation												
		<input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD)	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery												
		<input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery												
		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail													
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee	
1. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8827	Adam Dale Schank 4809 Southbay Drive Mandan ND 58554	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8810	Edward Wehri 7901 Winthrope Street Oakland CA 94605	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8803	Bremer Bank, NA 128 North B Street, P.O. Box 352 Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8797	Daniel Hoff 426 - RD 261 Glendive MT 59330	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8780	Lee Gress 941 N.E. 113 Avenue Portland OR 97200	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8773	Victor Gress 3250 S.E. Hillyard Road Gresham OR 97030	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8766	R.A. Couse and Darlene Couse, Trustees of the Robert and Darlene Couse Trust 493 Avenida Dr. Arroyo Grande CA 93420	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8. 7016 3010 0000 7286 8759	William Hoff 8547 Hwy 10 East Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) DS													





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail


Name and Address of Sender

**Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.**  
**1133 College Drive**  
**Suite 1000**  
**Bismarck, ND 58501**

Check type of mail or service

- ☐ Adult Signature Required ☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Certified Mail ☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD) ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail

Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.



USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4068	William S. Hoff & Doris Hoff 8547 HWY 10 E Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4075	James E. Hart 1138 Nadine Dr. Campbell CA 95008	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4082	Tristan Hoff P.O. Box 10947 Jackson WY 83002	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4099	Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff 3713 86th Avenue SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3726	George Gress Doby Lous Trailer Park, 1980 Colorado Street Yuma AZ 85364	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4433	Joel and Linda Zimmerman, Trustees of the Zimmerman Living Trust 44236 N 12th St. New River AZ 85087	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4440	Ann Clara Hart 1138 Nadine Dr. Campbell CA 95008	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4457	Theodore Hoff 3380 Penwell Bridge Rd. Belgrade MT 59714	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)												

Complete in Ink

Privacy Notice: For more information on USPS privacy policies, visit [usps.com/privacypolicy](https://usps.com/privacypolicy).



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4464	Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501	Frances Hart 1138 Nadine Dr. Campbell CA 95008	<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4471	Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva 1252 First Street West Dickinson ND 58601	Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva 1252 First Street West Dickinson ND 58601			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4488	Jane Hoff Hotz 1407 First Avenue NE Beulah ND 58523	Jane Hoff Hotz 1407 First Avenue NE Beulah ND 58523			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4495	Aloys Gress 5100 N.E. 19th Avenue Vancouver WA 98660	Aloys Gress 5100 N.E. 19th Avenue Vancouver WA 98660			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4501	AgriBank 30 E. 7th St., #1600 St. Paul MN 55101	AgriBank 30 E. 7th St., #1600 St. Paul MN 55101			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4518	Marie Wehri 17 South Merriam Ave. Miles City MT 59301	Marie Wehri 17 South Merriam Ave. Miles City MT 59301			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3764	Curtis Hoff 17780 Canterbury Dr. Monument CO 80132	Curtis Hoff 17780 Canterbury Dr. Monument CO 80132			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3771	Red Trail Energy, LLC PO Box 11 Richardton ND 58652	Red Trail Energy, LLC PO Box 11 Richardton ND 58652			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														





Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.														
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery														
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee				
1. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9589	Kathleen Heimbuch 9748 122nd Avenue SE Cogswell ND 58017	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9572	Karen Elstoen 505 Halyard Drive Allen TX 75013	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
3. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9565	Ann Hart 1138 Nadine Dr. Campbell CA 95008	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
4. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9558	Heather Hoff 2702 North 191st Ave. Buckeye AZ 85326	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
5. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9541	Dakota Community Bank and Trust 609 Main Street P.O. Box 431 Hebron ND 58638-0431	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
6. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9534	BNSF Railway Company 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth TX 76131-2830	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
7. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9527	Gerald R. Aluisse & Valerie A. Aluisse 8441 39th Street SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
8. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9510	Heather Hoff 2702 North 191st Avenue Buckeye AZ 85326	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) DS																



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express														
		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail														
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9503	Teresa Hoff 128 West Denver Drive Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9480	James Hart 1138 Nadine Dr. Campbell CA 95008	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9497	Edward Wehri 7901 Winthrop St. Oakland CA 94605	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9473	Jane Hoff Hotz 1407 First Ave. NE Beulah ND 58523	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9466	Tracker Resources Development II, LLC 1050 17th St., Suite 975 Denver CO 80265	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9459	Kenneth E. Moore 8465 39th Street SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9442	Cheryl Harriet Keenan 15922 Dunmoor Houston TX 77059	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9435	State of North Dakota 1707 N. 9th St. Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.													
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee	
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2740	Frederick W. Burgum Box 206 Arthur ND 58006	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2757	Bonnie J. Saetz 3030 115th Ave SW Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2764	Michelle L. Kuhn 1201 Prairie View Dr. Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2771	Wayne M. Rixen 3421 East Acoma Dr. Phoenix AZ 85032-5165	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2788	Lucas Hoff 8969 31st St. SW Richardton ND 58625	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2795	Carey D. Rummel 534 10th Street West West Fargo ND 58078	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2801	Anthony Messmer and Karen Messmer, as Trustees of the TK Messmer Mineral Trust 8860 39th Street SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2818	Lucas Hoff 8969 31st Street SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster Per (Name of receiving employee)															



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.														
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery														
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee				
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2665	Bruce C. Fjelde, as Trustee of the Bruce C. Fjelde Revocable Trust, dated the 13th day of July, 2015 1200 Harwood Drive South, #127 Fargo ND 58104	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2672	A. C. Johnson Box 2643, 1736-8 Street So. Fargo ND 58108	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2689	Jolene F. Gress 746 8th Ave. SW Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2696	Gerald T. Rixen 7821 Arroyo Dr. Paradise Valley AZ 85253	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2702	Dennis J. Rixen 117 2nd Ave. E Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2719	JRH Enterprises 3960 87th Ave. SW Richardton ND 58625	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2726	Jennifer Anne Hischer 445 31st Ave. E West Fargo ND 58078-8301	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 2733	John J. Zacher 2221 Merlot Cr. Fort Collins CO 80528	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)																





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1.	7016 3010 0000 7286 9176	Black Stone Minerals Company, L.P. 1001 Fannin, Suite 2020 Houston TX 77002-6709		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2.	7016 3010 0000 7286 9169	Jerilyn L. Haberstroh 6608 80th Ave. SW Mott ND 58646		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.	7016 3010 0000 7286 9237	Linda M. Reisenauer Rt. 2, Box 87 New England ND 58647		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.	7016 3010 0000 7286 9251	LeRoy A. Rixen, Jr. RR 1, Box 60 Dickinson ND 58601		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5.	7016 3010 0000 7286 9244	Assumption Abbey P.O. Box A Richardton ND 58652		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6.	7016 3010 0000 7286 9220	Peggy A. Rummel 7735 Highway 9 SE Carrington ND 58421		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.	7016 3010 0000 7286 9213	Rita Schaefer 5415 North 179 Drive Litchfield Park AZ 85340		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8.	7017 3380 0001 1332 2825	Fred J. Williams III & Jennifer G. Williams, collectively, as Trustees of the Jennifer G. Williams GST Trust under agreement, effective August 6, 2020 6119 East Osborn Road Scottsdale AZ 85251		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender		Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office		Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)												





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender

**Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.**  
**1133 College Drive**  
**Suite 1000**  
**Bismarck, ND 58501**

Check type of mail or service

- ☐ Adult Signature Required  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☒ Certified Mail  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD)  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail
- ☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.



USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9206	James Erdle 8840 37th St. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9190	Lucille Trotman 2701 Berkshire Drive Bismarck ND 58503	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9183	Jerome Erdle 21051 Gresham Street; Apt 201 Canoga Park CA 91304	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9152	Tim Erdle 16901 Northridge Ave. North Marine On St. Croix MN 55047	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9626	Darcie M. Rummel 2327 Hoover Avenue Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9619	Sharon Schaefer 12012 NW 35th Ave. Vancouver WA 98685	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9602	Fred J. Williams III, as Trustee of the Fred J. Williams III 2017 GST Trust under agreement dated January 27, 2010, as amended 4437 Beach Lane South Fargo ND 58104	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7016 3010 0000 7286 9596	Williams Mineral Investments, LLC 1042 Morningside Court Casselton ND 58012	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)												


Complete in Ink

Privacy Notice: For more information on USPS privacy policies, visit [usps.com/privacypolicy](https://usps.com/privacypolicy).



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASRD Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4843	Wayne Pechtl 3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13 Bismarck ND 58503	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4850	Regina V. Messmer 145 Wilson St. Bordulac ND 58421	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4867	Beatrice Zimmerman 620 112th St. SE #316 Everett WA 98208	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4874	Francis Messmer 4825 Yellowstone Court NE Salem OR 97301	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4881	Kathy L. Hoyt, as Trustee of the Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust dated August 10, 2011 1013 Fir Ave. Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4898	Randy Barth 581 Cottonwood Loop Bismarck ND 58504	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4904	Nancy Bishop 22860 Sky Street Rapid City SD 57703	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4911	Luann Woeste 1014 1st Ave. NW Hazen ND 58545	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														







## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee	
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4768	Kathleen Mangan 3053 North 19th Street Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4775	Jeanne Betlaf 8075 Haas Lane Blackhawk SD 57718	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4782	Amalia Amann North 1818 Cook St. Spokane WA 99207	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4799	Ida Stergios 4043 Lucille Ave. SE Salem OR 97302	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4805	Linus Messmer 4121 Markins Dr. Corpus Christi TX 78411 Donald J. Blatz and Venita F. Blatz,	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4812	Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust, under Trust Agreement dated June 27, 1995 7718 Mustang Lane Lina Lakes MN 55014	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4829	Larry Meyer 252 7th Ln SW Fairfield MT 59436	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4836	Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth Trust Dated January 13, 2015 1900 West Camino Granada Yuma AZ 85364	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3757	Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva 2718 North 153rd Dr. Goodyear AZ 85395	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4003	Jane Hoff Hutz 1407 First Avenue NE Beulah ND 58523	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3990	Samantha Michelle Hart 629 North 18th St. San Jose CA 95112	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3983	State Treasurer, as Trustee for the State of North Dakota 1707 North 9th St. Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3976	Lucille Wendt PO Box 788 Medical Lake WA 99022	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4020	Faye Stockie King 1043 Cinnamon Avenue Eugene OR 97404	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4037	Mark Stockie 5009 West Rosewood Avenue Glendale AZ 85304	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4044	Leland Baesler PO Box 80751 San Diego CA 92138	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														







## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.														
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery														
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee				
1. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9753	Chantra Boehm 2120 South 12th Street; Apt. 112 Bismarck ND 58504	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9760	Charlotte R. Richards, Trustee, Fohs Sohn Oil and Gas Trust P.O. Box 1001 Roseburg OR 97470	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
3. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9777	Leonard Hueske PO Box 311 Richardton, ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
4. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9784	Gerald L. Hoff and Koleen Hoff 422 1st Ave W Richardton, ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
5. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9791	Dorothy Frederick 212 B St. N Richardton, ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
6. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9807	Chantra Boehm 1915 N 115th Street, Unit #2 Bismarck, ND 58501-2031	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
7.		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
8.		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) 																





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender  
**Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.**  
**1133 College Drive**  
**Suite 1000**  
**Bismarck, ND 58501**

Check type of mail or service  
☐ Adult Signature Required ☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Certified Mail ☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD) ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail

Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.




USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	CRR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3808	Dalton Rixen 201 Linden Ave. Taylor ND 58656	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3792	Althea Prible 12015 SW Rose Vista Dr. Portland OR 97223	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3785	Vernon J. Tormaschy and Kathleen M. Tormaschy 3549 86th Ave. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3778	Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff 8601 Hwy 10 E Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3761	William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff Box 204 Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3754	Kevin Frederick 1325 27th St. SE #900 Minot ND 58701	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4761	Dwight F. Schank 3840 91st Ave. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4754	Jeffrey R. Hoff 3960 87th Ave. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) 												



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.														
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee		
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery														
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4683	Pamela Meissner 650 52-1/2 Avenue SW, #12 Hazen ND 58545	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4690	Cynthia Martin 5110 99th Ave. SW Lefor ND 58641	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4706	AgriBank, FCB 30 East 7th St. Suite 1600 St. Paul MN 55101	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4713	Joe Messmer 4478 Essex St. SE Salem OR 97301	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4720	Anna Grasseeth 3016 Oak Crest Dr. NW Salem OR 97306	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4737	Albert Messmer Rt. 3, Box 16 Mott ND 58646	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4744	Bob Morland Trustee of the Roy J. Messmer Living Trust PO Box 13 Bowman ND 58623	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4751	Victor Messmer and Clara Messmer 3515 N 19th St., Apt. 4 Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)																



Handling Charge - if Registered and over \$50.00 in value

Adult Signature Required

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery

Return Receipt

Signature Confirmation

Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Special Handling





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail


Name and Address of Sender

**Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.**  
**1133 College Drive**  
**Suite 1000**  
**Bismarck, ND 58501**

Check type of mail or service

- ☐ Adult Signature Required ☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Certified Mail ☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD) ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail

Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.



USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4522	Karen Messmer, as Trustee of T K Messmer Mineral Trust 1990 Mesquite Loop Bismarck ND 58503	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4539	Jennifer Anne Hischer 445 31st Ave. East West Fargo ND 58078	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4546	Patricia M. Meyer 1902 East Beck Lane Phoenix AZ 85022-3341	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4553	Leroy A. Rixen, Jr. 37 - 29th Ave. SW Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4560	Dennis Mischel Box 6 Horace ND 58049	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4577	Garrett BTF Minerals, LLC 9701 North Broadway Oklahoma City OK 73114	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4584	Youngblood LTD 3826 N. Versailles Avenue Dallas TX 75209	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4591	Carla Schnell 2522 West Meredith Drive (1993) Vienna VA 22181	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)												



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due to Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4607	William R. Messmer and Jennifer Lynne Messmer 11303 Halma Lane Woodstock IL 60098	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4614	Gerald T. Rixen PO Box 9583 Fargo ND 58109	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4621	Dennis J. Rixen 508 5th St. NE Jamestown ND 58401	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4638	Bonnie J. Saetz 3030 115th Ave. SW Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4645	Diane Mischel 5212 Meadow Lane Court Rapid City SD 57703-6581	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4652	Somerset Development, Inc. 15660 North Dallas Parkway, Suite 700 Dallas TX 75248	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4669	Estate of Jerry Schnell 2522 West Meredith Drive (1993) Vienna VA 22181	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4676	Tom Schnell 1437 South Washington Ave Royal Oaks MI 48067	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														





Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASPS Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4563	Juanita Baesler 409 Ashbrook Ln Russellville AR 72802	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4556	Kathryn Dorgan 1121 West Highland Acres Rd. Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4549	James L. Hoff 606 Dakota St. North Elgin ND 58533	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4532	Marie Hoff 4262 Shaw, Apt #1 East St. Louis MO 63100	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4525	Judith Lee Dinyer 318 Bluffview Dr. Brownwood TX 76801	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 5003	Robert Bosch 7032 57th Dr. NE Marysville WA 98270	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4990	Marilyn Marx 3129 Lakeview Dr. Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4983	Glenn Hauck 947 - 24th St. West Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender  
**Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.**  
**1133 College Drive**  
**Suite 1000**  
**Bismarck, ND 58501**

Check type of mail or service  
☐ Adult Signature Required  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☒ Certified Mail  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD)  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail  
☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.



USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4976	Alvin Hoff 426 Rd 261 Glendive MT 59330	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4105	Juanita Baesler 509 Scenic Drive Ville Platte LA 70586	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4112	Clemens Geck 668 Knollwood Drive Woodland CA 95695	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3825	Lee Ann Hoff 78 Stratford St. West Roxbury MA 2132	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3832	Lee R. Hoff 2618 South Willow Wood Mesa AZ 85209	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3849	Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust, dated 06/29/2012 340 North Avenue East Missoula MT 59801	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3856	Patty Bosch 2013 Hewitt Dr. Billings MT 59102	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3863	Gladys Schwehr 1716 West 40th Ave. Kennewick WA 99337	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A

Total Number of Pieces  
Listed by Sender

Total Number of Pieces  
Received at Post Office

Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail


Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.  
1133 College Drive  
Suite 1000  
Bismarck, ND 58501

Name and Address of Sender

Check type of mail or service

- ☐ Adult Signature Required ☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Certified Mail ☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD) ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail

Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.



USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3870	David Hauck 2233 Hwy 8 Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3887	Donna Stockie 795 Montview Way Springfield OR 97477	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3894	Robert Hoff PO Box 5063 Nikolaevsk AK 99556	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3900	Harold Hoff 733 Chaffee Row Beulah ND 58523	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3917	Guy Stockie 5720 125th St. SE Snohomish WA 98296	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3924	Audrey Baesler Gund 852 Cliff Rd Russellville AR 72801	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3931	Earl E. Hart III 629 North 18th St. San Jose CA 95112	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3948	James E. Hart 629 North 18th St. San Jose CA 95112	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) DS												



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.														
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery														
		USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1.	7020 2450 0002 0596 3955	Daniel Hoff 12040 SW Fairfield St. Beaverton OR 97005		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2.	7020 2450 0002 0596 3962	Katelyn Elaine Hart 629 North 18th St. San Jose CA 95112		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.	7020 2450 0002 0596 3979	Ann Clara Hart 178 Echo Ave. Campbell CA 95008		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.	7020 2450 0002 0596 3986	Lorraine Thompson 5990 Tanforan Ct. Fair Oaks CA 95628-2634		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5.	7020 2450 0002 0596 3993	Faye Stockie King 2117 Debra Dr. Springfield OR 97477		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4006	James Baesler 4018 Maple Dr. 5009 Chesapeake VA 23321		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4013	Audrey Baesler Gund 852 Cliff Road Russellville AR 72801		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8.	7017 3380 0001 1332 2832	Heather Moff 2702 North 191st Ave. Buckeye AZ 85326		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender		Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office		Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.											
		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail													
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee	
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4808	Joyce Kastner 4720 Ignacio Ave. Loveland CO 80118	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4792	James Hart PO Box 110266 Campbell CA 95011	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4785	Theodore Hoff Box 7268 Bozeman MT 49102	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4778	Rose Mary Hoff 21138 Saddleback Circle Parker CO 80138	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4679	Ann Geck 716 East Turnpike Ave. Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4662	Anton Gress 941 NE 113 Ave. Portland OR 97200	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4655	John Gress 3140 Hwy 8 Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4648	Francis Gress 825 Elm Ave. Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)													



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail														
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee		
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4815	Donald Roy Gress 12881 NW Bayonne Lane Portland OR 97229	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4822	Kathleen McVay 14530 Westchester Dr. Colorado Springs CO 80921	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4839	Jane Will 1222 Richmond Dr. Bismarck ND 50538	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4846	Tristan Hoff 1 Michele Ln Kennebunk ME 4043	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4853	Emily Knopik 903 13th St. West Billings MT 49771	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4860	Sarah Jane Wolf 1780 NW 7th Pl Gresham OR 97030	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3733	Ann Kilzer 716 E. Turnpike Ave. Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4877	Anton Gress 836 S Curry St. Unit 304 Portland OR 97239	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.  
1133 College Drive  
Suite 1000  
Bismarck, ND 58501

Name and Address of Sender

Check type of mail or service

- ☐ Adult Signature Required ☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Certified Mail ☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD) ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail

Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.



USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	USPS Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3884	Kent Mischel 5411 Trace Bd Bryan TX 77807	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3877	Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff 2461 81st Ave. SW Hebron ND 58638	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3860	Neal C. and Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP 10339 Hwy 10 Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3853	Barbara Hoff 3752 Hwy 8 S Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3846	Craig S. Fisher 8330 39th St. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3822	Sheldon Fisher 8330 39th St SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3839	Gerald L. Hoff and JoAnn Hoselton 422 1st Ave. West Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3815	Lori Linder 613 Rose Ave. Wheatland CA 95692	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) DS												



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender  
**Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.**  
**1133 College Drive**  
**Suite 1000**  
**Bismarck, ND 58501**

Check type of mail or service  
☐ Adult Signature Required  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☒ Certified Mail  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD)  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail  
☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.




USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4969	Kathryn Geck 1121 West Highland Acres Rd. Bismarck MD 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4631	Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust, dated 01/04/1982 Box 371 Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4624	Kenneth Hoff 6165 Paisley Drive North Olmstead OH 44070	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4617	Bernadine Hoff 7202 Lake Shore Road Derby NY 14047	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4600	Carolyn Jurgens PO Box 204 Taylor ND 58656	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4594	Kaire Bosch 3170 121st Ave. SW Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4587	Dwight Hauck 41625 228th Ave. SE Enumclaw WA 98022-9079	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4570	Bryan Hauck PO Box 154 Smoot WY 83126	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) DS												





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0001	Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501	Janice Faye Wahlers 44628 308th Street Mission Hill SD 57046	<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9937		Dorothy Palm Monte 12420 S.E. Steele Portland OR 97236			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9944		Gerriann Palm Courtney 10485 SW Kiowa Street Tualatin OR 97062			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9951		Nancy Schmidt 533 South 17th Street Bismarck ND 58504			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9968		Adobe Oil Company Petroleum Life Building Midland TX 79701			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9975		Jason R. Tormaschy and Hannah Tormaschy 8749 Hwy 10 Richardton, ND 58652			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9982		Phillip Messer, Jr. and Betty Messer 8510 52nd St SW Richardton, ND 58652			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9999		Kenneth Moore and Monica Moore Box 56 Taylor, ND 58656			\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														



Handling Charge - if Registered and over \$50,000 in value

Adult Signature Required

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery

Return Receipt



Signature Confirmation

Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Special Handling



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.														
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery														
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee				
1. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9852	Angela Palm Brouillette 24335 S. Brockway Road Oregon City OR 97045	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9869	Michael Palm 6627 SE Mabel Avenue Milwaukee OR 97267	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
3. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9876	Benjamin B. Saunders, Frances Fohs Sohn and Fred Sohn 1116 SE Terrace St. Roseburg OR 97470	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
4. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9883	SFER Properties - A, Inc. 1616 South Voss, Suite 1000 Houston TX 77057	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
5. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9890	Lenard Luithle & Mary Ann Luithle PO Box 100 Richardton, ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
6. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9920	ROUGH RIDER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. PO Box 1038 Dickinson, ND 58602	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
7. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9906	Duane Mischel PO Box 848 West Fargo, ND 58078	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
8. 7021 0350 0001 1022 9913	Mary Teresa Palm Miller 11272 SE 64th Avenue Milwaukee OR 97222	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) 																





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail


Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.  
1133 College Drive  
Suite 1000  
Bismarck, ND 58501


Name and Address of Sender

Check type of mail or service

- ☐ Adult Signature Required ☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Certified Mail ☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD) ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail


Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.



USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3969	Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff 3729 86th Ave. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3952	Karen Messmer 8860 39th St. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3945	Joann Hoselton 13877 145th St. SW Red Lake Falls MN 56750	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3938	Richard L. Hauck and Linda Hauck 8559 Hwy 10 East Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3921	Kenneth Moore Box 56 Taylor ND 58656	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3914	Karen L. Messmer 1990 Mesquite Lp Bismarck ND 58503	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3907	Messmer Farms LLP 10844 E Queensborough Ave Mesa AZ 85212	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 3891	Gary Mischel 1036 SE 6th St. Cape Coral FL 33990	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) 												



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.													
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express														
USPS Tracking/Article Number		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail														
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise														
		<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation														
		<input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD)	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery														
		<input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	AS Fee	CRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee	
Priority Mail																	
1. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0094		Lynn M. Groh 16147 Harvard Ln. Lakeville MN 55044		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0100		Todd Walby P.O. Box 784 Bowman ND 58623		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0117		Jason Walby 2403 Benders Place Mandan ND 58554		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0124		Timothy Messmer 1245 Holly St. Denver CO 80220		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0131		Kathy L Hoyt, as Trustee of the Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust 1031 Fir Ave. Dickinson ND 58601		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0148		Russell James Messmer, as Trustee of the E. Messmer Family Mineral Trust 10695 Annette Ct. Portland OR 97229-8801		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0155		Farm Credit Services of Mandan, FLCA 1600 Old Red Trail Mandan ND 58554		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0162		Cheryl H. Keenan 15922 Dunmoor Houston TX 77059		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender		Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office		Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)													
8		DS															





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender

**Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.**  
**1133 College Drive**  
**Suite 1000**  
**Bismarck, ND 58501**

Check type of mail or service

- ☐ Adult Signature Required ☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail  
☒ Certified Mail ☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation  
☐ Collect on Delivery (COD) ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Priority Mail


Affix Stamp Here  
(for additional copies of this receipt).  
Postmark with Date of Receipt.



USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0018	Kathleen A. Porubensky 6305 Mountain Meadow Dr. Blackhawk SD 57718	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0025	Richard A. Zacher 105 Buckboard Ct. Custer SD 57730	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0032	Scott Walby P.O. Box 109 Bowman ND 58623	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0049	Eric Walby 207 9th Ave. NW Bowman ND 58623	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0056	Victoria Jessop P.O. Box 265 Mott ND 58646	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0063	Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J. Messmer Living Trust 15 S Main St. Bowman ND 58623	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0070	Tracy John Rixen and Debbie Ann Rixen 8429 44th ST. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0087	Joy Beth Mische 1335 State Highway 30 Pipestone MN 56164	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)												



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express														
		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail														
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4242	James L. Hoff Route 1 Leith ND 58551	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4259	Bernadine Hoff 7200 Old Lake Shore Road Derby NY 14047-0266	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4266	Judith Lee Dinyer 221 East Owens Avenue Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4273	Assumption Abbey 418 3rd Avenue West Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4280	Great Northern Properties LP P.O. Box 1745 Miles City MT 59301	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4297	Gene Lacher and Joyce Lacher 616 S. Anderson St. Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4303	United States of America 306 2nd Ave. SW Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4310	Home of the Range 8749 Hwy. 10 Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0179	Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501	James and Mary Ann Walby 502 2nd St. SW Bowman ND 58623-4533	<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0186	Daniel Walby 1486 13th St. W Dickinson ND 58623	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0193	Terry Messmer 220 Buckingham Dr Providence UT 84332-9669	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0209	Carrie Gerving 4245 62nd Ave. Glen Ullin ND 58631	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0216	Donald and Venita F. Blatz, Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust 216 Capitol Dr. Appleton WI 54911-1204	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0223	Grace Rixen-Handford 4496 85th Ave. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0230	Melodie Joy Alt 7015 County Road 4 Grafton ND 58237	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7021 0350 0001 1023 0247	Betty L. Zacher 261 Boothill Rd. Custer SD 57730-6223	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.													
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee	
1. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4928	Edward Wehri 2639 Camino Lenada Oakland CA 94611	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4935	Madalyn Jacqueline Hart 629 North 18th St. San Jose CA 95112	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4942	Robert D. Barth PO Box 270 Dickinson ND 58562	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4959	Delnita Messer 3052 Lakeview Dr. Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4966	Kim Glasser 1228 Richmond Dr. Bismarck ND 58504	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4973	Steve Meyer 205 7th Ave. NW Watford City ND	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4980	John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co-Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015 1307 North 18th St. Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4997	Alicia Holum 5512 64th Ave. NW Gig Harbor WA	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)															





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express														
		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail														
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4884	Gerald Gress 3112 La Tierra Dr. Roswell NM 88201	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4891	Francis Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992 825 Elm Ave. Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4907	Charles F. Gress 483 SW Pemberly Loop McMinnville OR 97128	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4914	Curtis Hoff 4817 Cheyenne Dr. Larkspur CO 80921	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4921	Joel Hoff 1141 Clark Billings MT 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4938	Regina Pfeifer 1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1 Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4945	Sarah Surry 1780 NW 7th Pl Gresham OR 97030	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4952	Timothy R. Geck 4560 Lake Ave. Saint Paul MN 55110	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.													
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due to Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee	
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery													
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4747	Randy Mischel 7410 Keystone Dr. Bismarck ND 58503	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4730	Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff 3713 36th Ave. SW Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4723	Rose Schnell 7536 SE 141st Ave. Portland OR 97236	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4716	Aloys Gress 7526 East Maple Ave. Vancouver WA 98664	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4709	George Gress 10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6 Yuma AZ 85365	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3740	Gerald Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992 3112 La Tierra Dr. Rosewell NM 88201	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4693	Victor Gress 488 NW 6th Ave., Apt. 12 Gresham OR 97013	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4686	Eleanor Gaman 7526 East Maple Ave Vancouver WA 98664	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)															





## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.														
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD) <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery														
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due to Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRO Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee				
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3788	Jason R. Tormaschy & Hannah Tormaschy P.O. Box 11 Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3795	State of North Dakota 608 East Boulevard Avenue Bismarck ND 58505-0700	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3801	Lee R. Hoff Box 143 Leith ND 58551	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 3818	Rose Mary Hoff 7939 Pecos Denver CO 80221	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4143	Emily Knopik 1023 Alderson Billings MT 59102	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4136	Joel Hoff 712 Kirkland Circle #A303 Kirkland WA 98033	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4129	Joyce Kastner 1802 W. 37th Loveland CO 80537	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4150	Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership 1107 N. 27th Street, Suite 201 Billings MT 59101	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) DS																



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 1133 College Drive Suite 1000 Bismarck, ND 58501		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express													
		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail													
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise													
		<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation													
		<input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery (COD)	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery													
		<input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail														
		<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail														
USPS Tracking/Article Number	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)	Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee		
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4167	Assumption Abby, Inc. P.O. Box A Richardton ND 58652	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4174	Lee Ann Hoff 71A Appleton Boston MA 2116	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4181	Regina Pfeifer 708 8th Ave. NW Mandan ND 58554	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
4. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4198	Emil M. Hoff 1023 Alderson Billings MT 59102	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
5. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4204	United States of America Bureau of Land Management 5001 Southgate Drive Billings MT 59101	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4211	Patrick M. Carroll 306 2nd Ave. SW Dickinson ND 58601	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4228	St. John's Lutheran Church P.O. Box 126 Taylor ND 58656	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
8. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4235	Patrick M. Carroll and Bonnie M. Carroll P.O. Box 126 Taylor ND 58656	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)														



Handling Charge - if Registered and over \$50,000 in value

Adult Signature Required

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery

Return Receipt

Signature Confirmation

Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Special Handling






## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	RD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4327	Gordon W. Schnell and Sandra Y. Schnell 801 9th Avenue Dickinson ND 58601		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4334	Brian Schnell 6016 Erin Terrace Edina MN 55439		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4341	MAP2006-OK 101 N. Robinson, Suite 100 Oklahoma City OK 73102		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4358	Carla Schnell 2522 West Meredith Drive Vienna VA 22181		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4365	Bonnie M. Carroll 306 2nd Ave. SW Dickinson ND 58601		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4372	William Robinson Christian Colony Ripon WI		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4389	St. John's Lutheran Church Rt. 1, Box 41 Sentinel Butte ND 58654		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8.	7020 2450 0002 0596 4396	Red Trail Energy, LLC 306 2nd Ave. SW Dickinson ND 58601		\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender		Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office		Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)												



## Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail

Name and Address of Sender		Check type of mail or service		Affix Stamp Here (for additional copies of this receipt). Postmark with Date of Receipt.												
USPS Tracking/Article Number		Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code™)		Postage	(Extra Service) Fee	Handling Charge	Actual Value if Registered	Insured Value	Due Sender if COD	ASR Fee	ASRD Fee	SRD Fee	RR Fee	SC Fee	SCRD Fee	SH Fee
1. 7020 2450 0002 0596 4402	Courtney Moody 27680 Spring Valley Road Farmington Hills MI 48336	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4454	James Walby and Mary Ann Walby 502 2nd St. SW Bowman ND 58623	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4461	Paul Robert Helten 3147 Morgan Circle Bismarck ND 58503-0154	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4478	Linda M. Reisenauer PO Box 116 New England ND 58647	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4485	Wayne M. Rixen 1301 4th St. NE Jamestown ND 58401	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4492	Donald Mischel 608 Lynn Dr. Argusville ND 58005	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4508	The Pfanenstiel Company, LLC PO Box 12928 Oklahoma City OK 73157	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. 7017 3380 0001 1332 4515	J. Lee Youngblood, Trustee 128 West Denver Drive Bismarck ND 58501	\$1.20	\$3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.85	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender	Total Number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee) DS														





## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

A.C. Johnson  
Box 2643, 1736-8 Street So.  
Fargo ND 58108



9590 9402 6621 1028 5253 74

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 2672

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)


Connie Johnson

C. Date of Delivery

JUL 28 2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If YES, enter delivery address below:



3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Adam Dale Schank  
4809 Southbay Drive  
Mandan ND 58554



9590 9402 6621 1028 5260 50

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 8827

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

A. Schank

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If YES, enter delivery address below:

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Adobe Oil Company  
c/o Devon Energy Corporation  
33 West Sheridan Avenue  
Oklahoma City, OK 73102



9590 9402 6621 1028 5265 79

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5739

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)


SA Ford

C. Date of Delivery

AUG 2 2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If YES, enter delivery address below:



3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

EXHIBIT 14



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

AgriBank, FCB  
30 East 7th St. Suite 1600  
St. Paul MN 55101



9590 9402 5885 0038 9784 83

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4706

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X [Signature]

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Cord

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes,  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Restricted Delivery  
 (over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

AgriBank  
30 E. 7th St., #1600  
St. Paul MN 55101



9590 9402 6621 1028 5257 70

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4501

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X [Signature]

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Cord

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Restricted Delivery  
 (over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Aloys Gress  
5100 N.E. 19th Avenue  
Vancouver WA 98660



9590 9402 6621 1028 5257 87

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4495

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X [Signature]

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

6318 CT9

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/20

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Restricted Delivery  
 (over \$500)



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Althea Prible  
12015 SW Rose Vista Dr.  
Portland OR 97223



9590 9402 3577 7305 1455 48

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3792

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *yc 52 C19*
☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*H. Prible*

C. Date of Delivery

*7/7*
D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery           | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery            | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delivery Restricted Delivery                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Amalia Amann  
North 1818 Cook St.  
Spokane WA 99207



9590 9402 6621 1028 5257 01

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3792

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *COVID*
☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Amalia Amann*

C. Date of Delivery

*7.1.21*
D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery           | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery            | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

AMBROSE HOFF AND  
CHARLOTTE HOFF  
3713 86TH AVENUE SW  
RICHARDTON ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5269 20

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5098

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Charlotte Hoff*
☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Charlotte Hoff*

C. Date of Delivery

*7/13/21*
D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery           | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery            | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) |   |



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
3713 36th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1454 63

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4730

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Charlotte Hoff

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Charlotte Hoff

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®

- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Restricted Delivery  
☐ )

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
8601 Hwy 10 E  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1455 24

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3778

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Charlotte Hoff

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Charlotte Hoff

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3713 86th Ave SW  
Richardton

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail

☐ Priority Mail Express®

- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Restricted Delivery  
☐ )

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

AMBROSE R. HOFF AND  
CHARLOTTE HOFF  
3713 36TH AVE. SW  
RICHARDTON ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5270 40

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5432

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Charlotte Hoff

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Charlotte Hoff

C. Date of Delivery

7/13/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®

- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Restricted Delivery  
☐ )

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

AMBROSE R. HOFF AND  
CHARLOTTE HOFF  
8601 HWY 10 E  
RICHARDTON ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5271 49

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5531

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Charlotte Hoff

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Charlotte Hoff

C. Date of Delivery

7/13/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

all Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
3713 86th Avenue SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5261 04

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4099

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Charlotte Hoff

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Charlotte Hoff

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Angela Palm Brouillette  
24335 S. Brockway Road  
Oregon City OR 97045



9590 9402 6621 1028 5259 16

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9852

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X A Brouillette

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

ABR-3C-19

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

ed Mail  
ed Mail Restricted Delivery  
\$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Ann Clara Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008



9590 9402 6621 1028 5260 74

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4440

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Selva*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

SERGIO C19

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

 Mail  
 Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Ann Geck  
716 East Turnpike Ave.  
Bismarck ND 58501



9590 9402 3577 7305 1459 20

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4679

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *AB C40 C019*
☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

T.H. Lee

C. Date of Delivery

6/25

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

 Mail  
 Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Ann Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008



9590 9402 6621 1028 5264 18

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9565

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Selva*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

SERGIO C19

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

 Mail  
 Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Ann Kilzer  
716 E. Turpike Ave.  
Bismarck ND 58501



9590 9402 3577 7305 1458 69

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3733

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *AB C40 C019*
☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

TKilzer

C. Date of Delivery

6/25

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Anthony Messmer and Karen Messmer,  
Trustees of TK Messmer Mineral Trust  
1990 Mesquite Loop  
Bismarck, ND 58503-0198



9590 9402 6948 1104 0364 08

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6125

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Karen Messmer*
☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

7-21-21

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Anton Gress  
836 S Curry St. Unit 304  
Portland OR 97239



9590 9402 3577 7305 1458 76

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4877

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *213903*
☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

LIP

C. Date of Delivery

6/28

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Assumption Abbey  
418 3rd Avenue West  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5274 60

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4273

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Nicholas Erickson* ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Assumption Abby, Inc.  
P.O. Box A  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1468 11

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4167

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Nicholas Erickson* ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Assumption Abbey  
P.O. Box A  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5250 46

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9244

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Nicholas Erickson* ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Audrey Baesler Gund  
852 Cliff Road  
Russellville AR 72801



9590 9402 3577 7305 1451 28

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4013

Restricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]* R+2 C19☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

G W R+2 C19

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Audrey Baesler Gund  
852 Cliff Rd  
Russellville AR 72801



9590 9402 3577 7305 1457 53

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3924

Mail Restricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]* R2 C19☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

G W R2 C19

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Barbara Hoff  
3752 Hwy 8 S  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1453 33

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3853

 Mail  
 Mail Restricted Delivery  
 \$500

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]* Gerald Hoff☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Gerald Hoff

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Bernadine Hoff  
7202 Lake Shore Road  
Derby NY 14047



9590 9402 3577 7305 1452 58

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4447

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X B H

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C-19 WIK

C. Date of Delivery

6/29/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

JUN 29 2021

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Bernadine Hoff  
7200 Old Lake Shore Road  
Derby NY 14047-0266



9590 9402 6621 1028 5274 84

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4259

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X B H

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C-19 WIK

C. Date of Delivery

6/29/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

JUN 29 2021

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Betty L. Zacher  
261 Boothill Rd.  
Custer SD 57730-6223



9590 9402 5885 0038 9787 42

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0247

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Zacher

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

S. Zacher

C. Date of Delivery

6/29/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Black Stone Minerals Company, L.P.  
1001 Fannin, Suite 2020  
Houston TX 77002-6709



9590 9402 6621 1028 5250 60

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9176

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Sharon Shanks*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

SHARON SHANKS 6/29/21

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐
- Adult Signature
- 
- ☐
- Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail®
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐
- Priority Mail Express®
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail™
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation™
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

BNSF Railway Company  
2500 Lou Menk Drive  
Fort Worth TX 76131-2830



9590 9402 6621 1028 5263 88

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9534

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

7/4/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

BNSF RLY CO  
AOB/GL MAILROOM  
FT. WORTH, TX

3. Service Type

- ☐
- Adult Signature
- 
- ☐
- Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail®
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐
- Priority Mail Express®
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail™
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation™
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Bob Morland, Trustee of the  
Roy J. Messmer Living Trust  
15 S Main St.  
Bowman ND 58623



9590 9402 6621 1028 5255 65

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0063

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

Leah Benz 6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐
- Adult Signature
- 
- ☐
- Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail®
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐
- Priority Mail Express®
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail™
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation™
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J.  
Messmer Living Trust  
PO Box 13  
Bowman ND 58623



9590 9402 5885 0038 9786 05

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4744

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]* ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Leah Benz

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery
☒ Certified Mail®  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

BONNIE J. SAETZ  
1570 14TH ST W  
DICKINSON, ND 58601



9590 9402 6621 1028 5272 00

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5593

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Bonnie M. Carroll  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1456 78

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4365

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]* ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

PMC

C. Date of Delivery

6/26/2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Bremer Bank, NA  
128 North B Street, P.O. Box 352  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5260 36

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 8803

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6-28

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

710 S Washington  
Bismarck, ND 58501

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(0)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Brian Schnell  
6016 Erin Terrace  
Edina MN 55439



9590 9402 3577 7305 1460 57

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4334

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery


Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

BRUCE C. FJELDE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE  
BRUCE C. FJELDE REVOCABLE TRUST,  
DATED THE 13TH DAY OF JULY, 2015  
2108 18TH AVENUE S  
FARGO, ND 58103



9590 9402 6621 1028 5269 99

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5050

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

7/12/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(0)



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Bryan Hauck  
PO Box 154  
Smoot WY 83126



9590 9402 3577 7305 1452 96

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4570

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature


X *Carmen L. Patterson* ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Carmen L. Patterson

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No



3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (00)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

CAREY D. RUMMEL  
523 APPLETREE LN  
MOORHEAD, MN 56560



9590 9402 6621 1028 5272 17

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5609

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *HS* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C/D

C. Date of Delivery

7/1/15

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Carolyn Jurgens  
PO Box 204  
Taylor ND 58656



9590 9402 3577 7305 1452 65

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4600

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Carolyn Jurgens* ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Carolyn Jurgens

C. Date of Delivery

6-29-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (00)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

CARLA SCHNELL  
2050 PACIFIC BEACH DR  
UNIT 309  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92109



9590 9402 6621 1028 5271 18

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5500

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Carla Schnell*
☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

PACIFIC BEACH 92109

07/15/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

JUL 15 2021

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Carrie Gerving  
4245 62nd Ave.  
Glen Ullin ND 58631



9590 9402 5885 0038 9787 97

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0209

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Carrie Gerving*
☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

Carrie Gerving

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Chantra Boehm  
1915 N 115th Street, Unit #2  
Bismarck, ND 58501-2031



9590 9402 5885 0038 9786 67

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9807

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Chantra Boehm*
☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

Chantra Boehm

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Charles F. Gress  
483 SW Pemberly Loop  
McMinnville OR 97128



9590 9402 6621 1028 5252 44

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4907

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

RACI 1712

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-24

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail Restricted Delivery  
 (over 500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Charlotte R. Richards, Trustee, Fohs  
Sohn Oil and Gas Trust  
P.O. Box 1001  
Roseburg OR 97470



9590 9402 6621 1028 5258 17

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9760

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Aiysha Kusler

C. Date of Delivery

4/28/21

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail Restricted Delivery  
 (over 500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Courtney Moody  
27680 Spring Valley Road  
Farmington Hills MI 48336



9590 9402 3577 7305 1459 68

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4402

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Courtney Moody

C. Date of Delivery

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail Restricted Delivery  
 (over 500)



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.  
 ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.  
 ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Craig S. Fisher  
 8330 39th St. SW  
 Richardson ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1453 40

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3846

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.  
 ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.  
 ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Curtis Hoff  
 4817 Cheyenne Dr.  
 Larkspur CO 80921



9590 9402 6621 1028 5252 37

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4914

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

Curtis Hoff

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.  
 ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.  
 ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Cynthia Martin  
 5110 99th Ave. SW  
 Lefor ND 58641



9590 9402 5885 0038 9784 90

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4690

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

Cindy Martin

6-26-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Dakota Community Bank and Trust  
609 Main Street P.O. Box 431  
Hebron ND 58638-0431



9590 9402 6621 1028 5263 95

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9541

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

- ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Hollie Finter

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

- D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery


Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Dalton Rixen  
201 Linden Ave.  
Taylor ND 58656



9590 9402 3577 7305 1455 55

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3808

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

- ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Ashley Rixen

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

- D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery


Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Daniel Hoff  
426 - RD 261  
Glendive MT 59330



9590 9402 6621 1028 5260 29

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 8797

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

- ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Dana Hoff

C. Date of Delivery

7-6-21

- D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Daniel Hoff  
12040 SW Fairfield St.  
Beaverton OR 97005



9590 9402 3577 7305 1450 67

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3955

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

SC 54 C-19

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Daniel Walby  
1486 13th St. W  
Dickinson ND 58623



9590 9402 5885 0038 9788 10

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0186

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Jacqueline M. Walby

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery


Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Darcie M. Rummel  
2929 Chicago Ave., Unit 1109  
Minneapolis, MN 55407-5014



9590 9402 6621 1028 5266 23

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6019

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Darcie M. Rummel

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

David Hauck  
2233 Hwy 8  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1458 07

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3870

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

*x David Hauck*  
B. Received by (Printed Name)  
*David Hauck*

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

6/26/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Delnita Messer  
3052 Lakewood Dr.  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 6621 1028 5255 03

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4959

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

*x Delnita Messer*  
B. Received by (Printed Name)  
*Delnita Messer*

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

6/29/2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Dennis J. Rixen  
508 5th St. NE  
Jamestown ND 58401



9590 9402 5885 0038 9785 68

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4621

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

*x D. Rixen*  
B. Received by (Printed Name)  
*D. Rixen*

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

6-26-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Dennis Mischel  
Box 6  
Horace ND 58049



9590 9402 5885 0038 9787 04

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4560

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

x *Baumgardner*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Barb Mischel

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                            |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Restricted Delivery                     |   |

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Diane Mischel  
5212 Meadow Lane Court  
Rapid City SD 57703-6581



9590 9402 5885 0038 9785 44

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4645

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

x *D. Mischel*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

AUG 9 21

C. Date of Delivery

6-28

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                            |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Restricted Delivery                     |   |


Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Donald J. Blatz and Venita F. Blatz,  
Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust,  
under Trust Agreement dated June 27, 1995  
7718 Mustang Lane  
Lina Lakes MN 55014



9590 9402 6621 1028 5252 99

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4812

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

x *Don Blatz*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Don Blatz

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                            |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Restricted Delivery                     |   |

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Donald Mischel  
608 Lynn Dr.  
Argusville ND 58005



9590 9402 3577 7305 1460 19

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4492

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Donald Roy Gress  
12881 NW Bayonne Lane  
Portland OR 97229



9590 9402 3577 7305 1457 22

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4815

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

D. Gress

C. Date of Delivery

6-28

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Donna Stockie  
795 Montview Way  
Springfield OR 97477



9590 9402 3577 7305 1457 91

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3887

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C-19 C-15 CD

C. Date of Delivery

6-28

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Dorothy Frederick  
8451 Highway 10 E  
Richardton, ND 58652-9404



9590 9402 6948 1104 0364 22

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6040

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X Dorothy Frederick

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

Dorothy Frederick

## C. Date of Delivery

7/26/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery  
 500

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Dorothy Palm Monte  
12420 S.E. Steele  
Portland OR 97236



9590 9402 6621 1028 5255 27

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9937

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

XLP C19

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

7015 RIC

## C. Date of Delivery

7/7/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery  
 500

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

DUANE MISCHER  
5828 AUTUMN DR S  
FARGO, ND 58104-7654



9590 9402 6621 1028 5272 24

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5616

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X Duane Mischel

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

Mischer

## C. Date of Delivery

7/12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery  
 500

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Dwight F. Schank  
868 17th ST E  
Dickinson, ND 58601-3458



9590 9402 6948 1104 0364 39

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6057

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X *Dwight Schank*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Dwight Schank*

## C. Date of Delivery

7-22-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

## 3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Dwight Hauck  
41625 228th Ave. SE  
Enumclaw WA 98022-9079



9590 9402 3577 7305 1452 89

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4587

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X *Dwight Hauck*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Dwight Hauck*

## C. Date of Delivery

7/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

## 3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Edward Wehri  
1501 37th Ave APT A9  
Oakland, CA 94601



9590 9402 6948 1104 0364 46

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6064

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X *Edward Wehri*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Edward Wehri*

## C. Date of Delivery

7/26/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

## 3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Emily Knopik  
903 13th St. West  
Billings MT 49771



9590 9402 3577 7305 1464 60

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4853

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

~~Eric Walby~~  
207 9th Ave. NW  
Bowman ND 58623



9590 9402 6621 1028 5255 89

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0049

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Farm Credit Services of  
Mandan, FLCA  
1600 Old Red Trail  
Mandan ND 58554



9590 9402 6621 1028 5256 33

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0155

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

Faye Stockie King  
2117 Debra Dr.  
Springfield OR 97477



9590 9402 3577 7305 1451 04

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3993

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Faye Stockie King* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Faye Stockie King C. Date of Delivery  
6/29/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |

Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Frances Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008



9590 9402 6621 1028 5258 00

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4464

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Sergio C19* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

SERGIO C19 C. Date of Delivery  
6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery |   |

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(00)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Francis Gress  
825 Elm Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1459 51

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4648

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Francis Gress* ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Francis Gress C. Date of Delivery  
6-26-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |

1 Mail  
1 Mail Restricted Delivery  
(500)



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.

Francis Gress, as Co-Trustee of the  
John Gress Family Trust  
Dated May 6, 1992  
825 Elm Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1453 88

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4891

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Francis Gress

☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Francis Gress

C. Date of Delivery

6-26-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Fred J. Williams III, as Trustee of the Fred J.  
Williams III 2017 GST Trust under agreement  
dated January 27, 2010, as amended  
4437 Beach Lane South  
 Fargo ND 58104



9590 9402 6621 1028 5250 15

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9602

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X USPS

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

COWD 19

C. Date of Delivery

6/29/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery


- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Fred J. Williams III & Jennifer G.  
Williams, collectively, as Trustees of the  
Jennifer G. Williams GST Trust under  
agreement, effective August 6, 2020  
6119 East Osborn Road  
Scottsdale AZ 85251



9590 9402 6621 1028 5251 69

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 2825

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Jennifer Williams

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Jennifer Williams

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Frederick W. Burgum  
Box 206  
Arthur ND 58006



9590 9402 6621 1028 5251 52

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 2740

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Garrett BTF Minerals, LLC  
9701 North Broadway  
Oklahoma City OK 73114



9590 9402 5885 0038 9786 98

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4577

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gary Mischel  
1036 SE 6th St.  
Cape Coral FL 33990



9590 9402 3577 7305 1455 62

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3891

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
 Restricted Delivery  
 (X)

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
 Restricted Delivery  
 (X)

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
 Restricted Delivery  
 (X)



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gene Lacher and Joyce Lacher  
616 S. Anderson St.  
Bismarck ND 58501



9590 9402 3577 7305 1468 42

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4297

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY


A. Signature

X *Gene Lacher* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Gene Lacher* C. Date of Delivery  
*6-28-2014*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No



3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery          | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (\$500) |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gerald Gress  
3112 La Tierra Dr.  
Roswell NM 88201



9590 9402 3577 7305 1453 95

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4884

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *G. Gress* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*G. Gress* C. Date of Delivery  
*6-28-14*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery          | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (\$500) |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gerald Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John  
Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992  
3112 La Tierra Dr.  
Rosewell NM 88201



9590 9402 3577 7305 1454 25

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3740

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *G. Gress* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*G. Gress* C. Date of Delivery  
*6-28-14*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery          | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (\$500) |   |

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gerald L. Hoff and JoAnn Hoselton  
422 1st Ave. West  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1453 64

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3839

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Gerald Hoff*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Gerald Hoff

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/11

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3752 Hwy 8S  
Richardton ND 58652

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gerald L. Hoff and Kileen Hoff  
422 1st Ave W  
Richardton, ND 58652



9590 9402 5885 0038 9786 43

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9784

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Gerald Hoff*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Gerald Hoff

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/11

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3752 Hwy 8S.  
Richardton ND 58652

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery


Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gerald R. Aluise & Valerie A. Aluise  
8441 39th Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5263 71

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9527

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Valerie Aluise*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

GERALD R. BARTH AND MARY ANN  
BARTH AS TRUSTEES OF THE GERALD  
AND MARY BARTH TRUST DATED  
JANUARY 13, 2015  
375 COUNTY ROAD 302  
DURANGO, CO 81303



9590 9402 6621 1028 5271 87

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5579

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

x Gerald R. Barth

- ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

GERALD R. BARTH

## C. Date of Delivery

7-16-21

## D. Is delivery address different from item 1?

If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ Yes  
☒ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☒ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Restricted Delivery  
(0)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Gerald Rixen  
724 SAINT LOUIS PL  
BISMARCK, ND 58504-7106



9590 9402 6621 1028 5265 48

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5753

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

x Gerald Rixen

- ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

## C. Date of Delivery

7-24

## D. Is delivery address different from item 1?

If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ Yes  
☒ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☒ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Gerriann Palm Courtney  
10485 SW Kiowa Street  
Tualatin OR 97062



9590 9402 6621 1028 5259 78

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9944

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

x DAISE C19

- ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

## C. Date of Delivery

Lynn Courtney 6-28-21

## D. Is delivery address different from item 1?

If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ Yes  
☐ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail Restricted Delivery  
(0)

# COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gladys Schwehr  
1716 West 40th Ave.  
Kennewick WA 99337



9590 9402 3577 7305 1458 14

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3863

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

# COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

*Gladys Schwehr*

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Gladys Schwehr*

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (00)

☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

# SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Glenn Hauck  
947 - 24th St. West  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1496 76

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4983

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

# COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

*Glenn Hauck*

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Glenn Hauck*

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (00)

☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

# SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gordon W. Schnell and Sandra Y. Schnell  
801 9th Avenue  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1460 40

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4327

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

# COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

*Sandra Schnell*

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*SANDRA SCHNELL*

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (00)

☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Grace Rixen-Handford  
4496 85th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 5885 0038 9787 73

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0223

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *GRH*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Grace Rixen-Handford

C. Date of Delivery

6/27/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Mail

Mail Restricted Delivery

(00)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted

Delivery

☐ Return Receipt for

Merchandise

☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation


Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Great Northern Properties LP  
P.O. Box 1745  
Miles City MT 59301



9590 9402 3577 7305 1467 05

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4280

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *G. Luther*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

G. Luther

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Insured Mail

Restricted Delivery

(00)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted

Delivery

☐ Return Receipt for

Merchandise

☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation

Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Great Northern Properties  
Limited Partnership  
c/o Capitol Corporate Services Inc.  
26 W Sixth Ave.  
Helena, MT 59601



9590 9402 6621 1028 5273 92

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5401

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature


X *Tamara Kintz*☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Tamara Kintz

C. Date of Delivery

7-21-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☒ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Insured Mail☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery

(over \$500)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted

Delivery

☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation

Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Guy Stockie  
5720 125th St. SE  
Snohomish WA 98296



9590 9402 3577 7305 1457 60

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3917

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(0)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

Harold Hoff  
733 Chaffee Row  
Beulah ND 58523



9590 9402 3577 7305 1457 77

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3900

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(over \$500)


Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Heather Hoff  
2702 North 191st Ave.  
Buckeye AZ 85326



9590 9402 6621 1028 5264 01

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9558

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(over \$500)

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Heather Hoff  
2702 North 191st Avenue  
Buckeye AZ 85326



9590 9402 6621 1028 5263 64

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9510

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *David Lachoff*☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*David Lachoff*

C. Date of Delivery

6-27-24

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Registered Mail  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
 (over \$500)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

Heather Moff  
2702 North 191st Ave.  
Buckeye AZ 85326



9590 9402 3577 7305 1451 35

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 2832

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *David Lachoff*☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*David Lachoff*

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-24

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Registered Mail  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
 (over \$500)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Home of the Range  
8749 Hwy. 10  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1468 28

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4310

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *John Tamm*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Registered Mail  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
 (over \$500)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Jane Will  
1222 Richmond Dr.  
Bismarck ND 50538



9590 9402 3577 7305 1457 08

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4839

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Jane Will*

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Jane Will

C. Date of Delivery

6-20-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery


Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Janice Faye Wahlers  
44628 308th Street  
Mission Hill SD 57046



9590 9402 6621 1028 5255 34

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0001

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Janice Faye Wahlers*

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Janice Faye Wahlers

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

JUN 29 2021

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail (over \$500)
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Jason R. Tormaschy & Hannah  
Tormaschy  
P.O. Box 11  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 5885 0038 9784 21

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3788

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Jason R. Tormaschy*

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Jason R. Tormaschy

C. Date of Delivery

July 10 E

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

8749 Hwy 10 E  
Richardton, ND 58652

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail (over \$500)
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Jason R. Tormaschy  
and Hannah Tormaschy  
8749 Hwy 10  
Richardton, ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5259 47

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9975

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

il Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

James and Mary Ann Walby  
502 2nd St. SW  
Bowman ND 58623-4533



9590 9402 3577 7305 1456 85

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0179

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

James Baesler  
4018 Maple Dr. 5009  
Chesapeake VA 23321



9590 9402 3577 7305 1451 11

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4006

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

James E. Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008



9590 9402 6621 1028 5261 28

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4075

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Selia*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

STEPHO C-19

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Registered Mail  
☐ Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

James Erdle  
8840 37th St. SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5263 57

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9206

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *DPH ROO13*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C-19

C. Date of Delivery

6/26/2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Registered Mail  
☐ Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

James Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008



9590 9402 6621 1028 5261 66

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9480

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Selia*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

STEPHO C-19

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Registered Mail  
☐ Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

James Walby and Mary Ann Walby  
502 2nd St. SW  
Bowman ND 58623



9590 9402 3577 7305 1459 75

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4454

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

C. Date of Delivery

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

JANE HOFF HOTZ  
1184 59TH AVE SW  
BEULAH, ND 58523-9570



9590 9402 6621 1028 5271 32

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5524

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

JANE HOFF HOTZ  
1184 59TH AVE SW  
BEULAH, ND 58523-9570



9590 9402 6621 1028 5272 79

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5661

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Jeanne (Jean) Ann Pecht  
F/K/A Jeanne Betlaf  
409 Tamarack DR  
Rapid City, SD 57701-7676



9590 9402 6948 1104 0364 77

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6118

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X *Jeanne Pecht*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Jeanne Pecht*

## C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

JUL 22 2021

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Jeffrey R. Hoff  
3960 87th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1454 87

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4754

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X *Jeffrey Hoff*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Jeffrey Hoff*

## C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

## 3. Service Type


- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Jerome Erdle  
21051 Gresham Street; Apt 201  
Canoga Park CA 91304



9590 9402 6621 1028 5263 33

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9183

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X *Jerome Erdle*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Jerome Erdle*

## C. Date of Delivery

JUL 22 2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Jerry Thomas Rixen  
18366 260TH ST  
FERGUS FALLS, MN 56537-7426



9590 9402 6621 1028 5265 31

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5760

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]* ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

*Jerry T. Rixen 7-26-21*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery           | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery            | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Joann Hoselton  
13877 145th St. SW  
Red Lake Falls MN 56750



9590 9402 3577 7305 1456 16

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3945

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *CV19 RT2* ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

*MJS 8/26*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery           | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery            | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery       | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff  
3729 86th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1456 23

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3969

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Marla Hoff* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery           | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery            | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery       | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) |   |



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

JOEL AND LINDA ZIMMERMAN,  
TRUSTEES OF THE ZIMMERMAN  
LIVING TRUST  
14602 N SHIPROCK DR.  
SUN CITY, AZ 85351



9590 9402 6621 1028 5271 70

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5562

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X [Signature]

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

## C. Date of Delivery

7-12-21

- D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☒ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Restricted Delivery  
(0)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

JOHN D. BARTH AND EDITH A. BARTH,  
AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE JOHN AND  
EDITH BARTH FAMILY MINERAL TRUST  
DATED AUGUST 10, 2015  
5582 BISHOPS BLVD S  
FARGO, ND 58104-7251



9590 9402 6621 1028 5270 33

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5012

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X [Signature]

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

## C. Date of Delivery

7/12/21

- D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☒ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Restricted Delivery  
(0)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

John J. Zacher  
2221 Merlot Cr.  
Fort Collins CO 80528



9590 9402 6621 1028 5253 12

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 2733

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X [Signature]

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

## C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

- D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

## 3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Restricted Delivery  
(0)



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Joy Beth Mische  
1335 State Highway 30  
Pipestone MN 56164



9590 9402 6621 1028 5255 41

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0087

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Joy B Mische* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Joy Mische* 7/6/21 C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery |   |

red Mail  
red Mail Restricted Delivery  
(over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

JRH Enterprises  
3960 87th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58625



9590 9402 6621 1028 5253 36

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 2719

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Jeffrey Hoff* ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Jeffrey Hoff* 6/28/21 C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery |   |

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Juanita Baesler  
409 Ashbrook Ln  
Russellville AR 72802



9590 9402 5885 0038 9786 29

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4563

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Juanita Baesler* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)


*Juanita Baesler* C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |

all  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(over \$500)





## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kaire Bosch  
3170 121st Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1452 72

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4594

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *C19*
☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

*KR1*

C. Date of Delivery

*6/24/21*
 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kathy L. Hoyt, Trustee of Pauline E.  
Messmer Family Tr. dtd Aug. 10, 2011  
3777 Molon Labe PL  
Mandan, ND 58554-7848



9590 9402 6948 1104 0364 60

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6088

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Karen Messmer  
1990 Mesquite Loop  
Bismarck, ND 58503-0198



9590 9402 6948 1104 0364 91

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6095

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

*7-21-21*
 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Karen Messmer, as Trustee of T K  
Messmer Mineral Trust  
1990 Mesquite Loop  
Bismarck ND 58503



9590 9402 5885 0038 9785 06

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4522

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature


☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Karen Messmer

C. Date of Delivery

6-29-21

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No


3. Service Type

- ☐
- Adult Signature
- 
- ☐
- Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail®
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail®
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐
- Priority Mail Express®
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail™
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Return Receipt for Merchandise
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation™
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

Karen L. Messmer  
1990 Mesquite Lp  
Bismarck ND 58503



9590 9402 3577 7305 1455 86

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3914

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature


☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Karen Messmer

C. Date of Delivery

6-29-21

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No


3. Service Type

- ☐
- Adult Signature
- 
- ☐
- Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail®
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail®
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐
- Priority Mail Express®
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail™
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Return Receipt for Merchandise
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation™
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kathleen A. Porubensky  
6305 Mountain Meadow Dr.  
Blackhawk SD 57718



9590 9402 6621 1028 5256 19

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0018

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Kathy Porubensky

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-2021

 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐
- Adult Signature
- 
- ☐
- Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail®
- 
- ☐
- Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail®
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐
- Priority Mail Express®
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail™
- 
- ☐
- Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation™
- 
- ☐
- Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kathleen Heimbuch  
9748 122nd Avenue SE  
Cogswell ND 58017



9590 9402 6621 1028 5264 32

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9589

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Kathy Heimbuch ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Kathy Heimbuch

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery  
(500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kathleen Mangan  
3053 North 19th Street  
Bismarck ND 58501



9590 9402 6621 1028 5257 25

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4768

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X C19 ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

ASR26

C. Date of Delivery

6/25/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail Restricted Delivery  
(500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kathleen McVay  
14530 Westchester Dr.  
Colorado Springs CO 80921



9590 9402 3577 7305 1457 15

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4822

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X T. Brazile ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

T. Brazile

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Mail Restricted Delivery  
(500)



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kathryn Dorgan  
1121 West Highland Acres Rd.  
Bismarck ND 58501



9590 9402 3577 7305 1496 14

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4556

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Kathy Dorgan*

- ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

KATHY DORGAN

C. Date of Delivery

6-25-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

all Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kathryn Geck  
1121 West Highland Acres Rd.  
Bismarck MD 58501



9590 9402 3577 7305 1452 27

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4969

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Kathy Dorgan*

- ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

KATHY DORGAN

C. Date of Delivery

6-25-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

all Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kathy L Hoyt, as Trustee of the  
Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust  
1031 Fir Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 6621 1028 5256 64

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0131

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Kathy L Hoyt*

- ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Kathy L Hoyt

C. Date of Delivery

7/3/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

3777 Molon Labe Pl  
Mandan, ND 58554

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

all Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva  
2718 North 153rd Dr.  
Goodyear AZ 85395



9590 9402 3577 7305 1451 42

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3757

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Kay McGarva

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

07/06/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Mail

Mail Restricted Delivery

(500)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kenneth E. Moore  
8465 39th Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5264 63

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9459

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Monica Moore

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Mail

Mail Restricted Delivery

(0)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

KENNETH MOORE  
AND MONICA MOORE  
8465 39TH ST SW  
RICHARDTON, ND 58652-9408



9590 9402 6621 1028 5271 25

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5517

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Monica Moore

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

7/19/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☒ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Mail

Mail Restricted Delivery

(00)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kevin Frederick  
8455 Highway 10 E  
Richardton, ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5273 85

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5418

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Kevin Frederick*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Kevin Frederick

C. Date of Delivery

7/16/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☒ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Insured Mail☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kim Glasser  
1228 Richmond Dr.  
Bismarck ND 58504



9590 9402 6621 1028 5254 97

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4966

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Kim Glasser*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6/25/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

3. Service Type


☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Insured Mail☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Larry Meyer  
252 7th Ln SW  
Fairfield MT 59436



9590 9402 6621 1028 5252 82

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4829

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Larry Meyer*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Larry Meyer

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Insured Mail☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Lee Ann Hoff  
78 Stratford St.  
West Roxbury MA 2132



9590 9402 3577 7305 1497 13

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3825

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

- ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Lee R. Hoff  
Box 143  
Leith ND 58551



9590 9402 3577 7305 1461 25

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3801

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

- ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Leland Baesler  
PO Box 80751  
San Diego CA 92138



9590 9402 3577 7305 1452 10

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4044

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

- ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Lenard Luithle &  
Mary Ann Luithle  
PO Box 100  
Richardton, ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5258 79

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9890

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Lenard Luithle*☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Jason Luithle

C. Date of Delivery

7/12/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Leonard Hueske  
PO Box 311  
Richardton, ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5258 24

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9777

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Erin Hueske*☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Erin Hueske

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Leroy A. Rixen, Jr.  
37 - 29th Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 5885 0038 9787 11

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4553

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *C 19*☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

RRI

C. Date of Delivery

6/26/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

LeRoy A. Rixen, Jr.  
RR 1, Box 60  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 6621 1028 5250 53

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9251

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X C19

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

RR1

C. Date of Delivery

6/24/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Linda M. Reisenauer  
PO Box 116  
New England ND 58647



9590 9402 3577 7305 1459 99

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4478

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Linda Reisenauer

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Linda Reisenauer

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery  
(over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Linda M. Reisenauer  
Rt. 2, Box 87  
New England ND 58647



9590 9402 6621 1028 5250 77

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9237

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Linda Reisenauer

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Linda Reisenauer

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

Lori Linder  
613 Rose Ave.  
Wheatland CA 95692



9590 9402 3577 7305 1453 71

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3815

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Lori Linder*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®

- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Luann Woeste  
1014 1st Ave. NW  
Hazen ND 58545



9590 9402 6621 1028 5257 32

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4911

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Victor Woeste*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Victor Woeste 6-28-21

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®

- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Lucas Hoff  
8969 31st St. SW  
Richardton ND 58625



9590 9402 6621 1028 5251 14

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 2788

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Lucas Hoff*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Priority Mail Express®

- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Lucas Hoff  
8969 31st Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5250 91

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 2818

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Lucas Hoff* ☐ Agent  
B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery          | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail (over \$500)                   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (\$500) |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Lucille Trotman  
2701 Berkshire Drive  
Bismarck ND 58503



9590 9402 6621 1028 5263 40

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9190

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Lucy Trotman* ☐ Agent  
B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery  
*Lucy Trotman* *6/28/21*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery          | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail (over \$500)                   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (\$500) |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Lucille Wendt  
PO Box 788  
Medical Lake WA 99022



9590 9402 3577 7305 1451 80

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3976

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Debbie Beal* ☒ Agent  
B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery  
*Debbie Beal* *6/29/21*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery          | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail (over \$500)                   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (\$500) |   |



or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Lynn M. Groh  
16147 Harvard Ln.  
Lakeville MN 55044



9590 9402 5885 0038 9787 59

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0094

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

**SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION**

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

MAP2006-OK  
101 N. Robinson, Suite 100  
Oklahoma City OK 73102



9590 9402 3577 7305 1460 71

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4341

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

**SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION**

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Marie Hoff  
911 N MANDAN ST  
BISMARCK, ND 58501-3507



9590 9402 6948 1104 0365 14

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9814

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

LYNN GROH

C. Date of Delivery  
6/29/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

**3. Service Type**

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

**A. Signature**

X C-19SDR203 Agent ☐ Addressee

**B. Received by (Printed Name)**

D. Moore C. Date of Delivery 6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

**3. Service Type**

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

**A. Signature**

X Marie D. Hoff Agent ☐ Addressee

**B. Received by (Printed Name)**

Marie D. Hoff C. Date of Delivery 8-2-2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

**3. Service Type**

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Marie Wehri  
17 South Merriam Ave.  
Miles City MT 59301



9590 9402 6621 1028 5259 85

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4518

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Marie Wehri

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6-30-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Mark Stockie  
795 Montview Way  
Springfield, OR 97477-3679



9590 9402 6621 1028 5273 78

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5425

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Mark Stockie

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

7-16-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Mary Mooer  
192 HWY 200 South  
Glendive MT 59330



9590 9402 6621 1028 5261 80

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 8834

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Mary Mooer

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

if Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Mary Teresa Palm Miller  
11272 SE 64th Avenue  
Milwaukee OR 97222



9590 9402 6621 1028 5258 48

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9913

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

x

*Dusty Miller*

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

DUSTY MILLER

C. Date of Delivery

6/29/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

fail  
Restricted Delivery  
0)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Melodie Joy Alt  
7015 County Road 4  
Grafton ND 58237



9590 9402 5885 0038 9787 66

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0230

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

x

*GRANT*

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

GRANT

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Messmer Farms LLP  
10844 E Queensborough Ave  
Mesa AZ 85212



9590 9402 3577 7305 1455 79

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3907

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

x

*AROSE*

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

AROSE

C. Date of Delivery

7-7-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

11340 E Monte Ave  
Mesa, AZ  
85209

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

fail  
Restricted Delivery  
0)

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Michelle L. Kuhn  
1201 Prairie View Dr.  
Bismarck ND 58501



9590 9402 6621 1028 5251 38

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 2764

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Mitch Erdle  
3475 83RD AVE  
HEBRON, ND 58638-9620



9590 9402 6621 1028 5265 55

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5746

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3364 83<sup>RD</sup> AVE SW  
Hebron, ND 58638

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Nancy Bishop  
22860 Sky Street  
Rapid City SD 57703



9590 9402 6621 1028 5257 49

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4904

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Nancy Schmidt  
533 South 17th Street  
Bismarck ND 58504



9590 9402 6621 1028 5259 61

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9951

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(00)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

Neal C. and Bonnie M.  
Messer Farm Properties LLLP  
10339 Hwy 10  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1453 26

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3860

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(00)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Pamela Meissner  
650 52-1/2 Avenue SW, #12  
Hazen ND 58545



9590 9402 5885 0038 9784 52

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4683

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Pamela Meissner

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

Pam Meissner

6/26/12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Mail Restricted Delivery  
(over 500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Patricia M. Meyer  
1902 East Beck Lane  
Phoenix AZ 85022-3341



9590 9402 5885 0038 9787 28

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4546

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Patricia Meyer*

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Johnna Meyer

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

PATRICK M. CARROLL AND  
BONNIE M. CARROLL  
306 2ND AVE SW  
DICKINSON, ND 58601-5715



9590 9402 6621 1028 5272 55

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5647

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Patrick M. Carroll*

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

PATRICK M. CARROLL

C. Date of Delivery

7-12-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☒ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Patrick M. Carroll  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1467 50

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4211

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Patrick M. Carroll*

☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Pmc

C. Date of Delivery

6/26/2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
☐ Insured Mail  
☐ Mail Restricted Delivery (\$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Patty Bosch  
2013 Hewitt Dr.  
Billings MT 59102



9590 9402 3577 7305 1458 21

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3856

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *CKI RP R+77* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Phillip Messer, Jr.  
and Betty Messer  
8510 52nd St SW  
Richardton, ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5259 30

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9982

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]* ☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

R.A. Couse and Darlene Couse, Trustees of  
the Robert and Darlene Couse Trust  
493 Avenida Dr.  
Arroyo Grande CA 93420



9590 9402 6621 1028 5259 92

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 8766

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]* ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  
Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Randy Barth  
581 Cottonwood Loop  
Bismarck ND 58504



9590 9402 6621 1028 5257 56

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4898

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

B. Received by (Printed Name)

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-20

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Restricted Delivery  
(0)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

RANDY MISCHER  
232 TELSTAR DR.  
BISMARCK, ND 5850



9590 9402 6621 1028 5271 01

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5494

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

B. Received by (Printed Name)

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No☒ Agent☐ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

7-10-21

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Restricted Delivery  
(0)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff  
Family Revocable Trust, dated  
06/29/2012  
340 North Avenue East  
Missoula MT 59801



9590 9402 3577 7305 1458 38

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3849

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

B. Received by (Printed Name)

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No☐ Agent☐ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Restricted Delivery  
(0)

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1456 47

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4396

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

*[Signature]* ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Jm C

C. Date of Delivery

6/26/2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail

Mail Restricted Delivery  
(9)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
PO Box 11  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5261 42

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3771

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

*[Signature]* ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Rachel Helling

C. Date of Delivery

6-28-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Mail Restricted Delivery  
(30)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

REGINA V. MESSMER  
310 9TH AVE SE  
DEVILS LAKE, ND 58301



9590 9402 6621 1028 5271 63

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5555

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

*[Signature]* ☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Regina Messmer

C. Date of Delivery

7/13/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Mail Restricted Delivery  
(9)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Richard A. Zacher  
105 Buckboard Ct.  
Custer SD 57730



9590 9402 6621 1028 5256 02

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0025

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Richard A. Zacher*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

R. ZACHER

C. Date of Delivery

6/21/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:


☒ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Mail Restricted Delivery

(0)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Richard L. Hauck and  
Linda Hauck  
8559 Hwy 10 East  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1456 09

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3938

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Linda Hauck*☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Linda Hauck

C. Date of Delivery

6/29/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☒ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Mail Restricted Delivery

(0)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Robert Bosch  
7032 57th Dr. NE  
Marysville WA 98270



9590 9402 3577 7305 1496 52

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 5003

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Robert Bosch*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

ROBERT BOSCH

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:


☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Mail Restricted Delivery

(over \$500)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Robert Hoff  
PO Box 5063  
Nikolaevsk AK 99556



9590 9402 3577 7305 1457 84

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 3894

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

ROBERT F. HOFF

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Restricted Delivery☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Rocky Mountain Exploration, Inc.  
5441 Preserve Parkway S.  
Greenwood Village CO 80121



9590 9402 6621 1028 5262 27

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9398

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Dan Grams

C. Date of Delivery

6/29

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Restricted Delivery☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

ROUGH RIDER ELECTRIC  
COOPERATIVE, INC.  
PO Box 1038  
Dickinson, ND 58602



9590 9402 6621 1028 5258 62

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1022 9920

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Cloud Prioratsky

C. Date of Delivery

7-1-2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Restricted Delivery☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery




## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Russell James Messmer, as Trustee  
of the f E. Messmer  
Family Mineral Trust  
10695 Annette Ct.  
Portland OR 97229-8801



9590 9402 6621 1028 5256 40

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0148

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature


X *Russell Messmer* ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Russell Messmer*

## C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No



## 3. Service Type


- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery |   |
| Mail   |   |
| Mail Restricted Delivery   |   |
| 00   |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Scott Walby  
P.O. Box 109  
Bowman ND 58623



9590 9402 6621 1028 5255 96

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0032

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X *Chanel Walby* ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Chanel Walby*

## C. Date of Delivery

*6/28/21*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

## 3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery |   |
| Mail   |   |
| Mail Restricted Delivery   |   |
| 0  |   |

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

## 1. Article Addressed to:

Sharon Schaefer a/k/a  
Sharon Hoff Schaefer  
1801 NW 92ND St.  
Vancouver, WA 98665-6627



9590 9402 6621 1028 5266 16

## 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5685

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

## A. Signature

X *Brandon Schaefer* ☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

## B. Received by (Printed Name)

*Brandon Schaefer*

## C. Date of Delivery

*7/30/21*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

## 3. Service Type

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery             | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery        |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail                                   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)  |   |

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Sheldon Fisher  
8330 39th St SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1453 57

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3822

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Sheldon Fisher*
☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
 Restricted Delivery  
 (0)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

St. John's Lutheran Church  
146 6th AVE W  
Dickinson, ND 58601



9590 9402 6948 1104 0364 53

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6071

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *Tina Hanson*
☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Tina Hanson

C. Date of Delivery

7/22/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
 Restricted Delivery  
 (0)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

State of North Dakota  
1707 N. 9th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501



9590 9402 6621 1028 5264 49

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9435

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Registered Mail  
 Restricted Mail  
 Restricted Delivery  
 (r \$500)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

State Treasurer, as Trustee for the  
State of North Dakota  
1707 North 9th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501



9590 9402 3577 7305 1451 73

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3843

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Steve Meyer  
205 7th Ave. NW  
Watford City ND



9590 9402 6621 1028 5254 80

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4973

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Teresa Hoff  
1220 Imperial Dr.  
Bismarck, ND 58504-7510



9590 9402 6621 1028 5272 93

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5791

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Terry Messmer  
220 Buckingham Dr  
Providence UT 84332-9669



9590 9402 5885 0038 9788 03

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0193

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]*☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Linda Messmer

C. Date of Delivery

7/03/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

The Pfanenstiel Company, LLC  
PO Box 12928  
Oklahoma City OK 73157



9590 9402 3577 7305 1460 26

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4508

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]*☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

Kaye Koberden

C. Date of Delivery

7/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Tim Erdle  
16901 Northridge Ave. North  
Marine On St. Croix MN 55047



9590 9402 3577 7305 1460 26

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 9152

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X *[Signature]*☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

M2C-19

C. Date of Delivery

6/26

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Timothy R. Geck  
4560 Lake Ave.  
Saint Paul MN 55110



9590 9402 6621 1028 5251 90

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4952

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Mail☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

(over \$500)


☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Timothy Messmer  
1245 S Holly Street  
Denver, CO 80246-3234



9590 9402 6948 1104 0363 92

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 6132

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Mail☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

(over \$500)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

RT3

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Todd Walby  
P.O. Box 784  
Bowman ND 58623



9590 9402 5885 0038 9786 36

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0100

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Mail☐ Mail Restricted Delivery

(over \$500)

☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Tracy John Rixen and  
Debbie Ann Rixen  
8429 44th ST. SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5255 58

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 1023 0070

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Tracy Rixen

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

red Mail  
red Mail Restricted Delivery  
(\$500)

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Tristan Hoff  
426 ROAD 261  
GLEN DIVE, MT 59330-9534



9590 9402 6948 1104 0365 07

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 5000

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X C2 HCR 68 C19

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

C2 HCR 68 C19

8/3/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Tristan Hoff  
P.O. Box 10947  
Jackson WY 83002



9590 9402 6621 1028 5261 11

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4082

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X [Signature]

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

United States of America Bureau of  
Land Management  
5001 Southgate Drive  
Billings MT 59101



9590 9402 3577 7305 1467 43

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4204

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

United States of America  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601



9590 9402 3577 7305 1468 35

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4303

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☒ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Collect on Delivery  
Restricted Delivery  
(\$500)

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Vernon J. Tormaschy and  
Kathleen M. Tormaschy  
3549 86th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1455 31

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3785

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent  
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Collect on Delivery  
Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

VICTOR MESSMER  
AND CLARA MESSMER  
704 E ASH AVE APT 211  
GLEN ULLIN, ND 58631-7127



9590 9402 6621 1028 5272 31

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5623

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

VICTOR MESSMER

C. Date of Delivery

7-12-21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type


☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☒ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Registered Mail☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

VICTORIA JESSOP  
PO BOX 1802  
EUNICE, NM 88231-1802



9590 9402 6621 1028 5272 48

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 3160 0001 3975 5630

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

VICTORIA JESSOP

C. Date of Delivery

JUL 19 2021

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☒ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Registered Mail☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Wayne M. Rixen  
1301 4th St. NE  
Jamestown ND 58401



9590 9402 3577 7305 1460 02

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4485

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

WAYNE M. RIXEN

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery☐ Certified Mail®☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery☐ Registered Mail☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery



## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Wayne Pecht  
3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13  
Bismarck ND 58503



9590 9402 6621 1028 5254 59

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 4843

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X Wayne Pecht

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.  
101 North Phillips Avenue  
Sioux Falls SD 57104



9590 9402 6621 1028 5261 97

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 8841

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X K. Petersen

☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

William Hoff  
8547 Hwy 10 East  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5262 65

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7016 3010 0000 7286 8759

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X W. Hoff

☐ Agent☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

William S. Hoff & Doris Hoff  
8547 HWY 10 E  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 6621 1028 5261 35

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 0596 4068

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

x *W. Hoff*  
B. Received by (Printed Name)  
Doris Hoff

☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Mail  
Restricted Delivery  
(00)

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff  
Box 204  
Richardton ND 58652



9590 9402 3577 7305 1455 17

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3761

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

x *W. Hoff*  
B. Received by (Printed Name)  
Doris Hoff

☐ Agent  
☒ Addressee

C. Date of Delivery

6/28/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature  
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
☐ Certified Mail®  
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery  
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®  
☐ Registered Mail™  
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Signature Confirmation™  
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

all  
Restricted Delivery  
(over \$500)

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
1	A. C. Johnson, Box 2643, 1736-8 Street So., Fargo ND 58108	YES				
2	Adam Dale Schank, 4809 Southbay Drive, Mandan ND 58554	YES				
3	Adobe Oil Company, Petroleum Life Building, Midland TX 79701		YES	c/o Devon Energy Corporation, 33 West Sheridan Avenue, Oklahoma City, OK 73102		YES
4	AgriBank, 30 E. 7th St., #1600, St. Paul MN 55101	YES				
5	AgriBank, FCB, 30 East 7th St. Suite 1600, St. Paul MN 55101	YES				
6	Albert Messmer, Rt. 3, Box 16, Mott ND 58646		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
7	Alicia Holum, 5512 64th Ave. NW, Gig Harbor WA		YES	[request made to RKM for current address]	**	**
8	Aloys Gress, 5100 N.E. 19th Avenue, Vancouver WA 98660	YES				
9	Aloys Gress, 7526 East Maple Ave., Vancouver WA 98664		Yes, however, received at address above			
10	Althea Prible, 12015 SW Rose Vista Dr., Portland OR 97223	YES				
11	Alvin Hoff, 426 Rd 261, Glendive MT 59330	**	**			
12	Amalia Amann, North 1818 Cook St., Spokane WA 99207	YES				

EXHIBIT 15



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
13	Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff, 3713 36th Ave. SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
14	Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff, 8601 Hwy 10 E, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
15	Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff, 2461 81st Ave. SW, Hebron ND 58638		YES	Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff, 3713 86th Avenue SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES	
16	Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff, 3713 86th Avenue SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
17	Angela Palm Brouillette, 24335 S. Brockway Road, Oregon City OR 97045	YES				
18	Ann Clara Hart, 1138 Nadine Dr., Campbell CA 95008	YES				
19	Ann Clara Hart, 178 Echo Ave., Campbell CA 95008		Yes, however, served at address above			
20	Ann Geck, 716 East Turnpike Ave., Bismarck ND 58501	YES				
21	Ann Hart, 1138 Nadine Dr., Campbell CA 95008	YES				
22	Ann Kilzer, 716 E. Turnpike Ave., Bismarck ND 58501	YES				
23	Anna Grasseeth, 3016 Oak Crest Dr. NW, Salem OR 97306	**	**			

## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
24	Anthony Messmer and Karen Messmer,, as Trustees of the TK Messmer, Mineral Trust, 8860 39th Street SW, Richardton ND 58652		YES	1990 Mesquite Loop, Bismarck, ND 58503-0198	YES	
25	Anton Gress, 836 S Curry St. Unit 304, Portland OR 97239	YES				
26	Anton Gress, 941 NE 113 Ave., Portland OR 97200		Yes, however, received at address above			
27	Assumption Abbey, 418 3rd Avenue West, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
28	Assumption Abbey, P.O. Box A, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
29	Assumption Abby, Inc., P.O. Box A, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
30	Audrey Baesler Gund, 852 Cliff Rd, Russellville AR 72801	YES				
31	Audrey Baesler Gund, 852 Cliff Road, Russellvile AR 72801	YES				
32	Barbara Hoff, 3752 Hwy 8 S, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
33	Beatrice Zimmerman, 620 112th St. SE #316, Everett WA 98208		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
34	Benjamin B. Saunders, Frances Fohs Sohn and Fred Sohn, 1116 SE Terrace St., Roseburg OR 97470		YES	[request made to RKM for current address]		
35	Bernadine Hoff, 7200 Old Lake Shore Road, Derby NY 14047-0266	YES				
36	Bernadine Hoff, 7202 Lake Shore Road, Derby NY 14047	YES				



(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
37	Betty L. Zacher, 261 Boothill Rd., Custer SD 57730-6223	YES				
38	Black Stone Minerals Company, L.P., 1001 Fannin, Suite 2020, Houston TX 77002-6709	YES				
39	BNSF Railway Company, 2500 Lou Menk Drive, Fort Worth TX 76131- 2830	YES				
40	Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J. Messmer Living Trust, PO Box 13, Bowman ND 58623	YES				
41	Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J. Messmer Living Trust, 15 S Main St., Bowman ND 58623	YES				
42	Bonnie J. Saetz, 3030 115th Ave SW, Dickinson ND 58601		YES	1570 14th St W, Dickinson, ND 58601	YES	
43	Bonnie J. Saetz, 3030 115th Ave. SW, Dickinson ND 58601		YES			
44	Bonnie M. Carroll, 306 2nd Ave. SW, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
45	Bremer Bank, NA, 128 North B Street, P.O. Box 352, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
46	Brian Schnell, 6016 Erin Terrace, Edina MN 55439	YES				
47	Bruce C. Fjelde, as Trustee of the Bruce C. Fjelde Revocable Trust, dated the, 13th day of July, 2015, 1200 Harwood Drive South, #127, Fargo ND 58104		YES	2108 18th Avenue S, Fargo, ND 58103	YES	
48	Bryan Hauck, PO Box 154, Smoot WY 83126	YES				



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
49	Carey D. Rummel, 534 10th Street West, West Fargo ND 58078		YES	523 Appletree LN, Moorhead, MN 56560	YES	
50	Carla Schnell, 2522 West Meredith Drive (1993), Vienna VA 22181		YES	2050 Pacific Beach Dr, Unit 309, San Diego, CA 92109	YES	
51	Carla Schnell, 2522 West Meredith Drive, Vienna VA 22181		YES			
52	Carolyn Jurgens, PO Box 204, Taylor ND 58656	YES				
53	Carrie Gerving, 4245 62nd Ave., Glen Ullin ND 58631	YES				
54	Chantra Boehm, 1915 N 115th Street, Unit #2, Bismarck, ND 58501-2031	YES				
55	Chantra Boehm, 2120 South 12th Street; Apt. 112, Bismarck ND 58504		Yes, however, received at address above			
56	Charles F. Gress, 483 SW Pemberly Loop, McMinnville OR 97128	YES				
57	Charlotte R. Richards, Trustee, Fohs Sohn Oil and Gas Trust, P.O. Box 1001, Roseburg OR 97470	YES				
58	Cheryl H. Keenan, 15922 Dunmoor, Houston TX 77059		YES	4626 Sterling St., Houston, TX 77051-2632		
59	Cheryl Harriet Keenan, 15922 Dunmoor, Houston TX 77059		YES	4626 Sterling Wood Way, Houston, TX 7705-3168		
60	Clemens Geck, 668 Knollwood Drive, Woodland CA 95695	**	**			
61	Courtney Moody, 27680 Spring Valley Road, Farmington Hills MI 48336	YES				
62	Craig S. Fisher, 8330 39th St. SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				

## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
63	Curtis Hoff, 17780 Canterbury Dr., Monument CO 80132		Yes, however, received at address below			
64	Curtis Hoff, 4817 Cheyenne Dr., Larkspur CO 80921	YES				
65	Cynthia Martin, 5110 99th Ave. SW, Lefor ND 58641	YES				
66	Dakota Community Bank and Trust, 609 Main Street P.O. Box 431, Hebron ND 58638-0431	YES				
67	Dalton Rixen, 201 Linden Ave., Taylor ND 58656	YES				
68	Daniel Hoff, 12040 SW Fairfield St., Beaverton OR 97005	YES				
69	Daniel Hoff, 426 - RD 261, Glendive MT 59330	YES				
70	Daniel Hoff, 426 RD 261, Glendive MT 59330		Yes, however, received at addresses above			
71	Daniel Walby, 1486 13th St. W, Dickinson ND 58623	YES				
72	Darcie M. Rummel, 2327 Hoover Avenue, Bismarck ND 58501		YES	2929 Chicago Ave., Unit 1109, Minneapolis, MN 55407-5014	YES	
73	David Hauck, 2233 Hwy 8, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
74	Delnita Messer, 3052 Lakeview Dr., Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
75	Dennis J. Rixen, 117 2nd Ave. E, Dickinson ND 58601		Yes, however, received at address below			



(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
76	Dennis J. Rixen, 508 5th St. NE, Jamestown ND 58401	YES				
77	Dennis Mischel, Box 6, Horace ND 58049	YES				
78	Diane Mischel, 5212 Meadow Lane Court, Rapid City SD 57703-6581	YES				
79	Donald and Venita F. Blatz, Trustees, of the Blatz Revocable Trust, 216 Capitol Dr., Appleton WI 54911-1204		Yes, however, received at address below			
80	Donald J. Blatz and Venita F. Blatz, Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust, under Trust Agreement dated June 27, 1995, 7718 Mustang Lane, Lina Lakes MN 55014	YES				
81	Donald Mischel, 608 Lynn Dr., Argusville ND 58005	YES				
82	Donald Roy Gress, 12881 NW Bayonne Lane, Portland OR 97229	YES				
83	Donna Stockie, 795 Montview Way, Springfield OR 97477	YES				
84	Dorothy Frederick, 212 B St. N, Richardton, ND 58652		YES	8451 Highway 10 E, Richardton, ND 58652-9404	YES	
85	Dorothy Palm Monte, 12420 S.E. Steele, Portland OR 97236	YES				
86	Duane Mischel, PO Box 848, West Fargo, ND 58078		YES	5828 AUTUMN DR S, FARGO, ND 58104-7654	YES	
87	Dwight F. Schank, 3840 91st Ave. SW, Richardton ND 58652		YES	868 17th ST E, Dickinson, ND 58601-3458	YES	
88	Dwight Hauck, 41625 228th Ave. SE, Enumclaw WA 98022-9079	YES				



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
89	Earl E. Hart III, 629 North 18th St., San Jose CA 95112	**	**			
90	Edward Wehri, 2639 Camino Lenada, Oakland CA 94611	certified receipt not yet returned but received at address below				
91	Edward Wehri, 7901 Winthroe St., Oakland CA 94605		YES	1501 37th Ave APT A9, Oakland, CA 4601	YES	
92	Edward Wehri, 7901 Winthroe Street, Oakland CA 94605		YES			
93	Eleanor Gaman, 7526 East Maple Ave, Vancouver WA 98664		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
94	Emil M. Hoff, 1023 Alderson, Billings MT 59102		YES	c/o Theodore Hoff, 4892 E Shoreline Dr., Post Falls, ID 83854-6854	**	**
95	Emily Knopik, 1023 Alderson, Billings MT 59102		Yes, however, received at address below			
96	Emily Knopik, 903 13th St. West, Billings MT 49771	YES				
97	Eric Walby, 207 9th Ave. NW, Bowman ND 58623	YES				
98	Estate of Jerry Schnell, 2522 West Meredith Drive (1993), Vienna VA 22181		YES	2050 Pacific Beach Dr, Unit 309, San Diego, CA 92109	**	**
99	Farm Credit Services of , Mandan, FLCA, 1600 Old Red Trail, Mandan ND 58554	YES				
100	Faye Stockie King, 1043 Cinnamon Avenue, Eugene OR 97404	certified receipt not yet returned but received at address below				
101	Faye Stockie King, 2117 Debra Dr., Springfield OR 97477	YES				

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
102	Frances Hart, 1138 Nadine Dr., Campbell CA 95008	YES				
103	Francis Gress, 825 Elm Ave., Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
104	Francis Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John Gress Family Trust , Dated May 6, 1992, 825 Elm Ave., Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
105	Francis Messmer, 4825 Yellowstone Court NE, Salem OR 97301		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
106	Fred J. Williams III & Jennifer G., Williams, collectively, as Trustees of the Jennifer G. Williams GST Trust under agreement, effective August 6, 2020, 6119 East Osborn Road, Scottsdale AZ 85251	YES				
107	Fred J. Williams III, as Trustee of the Fred J. Williams III 2017 GST Trust under agreement dated January 27, 2010, as amended, 4437 Beach Lane South, Fargo ND 58104	YES				
108	Frederick W. Burgum, Box 206, Arthur ND 58006	YES				
109	Garrett BTF Minerals, LLC, 9701 North Broadway, Oklahoma City OK 73114	YES				



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
110	Gary Mischel, 1036 SE 6th St., Cape Coral FL 33990	YES				
111	Gene Lacher and Joyce Lacher, 616 S. Anderson St., Bismarck ND 58501	YES				
112	George Gress, 10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6, Yuma AZ 85365		YES	13439 E 54TH DR, YUMA, AZ 85367-8458	YES	
113	George Gress, Doby Lous Trailer Park, 1980 Colorado Street, Yuma AZ 85364		YES			
114	Gerald Gress, 3112 La Tierra Dr., Roswell NM 88201	YES				
115	Gerald Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992, 3112 La Tierra Dr., Rosewell NM 88201	YES				
116	Gerald L. Hoff and JoAnn Hoselton, 422 1st Ave. West, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
117	Gerald L. Hoff and Koleen Hoff, 422 1st Ave W, Richardton, ND 58652	YES				
118	Gerald R. Aluise & Valerie A. Aluise, 8441 39th Street SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
119	Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth Trust Dated January 13, 2015, 1900 West Camino Granada, Yuma AZ 85364		YES	302 Parrish St., Genoa, WI 54632		Yes, however, delivered to address below
120				375 County Road 302, Durango, CO 81303	YES	



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
121	Gerald T. Rixen, 7821 Arroyo Dr., Paradise Valley AZ 0	updated addresses for	YES	724 SAINT LOUIS PL, BISMARCK, ND 58504-7106	YES	
122	Gerald T. Rixen, PO Box 9583, Fargo ND 58109	Gerald Rixen and Jerry Thomas Rixen	YES	Jerry Thomas Rixen, 18366 260TH ST, FERGUS FALLS, MN 56537-7426	YES	
123	Geriann Palm Courtney, 10485 SW Kiowa Street, Tualatin OR 97062	YES				
124	Gladys Schwehr, 1716 West 40th Ave., Kennewick WA 99337	YES				
125	Glenn Hauck, 947 – 24th St. West, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
126	Gordon W. Schnell and Sandra Y. Schnell, 801 9th Avenue, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
127	Grace Rixen-Handford, 4496 85th Ave. SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
128	Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership, 1101 N. 27th Street, Suite 201, Billings MT 59101		YES	c/o Capitol Corporate Services Inc., 26 W Sixth Ave., Helena, MT 59601	YES	
129	Great Northern Properties LP, P.O. Box 1745, Miles City MT 59301	YES				
130	Great Northern Properties, Limited Partnership, 1107 N. 27th Street, Suite 201, Billings MT 59101		Yes, however, served at address above.			
131	Guy Stockie, 5720 125th St. SE, Snohomish WA 98296	YES				

## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
132	Harold Hoff, 733 Chaffee Row, Beulah ND 58523	YES				
133	Heather Hoff, 2702 North 191st Ave., Buckeye AZ 85326	YES				
134	Heather Hoff, 2702 North 191st Avenue, Buckeye AZ 85326	YES				
135	Heather Moff, 2702 North 191st Ave., Buckeye AZ 85326	YES				
136	Home of the Range, 8749 Hwy. 10, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
137	Ida Stergios, 4043 Lucille Ave. SE, Salem OR 97302		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
138	J. Lee Youngblood, Trustee, 128 West Denver Drive, Bismarck ND 58501		YES - RKM unable to locate			
139	James and Mary Ann Walby, 502 2nd St. SW, Bowman ND 58623-4533	YES				
140	James Baesler, 4018 Maple Dr. 5009, Chesapeake VA 23321	YES				
141	James E. Hart, 1138 Nadine Dr., Campbell CA 95008	YES				
142	James E. Hart, 629 North 18th St., San Jose CA 95112	**	**			



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
143	James Erdle, 8840 37th St. SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
144	James Hart, 1138 Nadine Dr., Campbell CA 95008	YES				
145	James Hart, PO Box 110266, Campbell CA 95011		Yes, however, delivered to address above			
146	James L. Hoff, 606 Dakota St. North, Elgin ND 58533		YES			
147	James L. Hoff, Route 1, Leith ND 58551		YES	PO Box 74, Carson, ND 58529	**	**
148	James Walby and Mary Ann Walby, 502 2nd St. SW, Bowman ND 58623	YES				
149	Jane Hoff Hotz, 1407 First Ave. NE, Beulah ND 58523		YES	1184 59TH AVE SW, BEULAH, ND 58523-9570	YES	
150	Jane Hoff Hotz, 1407 First Avenue NE, Beulah ND 58523		YES			
151	Jane Hoff Hutz, 1407 First Avenue NE, Beulah ND 58523		YES	1184 59TH AVE SW, BEULAH, ND 58523-9570	YES	
152	Jane Will, 1222 Richmond Dr., Bismarck ND 50538	YES				
153	Janice Faye Wahlers, 44628 308th Street, Mission Hill SD 57046	YES				
154	Jason R. Tormaschy & Hannah Tormaschy, P.O. Box 11, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
155	Jason R. Tormaschy, and Hannah Tormaschy, 8749 Hwy 10, Richardton, ND 58652	YES				



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
156	Jason Walby, 2403 Benders Place, Mandan ND 58554	**	**			
157	Jeanne Betlaf, 8075 Haas Lane, Blackhawk SD 57718		YES	Jeanne (Jean) Ann Pechtl F/K/A Jeanne Betlaf, 409 Tamarack Dr., Rapid City, SD 57701-7676	YES	
158	Jeffrey R. Hoff, 3960 87th Ave. SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
159	Jennifer Anne Hischer, 445 31st Ave. E, West Fargo ND 58078-8301		YES	970 Albert Dr W, West Fargo, ND 58078	**	**
160	Jennifer Anne Hischer, 445 31st Ave. East, West Fargo ND 58078	n/a - received above				
161	Jerilyn L. Haberstroh, 6608 80th Ave. SW, Mott ND 58646	**	**			
162	Jerome Erdle, 21051 Gresham Street; Apt 201, Canoga Park CA 91304	YES				
163	Joann Hoselton, 13877 145th St. SW, Red Lake Falls MN 56750	YES				
164	Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff, 3729 86th Ave. SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				

## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
165	Joe Messmer, 4478 Essex St. SE, Salem OR 97301		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
166	Joel and Linda Zimmerman, Trustees of the Zimmerman Living Trust, 44236 N 12th St., New River AZ 85087			18051 N 49th Dr., Glendale, AZ 85308		
167			YES	14602 N Shiprock Dr., Sun City, AZ 85351	YES	
168	Joel Hoff, 1141 Clark, Billings MT 58501		YES			
169	Joel Hoff, 712 Kirkland Circle #A303, Kirkland WA 98033	**	**			
170	John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co- Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015, 1307 North 18th St., Bismarck ND 58501		YES	5582 BISHOPS BLVD S, FARGO, ND 58104-7251	YES	
171	John Gress, 3140 Hwy 8, Richardton ND 58652		YES	sent to Francis Gress, c/o John Gress Family Trust, and Gerald Gress, c/o John Gress Family Trust - certified receipt received for each	YES	
172	John J. Zacher, 2221 Merlot Cr., Fort Collins CO 80528	YES				
173	Jolene F. Gress, 746 8th Ave. SW, Dickinson ND 58601	**	**			
174	Joy Beth Mische, 1335 State Highway 30, Pipestone MN 56164	YES				

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
175	Joyce Kastner, 1802 W. 37th, Loveland CO 80537		YES	sent to 4720 Ignacio Ave., Loveland, CO 80538-6842 per search by RKM, did not realize duplicate was sent to this address previously (see below)		YES
176	Joyce Kastner, 4720 Ignacio Ave., Loveland CO 80118		YES	(see above)		
177	JRH Enterprises, 3960 87th Ave. SW, Richardton ND 58625	YES				
178	Juanita Baesler, 409 Ashbrook Ln, Russellville AR 72802	YES				
179	Juanita Baesler, 509 Scenic Drive, Ville Platte LA 70586		Yes, however, delivered to address above			
180	Judith Lee Dinyer, 221 East Owens Avenue, Bismarck ND 58501		YES - deceased per RKM			
181	Judith Lee Dinyer, 318 Bluffview Dr., Brownwood TX 76801		YES - deceased per RKM			
182	Kaire Bosch, 3170 121st Ave. SW, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
183	Karen Elstoen, 505 Halyard Drive, Allen TX 75013	**	**			
184	Karen L. Messmer, 1990 Mesquite Lp, Bismarck ND 58503	YES				
185	Karen Messmer, 8860 39th St. SW, Richardton ND 58652		YES	1990 Mesquite Loop, Bismarck, ND 58503-0198	YES	
186	Karen Messmer, as Trustee of T K Messmer Mineral Trust, 1990 Mesquite Loop, Bismarck ND 58503	YES				



(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
187	Katelyn Elaine Hart, 629 North 18th St., San Jose CA 95112	**	**			
188	Kathleen A. Porubensky, 6305 Mountain Meadow Dr., Blackhawk SD 57718	YES				
189	Kathleen Heimbuch, 9748 122nd Avenue SE, Cogswell ND 58017	YES				
190	Kathleen Mangan, 3053 North 19th Street, Bismarck ND 58501	YES				
191	Kathleen McVay, 14530 Westchester Dr., Colorado Springs CO 80921	YES				
192	Kathryn Dorgan, 1121 West Highland Acres Rd., Bismarck ND 58501	YES				
193	Kathryn Geck, 1121 West Highland Acres Rd., Bismarck MD 58501	YES				
194	Kathy L Hoyt, as Trustee of the , Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust, 1031 Fir Ave., Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
195	Kathy L. Hoyt, as Trustee of the, Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust, dated August 10, 2011, 1013 Fir Ave., Dickinson ND 58601		YES	N/A - RECEIVED AT DUPLICATE MAILING CONTAINING IDENTICAL ADDRESS (only distinction is other address didn't include "dated August 10, 2011") SEE ABOVE.	ALSO REC'D GREEN CARD FROM UPDATED ADDRESS OF 3777 Molon Labe Pl, Mandan, ND 58554-7847	
196	Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva, 1252 First Street West, Dickinson ND 58601		Yes, however, received at address below			
197	Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva, 2718 North 153rd Dr., Goodyear AZ 85395	YES				

## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
198	Kenneth E. Moore, 8465 39th Street SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
199	Kenneth Hoff, 6165 Paisley Drive North, Olmstead OH 44070		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
200	Kenneth Moore, and Monica Moore, Box 56, Taylor, ND 58656		YES	8465 39TH ST SW, RICHARDTON, ND 58652-9408	YES	
201	Kenneth Moore, Box 56, Taylor ND 58656		Yes, however, received at address above			
202	Kent Mischel, 5411 Trace Bd, Bryan TX 77807	**	**			
203	Kevin Frederick, 1325 27th St. SE #900, Minot ND 58701		YES	8455 Highway 10 E, Richardton, ND 58652	YES	
204	Kim Glasser, 1228 Richmond Dr., Bismarck ND 58504	YES				
205	Larry Meyer, 252 7th Ln SW, Fairfield MT 59436	YES				
206	Lee Ann Hoff, 71A Appleton, Boston MA 2116		Yes, however, received at address below			
207	Lee Ann Hoff, 78 Stratford St., West Roxbury MA 2132	YES				
208	Lee Gress, 941 N.E. 113 Avenue, Portland OR 97200		YES	[request made to RKM for current address]	**	**
209	Lee R. Hoff, 2618 South Willow Wood, Mesa AZ 85209		Yes, however, received at address below			
210	Lee R. Hoff, Box 143, Leith ND 58551	YES				



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
211	Leland Baesler, PO Box 80751, San Diego CA 92138	YES				
212	Lenard Luithle &, Mary Ann Luithle, PO Box 100, Richardton, ND 58652	YES				
213	Leonard Hueske, PO Box 311, Richardton, ND 58652	YES				
214	Leroy A. Rixen, Jr., 37 - 29th Ave. SW, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
215	LeRoy A. Rixen, Jr., RR 1, Box 60, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
216	Linda M. Reisenauer, PO Box 116, New England ND 58647	YES				
217	Linda M. Reisenauer, Rt. 2, Box 87, New England ND 58647	YES				
218	Linus Messmer, 4121 Markins Dr., Corpus Christi TX 78411		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
219	Lori Linder, 613 Rose Ave., Wheatland CA 95692	YES				
220	Lorraine Thompson, 5990 Tanforan Ct., Fair Oaks CA 95628-2634	**	**			
221	Luann Woeste, 1014 1st Ave. NW, Hazen ND 58545	YES				
222	Lucas Hoff, 8969 31st St. SW, Richardton ND 58625	YES				
223	Lucas Hoff, 8969 31st Street SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
224	Lucille Trotman, 2701 Berkshire Drive, Bismarck ND 58503	YES				
225	Lucille Wendt, PO Box 788, Medical Lake WA 99022	YES				



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
226	Lynn M. Groh, 16147 Harvard Ln., Lakeville MN 55044	YES				
227	Madalyn Jacqueline Hart, 629 North 18th St., San Jose CA 95112	**	**			
228	MAP2006-OK, 101 N. Robinson, Suite 100, Oklahoma City OK 73102	YES				
229	Marie Hoff, 4262 Shaw, Apt #1 East, St. Louis MO 63100		YES	911 N Mandan St., Bismarck ND 58501-3507	YES	
230	Marie Wehri, 17 South Merriam Ave., Miles City MT 59301	YES				
231	Marilyn Marx, 3129 Lakeview Dr., Dickinson ND 58601		YES			
232	Mark Stockie, 5009 West Rosewood Avenue, Glendale AZ 85304		YES	795 Montview Way, Springfield, OR 97477-3679	YES	
233	Mary Moorer, 192 HWY 200 South, Glendive MT 59330	YES				
234	Mary Teresa Palm Miller, 11272 SE 64th Avenue, Milwaukee OR 97222	YES				
235	Melodie Joy Alt, 7015 County Road 4, Grafton ND 58237	YES				
236	Messmer Farms LLP, 10844 E Queensborough Ave, Mesa AZ 85212	YES				
237	Michael Palm, 6627 SE Mabel Avenue, Milwaukee OR 97267		YES	3200 SE SILVERLEAF LN UNIT 9, PORTLAND, OR 97267-2815		YES
238	Michelle L. Kuhn, 1201 Prairie View Dr., Bismarck ND 58501	YES				
239	Mitch Erdle, 8160 35th St., Hebron ND 58638		YES	3475 83RD AVE, HEBRON, ND 58638-9620	YES	
240	Nancy Bishop, 22860 Sky Street, Rapid City SD 57703	YES				

## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
241	Nancy Schmidt, 533 South 17th Street, Bismarck ND 58504	YES				
242	Naomi Elkins, 131 Boise, Bismarck ND 58501		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
243	Neal C. and Bonnie M. , Messer Farm Properties LLLP, 10339 Hwy 10, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
244	Pamela Meissner, 650 52-1/2 Avenue SW, #12, Hazen ND 58545	YES				
245	Patricia M. Meyer, 1902 East Beck Lane, Phoenix AZ 85022-3341	YES				
246	Patrick M. Carroll and Bonnie M. Carroll, P.O. Box 126, Taylor ND 58656		YES	306 2ND AVE SW, DICKINSON, ND 58601-5715		Yes, however, Patrick Carroll served at address below
247	Patrick M. Carroll, 306 2nd Ave. SW, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
248	Patty Bosch, 2013 Hewitt Dr., Billings MT 59102	YES				
249	Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust, dated 01/04/1982, Box 371, Richardton ND 58652		YES - Eleanor deceased per obit from RKM	(obit for Eleanor shows John, her husband, preceding her in death)		
250	Paul Robert Helten, 3147 Morgan Circle, Bismarck ND 58503-0154	**	**			
251	Peggy A. Rummel, 7735 Highway 9 SE, Carrington ND 58421		YES	1900 Main St, Carrington, ND 58421-8616	**	**
252				6611 4TH ST NE, Carrington, ND 58421-8916		YES



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
253	Phillip Messer, Jr., and Betty Messer, 8510 52nd St SW, Richardton, ND 58652	YES				
254	R.A. Couse and Darlene Couse, Trustees of the Robert and Darlene Couse Trust, 493 Avenida Dr., Arroyo Grande CA 93420	YES				
255	Randy Barth, 581 Cottonwood Loop, Bismarck ND 58504	YES				
256	Randy Mischel, 7410 Keystone Dr., Bismarck ND 58503		YES	P.O. Box 3252, Dickinson, ND 58602		YES
257				232 Telstar Dr., Bismarck, ND 5850	YES	
258	Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust, dated 06/29/2012, 340 North Avenue East, Missoula MT 59801	YES				
259	Red Trail Energy, LLC, 306 2nd Ave. SW, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
260	Red Trail Energy, LLC, PO Box 11, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
261	Regina Pfeifer, 1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1, Bismarck ND 58501		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
262	Regina Pfeifer, 708 8th Ave. NW, Mandan ND 58554		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
263	Regina V. Messmer, 145 Wilson St., Bordulac ND 58421		YES	310 9th Ave SE, Devils Lake, ND 58301	YES	
264	Richard A. Zacher, 105 Buckboard Ct., Custer SD 57730	YES				



(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
265	Richard L. Hauck and , Linda Hauck, 8559 Hwy 10 East, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
266	Rita Schaefer, 5415 North 179 Drive, Litchfield Park AZ 85340	**	**			
267	Robert Bosch, 7032 57th Dr. NE, Marysville WA 98270	YES				
268	Robert D. Barth, P.O Box 270, Dickinson ND 58562		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
269	Robert Hoff, PO Box 5063, Nikolaevsk AK 99556	YES				
270	Rocky Mountain Exploration, Inc., 5441 Preserve Parkway S., Greenwood Village CO 80121	YES				
271	Rose Mary Hoff, 21138 Saddleback Circle, Parker CO 80138		YES	[request made to RKM for current address]	**	**
272	Rose Mary Hoff, 7939 Pecos, Denver CO 80221		YES	[request made to RKM for current address]	**	**
273	Rose Schnell, 7536 SE 141st Ave., Portland OR 97236		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
274	ROUGH RIDER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., PO Box 1038, Dickinson, ND 58602	YES				
275	Russell James Messmer, as Trustee, of the f E. Messmer , Family Mineral Trust, 10695 Annette Ct., Portland OR 97229- 8801	YES				
276	Samantha Michelle Hart, 629 North 18th St., San Jose CA 95112	**	**			

## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
277	Sarah Jane Wolf, 1780 NW 7th Pl, Gresham OR 97030		YES - deceased per obit from RKM	Per RKM - these are the same person		
278	Sarah Surry, 1780 NW 7th Pl, Gresham OR 97030		YES - deceased per obit from RKM			
279	Scott Walby, P.O. Box 109, Bowman ND 58623	YES				
280	SFER Properties - A, Inc., 1616 South Voss; Suite 1000, Houston TX 77057		YES	1616 S Voss Road, Suite 1000, Houston TX 77057		YES
281	Sharon Schaefer, 12012 NW 35th Ave., Vancouver WA 98685		YES	a/k/a Sharon Hoff Schaefer, 1801 NW 92ND St., Vancouver, WA 98665-6627	YES	
282	Sheldon Fisher, 8330 39th St SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
283	Somerset Development, Inc., 15660 North Dallas Parkway, , Suite 700, Dallas TX 75248		YES	1412 Main St., Ste. 2400, Dallas TX 75202-4011	YES	
284	St. John's Lutheran Church, P.O. Box 126, Taylor ND 58656		YES	SEE BELOW		
285	St. John's Lutheran Church, Rt. 1, Box 41, Sentinel Butte ND 58654		YES	146 6th AVE W, Dickinson, ND 58601	YES	
286				120 Elliott Street, Sentinel Butte, ND 58654		YES
287				387 S Central Ave., Beach, ND 58621		
288	State of North Dakota, 1707 N. 9th St., Bismarck ND 58501	YES				
289	State of North Dakota, 608 East Boulevard Avenue, Bismarck ND 58505-0700	n/a - received above				



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
290	State Treasurer, as Trustee for the State of North Dakota, 1707 North 9th St., Bismarck ND 58501	YES				
291	Steve Meyer, 205 7th Ave. NW, Watford City ND	YES				
292	Teresa Hoff, 128 West Denver Drive, Bismarck ND 58501		YES	1220 Imperial Dr., Bismarck, ND 58504-7510	YES	
293	Terry Messmer, 220 Buckingham Dr, Providence UT 84332-9669	YES				
294	The Pfanenstiel Company, LLC, PO Box 12928, Oklahoma City OK 73157	YES				
295	Theodore Hoff, 3380 Penwell Bridge Rd., Belgrade MT 59714		YES	4892 E Shoreline Dr. Post Falls, ID 83854-6854	**	**
296	Theodore Hoff, Box 7268, Bozeman MT 49102		YES			
297	Tim Erdle, 16901 Northridge Ave. North, Marine On St. Croix MN 55047	YES				
298	Timothy Messmer, 1245 Holly St., Denver CO 80220		YES	1245 S Holly Street, Denver, CO 80246-3234	YES	
299	Timothy R. Geck, 4560 Lake Ave., Saint Paul MN 55110	YES				
300	Todd Walby, P.O. Box 784, Bowman ND 58623	YES				
301	Tom Schnell, 1437 South Washington Ave, Royal Oaks MI 48067	**	**			
302	Tracker Resources , Development II, LLC, 1050 17th St., Suite 975, Denver CO 80265		YES	1001 17th St. Suite 1000, Denver CO 80202	**	**



## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
303	Tracy John Rixen and Debbie Ann Rixen, 8429 44th ST. SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
304	Tristan Hoff, 1 Michele Ln, Kennebunk ME 4043		YES	426 Road 261, Glendive, MT 59330-9534	YES	
305	Tristan Hoff, P.O. Box 10947, Jackson WY 83002	YES				
306	United States of America Bureau of Land Management, 5001 Southgate Drive, Billings MT 59101	YES				
307	United States of America, 306 2nd Ave. SW, Dickinson ND 58601	YES				
308	Vernon J. Tormaschy and , Kathleen M. Tormaschy, 3549 86th Ave. SW, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
309	Victor Gress, 3250 S.E. Hillyard Road, Gresham OR 97030		YES	(sent to RKM for search for updated address on 8/9/21)	**	**
310	Victor Gress, 488 NW 6th Ave., Apt. 12, Gresham OR 97013		YES	488 NW 6th Ave., Apt. 12, Canby, OR 97013-3538	**	**
311	Victor Messmer and Clara Messmer, 3515 N 19th St., Apt. 4, Bismarck ND 58501		YES	704 E ASH AVE APT 211, GLEN ULLIN, ND 58631-7127	YES	
312	Victoria Jessop, P.O. Box 265, Mott ND 58646		YES	PO BOX 1802, EUNICE, NM 88231-1802	YES	
313	Wayne M. Rixen, 1301 4th St. NE, Jamestown ND 58401	YES				
314	Wayne M. Rixen, 3421 East Acoma Dr., Phoenix AZ 85032-5165		Yes, however, received at address above			
315	Wayne Pechtl, 3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13, Bismarck ND 58503	YES				

## RED TRAIL

## LIST OF PARTIES SERVED

CASE 28848

(\*\* = certified receipt not yet returned)

	ORIGINAL ADDRESS	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE	UPDATED ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE)	CERTIFIED RECEIPT RECEIVED FROM UPDATED ADDRESS	ENVELOPE RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE FROM UPDATED ADDRESS
316	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 101 North Phillips Avenue, Sioux Falls SD 57104	YES				
317	William Hoff, 8547 Hwy 10 East, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
318	William J. Jones, Earl E. Hart and Denise, M. Drye, Co-Trustees of the Residual, Trust under the Jones Family Living, Trust Dated January 14, 1992, 1507 Shaw Drive, San Jose CA 95118	**	**			
319	William R. Messmer and Jennifer Lynne Messmer, 11303 Halma Lane, Woodstock IL 60098	**	**			
320	William Robinson, Christian Colony, Ripon WI		YES	552 E Jackson St., Ripon, WI 54971		YES
321	William S. Hoff & Doris Hoff, 8547 HWY 10 E, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
322	William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff, Box 204, Richardton ND 58652	YES				
323	Williams Mineral Investments, LLC, 1042 Morningside Court, Casselton ND 58012		YES	c/o JAMES L WILLIAMS III, 1235 Morningside Dr., Casselton, ND 58012-3713	**	**
324	Youngblood LTD, 3826 N. Versailles Avenue, Dallas, TX 75209		YES	c/o Penny L. Youngblood, 2488 Fairview Rd., Millsap, TX 76066 USA	**	**



Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification (continued)

Owner, Lessee, or Operator Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Kevin Frederick	1325 27th St. SE #900	Minot	ND	58701	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: 18.3-acre Tract in NW4SW4
Kenneth Moore	Box 56	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: East 40 acres of SW4
Craig S. Fisher	8330 39th St SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: N2 lying north of Northern Pacific Railway ROW
Sheldon Fisher	8330 39th St SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: N2 lying south of Northern Pacific Railway ROW and S2 less tracts
Dwight F. Schank	3840 91st Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: All
Karen L. Messmer	1990 Mesquite Lp	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: All
Karen L. Messmer	1990 Mesquite Lp	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2
Gerald L. Hoff and JoAnn Hoselton	422 1st Ave. West	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4
Jeffrey R. Hoff	3960 87th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: E2
Messmer Farms LLP	10844 East Queensborough Ave.	Mesa	AZ	85212	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NW4
Lori Linder	613 Rose Ave.	Wheatland	CA	95692	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: E2NW4 and W2NW4

Continued . . .



Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
James Walby and Mary Ann Walby	502 2nd St. SW	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
William R. Messmer and Jennifer Lynne Messmer	11303 Halma Ln	Woodstock	IL	60098	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Jennifer Anne Hischer	445 31st Ave. East	West Fargo	ND	58078	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Paul Robert Helten	3147 Morgan Circle	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Gerald T. Rixen	PO Box 9583	Fargo	ND	58109	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Patricia M. Meyer	1902 East Beck Ln	Phoenix	AZ	85022- 3341	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Linda M. Reisenauer	PO Box 116	New England	ND	58647	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Dennis J. Rixen	508 5th St. NE	Jamestown	ND	58401	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Leroy A. Rixen, Jr.	37 - 29th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Wayne M. Rixen	1301 4th St. NE	Jamestown	ND	58401	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Bonnie J. Saetz	3030 115th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Dennis Mischel	Box 6	Horace	ND	58049	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: E2NE4
Lori Linder	613 Rose Ave.	Wheatland	CA	95692	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: E2NW4

Continued . . .

**SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION**

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that **we** can return the card to you.
- Attach this **card** to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front **if** space permits.

Lori Linder  
613 Rose Ave.  
Wheatland CA 95692



9590 9402 3577 7305 1453 71

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7017 3380 0001 1332 3815

**COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY**

A. Signature

X *Lori Linder*

☐ Agent

☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature              | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery     | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery      | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Restricted Delivery                     |   |

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Deana Wiese <dwiese@clearwatercommunications.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 11, 2021 3:39 PM  
**To:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Cc:** Vettleson, Heidi; Dustin Willett; Connors, Kevin; Leroux, Kerryanne  
**Subject:** Red Trail Energy Letter of Support  
**Attachments:** RTE.NDEC.LOS.8.21.pdf

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Dear Ms. Kadrmass,

Attached please find a letter of support from the North Dakota Ethanol Council for Red Trail Energy's Class VI permit.

Please confirm the receipt of this letter.

Thanks.

Deana

---

**Deana Wiese**, Executive Director  
ND Ethanol Council  
Bismarck, ND  
701-355-4458  
701-400-5494 (cell)  
office@ndethanol.org



August 11, 2021

Bethany Kadrmas  
North Dakota Oil and Gas Division  
1016 East Calgary Avenue  
Bismarck, ND 58503-5512

Ms. Kadrmas:

Subject: Support for Red Trail Energy, LLC (RTE) North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Facility Permit

The North Dakota Ethanol Council (NDEC) is pleased to provide this letter of support for RTE's application for geologic injection and storage of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) generated during the production of ethanol in North Dakota – North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) Case Numbers 28848-28850. An approved permit would offer a route to expanded opportunities for the state's renewable energy industries.

Ethanol is an important piece of the state's energy production for multiple reasons. Not only do the five North Dakota ethanol plants have the capacity to produce 520 million gallons of ethanol for use as fuel but, in addition, the ethanol industry is a large contributor to the state's economy. The ethanol industry contributes \$623 million annually to the state's economy and an additional \$11 million in state and local tax revenues each year. Our state continues to investigate long-term strategies that incorporate all energy resources—traditional and emerging—to meet the nation's growing energy demand in an environmentally responsible manner, and this project will further that aim.

NDEC looks forward to engaging with RTE to generate a working blueprint that bolsters low-carbon energy production in North Dakota. We are pleased to support the exciting opportunities that an approved permit will bring to both the state of North Dakota and the nation in resolving our energy challenges.

Sincerely,



Deana Wiese  
Executive Director

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Jon Costantino <jon@tradesmanadvisors.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 9, 2021 3:27 PM  
**To:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Subject:** Support Letter for Red Trail Energy, LLC  
**Attachments:** RTE support letter.pdf

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Bethany,  
On behalf of RPMG, please find attached a SUPPORT letter for Red Trail Energy, LLC's sequestration permit application currently in front of the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC)—Case Numbers 28848-28850.

Please let me know if you have any questions, or there is a technical issue.

Thank You,  
Jon

Jon Costantino  
Principal  
Tradesman Advisors Inc.  
10556 Combie Rd, Suite 6127  
Auburn, Ca 95602  
916-716-3455  
[jon@tradesmanadvisors.com](mailto:jon@tradesmanadvisors.com)  
[www.tradesmanadvisors.com](http://www.tradesmanadvisors.com)



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply email and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them to disk. Thank you.



1157 Valley Park Drive, Ste. 100  
Shakopee, MN 55379

August 11, 2021

Via Electronic Submittal: [brkadrmas@nd.gov](mailto:brkadrmas@nd.gov)

Bethany Kadrmas  
North Dakota Oil and Gas Division  
1016 East Calgary Avenue  
Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512

**RE: Support for Redtrail Energy, LLC's Geologic Storage of Carbon Dioxide Permit Application**

Ms. Kadrmas:


RPMG Inc. (RPMG) appreciates the opportunity to submit a **SUPPORT** position on the Redtrail Energy (RTE) sequestration permit application currently in front of the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC)—Case Numbers 28848-28850.

RPMG has worked with RTE and the State of California on this project for more than five years. Throughout that time, much has been accomplished in moving toward a safe and reliable carbon dioxide sequestration system that meets the very stringent requirements of both the NDIC and the California Air Resources Board, or CARB.

RTE has secured a design-based pathway from CARB which highlights the lower carbon intensity (CI) of their renewable fuel using Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS).<sup>1</sup> The pathway was the first of its kind issued by the State of California and sets the stage for RTE to deliver the low CI corn-based ethanol for years to come. This investment in North Dakota will produce environmental and economic benefits lasting well into the next decade for the local economy.

RPMG is a biofuel marketing company representing our owner and marketing partner ethanol facilities located throughout the Midwest, including RTE. Their dedication to this project has been a model for others to follow as the low carbon fuel policies of the West are contemplated around the country.

RPMG would like to again thank staff for the opportunity to support this endeavor.

Sincerely,  
  
Doug Punke  
CEO  
RPMG Inc.

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/fuelpathways/comments/tier2/d0005\\_summary.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/fuelpathways/comments/tier2/d0005_summary.pdf)



## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Entzi-Odden, Lyn <lodden@fredlaw.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 9, 2021 11:51 AM  
**To:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Cc:** Fried, Stephen J.; Bender, Lawrence  
**Subject:** Red Trail Case 28848 filing  
**Attachments:** 28848 filing.pdf; 28848 Affidavits of Service.pdf

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Bethany,

Please see the attached for filing.

Thank you.



*\*\*This is a transmission from the law firm of Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately at our telephone number (701) 221-8700. The name and biographical data provided above are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be a signature or other indication of an intent by the sender to authenticate the contents of this electronic message.\*\**

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )

Kim Nagel, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:

**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kim Nagel

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff  
3729 86th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
2461 81st Ave. SW  
Hebron ND 58638

Vernon J. Tormaschy and  
Kathleen M. Tormaschy  
3549 86th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Karen Messmer  
8860 39th St. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Neal C. and Bonnie M.  
Messer Farm Properties LLLP  
10339 Hwy 10  
Dickinson ND 58601

Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
8601 Hwy 10 E  
Richardton ND 58652

Joann Hoselton  
13877 145th St. SW  
Red Lake Falls MN 56750

Barbara Hoff  
3752 Hwy 8 S  
Richardton ND 58652

William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff  
Box 204  
Richardton ND 58652

Richard L. Hauck and  
Linda Hauck  
8559 Hwy 10 East  
Richardton ND 58652

Craig S. Fisher  
8330 39th St. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Kevin Frederick  
1325 27th St. SE #900  
Minot ND 58701

Kenneth Moore  
Box 56  
Taylor ND 58656

Sheldon Fisher  
8330 39th St SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Dwight F. Schank  
3840 91st Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Karen L. Messmer  
1990 Mesquite Lp  
Bismarck ND 58503

Gerald L. Hoff and JoAnn Hoselton  
422 1st Ave. West  
Richardton ND 58652

Jeffrey R. Hoff  
3960 87th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Messmer Farms LLP  
10844 E Queensborough Ave  
Mesa AZ 85212

Lori Linder  
613 Rose Ave.  
Wheatland CA 95692

Randy Mischel  
7410 Keystone Dr.  
Bismarck ND 58503

Gary Mischel  
1036 SE 6th St.  
Cape Coral FL 33990

Dalton Rixen  
201 Linden Ave.  
Taylor ND 58656

Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
3713 36th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Kent Mischel  
5411 Trace Bd  
Bryan TX 77807

Althea Prible  
12015 SW Rose Vista Dr.  
Portland OR 97223

Rose Schnell  
7536 SE 141st Ave.  
Portland OR 97236

EXHIBIT A



Aloys Gress  
7526 East Maple Ave.  
Vancouver WA 98664

Anton Gress  
941 NE 113 Ave.  
Portland OR 97200

Anton Gress  
836 S Curry St. Unit 304  
Portland OR 97239

George Gress  
10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6  
Yuma AZ 85365

John Gress  
3140 Hwy 8  
Richardton ND 58652

Gerald Gress  
3112 La Tierra Dr.  
Roswell NM 88201

Gerald Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John  
Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992  
3112 La Tierra Dr.  
Rosewell NM 88201

Francis Gress  
825 Elm Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Francis Gress, as Co-Trustee of the  
John Gress Family Trust  
Dated May 6, 1992  
825 Elm Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Victor Gress  
488 NW 6th Ave., Apt. 12  
Gresham OR 97013

Donald Roy Gress  
12881 NW Bayonne Lane  
Portland OR 97229

Charles F. Gress  
483 SW Pemberly Loop  
McMinnville OR 97128

Eleanor Gaman  
7526 East Maple Ave  
Vancouver WA 98664

Kathleen McVay  
14530 Westchester Dr.  
Colorado Springs CO 80921

Curtis Hoff  
4817 Cheyenne Dr.  
Larkspur CO 80921

Joyce Kastner  
4720 Ignacio Ave.  
Loveland CO 80118

Jane Will  
1222 Richmond Dr.  
Bismarck ND 50538

Joel Hoff  
1141 Clark  
Billings MT 58501

Theodore Hoff  
Box 7268  
Bozeman MT 49102

Emily Knopik  
903 13th St. West  
Billings MT 49771

Regina Pfeifer  
1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1  
Bismarck ND 58501

Rose Mary Hoff  
21138 Saddleback Circle  
Parker CO 80138

Sarah Jane Wolf  
1780 NW 7th Pl  
Gresham OR 97030

Sarah Surry  
1780 NW 7th Pl  
Gresham OR 97030

Ann Geck  
716 East Turnpike Ave.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Ann Kilzer  
716 E. Turnpike Ave.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Timothy R. Geck  
4560 Lake Ave.  
Saint Paul MN 55110

Kathryn Geck  
1121 West Highland Acres Rd.  
Bismarck MD 58501

Kathryn Dorgan  
1121 West Highland Acres Rd.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Clemens Geck  
668 Knollwood Drive  
Woodland CA 95695

Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees  
of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust,  
dated 01/04/1982  
Box 371  
Richardton ND 58652

James L. Hoff  
606 Dakota St. North  
Elgin ND 58533

Lee Ann Hoff  
78 Stratford St.  
West Roxbury MA 2132

Kenneth Hoff  
6165 Paisley Drive North  
Olmstead OH 44070

Marie Hoff  
4262 Shaw, Apt #1 East  
St. Louis MO 63100

Lee R. Hoff  
2618 South Willow Wood  
Mesa AZ 85209

Bernadine Hoff  
7202 Lake Shore Road  
Derby NY 14047

Judith Lee Dinyer  
318 Bluffview Dr.  
Brownwood TX 76801

Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff  
Family Revocable Trust, dated  
06/29/2012  
340 North Avenue East  
Missoula MT 59801

Carolyn Jurgens  
PO Box 204  
Taylor ND 58656

Robert Bosch  
7032 57th Dr. NE  
Marysville WA 98270

Patty Bosch  
2013 Hewitt Dr.  
Billings MT 59102

Kaire Bosch  
3170 121st Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Marilyn Marx  
3129 Lakeview Dr.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Gladys Schwehr  
1716 West 40th Ave.  
Kennewick WA 99337

Dwight Hauck  
41625 228th Ave. SE  
Enumclaw WA 98022-9079

Glenn Hauck  
947 – 24th St. West  
Dickinson ND 58601

David Hauck  
2233 Hwy 8  
Richardton ND 58652

Bryan Hauck  
PO Box 154  
Smoot WY 83126

Alvin Hoff  
426 Rd 261  
Glendive MT 59330

Donna Stockie  
795 Montview Way  
Springfield OR 97477

Juanita Baesler  
409 Ashbrook Ln  
Russellville AR 72802

Juanita Baesler  
509 Scenic Drive  
Ville Platte LA 70586

Robert Hoff  
PO Box 5063  
Nikolaevsk AK 99556

Harold Hoff  
733 Chaffee Row  
Beulah ND 58523

Faye Stockie King  
2117 Debra Dr.  
Springfield OR 97477

Faye Stockie King  
1043 Cinnamon Avenue  
Eugene OR 97404

Guy Stockie  
5720 125th St. SE  
Snohomish WA 98296

James Baesler  
4018 Maple Dr. 5009  
Chesapeake VA 23321

Mark Stockie  
5009 West Rosewood Avenue  
Glendale AZ 85304

Audrey Baesler Gund  
852 Cliff Rd  
Russellville AR 72801

Audrey Baesler Gund  
852 Cliff Road  
Russellville AR 72801

Leland Baesler  
PO Box 80751  
San Diego CA 92138

Earl E. Hart III  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Heather Moff  
2702 North 191st Ave.  
Buckeye AZ 85326

James Hart  
PO Box 110266  
Campbell CA 95011

James E. Hart  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva  
2718 North 153rd Dr.  
Goodyear AZ 85395

Tristan Hoff  
1 Michele Ln  
Kennebunk ME 4043

Daniel Hoff  
12040 SW Fairfield St.  
Beaverton OR 97005

Jane Hoff Hutz  
1407 First Avenue NE  
Beulah ND 58523

Edward Wehri  
2639 Camino Lenada  
Oakland CA 94611

Katelyn Elaine Hart  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Samantha Michelle Hart  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Madalyn Jacqueline Hart  
629 North 18th St.  
San Jose CA 95112

Ann Clara Hart  
178 Echo Ave.  
Campbell CA 95008

State Treasurer, as Trustee for the  
State of North Dakota  
1707 North 9th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Robert D. Barth  
PO Box 270  
Dickinson ND 58562

Lorraine Thompson  
5990 Tanforan Ct.  
Fair Oaks CA 95628-2634

Lucille Wendt  
PO Box 788  
Medical Lake WA 99022

Delnita Messer  
3052 Lakeview Dr.  
Dickinson ND 58601



Kim Glasser  
1228 Richmond Dr.  
Bismarck ND 58504

Randy Barth  
581 Cottonwood Loop  
Bismarck ND 58504

Larry Meyer  
252 7th Ln SW  
Fairfield MT 59436

Steve Meyer  
205 7th Ave. NW  
Watford City ND

Nancy Bishop  
22860 Sky Street  
Rapid City SD 57703

Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as  
Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth  
Trust Dated January 13, 2015  
1900 West Camino Granada  
Yuma AZ 85364

John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co-  
Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family  
Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015  
1307 North 18th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Luann Woeste  
1014 1st Ave. NW  
Hazen ND 58545

Pamela Meissner  
650 52-1/2 Avenue SW, #12  
Hazen ND 58545

Alicia Holum  
5512 64th Ave. NW  
Gig Harbor WA

Kathleen Mangan  
3053 North 19th Street  
Bismarck ND 58501

Cynthia Martin  
5110 99th Ave. SW  
Lefor ND 58641

Wayne Pechtl  
3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13  
Bismarck ND 58503

Jeanne Betlaf  
8075 Haas Lane  
Blackhawk SD 57718

AgriBank, FCB  
30 East 7th St. Suite 1600  
St. Paul MN 55101

Regina V. Messmer  
145 Wilson St.  
Bordulac ND 58421

Amalia Amann  
North 1818 Cook St.  
Spokane WA 99207

Joe Messmer  
4478 Essex St. SE  
Salem OR 97301

Beatrice Zimmerman  
620 112th St. SE #316  
Everett WA 98208

Ida Stergios  
4043 Lucille Ave. SE  
Salem OR 97302

Anna Grasseth  
3016 Oak Crest Dr. NW  
Salem OR 97306

Francis Messmer  
4825 Yellowstone Court NE  
Salem OR 97301

Linus Messmer  
4121 Markins Dr.  
Corpus Christi TX 78411

Albert Messmer  
Rt. 3, Box 16  
Mott ND 58646

Kathy L. Hoyt, as Trustee of the  
Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust  
dated August 10, 2011  
1013 Fir Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Donald J. Blatz and Venita F. Blatz,  
Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust,  
under Trust Agreement dated June 27, 1995  
7718 Mustang Lane  
Lina Lakes MN 55014

Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J.  
Messmer Living Trust  
PO Box 13  
Bowman ND 58623

Victor Messmer and Clara Messmer  
3515 N 19th St., Apt. 4  
Bismarck ND 58501

Karen Messmer, as Trustee of T K  
Messmer Mineral Trust  
1990 Mesquite Loop  
Bismarck ND 58503

James Walby and Mary Ann Walby  
502 2nd St. SW  
Bowman ND 58623

William R. Messmer and Jennifer  
Lynne Messmer  
11303 Halma Lane  
Woodstock IL 60098

Jennifer Anne Hischer  
445 31st Ave. East  
West Fargo ND 58078

Paul Robert Helten  
3147 Morgan Circle  
Bismarck ND 58503-0154

Gerald T. Rixen  
PO Box 9583  
Fargo ND 58109

Patricia M. Meyer  
1902 East Beck Lane  
Phoenix AZ 85022-3341

Linda M. Reisenauer  
PO Box 116  
New England ND 58647

Dennis J. Rixen  
508 5th St. NE  
Jamestown ND 58401

Leroy A. Rixen, Jr.  
37 - 29th Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Wayne M. Rixen  
1301 4th St. NE  
Jamestown ND 58401

Bonnie J. Saetz  
3030 115th Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Dennis Mischel  
Box 6  
Horace ND 58049

Donald Mischel  
608 Lynn Dr.  
Argusville ND 58005

Diane Mischel  
5212 Meadow Lane Court  
Rapid City SD 57703-6581

Garrett BTF Minerals, LLC  
9701 North Broadway  
Oklahoma City OK 73114

The Pfanenstiel Company, LLC  
PO Box 12928  
Oklahoma City OK 73157

Somerset Development, Inc.  
15660 North Dallas Parkway,  
Suite 700  
Dallas TX 75248

Youngblood LTD  
3826 N. Versailles Avenue  
Dallas TX 75209

J. Lee Youngblood, Trustee  
128 West Denver Drive  
Bismarck ND 58501

Estate of Jerry Schnell  
2522 West Meredith Drive (1993)  
Vienna VA 22181

Carla Schnell  
2522 West Meredith Drive (1993)  
Vienna VA 22181

Gordon W. Schnell and Sandra Y.  
Schnell  
801 9th Avenue  
Dickinson ND 58601

Tom Schnell  
1437 South Washington Ave  
Royal Oaks MI 48067

Courtney Moody  
27680 Spring Valley Road  
Farmington Hills MI 48336

Brian Schnell  
6016 Erin Terrace  
Edina MN 55439

MAP2006-OK  
101 N. Robinson, Suite 100  
Oklahoma City OK 73102

Assumption Abbey  
418 3rd Avenue West  
Richardton ND 58652

United States of America Bureau of  
Land Management  
5001 Southgate Drive  
Billings MT 59101

Carla Schnell  
2522 West Meredith Drive  
Vienna VA 22181

Great Northern Properties LP  
P.O. Box 1745  
Miles City MT 59301

Patrick M. Carroll  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Bonnie M. Carroll  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Gene Lacher and Joyce Lacher  
616 S. Anderson St.  
Bismarck ND 58501

St. John's Lutheran Church  
P.O. Box 126  
Taylor ND 58656

William Robinson  
Christian Colony  
Ripon WI

United States of America  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Patrick M. Carroll and Bonnie M.  
Carroll  
P.O. Box 126  
Taylor ND 58656

St. John's Lutheran Church  
Rt. 1, Box 41  
Sentinel Butte ND 58654

Home of the Range  
8749 Hwy. 10  
Richardton ND 58652

Jason R. Tormaschy & Hannah  
Tormaschy  
P.O. Box 11  
Richardton ND 58652

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
306 2nd Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Assumption Abby, Inc.  
P.O. Box A  
Richardton ND 58652

State of North Dakota  
608 East Boulevard Avenue  
Bismarck ND 58505-0700

James L. Hoff  
Route 1  
Leith ND 58551

Lee Ann Hoff  
71A Appleton  
Boston MA 2116

Lee R. Hoff  
Box 143  
Leith ND 58551

Bernadine Hoff  
7200 Old Lake Shore Road  
Derby NY 14047-0266

Regina Pfeifer  
708 8th Ave. NW  
Mandan ND 58554

Rose Mary Hoff  
7939 Pecos  
Denver CO 80221

Judith Lee Dinyer  
221 East Owens Avenue  
Bismarck ND 58501

Emil M. Hoff  
1023 Alderson  
Billings MT 59102

Emily Knopik  
1023 Alderson  
Billings MT 59102



Joel Hoff  
712 Kirkland Circle #A303  
Kirkland WA 98033

Curtis Hoff  
17780 Canterbury Dr.  
Monument CO 80132

Theodore Hoff  
3380 Penwell Bridge Rd.  
Belgrade MT 59714

Joyce Kastner  
1802 W. 37th  
Loveland CO 80537

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
PO Box 11  
Richardton ND 58652

Adam Dale Schank  
4809 Southbay Drive  
Mandan ND 58554

Great Northern Properties  
Limited Partnership  
1107 N. 27th Street, Suite 201  
Billings MT 59101

William S. Hoff & Doris Hoff  
8547 HWY 10 E  
Richardton ND 58652

Edward Wehri  
7901 Winthrop Street  
Oakland CA 94605

Frances Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

James E. Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

Bremer Bank, NA  
128 North B Street, P.O. Box 352  
Richardton ND 58652

Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva  
1252 First Street West  
Dickinson ND 58601

Tristan Hoff  
P.O. Box 10947  
Jackson WY 83002

Daniel Hoff  
426 - RD 261  
Glendive MT 59330

Jane Hoff Hotz  
1407 First Avenue NE  
Beulah ND 58523

Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff  
3713 86th Avenue SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Lee Gress  
941 N.E. 113 Avenue  
Portland OR 97200

Aloys Gress  
5100 N.E. 19th Avenue  
Vancouver WA 98660

George Gress  
Doby Lous Trailer Park,  
1980 Colorado Street  
Yuma AZ 85364

Victor Gress  
3250 S.E. Hillyard Road  
Gresham OR 97030

AgriBank  
30 E. 7th St., #1600  
St. Paul MN 55101

Joel and Linda Zimmerman, Trustees of  
the Zimmerman Living Trust  
44236 N 12th St.  
New River AZ 85087

R.A. Couse and Darlene Couse, Trustees of  
the Robert and Darlene Couse Trust  
493 Avenida Dr.  
Arroyo Grande CA 93420

Marie Wehri  
17 South Merriam Ave.  
Miles City MT 59301

Ann Clara Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

William Hoff  
8547 Hwy 10 East  
Richardton ND 58652

Mitch Erdle  
8160 35th St.  
Hebron ND 58638

James Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

Ann Hart  
1138 Nadine Dr.  
Campbell CA 95008

William J. Jones, Earl E. Hart and Denise  
M. Drye, Co-Trustees of the Residual  
Trust under the Jones Family Living  
Trust Dated January 14, 1992  
1507 Shaw Drive  
San Jose CA 95118

Edward Wehri  
7901 Winthrop St.  
Oakland CA 94605

Heather Hoff  
2702 North 191st Ave.  
Buckeye AZ 85326

Daniel Hoff  
426 RD 261  
Glendive MT 59330

Jane Hoff Hotz  
1407 First Ave. NE  
Beulah ND 58523

Dakota Community Bank and Trust  
609 Main Street P.O. Box 431  
Hebron ND 58638-0431

Rocky Mountain Exploration, Inc.  
5441 Preserve Parkway S.  
Greenwood Village CO 80121

Tracker Resources  
Development II, LLC  
1050 17th St., Suite 975  
Denver CO 80265

BNSF Railway Company  
2500 Lou Menk Drive  
Fort Worth TX 76131-2830

Great Northern Properties Limited  
Partnership  
1101 N. 27th Street, Suite 201  
Billings MT 59101

Kenneth E. Moore  
8465 39th Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Gerald R. Aluise & Valerie A. Aluise  
8441 39th Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Naomi Elkins  
131 Boise  
Bismarck ND 58501

Cheryl Harriet Keenan  
15922 Dunmoor  
Houston TX 77059

Heather Hoff  
2702 North 191st Avenue  
Buckeye AZ 85326

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.  
101 North Phillips Avenue  
Sioux Falls SD 57104

State of North Dakota  
1707 N. 9th St.  
Bismarck ND 58501

James Erdle  
8840 37th St. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Mary Mooer  
192 HWY 200 South  
Glendive MT 59330

Kathleen Heimbuch  
9748 122nd Avenue SE  
Cogswell ND 58017

Lucille Trotman  
2701 Berkshire Drive  
Bismarck ND 58503

Teresa Hoff  
128 West Denver Drive  
Bismarck ND 58501

Karen Elstoen  
505 Halyard Drive  
Allen TX 75013

Jerome Erdle  
21051 Gresham Street; Apt 201  
Canoga Park CA 91304

Tim Erdle  
16901 Northridge Ave. North  
Marine On St. Croix MN 55047

Assumption Abbey  
P.O. Box A  
Richardton ND 58652

Carey D. Rummel  
534 10th Street West  
West Fargo ND 58078

Darcie M. Rummel  
2327 Hoover Avenue  
Bismarck ND 58501

Peggy A. Rummel  
7735 Highway 9 SE  
Carrington ND 58421

Anthony Messmer and Karen Messmer,  
as Trustees of the TK Messmer  
Mineral Trust  
8860 39th Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Sharon Schaefer  
12012 NW 35th Ave.  
Vancouver WA 98685

Rita Schaefer  
5415 North 179 Drive  
Litchfield Park AZ 85340

Lucas Hoff  
8969 31st Street SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Fred J. Williams III, as Trustee of the Fred J.  
Williams III 2017 GST Trust under agreement  
dated January 27, 2010, as amended  
4437 Beach Lane South  
Fargo ND 58104

Fred J. Williams III & Jennifer G.  
Williams, collectively, as Trustees of the  
Jennifer G. Williams GST Trust under  
agreement, effective August 6, 2020  
6119 East Osborn Road  
Scottsdale AZ 85251

Bruce C. Fjelde, as Trustee of the Bruce C.  
Fjelde Revocable Trust, dated the  
13th day of July, 2015  
1200 Harwood Drive South, #127  
Fargo ND 58104

Williams Mineral Investments, LLC  
1042 Morningside Court  
Casselton ND 58012

Frederick W. Burgum  
Box 206  
Arthur ND 58006

A. C. Johnson  
Box 2643, 1736-8 Street So.  
Fargo ND 58108

Black Stone Minerals Company, L.P.  
1001 Fannin, Suite 2020  
Houston TX 77002-6709

Bonnie J. Saetz  
3030 115th Ave SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Jolene F. Gress  
746 8th Ave. SW  
Dickinson ND 58601

Jerilyn L. Haberstroh  
6608 80th Ave. SW  
Mott ND 58646

Michelle L. Kuhn  
1201 Prairie View Dr.  
Bismarck ND 58501

Gerald T. Rixen  
7821 Arroyo Dr.  
Paradise Valley AZ 0

Linda M. Reisenauer  
Rt. 2, Box 87  
New England ND 58647

Wayne M. Rixen  
3421 East Acoma Dr.  
Phoenix AZ 85032-5165

Dennis J. Rixen  
117 2nd Ave. E  
Dickinson ND 58601

LeRoy A. Rixen, Jr.  
RR 1, Box 60  
Dickinson ND 58601

Lucas Hoff  
8969 31st St. SW  
Richardton ND 58625

JRH Enterprises  
3960 87th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58625



Jennifer Anne Hischer  
445 31st Ave. E  
West Fargo ND 58078-8301

Betty L. Zacher  
261 Boothill Rd.  
Custer SD 57730-6223

Kathleen A. Porubensky  
6305 Mountain Meadow Dr.  
Blackhawk SD 57718

John J. Zacher  
2221 Merlot Cr.  
Fort Collins CO 80528

Lynn M. Groh  
16147 Harvard Ln.  
Lakeville MN 55044

Richard A. Zacher  
105 Buckboard Ct.  
Custer SD 57730

James and Mary Ann Walby  
502 2nd St. SW  
Bowman ND 58623-4533

Todd Walby  
P.O. Box 784  
Bowman ND 58623

Scott Walby  
P.O. Box 109  
Bowman ND 58623

Daniel Walby  
1486 13th St. W  
Dickinson ND 58623

Jason Walby  
2403 Benders Place  
Mandan ND 58554

Eric Walby  
207 9th Ave. NW  
Bowman ND 58623

Terry Messmer  
220 Buckingham Dr  
Providence UT 84332-9669

Timothy Messmer  
1245 Holly St.  
Denver CO 80220

Victoria Jessop  
P.O. Box 265  
Mott ND 58646

Carrie Gerving  
4245 62nd Ave.  
Glen Ullin ND 58631

Kathy L Hoyt, as Trustee of the  
Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust  
1031 Fir Ave.  
Dickinson ND 58601

Bob Morland, Trustee of the  
Roy J. Messmer Living Trust  
15 S Main St.  
Bowman ND 58623

Donald and Venita F. Blatz, Trustees  
of the Blatz Revocable Trust  
216 Capitol Dr.  
Appleton WI 54911-1204

Russell James Messmer, as Trustee  
of the f E. Messmer  
Family Mineral Trust  
10695 Annette Ct.  
Portland OR 97229-8801

Tracy John Rixen and  
Debbie Ann Rixen  
8429 44th ST. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Grace Rixen-Handford  
4496 85th Ave. SW  
Richardton ND 58652

Farm Credit Services of  
Mandan, FLCA  
1600 Old Red Trail  
Mandan ND 58554

Joy Beth Mische  
1335 State Highway 30  
Pipestone MN 56164

Melodie Joy Alt  
7015 County Road 4  
Grafton ND 58237

Cheryl H. Keenan  
15922 Dunmoor  
Houston TX 77059

Janice Faye Wahlers  
44628 308th Street  
Mission Hill SD 57046

Dorothy Palm Monte  
12420 S.E. Steele  
Portland OR 97236

Angela Palm Brouillette  
24335 S. Brockway Road  
Oregon City OR 97045

Mary Teresa Palm Miller  
11272 SE 64th Avenue  
Milwaukee OR 97222

Geriann Palm Courtney  
10485 SW Kiowa Street  
Tualatin OR 97062

Michael Palm  
6627 SE Mabel Avenue  
Milwaukee OR 97267

Chantra Boehm  
2120 South 12th Street; Apt. 112  
Bismarck ND 58504

Nancy Schmidt  
533 South 17th Street  
Bismarck ND 58504

Benjamin B. Saunders, Frances Fohs  
Sohn and Fred Sohn  
1116 SE Terrace St.  
Roseburg OR 97470

Charlotte R. Richards, Trustee, Fohs  
Sohn Oil and Gas Trust  
P.O. Box 1001  
Roseburg OR 97470

Adobe Oil Company  
Petroleum Life Building  
Midland TX 79701

SFER Properties - A, Inc.  
1616 South Voss; Suite 1000  
Houston TX 77057

Leonard Hueske  
PO Box 311  
Richardton, ND 58652

Jason R. Tormaschy  
and Hannah Tormaschy  
8749 Hwy 10  
Richardton, ND 58652

Lenard Luithle &  
Mary Ann Luithle  
PO Box 100  
Richardton, ND 58652

Gerald L. Hoff and Koleen Hoff  
422 1st Ave W  
Richardton, ND 58652

Phillip Messer, Jr.  
and Betty Messer  
8510 52nd St SW  
Richardton, ND 58652

ROUGH RIDER ELECTRIC  
COOPERATIVE, INC.  
PO Box 1038  
Dickinson, ND 58602

Dorothy Frederick  
212 B St. N  
Richardton, ND 58652

Kenneth Moore  
and Monica Moore  
Box 56  
Taylor, ND 58656

Duane Mischel  
PO Box 848  
West Fargo, ND 58078

Chantra Boehm  
1915 N 115th Street, Unit #2  
Bismarck, ND 58501-2031

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )

Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 9th day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:



AMBROSE HOFF AND  
CHARLOTTE HOFF  
3713 86TH AVENUE SW  
RICHARDTON ND 58652

AMBROSE R. HOFF AND  
CHARLOTTE HOFF  
3713 36TH AVE. SW  
RICHARDTON ND 58652

AMBROSE R. HOFF AND  
CHARLOTTE HOFF  
8601 HWY 10 E  
RICHARDTON ND 58652

WILLIAM ROBINSON  
552 E JACKSON ST.  
RIPON, WI 54971

NAOMI ELKINS  
131 BOISE AVENUE #1,  
BISMARCK, ND 58504

TRACKER RESOURCES  
DEVELOPMENT II LLC  
1001 17TH ST. SUITE 1000  
DENVER CO 80202

JAMES HOFF  
PO BOX 74  
CARSON, ND 58529

SOMERSET DEVELOPMENT, INC.  
1412 MAIN ST., STE. 2400  
DALLAS TX 75202-4011

REGINA V. MESSMER  
310 9TH AVE SE  
DEVILS LAKE, ND 58301

REGINA V. MESSMER  
145 WILSON ST.  
CARRINGTON, ND 58421

SFER PROPERTIES - A, INC.  
1616 S VOSS ROAD, SUITE 1000  
HOUSTON TX 77057

JOEL AND LINDA ZIMMERMAN,  
TRUSTEES OF THE ZIMMERMAN  
LIVING TRUST  
14602 N SHIPROCK DR.  
SUN CITY, AZ 85351

JOEL AND LINDA ZIMMERMAN,  
TRUSTEES OF THE ZIMMERMAN  
LIVING TRUST  
18051 N 49TH DR  
GLENDALE, AZ 85308

GERALD R. BARTH AND MARY ANN  
BARTH AS TRUSTEES OF THE GERALD  
AND MARY BARTH TRUST DATED  
JANUARY 13, 2015  
302 PARRISH ST.  
GENOA, WI 54632

GERALD R. BARTH AND MARY ANN  
BARTH AS TRUSTEES OF THE GERALD  
AND MARY BARTH TRUST DATED  
JANUARY 13, 2015  
375 COUNTY ROAD 302  
DURANGO, CO 81303

BRUCE C. FJELDE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE  
BRUCE C. FJELDE REVOCABLE TRUST,  
DATED THE 13TH DAY OF JULY, 2015  
2108 18TH AVENUE S  
FARGO, ND 58103

BRUCE C. FJELDE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE  
BRUCE C. FJELDE REVOCABLE TRUST,  
DATED THE 13TH DAY OF JULY, 2015  
33RD AVE E, APT. 224  
WEST FARGO, ND 58078

ROBERT D. BARTH  
PO BOX 270  
NEW LEIPZIG, ND 58562

RANDY MISCHER  
PO BOX 3252  
DICKINSON, ND 58602

RANDY MISCHER  
232 TELSTAR DR.  
BISMARCK, ND 5850

BONNIE J. SAETZ  
1570 14TH ST W  
DICKINSON, ND 58601

ESTATE OF JERRY SCHNELL  
2050 PACIFIC BEACH DR  
UNIT 309  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92109

CARLA SCHNELL  
2050 PACIFIC BEACH DR  
UNIT 309  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92109

CAREY D. RUMMEL  
523 APPLETREE LN  
MOORHEAD, MN 56560

JENNIFER ANNE HISCHER  
970 ALBERT DR W  
WEST FARGO, ND 58078

KENNETH MOORE  
AND MONICA MOORE  
8465 39TH ST SW  
RICHARDTON, ND 58652-9408

DUANE MISCHER  
5828 AUTUMN DR S  
FARGO, ND 58104-7654

EXHIBIT A

JOHN D. BARTH AND EDITH A. BARTH,  
AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE JOHN AND  
EDITH BARTH FAMILY MINERAL TRUST  
DATED AUGUST 10, 2015  
5582 BISHOPS BLVD S  
FARGO, ND 58104-7251

JANE HOFF HOTZ  
1184 59TH AVE SW  
BEULAH, ND 58523-9570

VICTOR MESSMER  
AND CLARA MESSMER  
704 E ASH AVE APT 211  
GLEN ULLIN, ND 58631-7127

VICTORIA JESSOP  
PO BOX 1802  
EUNICE, NM 88231-1802

GEORGE GRESS  
13439 E 54TH DR  
YUMA, AZ 85367-8458

PATRICK M. CARROLL AND  
BONNIE M. CARROLL  
PO BOX 113  
MOFFIT, ND 58560-0113

PATRICK M. CARROLL AND  
BONNIE M. CARROLL  
306 2ND AVE SW  
DICKINSON, ND 58601-5715

JANE HOFF HUTZ  
1184 59TH AVE SW  
BEULAH, ND 58523-9570

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )

Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 13th day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo;** and  
**Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13th day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:



Emil M. Hoff  
c/o Theodore Hoff  
4892 E Shoreline Dr.  
Post Falls, ID 83854-6854

Great Northern Properties  
Limited Partnership  
c/o Capitol Corporate Services Inc.  
26 W Sixth Ave.  
Helena, MT 59601

Kevin Frederick  
8455 Highway 10 E  
Richardton, ND 58652

Mark Stockie  
795 Montview Way  
Springfield, OR 97477-3679

Michael Palm  
3200 SE Silverleaf Ln Unit 9  
Portland, OR 97267-2815

Peggy A. Rummel  
6611 4TH ST NE  
Carrington, ND 58421-8916

Peggy A. Rummel  
1900 Main St  
Carrington, ND 58421-8616

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA        )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH        )

Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 20th day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

Timothy Messmer  
1245 S Holly Street  
Denver, CO 80246-3234

Karen Messmer  
1990 Mesquite Loop  
Bismarck, ND 58503-0198

Dwight F. Schank  
868 17th ST E  
Dickinson, ND 58601-3458

Anthony Messmer and Karen Messmer,  
Trustees of TK Messmer Mineral Trust  
1990 Mesquite Loop  
Bismarck, ND 58503-0198

Kathy L. Hoyt, Trustee of Pauline E.  
Messmer Family Tr. dtd Aug. 10, 2011  
3777 Molon Labe PL  
Mandan, ND 58554-7848

Dorothy Frederick  
8451 Highway 10 E  
Richardton, ND 58652-9404

Jeanne (Jean) Ann PechtI  
F/K/A Jeanne Betlaf  
409 Tamarack DR  
Rapid City, SD 57701-7676

St. John's Lutheran Church  
146 6th AVE W  
Dickinson, ND 58601

St. John's Lutheran Church  
120 Elliott Street  
Sentinel Butte, ND 58654

St. John's Lutheran Church  
387 S Central Ave  
Beach, ND 58621

Edward Wehri  
1501 37th Ave APT A9  
Oakland, CA 94601



BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )

Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 21st day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21st day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

Adobe Oil Company  
c/o Devon Energy Corporation  
33 West Sheridan Avenue  
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Youngblood LTD  
c/o Penny L. Youngblood  
2488 Fairview Rd.  
Millsap, TX 76066 USA

Darcie M. Rummel  
2929 Chicago Ave., Unit 1109  
Minneapolis, MN 55407-5014

Williams Mineral Investments , LLC  
c/o JAMES L WILLIAMS III  
1235 Morningside Dr  
Casselton, ND 58012-3713

Theodore Hoff  
4892 E Shoreline Dr  
Post Falls, ID 83854-6854

Joyce Kastner  
4720 Ignacio Ave.  
Loveland, CO 80538-6842

Sharon Schaefer a/k/a  
Sharon Hoff Schaefer  
1801 NW 92ND St.  
Vancouver, WA 98665-6627

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )

Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 23rd day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23rd day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:



Mitch Erdle  
3475 83RD AVE  
HEBRON, ND 58638-9620

Gerald Rixen  
724 SAINT LOUIS PL  
BISMARCK, ND 58504-7106

Jerry Thomas Rixen  
18366 260TH ST  
FERGUS FALLS, MN 56537-7426

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )

Kim Nagel, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 29th day of July, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**See attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kim Nagel

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29th day of July, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

Tristan Hoff  
426 ROAD 261  
GLEN DIVE, MT 59330-9534

Marie Hoff  
911 N MANDAN ST  
BISMARCK, ND 58501-3507

Victor Gress  
488 NW 6TH AVE APT 12  
CANBY, OR 97013-3538

**EXHIBIT A**



BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )

Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 2nd day of August, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**Teresa Hoff  
1220 Imperial Dr.  
Bismarck, ND 58504-7510**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2nd day of August, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

CASE NO. 28848

On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA       )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH       )

Amber Nelson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 4th day of August, 2021, she served the attached:

**Memo; and  
Notice of Hearing**


by placing a true and correct copy thereof in an envelope addressed as follows:


**see attached Exhibit A**

and depositing the same, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, in the United States mail at Bismarck, North Dakota.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of August, 2021.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires:

Alicia Holum  
5512 64TH AVE NW  
GIG HARBOR, WA 98335-6647

Cheryl Harriet Keenan  
4626 STERLING WOOD WAY  
HOUSTON, TX 77059-3168

Cheryl Harriet Keenan  
4626 STERLING ST  
HOUSTON, TX 77051-2632

## **EXHIBIT A**





July 26, 2021

Patricia Meyer  
1902 East Beck Lane  
Phoenix, AZ 85022-3341

Re: NDIC Case No. 28848  
Red Trail Energy, LLC

Ms. Meyer:

Our office is in receipt of your attached letter. In your letter, you request copies of the corresponding permit and studies. This information is available free of charge at: <https://www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/> However, if you wish to obtain a hard copy, please call our office at 701-328-8020 because there is an approximate \$70 charge for photocopies and postage.

For further information regarding this matter, contact:  
Draft Permit Information: Stephen Fried – [sjfried@nd.gov](mailto:sjfried@nd.gov) – 701-328-8020  
Hearing Information: Bethany Kadrmas – [brkadrmas@nd.gov](mailto:brkadrmas@nd.gov) – 701-328-8020  
Red Trail Energy, LLC, PO Box 11, Richardton, ND 58652

Sincerely,

Bethany Kadrmas  
Legal Assistant

Department of Natural Resources  
Oil & Gas Division  
1000 East Calgary Ave.  
Bismark, ND 58503



1902 East Beck Lane  
Phoenix, AZ 85022-3341  
July 21, 2021

On the motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geological storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDCC Ch. 43-05-01.

My portion of Township 139 North Range 92 West Section 22: N/2NW4, NE14

Please send me a copy of the permit application and a draft of the permit.

Please send me a copy the study done on these properties showing why they are suitable for the storage of carbon dioxide.

What are the chances of the carbon dioxide seeping into nearby properties?

What effects will the carbon dioxide storage facility have on mineral & oil rights?

Until I am more informed on carbon dioxide storage I am a firm no.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Patricia Meyer".

Patricia Meyer

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Meidinger, Lorna B.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 15, 2021 10:23 AM  
**To:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Industrial Commission Notice of Hearing  
**Attachments:** 21-0455 NSS.pdf

Ms. Kadrmass,

Attached is the review for this one.

Lorna Meidinger  
Historic Preservation Specialist  
State Historical Society of North Dakota  
612 E Boulevard Ave  
Bismarck, ND 58505  
701.328.2089

---

**From:** Peterson, Bill <billpeterson@nd.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 9, 2021 9:13 AM  
**To:** Clark, Andrew <andrewclark@nd.gov>; Meidinger, Lorna B. <lmeidinger@nd.gov>; Steckler, Lisa L. <lsteckler@nd.gov>  
**Subject:** Fwd: North Dakota Industrial Commission Notice of Hearing

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Kadrmass, Bethany R. <brkadrmass@nd.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 9, 2021 8:52:24 AM  
**Subject:** North Dakota Industrial Commission Notice of Hearing

The attached Notice of Hearing is sent pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01-08(5). The fact sheet, storage facility permit application, draft permit, and supplement filings are available for download at: <https://www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/GeoStorageofCO2.asp>

Please contact our office if you have any questions.

**Bethany Kadrmass**  
*Legal Assistant, Oil and Gas Division*

701.328.8020 • [brkadrmass@nd.gov](mailto:brkadrmass@nd.gov) • [www.dmr.nd.gov](http://www.dmr.nd.gov)



600 E Boulevard Ave, Dept. 405 • Bismarck, ND 58505





July 15, 2021

Lynn D. Helms  
ND Mineral Resources  
600 E Boulevard Ave - Dept 405  
Bismarck, ND 58505-0840

**ND SHPO Ref: 21-0455 Case No. 28848, 28849, 28850: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC in portions of [T139N R92W Sections 9-15 and 22-23] in Stark County, North Dakota**

Dear Director Helms,

We reviewed ND SHPO Ref: 21-0455 Case No. 28848, 28849, 28850: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC in portions of [T139N R92W Sections 9-15 and 22-23] in Stark County, North Dakota. Based on the documentation, the area of potential effect for cultural resources from this project is in portions of T139N R92W Sections 4, 9, and 10. There are no significant sites in this area.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this project under North Dakota cultural resources consultation. This letter does not serve as federal agency consultation or SHPO consultation for compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, (36 CFR Part 800), or the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended, (42 U.S.C. §§ 4321- 4347).

If you have any questions, please contact Lorna Meidinger, Historic Preservation Specialist at (701) 328-2089 or [lbmeidinger@nd.gov](mailto:lbmeidinger@nd.gov)

Sincerely,

for William D. Peterson PhD  
Director, State Historical Society of North Dakota

21-0455

# DRAFT STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT

## STORAGE FACILITY FOR CARBON SEQUESTRATION UNDER THE NORTH DAKOTA UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PROGRAM

In compliance with North Dakota Century Code Chapter (NDCC) 38-22 (Carbon Dioxide Underground Storage) and North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) Chapter 43-05-01 (Geologic Storage of Carbon Dioxide), Red Trail Energy LLC has applied for a carbon dioxide storage facility permit. A draft permit does not grant the authorization to inject. This is a document prepared under NDAC 43-05-01-07.2 indicating the Commission's tentative decision to issue a storage facility permit. Before preparing the draft permit, the Commission has consulted with the Department of Environmental Quality and determined the storage facility permit application to be complete. The draft permit contains permit conditions required under NDAC 43-05-01-07.3 and 43-05-01-07.4. A fact sheet is included and contains the following information:

1. A brief description of the type of facility or activity which is the subject of the draft permit.
2. The quantity and quality of the carbon dioxide which is proposed to be injected and stored.
3. A brief summary of the basis for the draft permit conditions, including references to applicable statutory or regulatory provisions.
4. The reasons why any requested variances or alternatives to required standards do or do not appear justified.
5. A description of the procedures for reaching a final decision of the draft permit, including:
  - a. The beginning and ending dates of the comment period.
  - b. The address where comments will be received.
  - c. The date, time, and location of the storage facility permit hearing.
  - d. Any other procedures by which the public may participate in the final decision.
6. The name and telephone number of a person to contact for additional information.

This draft permit has been established on July 7, 2021 and shall remain in effect until a storage facility permit is granted under NDAC 43-05-01-05, unless amended or terminated by the Department of Mineral Resources (commission).

Stephen Fried, Geologist  
Department of Mineral Resources  
Date: July 7, 2021

## **I. APPLICANT**

Red Trail Energy LLC  
PO Box 11  
Richardton, ND 58652

## **II. PERMIT CONDITIONS (NDAC 43-05-01-07.3)**

1. The storage operator shall comply with all conditions of the permit. Any noncompliance with the permit constitutes a violation and is grounds for enforcement action, including permit termination, revocation, or modification pursuant to NDAC 43-05-01-12.
2. In an administrative action, it shall not be a defense that it would have been necessary for the storage operator to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of the permit.
3. The storage operator shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or correct any adverse impact on the environment resulting from noncompliance with the storage facility permit.
4. The storage operator shall develop and implement an emergency and remedial response plan pursuant to section 43-05-01-13.
5. The storage operator shall at all times properly operate and maintain all storage facilities which are installed or used by the storage operator to achieve compliance with the conditions of the storage facility permit. Proper operation and maintenance include effective performance, adequate funding, adequate operator staffing and training, and adequate laboratory and process controls, including appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems only when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the storage facility permit.
6. The permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated pursuant to section 43-05-01-12. The filing of a request by the storage operator for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.
7. The injection well permit or the permit to operate an injection well does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege.
8. The storage operator shall furnish to the commission, within a time specified by the commission, any information which the commission may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating the permit, or to determine compliance with the permit. The storage operator shall also



furnish to the commission, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by the storage facility permit.

9. The storage operator shall allow the commission, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
  - a. Enter upon the storage facility premises where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
  - b. At reasonable times, have access to and copy any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
  - c. At reasonable times, inspect any facilities, equipment, including monitoring and control equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required under the permit; and
  - d. At reasonable times, sample or monitor for the purposes of assuring permit compliance, any substances or parameters at any location.
10. The storage operator shall prepare, maintain, and comply with a testing and monitoring plan pursuant to section 43-05-01-11.4.
11. The storage operator shall comply with the reporting requirements provided in section 43-05-01-18.
12. The storage operator must obtain an injection well permit under section 43-05-01-10 and injection wells must meet the construction and completion requirements in section 43-05-01-11.
13. The storage operator shall prepare, maintain, and comply with a plugging plan pursuant to section 43-05-01-11.5.
14. The storage operator shall establish mechanical integrity prior to commencing injection and maintain mechanical integrity pursuant to section 43-05-01-11.1.
15. The storage operator shall implement the worker safety plan pursuant to section 43-05-01-13.
16. The storage operator shall comply with leak detection and reporting requirements pursuant to section 43-05-01-14.
17. The storage operator shall conduct a corrosion monitoring and prevention program pursuant to section 43-05-01-15.
18. The storage operator shall prepare, maintain, and comply with the area of review and corrective action plan pursuant to section 43-05-01-05.1.

19. The storage operator shall maintain financial responsibility pursuant to section 43-05-01-09.1
20. The storage operator shall maintain and comply with post-injection site care and facility closure plan pursuant to section 43-05-01-19.

### **III. CASE SPECIFIC PERMIT CONDITIONS**

1. NDAC 43-05-01-11.4, subsection 1, subdivision b, The operator shall notify the commission within 24 hours of failure or malfunction of surface or bottom hole gauge in the RTE 10 (WF# 37229 – SESE 10-139N-92W) injector.
2. NDAC 43-05-01-11.4, subsection 1, subdivision c and NDAC 43-05-01-11, subsection 14, The operator has run an initial ultrasonic log capable of evaluating internal and external pipe condition. The operator shall after 1 year from the date of first injection, run an ultrasonic or other log capable of evaluating internal and external pipe condition to establish a baseline for corrosion monitoring. Dependent on evaluation, the operator shall run a log with the same capabilities on a 5 year schedule, unless analysis of corrosion coupons necessitate a more frequent schedule.
3. NDAC 43-05-01-11.4, subsection 1, subdivision d and NDAC 43-05-01-13, subsection 2, The operator shall cease injection immediately, take all steps reasonably necessary to identify and characterize any release, implement the emergency and remedial response plan approved by the commission, and notify the commission within 24 hours of carbon dioxide detected above the confining zone.
4. NDAC 43-05-01-11.4, subsection 1, subdivision e and NDAC 43-05-01-11.1 subsections 3 and 5, External mechanical integrity shall be continuously monitored with the installed fiber optic line. The commission must be notified within 24 hours should the fiber optic line fail. The commission must be notified prior to severing the line above the confining zone if such an action becomes necessary for remedial work.
5. NDAC 43-05-01-11.4, subsection 1, subdivision h, paragraph 1, Surface air and soil gas monitoring is required, and is planned by the operator in Section 4.4.3 (Surface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan) of its permit.
6. NDAC 43-05-01-10, subsection 9, subdivision c, NDAC 43-05-01-11, subsection 15, and NDAC 43-05-01-11.1, subsection 2, The operator shall notify the commission at least 48 hours in advance to witness a mechanical integrity test of the tubing-casing annulus. The packer must be set within 100' of the upper most perforation and in the 13CR-80 casing. The subsequent test shall be 1 year from

the date of first injection. Dependent on evaluation, the operator shall run the same test on a 5 year schedule.

7. NDAC 43-05-01-11, subsections 3 and 5, The operator shall continuously monitor the surface casing-production casing annulus with the installed fiber optic line, and a gauge not to exceed 300 psi. The commission must be notified in advance if there is pressure that needs to be bled off.

## Fact Sheet

### **1. Description of Facility**

The Red Trail Energy (RTE) facility is a 64 million gallon dry mill ethanol production plant located in Stark County, North Dakota, near the city of Richardton. It has been in operation since January 2007. RTE emits carbon dioxide from the fermentation process during ethanol production.

### **2. Quantity and Quality of Carbon Dioxide Stream**

The RTE facility emits an annual average of 180,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide that is expected to be captured, dehydrated, compressed, and then injected. The projected composition of the carbon dioxide stream is greater than 99.9% carbon dioxide with trace quantities (0.1%) of nitrogen and oxygen.

### **3. Summary of Basis of Draft Permit Conditions**

The case specific permit conditions are unique to this storage facility, and not indicative of conditions for other storage facility permits. The conditions take into consideration the equipment proposed for this storage facility. Regulatory provisions for these conditions are all cited from NDAC Chapter 43-05-01 (Geologic Storage of Carbon Dioxide).

### **4. Reasons for Variances or Alternatives**

Draft Permit Section III. Case Specific Conditions are referenced below by number from aforementioned section

4. NDAC 43-05-01-11.4, subsection 1, subdivision e, requires a demonstration of external mechanical integrity at least once per year until the injection well is plugged. NDAC 43-05-01-11.1, subsection 3 requires the storage operator to, at least annually, determine the absence of significant fluid movement by running an approved tracer survey or temperature log or noise log. The installed fiber optic line shall provide a continuous temperature log for the length of the wellbore.



7. NDAC 43-05-01-11, subsection 3, requires sufficient cement used on the long string casing to fill the annular space behind the casing to the surface of the ground. The Broom Creek Formation is at a depth of 6379 feet measured depth (MD). The top of carbon dioxide resistant cement in the RTE 10 stratigraphic well, determined by a Schlumberger isolation scanner – run July 30, 2020, is at 3937 feet MD; the top of non-channeled cement is approximately 2750 feet MD. Above 2750 feet MD, cement is present to 500 feet MD, but would not prevent the flow of liquids or gas behind pipe. NDAC 43-05-01-11, subsection 5, states the commission may approve an alternative method of cementing in cases where cement cannot be recirculated to the surface, provided the storage operator can demonstrate by using logs that the cement does not allow fluid movement behind the long string casing. The base of the deepest source of drinking water, the Fox Hills Formation, is at a depth of 1778 feet MD. The surface casing is set to a depth of 1952 feet MD. The next source of fluid is the Inyan Kara Formation at a depth of 4853 feet MD. The commission finds that cement is present to prevent the movement of fluid from all sources. The surface casing-long string casing annulus shall be monitored by a fiber optic line and by a 300 psi or less surface gauge. The commission finds remediation shall potentially damage long term mechanical integrity by means of perforating the long string casing. Furthermore, an additional avenue for early detection of fluid or gas migration above the storage reservoir, via the surface casing-long string casing annulus, would be lost and counterproductive to protection of underground sources of drinking water.

## **5. Procedures Required for Final Decision**

### **The beginning and ending dates of the comment period:**

July 7, 2021 to 5:00 P.M. CDT August 11, 2021

### **The address where comments will be received:**

Oil and Gas Division, 1016 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512  
or [brkadrmas@nd.gov](mailto:brkadrmas@nd.gov)

### **Date, time, and location of the storage facility permit hearing:**

August 12, 2021 9:00 A.M. CDT at 1000 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503

### **Any other procedures by which the public may participate in the final decision:**

At the hearing, the Commission will receive testimony and exhibits of interested parties.

## **6. Contact for Additional Information**

Draft Permit Information: Stephen Fried – [sjfried@nd.gov](mailto:sjfried@nd.gov) – 701-328-8020

Hearing Information: Bethany Kadrmas – [brkadrmas@nd.gov](mailto:brkadrmas@nd.gov) – 701-328-8020

## Kadrmass, Bethany R.

---

**From:** Fried, Stephen J.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:12 PM  
**To:** Kadrmass, Bethany R.  
**Subject:** FW: RTE Storage Facility Permit Correction  
**Attachments:** Appendix E final.pdf

---

**From:** Connors, Kevin <kconnors@undeerc.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:08 PM  
**To:** Fried, Stephen J. <sjfried@nd.gov>  
**Cc:** dustin@redtrailenergy.com; Bender, Lawrence <LBender@fredlaw.com>; Leroux, Kerryanne <kleroux@undeerc.org>  
**Subject:** RTE Storage Facility Permit Correction

\*\*\*\*\* **CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know they are safe. \*\*\*\*\*

Stephen,

The Red Trail Energy (RTE) Storage Facility Permit (SFP) Appendix E contains multiple errors with incorrect table and figure numbers. These errors occurred through an auto generated function when the document was converted to pdf format. RTE has corrected the errors in Appendix E of the SFP and has uploaded the supplemental document to replace the previously filed Appendix E. In addition, the full SFP with the corrected Appendix E has also been uploaded.

We are providing a detailed description of the discrepancies found in Appendix E. The "Storage Facility Permit" and "Figure/Table Number and Description" columns contain incorrect references to the table and figure numbers in the SFP. The following items have been corrected:

- Geologic exhibits
  - b – many labeling errors, whole figure/table cell has numerous errors
    - table 2-2 in text should be labeled 2-6. Table 2-3 in text should be labeled 2-8
  - d – figure 7 is listed twice, second instance should be 2-8
  - f – figure 2-9 should be labeled 2-47
  - g – figure 2-10 should be labeled 2-47
  - h – table 2-6 should be labeled 2-21, figure 2-11 should be labeled 2-47
  - I - many labeling errors, figures 2-12 through 2-16 are incorrect and should be labeled the following: 2-8, 2-9, 2-10, 2-11a, 2-11b, 2-12, 2-13
    - Table 2-7 in text should be labeled 2-1, table 2-8 should be labeled 2-6
  - M – figure 2-17 should be labeled 2-12
  - N – figure 2-18 should be labeled 2-12
  - O – figure 2-19a should be labeled 2-11a, figure 2-20 should be labeled 2-20
  - P – figure 2-21 should be labeled 2-11a, and figure 2-22 should be labeled 2-13
  - R – in text table 2-9 should be labeled 2-17, table 2-110 should be labeled 2-3
  - S – figures 2-23/2-24 should be labeled 2-30 and 2-31
- AOR
  - A – figure 3-1 should be labeled 3-2
  - E – figure 3-5 should be labeled 3-2
  - G – figure 3-4 should be figure 3-6

- H – table within text column should be labeled 3-1
- Required Plans
  - A – table should be labeled 4-5
  - e/f – figures 4-3/4-4/4-5 should be labeled 4-4/4-5/4-6
  - g – Tables 4-2/4-3/4-4/ 4-5/figure 4-6 should be relabeled as the following: tables 4-6/4-7/4-10/4-11/figure 4-3
- Storage Operations
  - Table 4-6 should be labeled 4-12

Kevin Connors  
Principal Policy & Regulatory Strategist  
**Energy & Environmental Research Center**  
**University of North Dakota**  
15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018  
Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018  
Office: (701) 777-5236| Cell: (512) 969-8042  
[kconnors@undeerc.org](mailto:kconnors@undeerc.org) | [www.undeerc.org](http://www.undeerc.org)



STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT REGULATORY COMPLIANCE TABLE

Permit Item	NDAC Reference	Requirement	Regulatory Summary	Storage Facility Permit (section; see main body for reference cited)	Figure/Table Number and Description
Pore Space Amalgamation	NDCC 38-22-06 §3 & 4  NDAC 43-05-01-08 §1 & 2	NDCC 38-22-06 3. Notice of the hearing must be given to each mineral lessee, mineral owner, and pore space owner within the storage reservoir and within one-half mile of the storage reservoir's boundaries.	a. An affidavit of mailing certifying that all pore space owners and lessees within the storage reservoir boundary and within one-half mile outside of its boundary have been notified of the proposed carbon dioxide storage project.	Red Trail Energy (RTE) has identified the owners (surface and mineral); in addition, no mineral lessees or operators of mineral extraction activities are within the facility area or within one-half mile of its outside boundary. RTE will notify all owners of a pore space amalgamation hearing at least 45 days prior to the scheduled hearing and will provide information about the proposed CO <sub>2</sub> storage project and the details of the scheduled hearing. An affidavit of mailing will be provided to the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) to certify that these notifications were made.	
		4. Notice of the hearing must be given to each surface owner of land overlying the storage reservoir and within one-half mile of the reservoir's boundaries.	b. A map showing the extent of the pore space that will be occupied by carbon dioxide over the life of the project.	<b>1.0 PORE SPACE ACCESS</b> North Dakota law explicitly grants title of the pore space in all strata underlying the surface of lands and waters to the overlying surface estate, i.e., the surface owner owns the pore space (North Dakota Century Code [NDCC] Chapter 47-31-Subsurface Pore Space Policy). Prior to issuance of the Storage Facility Permit (SFP), the storage operator is mandated by North Dakota statute for geologic storage of carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) to obtain the consent of landowners who own at least 60% of the pore space of the storage reservoir. The statute also mandates that a good faith effort be made to obtain consent from all pore space owners and that all nonconsenting pore space owners are or will be equitably compensated. North Dakota law grants NDIC the authority to require pore space owned by nonconsenting owners to be included in a storage facility and subject to geologic storage through pore space amalgamation. Amalgamation of pore space will be considered at an administrative hearing as part of the regulatory process required for consideration of the SFP application (NDCC § 38-22-06(3) and -06(4) and North Dakota Administrative Code [NDAC] § 43-05-01-08(1) and -08(2)).  In connection herewith, Red Trail Energy (RTE) submits the form of storage agreement attached hereto as Attachment 1, which, upon final approval by NDIC, shall govern certain rights and obligations of the storage operator and the persons owning pore space within the amalgamated storage reservoir.  RTE has identified the owners (surface and mineral); in addition, no mineral lessees or operators of mineral extraction activities are within the facility area or within one-half mile of its outside boundary. RTE will notify all owners of a pore space amalgamation hearing at least 45 days prior to the scheduled hearing and will provide information about the proposed CO <sub>2</sub> storage project and the details of the scheduled hearing. An affidavit of mailing will be provided to NDIC to certify that these notifications were made.	Figure 1-1. Storage facility area map showing pore space ownership.
		NDAC 43-05-01-08 1. The commission shall hold a public hearing before issuing a storage facility permit. At least forty-five days prior to the hearing, the applicant shall give notice of the hearing to the following:	c. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of the storage reservoir boundary with a description of pore space ownership.		Figure 1-2. Landowners hearing notification area.
		a. Each operator of mineral extraction activities within the facility area and within one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of its outside boundary.	d. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of its boundary with a description of each operator of mineral extraction activities.		Figure 1-1. Storage facility area map showing pore space ownership.
		b. Each mineral lessee of record within the facility area and within one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of its outside boundary.	e. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of its boundary with a description of each mineral lessee of record.		Figure 1-2. Landowners hearing notification area.
		c. Each owner of record of the surface within the facility area and one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of its outside boundary.	f. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of its boundary with a description of each surface owner of record.	The identification of the owners, lessees, and operators that require notification was based on the following, recognizing that all surface owners also own the underlying pore space per North Dakota law, which vests the title to pore space in all strata underlying the surface of lands to the owner of the overlying surface estate (NDCC Chapter 47-31):  <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A map showing the extent of the pore space that will be occupied by CO<sub>2</sub> over the life of the project, including the storage reservoir boundary and 0.5 miles (0.8 kilometers) outside of the storage reservoir boundary with a description of pore space ownership, surface owner, and pore space lessees of record (Figure 1-1 and Figure 1-2).</li><li>A table identifying all pore space (surface) owners, each owner’s mailing address, and a legal description of pore space landownership (Table 1-1).</li><li>A table identifying each owner of record of minerals and each mineral lessee of record (Table 1-2).</li></ul> Note: All surface owners and pore space owners and lessees are the same owner of record, and there are no operators of mineral extraction activities within the storage facility area.	Table 1-2 showing mineral ownership and lessees
		d. Each owner of record of minerals within the facility area and within one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of its outside boundary.	g. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of its boundary with a description of each owner of record of minerals.		Figure 1-1. Storage Facility area map showing pore space ownership.
		e. Each owner and each lessee of record of the pore space within the storage reservoir and within one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of the reservoir’s boundary.			Figure 1-2. Landowners hearing notification area.
					Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification

		<div>f. Any other persons as required by the commission.</div> <div>2. The notice given by the applicant must contain:</div> <div>a. A legal description of the land within the facility area.</div> <div>b. The date, time, and place that the commission will hold a hearing on the permit application.</div> <div>c. A statement that a copy of the permit application and draft permit may be obtained from the commission.</div>																															
Geologic Exhibits	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(1) and §1b(2)(k)	<div>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(1) and §1b(2)(k)</div> <div>(1) The name, description, and average depth of the storage reservoirs.</div> <div>(k) Data on the depth, areal extent, thickness, mineralogy, porosity, permeability, and capillary pressure of the injection and confining zone, including facies changes based on field data, which may include geologic cores, outcrop data, seismic surveys, well logs, and names and lithologic descriptions;</div>	<div>a. Geologic description of the storage reservoir:</div> <div>Name</div> <div>Lithology</div> <div>Average depth</div> <div>Average thickness</div>	<div>2.3 Storage Reservoir (injection zone)</div> <div>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</div> <div>At RTE-10, the Broom Creek Formation is made up of 201 ft of sandstone and 97 ft of dolostone and is located at a depth of 6,379 ft. Across the project area, the Broom Creek Formation varies in thickness from 210 to 406 ft (Figure 2-9), with an average thickness of 313 ft. Based on offset well data and geologic model characteristics, the net sandstone thickness within the project area ranges from 48 to 324 ft, with an average of 192 ft.</div> <div>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</div> <div><table><tr><th colspan="6">Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Complex</th></tr><tr><th></th><th>Formation</th><th>Purpose</th><th>Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft</th><th>Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD ft</th><th>Lithology</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">Storage Complex</td><td>Opeche</td><td>Upper confining zone</td><td>103</td><td>3,871</td><td>Mudstone/siltstone</td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)</td><td>313</td><td>3,974</td><td>Sandstone, dolomite</td></tr><tr><td>Amsden</td><td>Lower confining zone</td><td>329</td><td>4,285</td><td>Dolomite/shaly sand</td></tr></table></div>	Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO <sub>2</sub> Storage Complex							Formation	Purpose	Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft	Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD ft	Lithology	Storage Complex	Opeche	Upper confining zone	103	3,871	Mudstone/siltstone	Broom Creek	Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)	313	3,974	Sandstone, dolomite	Amsden	Lower confining zone	329	4,285	Dolomite/shaly sand	Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO <sub>2</sub> Storage Complex
	Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO <sub>2</sub> Storage Complex																																
	Formation	Purpose	Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft	Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD ft	Lithology																												
Storage Complex	Opeche	Upper confining zone	103	3,871	Mudstone/siltstone																												
	Broom Creek	Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)	313	3,974	Sandstone, dolomite																												
	Amsden	Lower confining zone	329	4,285	Dolomite/shaly sand																												
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(k)	<div>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(k)</div> <div>(k) Data on the depth, areal extent, thickness, mineralogy, porosity, permeability, and capillary pressure of the injection and confining zone, including facies changes based on field data, which may include geologic cores, outcrop data, seismic surveys, well logs, and names and lithologic descriptions.</div>	<div>b. Data on the injection zone and source of the data which may include geologic cores, outcrop data, seismic surveys, and well logs:</div> <div>Depth</div> <div>Areal extent</div> <div>Thickness</div> <div>Mineralogy</div> <div>Porosity</div> <div>Permeability</div> <div>Capillary pressure</div>	<div>Table 2-6. Description of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Reservoir (injection zone) at the RTE-10 Well</div> <div><table><tr><th colspan="2">Injection Zone Properties</th></tr><tr><th>Property</th><th>Description</th></tr><tr><td>Formation Name</td><td>Broom Creek</td></tr><tr><td>Lithology</td><td>Sandstone, dolomite</td></tr><tr><td>Formation Top Depth, ft</td><td>6,379</td></tr></table></div>	Injection Zone Properties		Property	Description	Formation Name	Broom Creek	Lithology	Sandstone, dolomite	Formation Top Depth, ft	6,379	<div>Table 2-6. Description of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Reservoir (injection zone) at the RTE-10 Well</div> <div>Figure 2-8. Areal extent of the Broom Creek Formation in North Dakota</div> <div>Figure 2-9. Isopach map of the Broom Creek Formation in the RTE project area.</div>																		
Injection Zone Properties																																	
Property	Description																																
Formation Name	Broom Creek																																
Lithology	Sandstone, dolomite																																
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,379																																

			Facies changes	<table><tr><td colspan="2">Thickness, ft</td><td colspan="2">298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi</td><td colspan="2">1.1</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">Geologic Properties</td></tr><tr><td>Formation</td><td>Property</td><td>Laboratory Analysis</td><td>Model Property Distribution</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Broom Creek (sandstone)</td><td>Porosity, %</td><td>21.68 (12.18–33.65)*</td><td>25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD</td><td>419.1 (25.35–5,120)**</td><td>277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Broom Creek (dolomite)</td><td>Porosity, %</td><td>6 (2.91–8.54)*</td><td>15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD</td><td>0.08 (0.004–1.12)**</td><td>8.65 (0.01– 2,261.53)**</td></tr></table> <p>2.3 Storage Reservoir (injection zone)</p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p>At RTE-10, the Broom Creek Formation is made up of 201 ft of sandstone and 97 ft of dolostone and is located at a depth of 6379 ft. Across the project area, the Broom Creek Formation varies in thickness from 210 to 406 ft (Figure 2-9), with an average thickness of 313 ft. Based on offset well data and geologic model characteristics, the net sandstone thickness within the project area ranges from 48 to 324 ft, with an average of 192 ft.</p> <p>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</p> <p>2.3.1 Mineralogy</p> <p>The combined interpretation of core, well logs, and thin sections shows that the Broom Creek Formation is dominated by fine- to medium-grained sandstone with lesser amounts of carbonates and anhydrites. Forty-three depth intervals representing nearly 300 ft of the Broom Creek Formation were sampled for thin-section creation, x-ray diffraction (XRD) mineralogical determination, and x-ray fluorescence (XRF) bulk chemical analysis. For the assessment below, thin sections and XRD provide independent confirmation of the mineralogical constituents of the Broom Creek Formation.</p> <p>Thin-section analysis of the sandstone intervals show that quartz (80%) is the dominant mineral. Throughout these intervals are minor occurrence of feldspar (3%), dolomite (5%), and anhydrite as cement (10%). Where present, anhydrite is crystallized between quartz grains and obstructs the intercrystalline porosity. The contact between grains is long (straight) to tangential. The porosity ranges between 20% to 25%.</p> <p>Two distinct carbonate intervals are notable. First is the presence of a very fine- to fine-grained dolostone (80%), with quartz of variable size and shape (5%) and iron oxides (10%) present. The porosity is intercrystalline and not well-developed, averaging 5%. Diagenesis is expressed by dolomitization of the original calcite grains. Fossils are not present in this interval. In the second occurrence of carbonate, the texture becomes coarse and more fossil-rich, comprising fine-grained dolomite (35%), dolomitized fossils (25%), quartz (15%), and silicified fossils (25%). Diagenesis is expressed by the dissolution of dolomite, resulting in shelter and vuggy porosity. The presence of quartz crystallized inside fossils shows</p>	Thickness, ft		298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)		Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi		1.1		Geologic Properties				Formation	Property	Laboratory Analysis	Model Property Distribution	Broom Creek (sandstone)	Porosity, %	21.68 (12.18–33.65)*	25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*	Permeability, mD	419.1 (25.35–5,120)**	277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**	Broom Creek (dolomite)	Porosity, %	6 (2.91–8.54)*	15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*	Permeability, mD	0.08 (0.004–1.12)**	8.65 (0.01– 2,261.53)**	<p>Figure 2-10. Well log display of the interpreted lithologies of the lower Opeche, Broom Creek, and upper Amsden Formation in RTE-10.</p> <p>Figure 2-11a. Regional well log stratigraphic cross sections of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations flattened on the top of the Amsden Formation. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red); 2) delta time (purple) and 3) interpreted lithology log.</p> <p>Figure 2-11b. Regional well log cross sections showing the structure of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red) and 2) delta time (purple).</p> <p>Figure 2-12. Structure map of the Broom Creek Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-13. Cross section of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.</p> <p>Figure 2-14. Vertical distribution of core-derived porosity and permeability values in the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex.</p> <p>Figure 2.15 Laboratory-derived mineralogical characteristics of the Broom Creek Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-16. XRF data from the Broom Creek from RTE-10.</p> <p>Figure 2-17. Upper graph shows cumulative injection vs. time. The two cases overlay each other. Lower graph shows wellhead injection pressure for the two cases. There is no observable change in injection performance.</p> <p>Figure 2-18a. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> molality.</p> <p>Figure 2-18b. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the pH of formation brine. The extent of the pH-affected area is slightly larger (~300 feet) than the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation.</p>
Thickness, ft		298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)																																	
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi		1.1																																	
Geologic Properties																																			
Formation	Property	Laboratory Analysis	Model Property Distribution																																
Broom Creek (sandstone)	Porosity, %	21.68 (12.18–33.65)*	25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*																																
	Permeability, mD	419.1 (25.35–5,120)**	277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**																																
Broom Creek (dolomite)	Porosity, %	6 (2.91–8.54)*	15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*																																
	Permeability, mD	0.08 (0.004–1.12)**	8.65 (0.01– 2,261.53)**																																

			<p>several episodes of crystallization partially obstructing the vuggy porosity. The porosity averages 20%. The anhydrite intervals are expressed as thin beds that separate different sand bodies and as cement. The porosity is almost null.</p> <p>XRD data from the samples supported facies interpretations from core descriptions and thin-section analysis. The Broom Creek Formation core primarily comprises quartz, feldspar, dolomite, anhydrite, clay, and iron oxides (Figure 2-15).</p> <p>XRF data are shown in Figure 2-16 for the Broom Creek Formation. As shown, the majority of the sandstone and dolomite intervals are confirmed through the high percentages of SiO<sub>2</sub> (70%–90%), CaO (5%–10%), and MgO (5%–10%). The high percentage of CaO and SO<sub>3</sub> at 6,640 ft indicates a presence of a thin layer of anhydrite. The formation shows very little clay, with a range of 0.0.5% to 3% being the highest detected.</p> <p><u>To locate permit text, go to Section 2.3.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>2.3.2 Mechanism of Geologic Confinement</b></p> <p>For the RTE project, the initial mechanism for geologic confinement of CO<sub>2</sub> injected into the Broom Creek Formation will be the cap rock (Opeche Formation), which will contain the initially buoyant CO<sub>2</sub> under the effects of relative permeability and capillary pressure. Lateral movement of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will be restricted by residual gas trapping (relative permeability) and solubility trapping (dissolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> into the native formation brine). After the injected CO<sub>2</sub> becomes dissolved in the formation brine, the brine density will increase. This higher-density brine will ultimately sink in the storage formation (convective mixing). Over a much longer period of time (&gt;100 years), mineralization of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will ensure long-term, permanent geologic confinement. Injected CO<sub>2</sub> is not expected to adsorb to any of the mineral constituents of the target formation and, therefore, is not considered to be a viable trapping mechanism in this project. Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> is a trapping mechanism notable in the storage of CO<sub>2</sub> in deep unminable coal seams.</p> <p><b>2.3.3 Geochemical Information of Injection Zone</b></p> <p>Geochemical simulation has been performed to calculate the effects of introducing the CO<sub>2</sub> stream to the injection zone. The effects have been found to be minimal and not threatening to the geologic integrity of the storage system.</p> <p>The injection zone, the Broom Creek Formation, was investigated using the geochemical analysis option available in the Computer Modelling Group Ltd. (CMG) compositional simulation software package GEM. GEM is also the primary simulation software used for evaluation of the reservoir’s dynamic behavior resulting from the expected CO<sub>2</sub> injection. The project’s base case simulation (base case) was rerun with the geochemical analysis option included (geochemistry case), and results from the two cases were compared. Geochemical alteration effects were seen in the geochemistry case, as described below. However, these effects were not significant enough to cause observable change to storage reservoir performance or to mechanical integrity of the storage formation.</p> <p>The geochemistry case was constructed using the base case simulation inputs and assumptions as well as honoring the average mineralogical composition of the Broom Creek rock materials (80% of bulk reservoir volume) and the average formation brine composition (20% of bulk reservoir volume). XRD data from the RTE 10 core samples were used to inform the mineralogical composition of the Broom Creek used in the geochemical modeling (Table 2-8). CO<sub>2</sub> injection stream composition remained the same as the base case, as described by RTE (Table 2-9). The geochemistry case was run for the 20-year injection period followed by 25 years of postinjection shutdown and monitoring.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Table 2-8. XRD Results for RTE-10 Broom Creek Core Samples</th></tr><tr><th colspan="2">Depth 6,599.5 ft</th><th colspan="2">Depth 6,667 ft</th></tr><tr><th>Mineral Data</th><th>%</th><th>Mineral Data</th><th>%</th></tr><tr><td>Kaolinite</td><td>2</td><td>Illite/muscovite</td><td>3.9</td></tr><tr><td>Illite/Muscovite</td><td>5.3</td><td>Chlorite</td><td>1.1</td></tr></table>	Table 2-8. XRD Results for RTE-10 Broom Creek Core Samples				Depth 6,599.5 ft		Depth 6,667 ft		Mineral Data	%	Mineral Data	%	Kaolinite	2	Illite/muscovite	3.9	Illite/Muscovite	5.3	Chlorite	1.1	<p>Figure 2-19. Dissolution and precipitation quantities of reservoir minerals due to CO<sub>2</sub> injection.</p> <p>Figure 2-20a. Molar distribution of key dissolved and precipitated minerals at the end of the injection period. Dissolution of halite is shown by the dark blue color. Compare to the molar CO<sub>2</sub> distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18a. Some reprecipitation of halite is indicated in lower and peripheral areas of the reservoir, as shown by areas of green and yellow color.</p> <p>Figure 2-20b. Molar distribution of key dissolved and precipitated minerals at the end of the injection period. Illite precipitation is indicated throughout the affected area of the reservoir.</p> <p>Figure 2-21. Change in porosity due to geochemical dissolution after the 20-year injection period (compare to the molar CO<sub>2</sub> distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18).</p> <p>Table 2-8. XRD Results for RTE-10 Broom Creek Core Samples</p>
Table 2-8. XRD Results for RTE-10 Broom Creek Core Samples																								
Depth 6,599.5 ft		Depth 6,667 ft																						
Mineral Data	%	Mineral Data	%																					
Kaolinite	2	Illite/muscovite	3.9																					
Illite/Muscovite	5.3	Chlorite	1.1																					



					<table><tr><td>K-Feldspar</td><td>3</td><td>K-feldspar</td><td>12.3</td></tr><tr><td>Quartz</td><td>58.2</td><td>Quartz</td><td>53.2</td></tr><tr><td>Rutile</td><td>0.8</td><td>Calcite</td><td>0.8</td></tr><tr><td>Aphthitalite</td><td>1.1</td><td>Dolomite</td><td>1.3</td></tr><tr><td>Halite</td><td>0.9</td><td>Anhydrite</td><td>27.4</td></tr><tr><td>Anhydrite</td><td>28.7</td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>	K-Feldspar	3	K-feldspar	12.3	Quartz	58.2	Quartz	53.2	Rutile	0.8	Calcite	0.8	Aphthitalite	1.1	Dolomite	1.3	Halite	0.9	Anhydrite	27.4	Anhydrite	28.7				
K-Feldspar	3	K-feldspar	12.3																												
Quartz	58.2	Quartz	53.2																												
Rutile	0.8	Calcite	0.8																												
Aphthitalite	1.1	Dolomite	1.3																												
Halite	0.9	Anhydrite	27.4																												
Anhydrite	28.7																														
			<a href="#">For additional information, go to Section 2.3.3 of the RTE SFP.</a>																												
		<div>c. Data on the confining zone and source of the data which may include geologic cores, outcrop data, seismic surveys, and well logs:<div>Depth</div><div>Areal extent</div><div>Thickness</div><div>Mineralogy</div><div>Porosity</div><div>Permeability</div><div>Capillary pressure</div><div>Facies changes</div></div>	<div><b>2.4 Confining Zones</b></div> <div>The confining zones for the Broom Creek Formation are the overlying Opeche Formation and underlying Amsden Formation (Figure 2-2, Table 2-10). Both the Amsden and the Opeche Formations consist of impermeable rock layers.</div> <div><b>Table 2-10. Properties of Upper and Lower Confining Zones</b></div> <table><tr><th>Confining Zone Properties</th><th>Upper Confining Zone</th><th>Lower Confining Zone</th></tr><tr><td>Formation Name</td><td>Opeche</td><td>Amsden</td></tr><tr><td>Lithology</td><td>Mudstone/siltstone</td><td>Dolomite/shaly sand</td></tr><tr><td>Formation Top Depth, ft</td><td>6,276</td><td>6,677</td></tr><tr><td>Thickness, ft</td><td>103</td><td>329</td></tr><tr><td>Porosity, % (core data)</td><td>4.01 (1.36–9.89)*</td><td>6.13 (2.25–9.24)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD (core data)</td><td>0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**</td><td>0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**</td></tr><tr><td>Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi</td><td>27.1</td><td>23.8</td></tr><tr><td>Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</td><td>4307</td><td>4708</td></tr></table> <div>* Porosity values are reported as the arithmetic mean followed by the range of values in parenthesis. ** Permeability values are reported as the geometric mean followed by the range of values in parenthesis.</div> <div><b>2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone</b></div> <div>In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area (Figures 2-22 and 2-23) and is 6,276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10 and Figure 22-24). The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation’s extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact (Figure 2-25).</div> <div><a href="#">For additional information, go to section 2.4.1 of the RTE SFP.</a></div> <div><b>2.4.1.1 Mineralogy</b></div> <div>Thin-section investigation shows that the Opeche Formation comprises alternating intervals of silty mudstone, argillaceous siltstone, mudstone, and anhydrite. In all, 11 thin sections were created covering greater than 60 ft of the Opeche. The mineral components present are clay, quartz, anhydrite, feldspar, dolomite, and iron oxides. The grains are almost always surrounded by anhydrite or clay as cement or matrix. The rare porosity is due to the dissolution of quartz and feldspar. The porosity ranges between 1% and 3%.</div>	Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone	Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden	Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand	Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677	Thickness, ft	103	329	Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24)*	Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**	Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8	Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4307	4708	<div>Table 2-10. Properties of Upper and Lower Confining Zones</div> <div>Figure 2-22. Areal extent of the Opeche Formation in western North Dakota. Extent is derived from Carlson (1993).</div> <div>Figure 2-23. Structure map of the Opeche Formation across the greater RTE project area.</div> <div>Figure 2-24. Isopach map of the Opeche Formation in the RTE project area.</div> <div>Figure 2-25. Well log display of the Opeche Formation at the RTE-10 well.</div> <div>Figure 2-26. XRF data for the Opeche Formation from RTE-10.</div> <div>Figure 2-27. Change in fluid pH vs. time. Red line shows pH for Cell C1, 0 to 1 meter above the Opeche cap rock base. Yellow line shows Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters above the cap rock base. Green line shows Cell C3, 2 to 3 meters above the cap rock base. pH for Cell C3 does not begin to change until after 35 years. For cases with lower exposure levels, pH for Cell C3 does not change at all.</div> <div>Figure 2-28. Dissolution and precipitation of minerals in the Opeche cap rock. Dashed lines show results for Cell C1, 0 to 1 meter above the cap rock base. Solid lines show results for Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters above the cap rock base; changes are barely visible. Results from Cell C3, 2 to 3 meters above the cap rock base, are not shown as they are too small to be seen.</div> <div>Figure 2-29. Change in percent porosity of the Opeche cap rock. Red line shows porosity change for Cell C1, 0 to 1 meter above the cap rock base. Yellow line shows Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters above the cap rock base. Green line</div>
Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone																													
Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden																													
Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand																													
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677																													
Thickness, ft	103	329																													
Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24)*																													
Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**																													
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8																													
Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4307	4708																													

			<p>XRD data from 11 samples from the RTE-10 core supported facies interpretations from core descriptions and thin-section analysis. The Opeche Formation mainly comprises clay, quartz, dolomite, and anhydrite.</p> <p>XRF analysis of the Opeche Formation shown in Figure 2-26 identifies the major chemical constituents to be dominated by SiO<sub>2</sub> (30%–60%), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (3%–10%), CaO (5%–40%), and MgO (1%–16%) correlating well with the silicate-, carbonate-, and aluminum-rich mineralogy determined by XRD (Figure 2-26). Two samples toward the base of the Opeche show high percentages of CaO and SO<sub>3</sub> attributed to an interval of anhydrite separating the two formations. This correlates with XRD, core description, and thin-section analysis.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.1.2 Geochemical Interaction</i></p> <p>Geochemical simulation using PHREEQC geochemical software was performed to calculate the potential effects of injected CO<sub>2</sub> on the Opeche Formation, the primary confining zone. A vertically oriented 1D simulation was created where the formation was exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> at the bottom boundary of the simulation and allowed to enter the system by diffusion processes. Results were monitored at 1-meter increments above the cap rock–CO<sub>2</sub> exposure boundary. The mineralogical composition of the Opeche determined from XRD analysis was honored (Table 2-13). Formation brine composition was assumed to be the same as the known composition from the Broom Creek injection zone below (Table 2-14). This composition was determined from analysis of fluid samples from the RTE-10 well. CO<sub>2</sub> stream composition was as provided by RTE (Table 2-9). Three different CO<sub>2</sub> exposure levels of the CO<sub>2</sub> stream to the cap rock (1.15, 2.3, and 4.5 moles/yr) were used. These values are considerably higher than the actual expected exposure levels. This was done to ensure that the degree and pace of geochemical change would not be underestimated. These three simulations were run for 45 years to represent 20 years of injection plus 25 years postinjection. The simulations were performed at reservoir pressure and temperature conditions.</p> <p>Results showed geochemical processes at work, but even at extreme exposure levels, these processes did not extend more than 3 meters up into the cap rock during the simulation period. Figures 2-27–2-29 show results from the most extreme exposure case. Figure 2-27 shows change in fluid pH over time as CO<sub>2</sub> enters the system. For the cell at the CO<sub>2</sub> interface, C1, the pH declines to a level of 4.6 before recovering to a value of 5.25. For the cell occupying the space 2 to 3 meters into the cap rock, C3, the pH only begins to change after Year 35. Figure 2-28 shows change in mineral dissolution and precipitation in grams. Dashed lines are for Cell C1; solid lines that are only faintly seen in the figure are from Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters into the cap rock. Any effects in Cell C3 are too small to represent at this scale. Figure 2-29 shows change in porosity of the cap rock. Cell 1 experiences a rapid increase in porosity as it is first exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> due to dissolution. The porosity then decreases around Year 9 due to precipitation. As precipitation occurs in Cell 1, reaction products move into Cell 2 where they precipitate, causing decreased porosity. When CO<sub>2</sub> reaches Cell 2 at Year 9, dissolution occurs, increasing the porosity. Note the scale of percent porosity change, ~0.00001%. The net porosity changes from dissolution and precipitation are miniscule and unchanging in later years of the simulation. These results show that exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> will not cause deterioration of the Opeche cap rock.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones</i></p> <p>Several additional formations provide additional confinement above the Opeche Formation. Impermeable rocks above the primary seal, the Opeche Formation, include the Minnekahta, Spearfish, Piper, Rierdon, and Swift Formations, which make up the first additional group of confining formations (Table 2-15). Together with the Opeche, these formations are 1,200 ft thick and will isolate Broom Creek Formation fluids from migrating upward to the next permeable interval, the Inyan Kara Formation (see Figure 2-30). Above the Inyan Kara Formation, 3,000 ft of impermeable rocks acts as an additional seal between the Inyan Kara and the lowermost USDW, the Fox Hills Formation (see Figure 2-31). Confining layers above the Inyan Kara include the Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre Formations (Table 2-15).</p> <p>These formations between the Broom Creek and Inyan Kara and between the Inyan Kara and lowest USDW have demonstrated the ability to prevent the vertical migration of fluids throughout geologic time and are recognized as impermeable flow barriers in the Williston Basin.</p>	<p>shows Cell C3, 2 to 3 meters above the cap rock base. Long-term change in porosity is miniscule and stabilized.</p> <p>Figure 2-30. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Broom Creek Formation and the top of the Swift Formation. This interval represents the primary and secondary confinement zones.</p> <p>Figure 2-31. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Pierre Formation. This interval represents the tertiary confinement zone.</p> <p>Figure 2-32. Structure map of the Amsden Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-33. Isopach map of the Amsden Formation across the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-34. XRF data for the Amsden Formation from the RTE-10 well.</p> <p>Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)</p>
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>Sandstones of the Inyan Kara Formation comprise the first unit with relatively high porosity and permeability above the injection zone and the primary sealing formation. The Inyan Kara represents the most likely candidate to act as an overlying pressure dissipation zone. In the unlikely event of out-of-zone migration through the primary and secondary sealing formations, CO<sub>2</sub> would become trapped in the Inyan Kara. Monitoring the Inyan Kara Formation provides an additional opportunity for monitoring, mitigation, and remediation (Section 4). The depth to the Inyan Kara Formation in the project area is approximately 4,800 ft, and the formation itself is about 350 ft thick.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to section 2.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <div><p><b>Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)</b></p><table><tr><th>Name of Formation</th><th>Lithology</th><th>Formation Top Depth, ft</th><th>Thickness, ft</th><th>Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</th></tr><tr><td>Pierre</td><td>Shale</td><td>1,969</td><td>2,063</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Greenhorn</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,032</td><td>435</td><td>2,063</td></tr><tr><td>Mowry</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,467</td><td>314</td><td>2,498</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>Sandstone</td><td>4,781</td><td>345</td><td>2,812</td></tr><tr><td>Swift</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,125</td><td>494</td><td>3,156</td></tr><tr><td>Rierdon</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,619</td><td>173</td><td>3,650</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Kline</td><td>Limestone</td><td>5,792</td><td>139</td><td>3,823</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Picard</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,931</td><td>68</td><td>3,962</td></tr><tr><td>Spearfish</td><td>Siltstone</td><td>5,999</td><td>230</td><td>4,030</td></tr><tr><td>Minnekahta</td><td>Limestone</td><td>6,229</td><td>47</td><td>4,260</td></tr></table></div> <p><i>2.4.3 Lower Confining Zones</i></p> <p>The lower confining zone of the storage complex is the Amsden Formation, which comprises primarily dolostone, mudstone, and anhydrite. The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the top of an argillaceous dolostone, with relatively high GR character that could be correlated across the project area (Figures 2-32 and 2-33). The Amsden Formation is 6,677 ft below land surface and 329 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10).</p> <p>The contact between the overlying Broom Creek and Amsden is evident on wireline logs as there is a lithological change from the porous sandstones of the Broom Creek Formation to the dolostone and anhydrite beds of the Amsden Formation. This lithologic change is recognized in the core from RTE-10. The lithology of the cored section of the Amsden from RTE-10 is dolostone, anhydrite, and mudstone with laminated, fine-grained sandstone and siltstone. Three feet below the contact with the Broom Creek is an 11-ft-thick anhydrite layer. Data acquired from the seven core plug samples taken from the Amsden show porosity values ranging from 2.25% to 9.24% and permeability values from &lt;0.001 to 0.595 mD (Table 2-16).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0	Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063	Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498	Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812	Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156	Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650	Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823	Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962	Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030	Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260	
Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft																																																							
Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0																																																							
Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063																																																							
Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498																																																							
Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812																																																							
Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156																																																							
Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650																																																							
Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823																																																							
Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962																																																							
Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030																																																							
Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260																																																							

				<p><i>2.4.3.1 Mineralogy</i></p> <p>Thin-section analysis shows that the Amsden Formation comprises dolomite, anhydrite, sandy dolomite, and shaly sand. The dolomite is expressed by very fine- to fine-grained dolostone (90%), with the presence of quartz of variable size and shape, feldspar, clay, and iron oxides. The porosity is very low and is mainly due to the dissolution of feldspar and quartz. The porosity averages 5% (Table 2-16).</p> <p>Anhydrite is present as beds that separate the dolomite intervals. It is composed of needles of anhydrite with minor inclusions of iron oxides. Also, dolomite and quartz are present and found filling rare fractures. The porosity is almost null.</p> <p>The sandy dolomite is mainly composed of dolomite and grains of quartz. Minor iron oxides and feldspar are present, with rare occurrence of anhydrite observed. The grains of quartz are almost always separated by dolomite cement. The porosity is mainly due to the dissolution of feldspar and averages 5%.</p> <p>Finally, the shaly sandstone comprises quartz, clay, and dolomite. A minor presence of feldspar, anhydrite, and iron oxides exists. The grains of quartz and anhydrite are almost always separated by the dolomite cement and clay minerals. The porosity is very low, averaging 5% and is mainly due to the dissolution of feldspar and quartz.</p> <p>XRD was performed, and the results confirm the observations made during core analyses and thin-section description.</p> <p>XRF data show the Amsden Formation has the same major chemical constituents as the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-34). However, the formation at the contact with the Broom Creek is dominated by CaO and SO<sub>3</sub> (major chemical elements of anhydrite). As the formation gets deeper, the chemistry changes to a more carbonate-rich siltstone, as shown by the high percentage of SiO<sub>2</sub>, CaO, and MgO.</p> <p><u>To locate permit text, go to Section 2.4.3.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.3.2 Geochemical Interaction</i></p> <p>Review of simulation results of the Broom Creek Formation suggest that neither free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> saturation nor CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in formation brine will come in contact with the Amsden Formation. Therefore, no geochemical reaction effects are anticipated in the Amsden.</p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) ¶	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)</b></p> <p>(2) A geologic and hydrogeologic evaluation of the facility area, including an evaluation of all existing information on all geologic strata overlying the storage reservoir, including the immediate caprock containment characteristics and all subsurface zones to be used for monitoring. The evaluation must include any available geophysical data and assessments of any regional tectonic activity, local seismicity and regional or local fault zones, and a comprehensive description of local and regional structural or stratigraphic features. The evaluation must describe the storage reservoir’s mechanisms of geologic confinement, including rock properties, regional</p>	<p>d. A description of the storage reservoir’s mechanisms of geologic confinement characteristics with regard to preventing migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Rock properties</li><li>Regional pressure gradients</li><li>Adsorption processes</li></ul>	<p><b>2.3.2 Mechanism of Geologic Confinement</b></p> <p>For the RTE project, the initial mechanism for geologic confinement of CO<sub>2</sub> injected into the Broom Creek Formation will be the cap rock (Opeche Formation), which will contain the initially buoyant CO<sub>2</sub> under the effects of relative permeability and capillary pressure. Lateral movement of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will be restricted by residual gas trapping (relative permeability) and solubility trapping (dissolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> into the native formation brine). After the injected CO<sub>2</sub> becomes dissolved in the formation brine, the brine density will increase. This higher-density brine will ultimately sink in the storage formation (convective mixing). Over a much longer period of time (&gt;100 years), mineralization of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will ensure long-term, permanent geologic confinement. Injected CO<sub>2</sub> is not expected to adsorb to any of the mineral constituents of the target formation and, therefore, is not considered to be a viable trapping mechanism in this project. Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> is a trapping mechanism notable in the storage of CO<sub>2</sub> in deep unminable coal seams.</p>	<p>Figure 2-6. Map showing the extent of the 7.8-square-mile 3D seismic survey in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-7. Cross section of the inverted compressional wave velocity volume that transects the RTE-10 well. The compressional wave velocities from the RTE-10 sonic log are shown on the inset panel.</p> <p>Figure 2-8. Areal extent of the Broom Creek Formation in North Dakota.</p>



		pressure gradients, structural features, and adsorption characteristics with regard to the ability of that confinement to prevent migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir. The evaluation must also identify any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the facility area and any underground sources of drinking water in the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary. The evaluation must include exhibits and plan view maps showing the following:			
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(g)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(g) (g) Identification of all structural spill points or stratigraphic discontinuities controlling the isolation of stored carbon dioxide and associated fluids within the storage reservoir.	e. Identification of all characteristics controlling the isolation of stored carbon dioxide and associated fluids within the storage reservoir, including: Structural spill points Stratigraphic discontinuities	<p><b>2.3.2 Mechanism of Geologic Confinement</b></p> <p>For the RTE project, the initial mechanism for geologic confinement of CO<sub>2</sub> injected into the Broom Creek Formation will be the cap rock (Opeche Formation), which will contain the initially buoyant CO<sub>2</sub> under the effects of relative permeability and capillary pressure. Lateral movement of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will be restricted by residual gas trapping (relative permeability) and solubility trapping (dissolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> into the native formation brine). After the injected CO<sub>2</sub> becomes dissolved in the formation brine, the brine density will increase. This higher-density brine will ultimately sink in the storage formation (convective mixing). Over a much longer period of time (&gt;100 years), mineralization of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will ensure long-term, permanent geologic confinement. Injected CO<sub>2</sub> is not expected to adsorb to any of the mineral constituents of the target formation and, therefore, is not considered to be a viable trapping mechanism in this project. Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> is a trapping mechanism notable in the storage of CO<sub>2</sub> in deep unminable coal seams.</p> <p><b>2.2.2.6 Seismic Survey</b> A 7.8-square-mile 3D seismic survey was acquired in early 2019 (Figure 2-6). The 3D seismic data allowed for visualization of deep geologic formations at lateral spatial intervals as short as tens of feet. The seismic data were used for assessment of geologic structure, interpretation of interwell heterogeneity, and to inform well placement. Additionally, data products generated from the interpretation of the 3D seismic data were used as inputs into the geologic model.</p> <p>The 3D seismic data and RTE-10 well logs were used to interpret surfaces for the formations of interest within the survey area. These surfaces were converted to depth using the time-to-depth relationship derived from the RTE-10 sonic log. The depth-converted surfaces for the storage reservoir and upper and lower confining zones were used as inputs for the geologic model. These surfaces captured detailed information about the structure and varying thickness of the formations between wells. Interpretation of the 3D seismic data suggests there are no major stratigraphic pinch-outs or structural features with associated spill points in the RTE project area. No structural features, faults, or discontinuities that would cause a concern about seal integrity were observed in the seismic data. Section 2.5.2 describes interpretation of the seismic data in more detail.</p> <p>The 3D seismic data were also used to gain a better understanding of interwell heterogeneity across the study area for petrophysical property distributions. The 3D seismic data suggest the interbedded dolomite and anhydrite intervals within the Broom Creek Formation seen in RTE-10 are laterally discontinuous in the RTE project area; however, the data do not suggest that these lower-permeability intervals compartmentalize the storage reservoir in the RTE project area. A compressional wave (P-wave) velocity volume was created using the 3D seismic data and RTE-10 sonic and density log data (Figure 2-7). The velocity volume was used to classify sandstone and dolostone lithofacies of the Broom Creek Formation and distribute lithofacies through the geologic model as well as inform petrophysical property distribution in the geologic model.</p>	<p>Figure 2-6. Map showing the extent of the 7.8-square-mile 3D seismic survey in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-7. Cross section of the inverted compressional wave velocity volume that transects the RTE-10 well. The compressional wave velocities from the RTE-10 sonic log are shown on the inset panel.</p> <p>Figure 2-8. Areal extent of the Broom Creek Formation in North Dakota.</p> <p>Figure 2-17. Upper graph shows cumulative injection vs. time. The two cases overlay each other. Lower graph shows wellhead injection pressure for the two cases. There is no observable change in injection performance.</p> <p>Figure 2-18a. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> molality.</p> <p>Figure 2-18b. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the pH of formation brine. The extent of the pH-affected area is slightly larger (~300 feet) than the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation.</p> <p>Figure 2-19. Dissolution and precipitation quantities of reservoir minerals due to CO<sub>2</sub> injection.</p> <p>Figure 2-20. Molar distribution of key dissolved and precipitated minerals at the end of the injection period. Left: halite showing dissolution in the areas of dark blue color.</p>

					<p>Compare to the molar CO<sub>2</sub> distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18. Some reprecipitation of halite is indicated in lower and peripheral areas of the reservoir, as shown by areas of green and yellow color. Right: illite precipitation is indicated throughout the affected area of the reservoir.</p> <p>Figure 2-21. Change in porosity due to geochemical dissolution after the 20-year injection period (compare to the molar CO<sub>2</sub> distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18).</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)c	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)c (c) Any regional or local faulting;	f. Any regional or local faulting;	<p><b>2.5 Faults, Fractures, and Seismic Activity</b></p> <p>In the RTE project area, no known or suspected regional faults or fractures with sufficient permeability and vertical extent to allow fluid movement between formations have been identified through site-specific characterization activities, previous studies, or oil and gas exploration activities.</p> <p>Regional structural features, including the Heart River Fault and collapse features above the Broom Creek Formation, are discussed in this section as well as the data that support the low probability that these features will interfere with containment. This section also discusses the seismic history of North Dakota and low probability that seismic activity will interfere with containment.</p> <p><b>2.5.1 Heart River Fault</b></p> <p>The Heart River Fault is located 3.2 miles southwest of the RTE plant and 1.4 miles from the outer edge of the AoR for the RTE project (Figure 2-46). This high-angle reverse fault originates in the Precambrian basement. Through the interpretation of seismic data, the offset of the Heart River Fault is interpreted to be less than 400 ft in rocks up through the Stony Mountain, Stonewall, and lower Interlake Formations (Figure 2-47), well below the Broom Creek Formation (Figure 2-2). Formations between the lower Interlake Formation and the Niobrara show some flexure from the fault but have no apparent offset.</p>	<p>Figure 2-46. Map showing the trend of the Heart River Fault in the RTE project area. The blue line is a 2D seismic line transecting the Heart River Fault. See Figure 2-47 for a geologic interpretation along the seismic line.</p> <p>Figure 2-47. Seismic Line 3022 showing the interpreted location of the Heart River Fault shown in purple (Chimney and others, 1992). Faulting offset is observed in the Winnipeg horizon, but only slight flexure is observed in other overlying interpreted horizons.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(j)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(j) (j) The location, orientation, and properties of known or suspected faults and fractures that may transect the confining zone in the area of review, and a determination that they would not interfere with containment.	g. Properties of known or suspected faults and fractures that may transect the confining zone in the area of review: Location Orientation Determination of the probability that they would interfere with containment	<p><b>2.5.1 Heart River Fault</b></p> <p>The Heart River Fault is located 3.2 miles southwest of the RTE plant and 1.4 miles from the outer edge of the AoR for the RTE project (Figure 2-46). This high-angle reverse fault originates in the Precambrian basement. Through the interpretation of seismic data, the offset of the Heart River Fault is interpreted to be less than 400 ft in rocks up through the Stony Mountain, Stonewall, and lower Interlake Formations (Figure 2-47), well below the Broom Creek Formation (Figure 2-2). Formations between the lower Interlake Formation and the Niobrara show some flexure from the fault but have no apparent offset.</p>	<p>Figure 2-46. Map showing the trend of the Heart River Fault in the RTE project area. The blue line is a 2D seismic line transecting the Heart River Fault. See Figure 2-47 for a geologic interpretation along the seismic line.</p> <p>Figure 2-47. Seismic Line 3022 showing the interpreted location of the Heart River Fault shown in purple (Chimney and others, 1992). Faulting offset is observed in the Winnipeg horizon, but only slight flexure is observed in other overlying interpreted horizons.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) ¶ & §1b(2)(m)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) (2) A geologic and hydrogeologic evaluation of the facility area, including an evaluation of all existing information on all geologic strata overlying the storage reservoir, including the immediate caprock containment characteristics and all	h. Information on any regional tectonic activity, and the seismic history, including: The presence and depth of seismic sources. Determination of the probability that seismicity would interfere with containment.	<p><b>2.5 Faults, Fractures, and Seismic Activity</b></p> <p>In the RTE project area, no known or suspected regional faults or fractures with sufficient permeability and vertical extent to allow fluid movement between formations have been identified through site-specific characterization activities, previous studies, or oil and gas exploration activities.</p> <p>Regional structural features, including the Heart River Fault and collapse features above the Broom Creek Formation, are discussed in this section as well as the data that support the low probability that these features will interfere with</p>	<p>Table 2-21. Summary of Earthquakes Reported to Have Occurred in North Dakota (from Anderson, 2016)</p> <p>Figure 2-46. Map showing the trend of the Heart River Fault in the RTE project area. The blue line is a 2D seismic line transecting the Heart River Fault. See Figure 2-47 for a geologic interpretation along the seismic line.</p>

		<p>subsurface zones to be used for monitoring. The evaluation must include any available geophysical data and assessments of any regional tectonic activity, local seismicity and regional or local fault zones, and a comprehensive description of local and regional structural or stratigraphic features. The evaluation must describe the storage reservoir's mechanisms of geologic confinement, including rock properties, regional pressure gradients, structural features, and adsorption characteristics with regard to the ability of that confinement to prevent migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir. The evaluation must also identify any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the facility area and any underground sources of drinking water in the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary. The evaluation must include exhibits and plan view maps showing the following:</p> <p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(m)</b> (m) Information on the seismic history, including the presence and depth of seismic sources and a determination that the seismicity would not interfere with containment.</p>		<p>containment. This section also discusses the seismic history of North Dakota and low probability that seismic activity will interfere with containment.</p> <p><b>2.5.1 Heart River Fault</b></p> <p>The Heart River Fault is located 3.2 miles southwest of the RTE plant and 1.4 miles from the outer edge of the AoR for the RTE project (Figure 2-46). This high-angle reverse fault originates in the Precambrian basement. Through the interpretation of seismic data, the offset of the Heart River Fault is interpreted to be less than 400 ft in rocks up through the Stony Mountain, Stonewall, and lower Interlake Formations (Figure 2-47), well below the Broom Creek Formation (Figure 2-2). Formations between the lower Interlake Formation and the Niobrara show some flexure from the fault but have no apparent offset.</p> <p><b>2.5.2 Collapse Features above the Broom Creek Formation</b></p> <p>The analysis of 3D seismic data acquired specifically for the RTE project in 2019 (Figure 2-6) revealed evidence for suspected collapse features in strata above the Broom Creek Formation. These features appear as depressions in the seismic data and are bounded by dipping or offset reflections (Figure 2-48 and 2-49). These collapse features correlate to 30–50-ft decreases in thickness in known evaporite-bearing formations, the Spearfish and Opeche Formations, suggesting they were caused by dissolution of evaporites and subsequent collapse of overlying sediments (Figure 2-50). The polygonal nature of these features also supports the interpretation of collapse features. The vertical extent of these features and increased thickness in the Inyan Kara Formation suggest collapse of overlying sediment ceased during the deposition of the Inyan Kara and the depressions were filled in with newly deposited sediment (Figures 2-48 and 2-51). The lack of deformation to the reflections in the upper Inyan Kara supports the argument that collapse caused by dissolution stopped during the early Cretaceous.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.5.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>2.5.3 Seismic Activity</b></p> <p>The Williston Basin is a tectonically stable region of the North American Craton. Zhou and others (2008) summarize that “the Williston Basin as a whole is in an overburden compressive stress regime,” which could be attributed to the general stability of the North American Craton. Interpreted structural features associated with tectonic activity in the Williston Basin in North Dakota include anticlinal and synclinal structures in the western half of the state, lineaments associated with Precambrian basement block boundaries, and faults (North Dakota Industrial Commission, 2019).</p> <p>Between 1870 and 2015, 13 earthquakes have been detected within the North Dakota portion of the Williston Basin (Table 2-21) (Anderson, 2016). Of these 13 earthquakes, only three have occurred along one of the eight interpreted Precambrian basement faults in the North Dakota portion of the Williston Basin (Figure 2-52). The earthquake recorded closest to the RTE project occurred in 1927 9.4 miles to the east, near Hebron, North Dakota (Table 2-21). The magnitude of this earthquake is estimated to have been 3.2.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.5.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>Figure 2-47. Seismic Line 3022 showing the interpreted location of the Heart River Fault shown in purple (Chimney and others, 1992). Faulting offset is observed in the Winnipeg horizon, but only slight flexure is observed in other overlying interpreted horizons.</p> <p>Figure 2-48. Cross-sectional view of the 3D seismic data through the proposed injection well, RTE-10, showing the interpreted boundaries of the collapse features in orange. Identified formations include Inyan Kara (yellow), Rierdon (green), Spearfish (aqua), Minnekahta (pink), Broom Creek (magenta), and Amsden (red). The collapse features near the proposed injection well do not extend below the Spearfish Formation. The red arrow indicates an area of increased thickness in sediment above these features. Figure 2-49 shows the location of this cross section.</p> <p>Figure 2-49. The location of the cross section highlighted in Figure 2-48.</p> <p>Figure 2-50. Map showing the thickness of the Spearfish–Minnekahta Formations calculated using the seismic data. Several of the interpreted collapse features correspond to areas of decreased thickness.</p> <p>Figure 2-51. Maps showing the thickness of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Rierdon Formation calculated using the seismic data. The increased thickness supports that the collapse features formed prior to or during the deposition of the Inyan Kara.</p> <p>Figure 2-52. Location of major faults, tectonic boundaries, and earthquakes in North Dakota (modified from Anderson, 2016). The black dots indicate earthquake locations listed in Table 2-20.</p> <p>Figure 2-53. Probabilistic map showing how often scientists expect damaging earthquake shaking around the United States (U.S. Geological Survey, 2019). The map shows there is a low probability of damaging earthquake events occurring in North Dakota.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) ¶	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)</b> (2) A geologic and hydrogeologic evaluation of the facility area, including an evaluation of all existing</p>	i. Illustration of the regional geology, hydrogeology, and the geologic structure of the storage reservoir area: Geologic maps	<p><b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b></p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek</p>	<p>Figure 2-8. Areal extent of the Broom Creek Formation in North Dakota.</p>

	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(n)	information on all geologic strata overlying the storage reservoir, including the immediate caprock containment characteristics and all subsurface zones to be used for monitoring. The evaluation must include any available geophysical data and assessments of any regional tectonic activity, local seismicity and regional or local fault zones, and a comprehensive description of local and regional structural or stratigraphic features. The evaluation must describe the storage reservoir’s mechanisms of geologic confinement, including rock properties, regional pressure gradients, structural features, and adsorption characteristics with regard to the ability of that confinement to prevent migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir. The evaluation must also identify any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the facility area and any underground sources of drinking water in the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary. The evaluation must include exhibits and plan view maps showing the following:  NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(n) (n) Geologic and topographic maps and cross sections illustrating regional geology, hydrogeology, and the geologic structure of the facility area.	Topographic maps Cross sections	<p>Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</p> <p><b>Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Complex</b></p> <table><tr><th rowspan="4">Storage Complex</th><th>Formation</th><th>Purpose</th><th>Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft</th><th>Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD, ft</th><th>Lithology</th></tr><tr><td>Opeche</td><td>Upper confining zone</td><td>103</td><td>3,871</td><td>Mudstone/siltstone</td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)</td><td>313</td><td>3,974</td><td>Sandstone, dolomite</td></tr><tr><td>Amsden</td><td>Lower confining zone</td><td>329</td><td>4,285</td><td>Dolomite/shaly sand</td></tr></table> <p><b>Table 2-6. Description of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Reservoir (injection zone) at the RTE-10 Well</b></p> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Injection Zone Properties</th></tr><tr><th>Property</th><th>Description</th></tr><tr><td>Formation Name</td><td>Broom Creek</td></tr><tr><td>Lithology</td><td>Sandstone, dolomite</td></tr><tr><td>Formation Top Depth, ft</td><td>6,379</td></tr><tr><td>Thickness, ft</td><td>298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)</td></tr><tr><td>Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi</td><td>1.1</td></tr><tr><th colspan="2">Geologic Properties</th></tr><tr><th>Formation</th><th>Property</th><th>Laboratory Analysis</th><th>Model Property Distribution</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Broom Creek (sandstone)</td><td>Porosity, %</td><td>21.68 (12.18–33.65)*</td><td>25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD</td><td>419.1 (25.35–5,120)**</td><td>277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Broom Creek (dolomite)</td><td>Porosity, %</td><td>6 (2.91–8.54)*</td><td>15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD</td><td>0.08 (0.004–1.12)**</td><td>8.65 (0.01–2,261.53)**</td></tr></table> <p><b>2.4 Confining Zones</b></p> <p>The confining zones for the Broom Creek Formation are the overlying Opeche Formation and underlying Amsden Formation. Both the Amsden and the Opeche Formations consist of impermeable rock layers.</p>	Storage Complex	Formation	Purpose	Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft	Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD, ft	Lithology	Opeche	Upper confining zone	103	3,871	Mudstone/siltstone	Broom Creek	Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)	313	3,974	Sandstone, dolomite	Amsden	Lower confining zone	329	4,285	Dolomite/shaly sand	Injection Zone Properties		Property	Description	Formation Name	Broom Creek	Lithology	Sandstone, dolomite	Formation Top Depth, ft	6,379	Thickness, ft	298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)	Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	1.1	Geologic Properties		Formation	Property	Laboratory Analysis	Model Property Distribution	Broom Creek (sandstone)	Porosity, %	21.68 (12.18–33.65)*	25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*	Permeability, mD	419.1 (25.35–5,120)**	277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**	Broom Creek (dolomite)	Porosity, %	6 (2.91–8.54)*	15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*	Permeability, mD	0.08 (0.004–1.12)**	8.65 (0.01–2,261.53)**	<p>Figure 2-9. Isopach map of the Broom Creek Formation in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-10. Well log display of the interpreted lithologies of the lower Opeche, Broom Creek, and upper Amsden Formation in RTE-10.</p> <p>Figure 2-11a. Regional well log stratigraphic cross sections of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations flattened on the top of the Amsden Formation. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red), 2) delta time (purple), and 3) interpreted lithology log.</p> <p>Figure 2-11b. Regional well log cross sections showing the structure of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red) and 2) delta time (purple).</p> <p>Figure 2-12. Structure map of the Broom Creek Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-13. Cross section of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.</p> <p>Figure 2-22. Areal extent of the Opeche Formation in western North Dakota. Extent is derived from Carlson (1993).</p> <p>Figure 2-23. Structure map of the Opeche Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-24. Isopach map of the Opeche Formation in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-25. Well log display of the Opeche Formation at the RTE-10 well.</p> <p>Figure 2-30. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Broom Creek Formation and the top of the Swift Formation. This interval represents the primary and secondary confinement zones.</p> <p>Figure 2-31. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Pierre Formation. This interval represents the tertiary confinement zone.</p>
Storage Complex	Formation	Purpose	Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft	Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD, ft		Lithology																																																						
	Opeche	Upper confining zone	103	3,871		Mudstone/siltstone																																																						
	Broom Creek	Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)	313	3,974		Sandstone, dolomite																																																						
	Amsden	Lower confining zone	329	4,285	Dolomite/shaly sand																																																							
Injection Zone Properties																																																												
Property	Description																																																											
Formation Name	Broom Creek																																																											
Lithology	Sandstone, dolomite																																																											
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,379																																																											
Thickness, ft	298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)																																																											
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	1.1																																																											
Geologic Properties																																																												
Formation	Property	Laboratory Analysis	Model Property Distribution																																																									
Broom Creek (sandstone)	Porosity, %	21.68 (12.18–33.65)*	25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*																																																									
	Permeability, mD	419.1 (25.35–5,120)**	277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**																																																									
Broom Creek (dolomite)	Porosity, %	6 (2.91–8.54)*	15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*																																																									
	Permeability, mD	0.08 (0.004–1.12)**	8.65 (0.01–2,261.53)**																																																									



				<div><div><b>Table 2-9. Properties of Upper and Lower Confining Zones</b></div><table><tr><th>Confining Zone Properties</th><th>Upper Confining Zone</th><th>Lower Confining Zone</th></tr><tr><td>Formation Name</td><td>Opeche</td><td>Amsden</td></tr><tr><td>Lithology</td><td>Mudstone/siltstone</td><td>Dolomite/shaly sand</td></tr><tr><td>Formation Top Depth, ft</td><td>6,276</td><td>6,677</td></tr><tr><td>Thickness, ft</td><td>103</td><td>159</td></tr><tr><td>Porosity, % (core data)</td><td>4.01 (1.36–9.89)*</td><td>6.13 (2.25–9.24) *</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD (core data)</td><td>0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**</td><td>0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**</td></tr><tr><td>Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi</td><td>27.1</td><td>23.8</td></tr><tr><td>Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</td><td>4,307</td><td>4,708</td></tr></table><div><b>2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone</b><p>In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area and is 6,276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site. The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation’s extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact.</p><p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p><b>2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones</b><p>Several additional formations provide additional confinement above the Opeche Formation. Impermeable rocks above the primary seal, the Opeche Formation, include the Minnekahta, Spearfish, Piper, Rierdon, and Swift Formations, which make up the first additional group of confining formations. Together with the Opeche, these formations are 1200 ft thick and will isolate Broom Creek Formation fluids from migrating upward to the next permeable interval, the Inyan Kara Formation. Above the Inyan Kara Formation, 3,000 ft of impermeable rocks acts as an additional seal between the Inyan Kara and the lowermost USDW, the Fox Hills Formation. Confining layers above the Inyan Kara include the Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre Formations.</p><p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p><div><b>Table 2-14. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)</b><table><tr><th>Name of Formation</th><th>Lithology</th><th>Formation Top Depth, ft</th><th>Thickness, ft</th><th>Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</th></tr><tr><td>Pierre</td><td>Shale</td><td>1,969</td><td>2,063</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Greenhorn</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,032</td><td>435</td><td>2,063</td></tr><tr><td>Mowry</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,467</td><td>314</td><td>2,498</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>Sandstone</td><td>4,781</td><td>345</td><td>2,812</td></tr><tr><td>Swift</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,125</td><td>494</td><td>3,156</td></tr><tr><td>Rierdon</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,619</td><td>173</td><td>3,650</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Kline</td><td>Limestone</td><td>5,792</td><td>139</td><td>3,823</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Picard</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,931</td><td>68</td><td>3,962</td></tr><tr><td>Spearfish</td><td>Siltstone</td><td>5,999</td><td>230</td><td>4,030</td></tr><tr><td>Minnekahta</td><td>Limestone</td><td>6,229</td><td>47</td><td>4,260</td></tr></table></div></div></div>	Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone	Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden	Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand	Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677	Thickness, ft	103	159	Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24) *	Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**	Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4,307	4,708	Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0	Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063	Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498	Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812	Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156	Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650	Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823	Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962	Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030	Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260	<div><p>Figure 2-32. Structure map of the Amsden Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p><p>Figure 2-33. Isopach map of the Amsden Formation across the RTE project area.</p><p>Figure 3-8. Major aquifer systems of the Williston Basin.</p><p>Figure 3-9. Upper stratigraphy of Stark County showing the stratigraphic relationship of Cretaceous and Tertiary groundwater-bearing formations (modified from Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p><p>Figure 3-10. Depth to surface of the Fox Hills Formation in western North Dakota (Fischer, 2013).</p><p>Figure 3-11. Potentiometric surface of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system shown in feet of hydraulic head above sea level. Flow is to the northeast through the area of investigation in central Stark County (modified from Fischer, 2013).</p><p>Figure 3-12. Map of water wells in the AoR in relation to the RTE Facility, RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells, stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, facility area, 1-mile AoR, and legacy oil and gas wells.</p><p>Figure 3-13. West–east cross section of the major aquifer layers in Stark County (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The black dots on the inset map represent the locations of the wells illustrated on the cross section.</p><p>Figure 3-14. Cross section of the major aquifer layers in the RTE storage facility area (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The location of the water wells used to create the cross section are represented on the inset map. The water wells are labeled with their designation which also correlates to their township range location (e.g., 139-092-18CCC is located in T139N R92W, Section 18).</p></div>
Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone																																																																																					
Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden																																																																																					
Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand																																																																																					
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677																																																																																					
Thickness, ft	103	159																																																																																					
Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24) *																																																																																					
Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**																																																																																					
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8																																																																																					
Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4,307	4,708																																																																																					
Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft																																																																																			
Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0																																																																																			
Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063																																																																																			
Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498																																																																																			
Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812																																																																																			
Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156																																																																																			
Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650																																																																																			
Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823																																																																																			
Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962																																																																																			
Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030																																																																																			
Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260																																																																																			
			<div><b>2.4.3 Lower Confining Zones</b></div>																																																																																				

			<p>The lower confining zone of the storage complex is the Amsden Formation, which comprises primarily dolostone, mudstone, and anhydrite. The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the top of an argillaceous dolostone, with relatively high GR character that could be correlated across the project area. The Amsden Formation is 6,677 ft below land surface and 329 ft thick at the RTE site.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>3.4 Protection of USDWs</b></p> <p><b><i>3.4.1 Introduction of USDW Protection</i></b></p> <p>The primary confining zone and additional overlying confining zones geologically isolate the Fox Hills Formation, the lowest underground source of drinking water (USDW) in the AoR. The Opeche Formation is the primary confining zone with additional confining layers above, geologically isolating all USDWs from the injection zone (Table 2-14).</p> <p><b><i>3.4.2 Geology of USDW Formations</i></b></p> <p>The hydrogeology of western North Dakota is composed of several shallow freshwater-bearing formations of the Quaternary, Tertiary, and upper Cretaceous-aged sediments underlain by multiple saline aquifer systems of the Williston Basin (Figure 3-8). These saline and freshwater systems are separated by the Cretaceous Pierre Shale of the Williston Basin, a regionally extensive shale between 1,000 and 1,500 ft thick (Thamke and others, 2014).</p> <p>The freshwater aquifers comprise the Cretaceous Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations; the overlying Cannonball, Tongue River, and Sentinel Butte Formations of the Tertiary Fort Union Group; and the Tertiary Golden Valley and White River Formations (Figure 3-9). Above these are undifferentiated alluvial and glacial drift Quaternary aquifer layers, which are not necessarily present in all parts of the AoR (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>The lowest USDW in the AoR is the Fox Hills Formation, which together with the overlying Hell Creek Formation, is a confined aquifer system. The Hell Creek Formation is a poorly consolidated unit composed of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, and claystones with occasional carbonaceous beds, all fluvial origin. The underlying Fox Hills Formation is interpreted as interbedded nearshore marine deposits of sand, silt, and shale deposited as part of the final Western Interior Seaway retreat (Fischer, 2013). The Fox Hills Formation in the AoR is approximately 1,000 to 1,600 ft deep and 240–400 ft thick. The structure of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations follows that of the Williston Basin, dipping gently toward the center of the basin to the northwest of the AoR (Figure 3-10).</p> <p>The Pierre Shale is a thick, regionally extensive shale unit which forms the lower boundary of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system, also isolating all overlying freshwater aquifers from the deeper saline aquifer systems. The Pierre Shale is a dark gray to black marine shale and is typically over 1,000 ft thick in the AoR (Thamke and others, 2014).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to section 3.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b><i>3.4.3 Hydrology of USDW Formations</i></b></p> <p>The aquifers of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations are hydraulically connected and function as a single confined aquifer system (Fischer, 2013). The Bacon Creek Member of the Hell Creek Formation forms a regional aquitard for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system, isolating it from the overlying aquifer layers. Recharge for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system occurs in southwestern North Dakota along the Cedar Creek Anticline and discharges into overlying strata under central and eastern North Dakota (Fischer, 2013). Flow through the AoR is to the northeast (Figure 3-11). Water sampled from the Fox Hills Formation is sodium bicarbonate type with a total dissolved solids (TDS) content of approximately 1,500–1,600 ppm. Previous analysis of Fox Hills Formation water has also noted high levels of fluoride, more than 5 mg/L (Trapp and Croft, 1975). As such, the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system is typically not used as a primary source of drinking water. However, it is occasionally produced for irrigation and/or livestock watering. One active Fox Hills Formation well in AoR is located immediately south of the RTE site on the south side of Interstate 94 (Figure 3-12). Two other Fox Hills wells previously served the city of Richardton, North Dakota, but were plugged and abandoned in the late 1990s.</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

				<p>Multiple other freshwater-bearing units, primarily of Tertiary age, overlie the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system in the AoR (Figure 3-13). These formations are often used for domestic and agricultural purposes. The Cannonball and Tongue River Formations comprise the major aquifer units of the Fort Union Group, which overlies the Hell Creek Formation. The Cannonball Formation consists of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, claystone, and thin lignite beds of marine origin. The Tongue River Formation is predominantly sandstone interbedded with siltstone, claystone, lignite, and occasional carbonaceous shales. The basal sandstone member of the Tongue River is persistent and a reliable source of groundwater in the region. Thickness of this basal sand ranges from approximately 50 to 200 ft and can be found at a depth of approximately 550 ft. Tongue River groundwaters are generally sodium bicarbonate with a TDS of approximately 1,000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>The Sentinel Butte Formation, a silty fine- to medium-grained sandstone with claystone and lignite interbeds, overlies the Tongue River Formation. The upper Sentinel Butte Formation is predominantly sandstone with lignite interbeds, forming another important source of groundwater in the region. Generally, the upper Sentinel Butte is 100 to 150 ft thick in the AoR. TDS in the Sentinel Butte Formation range from approximately 400–1,000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 3.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>3.4.4 Protection of USDWs</b></p> <p>The Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system is the lowest USDW in the AoR. The injection zone (Broom Creek Formation) and the lowest USDW (Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system) are isolated geologically and hydrologically by multiple impermeable rock layers consisting of shale and siltstone formations of Permian, Jurassic, and Cretaceous ages (Figure 3-8). The primary seal of the injection zone is the Permian-aged Opeche Formation with the shales of the Permian-aged Spearfish, the Jurassic-aged Piper, Reirton, and Swift Formations, all of which overly the Opeche Formation. Above the Swift is the confined saltwater aquifer system of the Inyan Kara Formation, which extends across much of the Williston Basin. The Inyan Kara will be monitored for temperature and pressure changes in the injection well (RTE-10) and the monitoring well (RTE-10.2). Results for baseline geochemical data for USDWs in the AoR can be found in Appendix C. Above the Inyan Kara are the Cretaceous-aged shale formations Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre. The Pierre Formation is the thickest shale formation in the AoR and the primary geologic barrier between the USDWs and the injection zone. The geologic strata overlying the injection zone consists of multiple impermeable rock layers that are free of transmissive faults or fractures and provide adequate isolation of the USDWs from CO<sub>2</sub> injection activities in the AoR.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 3.4.4 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(d)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(d) (d) An isopach map of the storage reservoirs.	j. An isopach map of the storage reservoir(s);	<p><b>Figure 2-9</b></p> <p><b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b></p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	Figure 2-9. Isopach map of the Broom Creek Formation in the RTE project area.
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(e)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(e) (e) An isopach map of the primary and any secondary containment barrier for the storage reservoir.	k. An isopach map of the primary containment barrier for the storage reservoir.	<p><b>Figure 2-24 and Figure 2-33</b></p> <p><b>2.4 Confining Zones</b></p>	Figure 2-24. Isopach map of the Opeche Formation in the RTE project area.  Figure 2-33. Isopach map of the Amsden Formation across the RTE project area.

				<p>The confining zones for the Broom Creek Formation are the overlying Opeche Formation and underlying Amsden Formation (Figure 2-2, Table 2-10). Both the Amsden and the Opeche Formations consist of impermeable rock layers.</p> <p><b>2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone</b></p> <p>In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area (Figures 2-22 and 2-23) and is 6,276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10 and Figure 22-24). The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation’s extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact (Figure 2-25).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>2.4.3 Lower Confining Zones</b></p> <p>The lower confining zone of the storage complex is the Amsden Formation, which comprises primarily dolostone, mudstone, and anhydrite. The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the top of an argillaceous dolostone, with relatively high GR character that could be correlated across the project area (Figures 2-32 and 2-33). The Amsden Formation is 6,677 ft below land surface and 329 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	
			I. An isopach map of the secondary containment barrier for the storage reservoir.	<p><b>Figure 2-30 and Figure 2-31</b></p> <p><b>2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones</b></p> <p>Several additional formations provide additional confinement above the Opeche Formation. Impermeable rocks above the primary seal, the Opeche Formation, include the Minnekahta, Spearfish, Piper, Rierdon, and Swift Formations, which make up the first additional group of confining formations (Table 2-15). Together with the Opeche, these formations are 1,200 ft thick and will isolate Broom Creek Formation fluids from migrating upward to the next permeable interval, the Inyan Kara Formation (see Figure 2-30). Above the Inyan Kara Formation, 3,000 ft of impermeable rocks acts as an additional seal between the Inyan Kara and the lowermost USDW, the Fox Hills Formation (see Figure 2-31). Confining layers above the Inyan Kara include the Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre Formations (Table 2-15).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>Figure 2-30. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Broom Creek Formation and the top of the Swift Formation. This interval represents the primary and secondary confinement zones.</p> <p>Figure 2-31. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Pierre Formation. This interval represents the tertiary confinement zone.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(f)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(f) (f) A structure map of the top and base of the storage reservoirs.	m. A structure map of the top of the storage formation.	<p><b>Figure 2-12 and Figure 2-23</b></p> <p><b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b></p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone</b></p> <p>In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area (Figures 2-22 and 2-23) and is 6276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10 and Figure 22-24). The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation’s extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact (Figure 2-25).</p>	<p>Figure 2-12. Structure map of the Broom Creek Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-23. Structure map of the Opeche Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p>



				<u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1 of the RTE SFP.</u>	
			n. A structure map of the base of the storage formation.	<b>Figure 2-12 and Figure 2-32</b>  <b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b>  Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).  <u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u>  <i>2.4.3 Lower Confining Zones</i>  The lower confining zone of the storage complex is the Amsden Formation, which comprises primarily dolostone, mudstone, and anhydrite. The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the top of an argillaceous dolostone, with relatively high GR character that could be correlated across the project area (Figures 2-32 and 2-33). The Amsden Formation is 6677 ft below land surface and 329 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10).  <u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u>	Figure 2-12. Structure map of the Broom Creek Formation across the greater RTE project area.  Figure 2-32. Structure map of the Amsden Formation across the greater RTE project area.
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(i)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(i) (i) Structural and stratigraphic cross sections that describe the geologic conditions at the storage reservoir.	o. Structural cross sections that describe the geologic conditions at the storage reservoir.		<b>Figures 2-11a and 2-11b; and 2-13</b>  <b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b>  Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).  At RTE-10, the Broom Creek Formation is made up of 201 ft of sandstone and 97 ft of dolostone and is located at a depth of 6,379 ft. Across the project area, the Broom Creek Formation varies in thickness from 210 to 406 ft (Figure 2-9), with an average thickness of 313 ft. Based on offset well data and geologic model characteristics, the net sandstone thickness within the project area ranges from 48 to 324 ft, with an average of 192 ft.  The top of the Broom Creek Formation was picked across the project area based on the transition from a relatively high GR signature representing the mudstones and siltstones of the Opeche Formation to a relatively low GR signature of sandstone and dolostone lithologies within the Broom Creek (Figure 2-10). The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the bottom of a relatively high GR signature representing an argillaceous dolostone that could be correlated across the project area. Seismic data collected as part of site characterization efforts (Figure 2-6) were used to reinforce structural correlation and thickness estimations of the storage reservoir. The combined structural correlation and analyses indicate that there should be few-to-no major reservoir stratigraphic discontinuities near RTE-10 (Figures 2-11a and 2-11b). The 3D seismic data suggest the interbedded dolomite and anhydrite intervals in the RTE-10 well are laterally discontinuous and do not compartmentalize the storage reservoir in the RTE project area. A structure map of the Broom Creek Formation shows no detectable features (e.g., folds, domes, or fault traps) with associated spill points in the project area (Figures 2-12 and 2-13).  <u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u>	Figure 2-11a. Regional well log stratigraphic cross sections of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations flattened on the top of the Amsden Formation. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red); 2) delta time (purple) and 3) interpreted lithology log.  Figure 2-11b. Regional well log cross sections showing the structure of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red) and 2) delta time (purple).  Figure 2-13. Cross section of the RTE CO <sub>2</sub> storage complex from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.
			p. Stratigraphic cross sections that describe the geologic conditions at the storage reservoir.	<b>Figures 2-11a and 2-11b; and 2-13</b>  <b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b>	Figure 2-11a. Regional well log stratigraphic cross sections of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations flattened on the top of the Amsden Formation. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red);

				<p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p>At RTE-10, the Broom Creek Formation is made up of 201 ft of sandstone and 97 ft of dolostone and is located at a depth of 6,379 ft. Across the project area, the Broom Creek Formation varies in thickness from 210 to 406 ft (Figure 2-9), with an average thickness of 313 ft. Based on offset well data and geologic model characteristics, the net sandstone thickness within the project area ranges from 48 to 324 ft, with an average of 192 ft.</p> <p>The top of the Broom Creek Formation was picked across the project area based on the transition from a relatively high GR signature representing the mudstones and siltstones of the Opeche Formation to a relatively low GR signature of sandstone and dolostone lithologies within the Broom Creek (Figure 2-10). The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the bottom of a relatively high GR signature representing an argillaceous dolostone that could be correlated across the project area. Seismic data collected as part of site characterization efforts (Figure 2-6) were used to reinforce structural correlation and thickness estimations of the storage reservoir. The combined structural correlation and analyses indicate that there should be few-to-no major reservoir stratigraphic discontinuities near RTE-10 (Figures 2-11a and 2-11b). The 3D seismic data suggest the interbedded dolomite and anhydrite intervals in the RTE-10 well are laterally discontinuous and do not compartmentalize the storage reservoir in the RTE project area. A structure map of the Broom Creek Formation shows no detectable features (e.g., folds, domes, or fault traps) with associated spill points in the project area (Figures 2-12 and 2-13).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>2) delta time (purple) and 3) interpreted lithology log.</p> <p>Figure 2-11b. Regional well log cross sections showing the structure of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red) and 2) delta time (purple).</p> <p>Figure 2-13. Cross section of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(h)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(h) (h) Evaluation of the pressure front and the potential impact on underground sources of drinking water, if any.	q. Evaluation of the pressure front and the potential impact on underground sources of drinking water, if any.	<p><b>3.1 Area of Review Delineation</b></p> <p><b>3.1.1 Written Description</b></p> <p>North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> storage regulations require that each storage facility permit delineate an AoR, which is defined as the region surrounding the geologic storage project where USDWs may be endangered by the injection activity (NDAC § 43-05-01-01 Subsection 4). Concern regarding the endangerment of USDWs is related to the potential vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> and/or brine from the injection zone to the USDW. Therefore, the AoR encompasses the region overlying the injected free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> and the region overlying the extent of formation fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids (e.g., brine) into USDWs, assuming pathways for this migration (e.g., abandoned wells or fractures) are present. The minimum fluid pressure increase in the reservoir that results in a sustained flow of brine upward into an overlying drinking water aquifer is referred to as the “critical threshold pressure increase” and the resultant pressure as the “critical threshold pressure.”</p> <p>The results of computational modeling and simulation of 20 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection at the RTE site show that consequent subsurface pressure increases are below the critical threshold pressure necessary to force formation fluids into USDWs (Figure 3-1). Within the bounds of the modeled area and throughout the entire storage facility area, the maximum fluid pressure increase during the final year of injection is estimated to be 52 psi, which occurs near the RTE-10 wellbore. This maximum pressure increase is below the calculated critical threshold pressure increase of 107.3 psi (Appendix A, Table A-2).</p> <p>NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1b(3) requires, “A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary.” Based on the pressure response of the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> injection, the resulting AoR for the RTE project is delineated as being 1 mile beyond the facility area boundary. This extent ensures compliance with existing state regulations.</p> <p>Appendix A includes a detailed discussion on the computational modeling and simulations (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, pressure front, AoR boundary etc.) and the assumptions and justification used to delineate the AoR.</p>	<p>Figure 3-8. Major aquifer systems of the Williston Basin.</p> <p>Figure 3-9. Upper stratigraphy of Stark County showing the stratigraphic relationship of Cretaceous and Tertiary groundwater-bearing formations (modified from Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>Figure 3-10. Depth to surface of the Fox Hills Formation in western North Dakota (Fischer, 2013).</p> <p>Figure 3-11. Potentiometric surface of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system shown in feet of hydraulic head above sea level. Flow is to the northeast through the area of investigation in central Stark County (modified from Fischer, 2013).</p> <p>Figure 3-12. Map of water wells in the AoR in relation to the RTE Facility, RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells, stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, facility area, 1-mile AoR, and legacy oil and gas wells.</p> <p>Figure 3-13. West–east cross section of the major aquifer layers in Stark County (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The black dots on the inset map represent the locations of the wells illustrated on the cross section.</p>

			<p>The two deep wells located in the RTE project AoR that penetrate the storage reservoir were evaluated by a professional engineer pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1b(3). The evaluation was performed to determine if corrective action is required and included a review of all available well records. The evaluation determined that both wells penetrating the storage reservoir within the AoR have sufficient isolation to prevent formation fluids or injected CO<sub>2</sub> from vertically migrating outside of the storage reservoir or into USDWs and that no corrective action is necessary (Table 3-2–3-4 and Figures 3-6 and 3-7).</p> <p>An extensive geologic and hydrogeologic characterization, performed by a team of geologists, has shown no evidence of transmissive faults or fractures in the upper confining zone within the AoR and has shown evidence that the upper confining zone has sufficient geologic integrity to prevent vertical fluid movement. All geologic data and investigations indicate the storage reservoir within the AoR has sufficient containment and geologic integrity, including geologic confinement above and below the injection zone to prevent vertical fluid movement and protect USDWs.</p> <p><b>Appendix A – DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS</b></p> <p><b>Delineation of AoR</b> The AoR is defined as the region surrounding the geologic storage project where USDWs may be endangered by CO<sub>2</sub> injection activity (NDAC § 43-05-01-05). The primary endangerment risk is due to the potential for vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> and/or formation fluids to a USDW from the storage reservoir. Therefore, the AoR encompasses the region overlying the extent of reservoir fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids (e.g., brine) into a USDW, assuming pathways for this migration (e.g., abandoned wells or fractures) are present. The minimum pressure increase in the reservoir that results in a sustained flow of brine upward into an overlying drinking water aquifer is referred to as the “critical threshold pressure increase” and the resultant pressure as the “critical threshold pressure.” The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance for AoR delineation under the Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program for Class VI wells provides several methods for estimating the critical threshold pressure increase and the resulting critical threshold pressure.</p> <p>The method presented by Nicot and others (2008) and Bandilla and others (2012) was used to calculate the critical threshold pressure increase (<math>\Delta P_c</math>), which is the fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids into the closest USDW, the Fox Hills Formation. This <math>\Delta P_c</math> is determined using Equation 2, assuming 1) hydrostatic conditions, 2) initially linearly varying densities in the borehole, and 3) constant density once the injection zone fluid is lifted to the top of the borehole (i.e., uniform density approach):</p> <div><math display="block">\Delta P_c = \frac{1}{2} g \xi (z_u - z_i)^2</math></div> <p>[Eq. 2]</p> <p>Where <math>\xi</math> is a linear coefficient determined by:</p> <div><math display="block">\xi = \frac{\rho_i - \rho_u}{z_u - z_i}</math></div> <p>[Eq. 3]</p> <p>Where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><math>\Delta P_c</math> is the change in pressure from baseline (hydrostatic) conditions (Pa).</li><li><math>g</math> is the acceleration of gravity (m/s<sup>2</sup>).</li><li><math>z_u</math> is the elevation of the base of the lowermost USDW (m).</li><li><math>z_i</math> is the elevation of the top of the injections zone (m).</li><li><math>\rho_i</math> is the fluid density in the injection zone (kg/m<sup>3</sup>).</li><li><math>\rho_u</math> is the fluid density in the USDW (kg/m<sup>3</sup>).</li></ul> <p><b>Critical Threshold Pressure Increase Estimation at RTE-10</b> For the purposes of delineating the <math>\Delta P_c</math> for the RTE study area, constant fluid densities for the lowermost USDW (the Fox Hills Formation) and the injection zone (the Broom Creek Formation) were used. A density of 1,001 kg/m<sup>3</sup> was used to</p>	<p>Figure 3-14. Cross section of the major aquifer layers in the RTE storage facility area (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The location of the water wells used to create the cross section are represented on the inset map. The water wells are labeled with their designation which also correlates to their township range location (e.g., 139-092-18CCC is located in T139N R92W, Section 18).</p>
--	--	--	--	--

				<p>represent the USDW fluids, and a density of 1,106 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, which is estimated based on the in situ brine salinity, temperature, and pressure, was used to represent injection zone fluids.</p> <p>Critical pressure threshold increases were calculated for the proposed storage reservoir at a range of depths across the reservoir using Equations 2 and 3, depth from the bottom of the USDW, injection zone depth, and fluid density values from the RTE-10 well (Table A-4). Using this method, the threshold pressure increase at the top of the Broom Creek Formation at the RTE-10 well was determined to be 107.3 psi.</p> <p>These estimates of critical threshold pressure increase were compared to potential pressure increases within the storage facility area that would result from CO<sub>2</sub> injection and the potential lateral extent of the injection fluid as determined by predictive simulations. Table A-2 provides estimates of <math>\Delta P_c</math> for various depths within the Broom Creek Formation, which were then compared against the difference in pressure predicted for each cell in the simulation model at the end of injection, where the greatest increase in pressure was observed. Within the bounds of the modeled area and throughout the entire storage facility area, the maximum pressure difference during the final year of injection is estimated to reach approximately 52 psi, which occurs in near proximity to the injection well. This pressure is below the calculated critical threshold pressure increase of 107.3 psi. Therefore, the critical pressure is not exceeded at the RTE injection site anywhere within or around the injected CO<sub>2</sub> plume and critical pressure is not a deciding factor in determining the AoR extent.</p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(l)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(l)</b> (l) Geomechanical information on fractures, stress, ductility, rock strength, and in situ fluid pressures within the confining zone. The confining zone must be free of transmissive faults or fractures and of sufficient areal extent and integrity to contain the injected carbon dioxide stream.</p>	<p>r. Geomechanical information on the confining zone. The confining zone must be free of transmissive faults or fractures and of sufficient areal extent and integrity to contain the injected carbon dioxide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Fractures</li><li>Stress</li><li>Ductility</li><li>Rock strength</li><li>In situ fluid pressure</li></ul>	<p><b>2.4.4 Geomechanical Information of Confining Zone</b></p> <p><i>2.4.4.1 Fracture Analysis</i></p> <p>Fractures within the Opeche Formation, the overlying confining zone, and Amsden Formation, the underlying confining zone, have been assessed during the description of the RTE-10 well core. Observable fractures were categorized by attributes including morphology, orientation, aperture, and origin. Secondly, natural, in situ fractures were assessed through the interpretation of the FMI log acquired during the drilling of the RTE-10 well.</p> <p><i>2.4.4.2 Fracture Analysis Core Description</i></p> <p>Fractures within the Opeche Formation are primarily closed and are commonly filled with anhydrite. The fractures vary in orientation and exhibit horizontal, oblique, and vertical trends. The aperture varies from closed to, in rare cases, centimeter scale.</p> <p>In the Amsden Formation, closed tension fractures are commonly coincident with the horizontal compaction features (stylolite) observed. Calcite is the dominant mineral found to fill observable fractures. Very few-to-no connected fractures were observed in the Amsden core interval from the RTE well.</p> <p><i>2.4.4.3 Borehole Image Fracture Analysis (FMI)</i></p> <p>Schlumberger’s FMI log was chosen to evaluate the geomechanical condition of the formation in the subsurface. This log provides a 360-degree image of the formation of interest and can be oriented to provide an understanding of the general direction of features observed.</p> <p>Figures 2-35a and 2-35b show two sections of the interpreted borehole imagery and the primary features observed. The far-right track on Figure 2-35a notes the presence of electrically resistive features. These are interpreted as minor anhydrite-filled fractures. Figure 2-35b demonstrates that the tool provides information on surface boundaries and bedding features. Some isolated fractures are identified in Figure 2-35b and are likely clay-filled because of their electrically conductive signal. Figures 2-36a and 2-36b show two thin-section images and give an indication of different minerals within the reservoir and observed change in the electrical response shown on the FMI log.</p> <p>Finally, Figure 2-37 shows the logged interval for the entire Opeche Formation. As shown, the section closest to the Broom Creek (6,377 ft) is dominated by compaction features (stylolites) and has corresponding tensional features, as noted in the core description analysis. The observed stylolites are parallel to bedding and are commonly filled with clay minerals. Effectively, these features reduce the porosity of a formation. The midregion of the formation is dominated by electrically resistive features likely due to the presence of anhydrite-filled fractures. Toward the upper portion of the formation, fractures are fewer in number but are still found to be electrically resistive. The diagrams shown in Figures 2-38 and 2-39</p>	<p>Figure 2-35a. Examples of the interpreted FMI log for the RTE-10 well. Two examples show the traces of features observed and their interpreted feature type. This example shows the common feature types seen in the Opeche FMI borehole image analysis.</p> <p>Figure 2-35b. Examples of the interpreted FMI log for the RTE-10 well. Two examples show the traces of features observed and their interpreted feature type. This example shows the common feature types seen in the Opeche FMI borehole image analysis.</p> <p>Figure 2-36a. Plane-polarized light thin-section images from the RTE well Opeche Formation. This image shows the silt-rich nature of this interval of the Opeche Formation. On the example shown, the quartz grains (white) are rimmed by iron.</p> <p>Figure 2-36b. Plane-polarized light thin-section images from the RTE well Opeche Formation. This image shows the heterogeneity of this interval. The dark material shown (between the white quartz grains) is clay and is likely responsible for the electrical conductivity identified on the FMI log.</p> <p>Figure 2-37. Interpreted FMI log through the lower Opeche Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-38. Conductive fracture dip orientation in the Opeche Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-39. Resistive fracture dip orientation in the Opeche Formation.</p>



			<p>provide the orientation of the electrically conductive and resistive fractures in the Opeche Formation. As shown, the electrically conductive fractures are fewer in number and are mainly oriented NW–SE. On the other hand, the resistive fractures have no preferred orientation.</p> <p>The logged interval of the Amsden shows that the main features present are stylolite–tension pairs, an indication that the formation has undergone a reduction in porosity in response to postdepositional stress. Two zones at 6,743 and 6,762 ft, respectively, show some evidence of resistive fractures (Figure 2-40). Core was not retrieved from this depth. The interpretation of this logged interval supports the core-based and thin-section descriptions, suggesting these features are anhydrite-filled. The rose diagrams shown in Figures 2-41 and 2-42 provide the orientation of the conductive and resistive features in the Amsden Formation. As shown, only one electrically conductive feature was picked in the Amsden interval and is oriented NE–SW. Some electrically resistive features are present and oriented N–S, NE–SW, and E–W, respectively. Drilling-induced fractures were identified mainly in the Amsden Formation and are oriented NE–SW (Figure 2-43), parallel to the maximum horizontal stress (<math>SH_{max}</math>).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.4.4 Stress</i></p> <p>During drilling of the RTE-10 well, an openhole MDT minifrac was completed to determine the minimum horizontal stress of the formation. The minifrac operation was performed using a dual-packer setup where four minifrac tests were successful among the seven conducted. The induced fractures observed in the Amsden Formation have an orientation NE–SW, parallel to the maximum horizontal stress. Figure 2-44 shows an annotated example of an expected result in the determination of minimum horizontal stress during MDT applications. As shown, the combined insight gained from the propagation pressure, closure pressure, and reopening pressure define the minimum horizontal stress in the subsurface (Figure 2-44).</p> <p>Within the Opeche Formation confining zone, several attempts were made to generate the fracture needed to determine a suitable breakdown pressure, which is generally considered a close approximation of minimum horizontal stress of a material. A successful test was performed in the Opeche Formation at a depth of 6,377 ft, 3 vertical feet above the reservoir contact. Figure 2-44 shows the results of testing in the overlying Opeche Formation and presents the multiple cycles performed during the determination of initial breakdown pressure, fracture propagation pressure, and closure pressure. As shown, the breakdown pressure was in excess of 7,500 psi. To determine the potential for reopening and closure pressures, injection was reinitiated and allowed to develop until a stable value was attained. Based on the test, the average minimum stress is shown in Table 2-17.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="5">Table 2-17. Average Minimum Stress of the Opeche Formation as Determined by Horizontal Stress Test</th></tr><tr><th>Depth, ft</th><th>Average Propagation Pressure, psi</th><th>Reopening Pressure, psi</th><th>Closure Pressure, psi</th><th>Average Minimum Stress, psi</th></tr><tr><td>6,377</td><td>4,995</td><td>4,823</td><td>4,680</td><td>4,680</td></tr></table> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.4.4 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.4.5 Ductility and Rock Strength</i></p> <p>Ductility and rock strength have been determined through laboratory testing of rock samples acquired from the Opeche Formation core in the RTE-10 well. To determine these parameters, a multistage triaxial test was performed at confining pressures exceeding 40 MPa (5,800 psi). This commonly used test provides information regarding the elastic parameters and peak strength of a material. Because of the low porosity and anhydrite mineralogy, samples were not saturated for testing. Table 2-18 shows the sample parameters, and Table 2-19 shows the elastic parameters obtained.</p> <p>Rock strength was determined at the final stage of confinement and axial loading. As shown in Figure 2-45, the sample failed at a maximum stress of 143 MPa (20,740 psi). Based on the plot below, the final stage (Radial Stage 4) of testing, shown in yellow, has significant residual strength postfailure, indicating a high degree of ductility.</p>	Table 2-17. Average Minimum Stress of the Opeche Formation as Determined by Horizontal Stress Test					Depth, ft	Average Propagation Pressure, psi	Reopening Pressure, psi	Closure Pressure, psi	Average Minimum Stress, psi	6,377	4,995	4,823	4,680	4,680	<p>Figure 2-40. Interpreted FMI log through the upper Amsden Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-41. Conductive fracture dip orientation in the Amsden Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-42. Resistive fracture dip orientation in the Amsden Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-43. Drilling-induced fractures dip orientation in the Amsden Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-44. Results of MDT testing for a depth interval of 6,377 ft in the Opeche Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-45. Results of multistage triaxial test performed at confining pressures exceeding 40 MPa (5800 psi), providing information regarding the elastic parameters and peak strength of the rock sample. Failure occurred at the fourth-stage peak stress of 143 MPa.</p>
Table 2-17. Average Minimum Stress of the Opeche Formation as Determined by Horizontal Stress Test																			
Depth, ft	Average Propagation Pressure, psi	Reopening Pressure, psi	Closure Pressure, psi	Average Minimum Stress, psi															
6,377	4,995	4,823	4,680	4,680															

				<p>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.4.5 of the RTE SFP.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Table 2-3. Description of RTE-10 Formation Pressure Measurements and Calculated Pressure Gradients</th></tr><tr><th>Formation</th><th>Test Depth, ft</th><th>Formation Pressure, psi</th></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>4,849.66</td><td>1,947.97</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>4,869.73</td><td>1,956.62</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>4,910.08</td><td>1,974.03</td></tr><tr><td>Mean Inyan Kara Pressure</td><td>1,959.51</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft</td><td>0.40</td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>6,432.17</td><td>2,935.16</td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>6,458.91</td><td>2,947.73</td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>6,565.09</td><td>2,997.91</td></tr><tr><td>Mean Broom Creek Pressure</td><td>2,960.14</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek Pressure Gradient, psi/ft</td><td>0.45</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Appendix A – DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Table A-1. MDT Pressure Measurements Recorded from the RTE-10 Well and Derived Formation Pressure Gradients</th></tr><tr><th>Test Depth, ft</th><th></th><th></th></tr><tr><th>MD*</th><th>Formation Pressure, psi</th><th>Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft</th></tr><tr><td>6,438</td><td>2,932.88</td><td>0.45</td></tr><tr><td>6,441</td><td>2,932.21</td><td>0.45</td></tr><tr><td>6,511</td><td>2,963.00</td><td>0.45</td></tr><tr><td>6,539</td><td>2,976.54</td><td>0.45</td></tr><tr><td>6,540</td><td>2,975.64</td><td>0.45</td></tr></table> <p>* Measured depth.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="5">Table A-2. Summary of Reservoir Properties in the Simulation Model</th></tr><tr><th>Average Permeability, mD</th><th>Average Porosity, %</th><th>Initial Pressure, P<sub>i</sub>, psi</th><th>Salinity, ppm</th><th>Boundary Condition</th></tr><tr><td>Opeche: 0.03 Broom Creek: ~471 Amsden: ~0.54</td><td>Opeche: ~14 Broom Creek: ~23 Amsden: ~4</td><td>~2,900</td><td>164,000</td><td>Open (Infinite-Acting)</td></tr></table>	Table 2-3. Description of RTE-10 Formation Pressure Measurements and Calculated Pressure Gradients			Formation	Test Depth, ft	Formation Pressure, psi	Inyan Kara	4,849.66	1,947.97	Inyan Kara	4,869.73	1,956.62	Inyan Kara	4,910.08	1,974.03	Mean Inyan Kara Pressure	1,959.51		Inyan Kara Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	0.40					Broom Creek	6,432.17	2,935.16	Broom Creek	6,458.91	2,947.73	Broom Creek	6,565.09	2,997.91	Mean Broom Creek Pressure	2,960.14		Broom Creek Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	0.45		Table A-1. MDT Pressure Measurements Recorded from the RTE-10 Well and Derived Formation Pressure Gradients			Test Depth, ft			MD*	Formation Pressure, psi	Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	6,438	2,932.88	0.45	6,441	2,932.21	0.45	6,511	2,963.00	0.45	6,539	2,976.54	0.45	6,540	2,975.64	0.45	Table A-2. Summary of Reservoir Properties in the Simulation Model					Average Permeability, mD	Average Porosity, %	Initial Pressure, P <sub>i</sub> , psi	Salinity, ppm	Boundary Condition	Opeche: 0.03 Broom Creek: ~471 Amsden: ~0.54	Opeche: ~14 Broom Creek: ~23 Amsden: ~4	~2,900	164,000	Open (Infinite-Acting)
Table 2-3. Description of RTE-10 Formation Pressure Measurements and Calculated Pressure Gradients																																																																																		
Formation	Test Depth, ft	Formation Pressure, psi																																																																																
Inyan Kara	4,849.66	1,947.97																																																																																
Inyan Kara	4,869.73	1,956.62																																																																																
Inyan Kara	4,910.08	1,974.03																																																																																
Mean Inyan Kara Pressure	1,959.51																																																																																	
Inyan Kara Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	0.40																																																																																	
Broom Creek	6,432.17	2,935.16																																																																																
Broom Creek	6,458.91	2,947.73																																																																																
Broom Creek	6,565.09	2,997.91																																																																																
Mean Broom Creek Pressure	2,960.14																																																																																	
Broom Creek Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	0.45																																																																																	
Table A-1. MDT Pressure Measurements Recorded from the RTE-10 Well and Derived Formation Pressure Gradients																																																																																		
Test Depth, ft																																																																																		
MD*	Formation Pressure, psi	Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft																																																																																
6,438	2,932.88	0.45																																																																																
6,441	2,932.21	0.45																																																																																
6,511	2,963.00	0.45																																																																																
6,539	2,976.54	0.45																																																																																
6,540	2,975.64	0.45																																																																																
Table A-2. Summary of Reservoir Properties in the Simulation Model																																																																																		
Average Permeability, mD	Average Porosity, %	Initial Pressure, P <sub>i</sub> , psi	Salinity, ppm	Boundary Condition																																																																														
Opeche: 0.03 Broom Creek: ~471 Amsden: ~0.54	Opeche: ~14 Broom Creek: ~23 Amsden: ~4	~2,900	164,000	Open (Infinite-Acting)																																																																														
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(o)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(o) (o) Identify and characterize additional strata overlying the storage reservoir that will prevent vertical fluid movement, are free of transmissive faults or fractures, allow for pressure dissipation, and provide additional opportunities for monitoring, mitigation, and remediation.	s. Identify and characterize additional strata overlying the storage reservoir that will prevent vertical fluid movement: Free of transmissive faults Free of transmissive fractures Effect on pressure dissipation Utility for monitoring, mitigation, and remediation.	2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones  Several additional formations provide additional confinement above the Opeche Formation. Impermeable rocks above the primary seal, the Opeche Formation, include the Minnekahta, Spearfish, Piper, Rierdon, and Swift Formations, which make up the first additional group of confining formations (Table 2-15). Together with the Opeche, these formations are 1,200 ft thick and will isolate Broom Creek Formation fluids from migrating upward to the next permeable interval, the Inyan Kara Formation (see Figure 2-30). Above the Inyan Kara Formation, 3,000 ft of impermeable rocks acts as an additional seal between the Inyan Kara and the lowermost USDW, the Fox Hills Formation (see Figure 2-31). Confining layers above the Inyan Kara include the Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre Formations (Table 2-15).	Figure 2-30. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Broom Creek Formation and the top of the Swift Formation. This interval represents the primary and secondary confinement zones.  Figure 2-31. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Pierre Formation. This interval represents the tertiary confinement zone.																																																																														

				<p>These formations between the Broom Creek and Inyan Kara and between the Inyan Kara and lowest USDW have demonstrated the ability to prevent the vertical migration of fluids throughout geologic time and are recognized as impermeable flow barriers in the Williston Basin.</p> <p>Sandstones of the Inyan Kara Formation comprise the first unit with relatively high porosity and permeability above the injection zone and the primary sealing formation. The Inyan Kara represents the most likely candidate to act as an overlying pressure dissipation zone. In the unlikely event of out-of-zone migration through the primary and secondary sealing formations, CO<sub>2</sub> would become trapped in the Inyan Kara. Monitoring the Inyan Kara Formation provides an additional opportunity for monitoring, mitigation, and remediation (Section 4). The depth to the Inyan Kara Formation in the project area is approximately 4,800 ft, and the formation itself is about 350 ft thick.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <table><tr><th colspan="5">Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)</th></tr><tr><th>Name of Formation</th><th>Lithology</th><th>Formation Top Depth, ft</th><th>Thickness, ft</th><th>Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</th></tr><tr><td>Pierre</td><td>Shale</td><td>1,969</td><td>2,063</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Greenhorn</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,032</td><td>435</td><td>2,063</td></tr><tr><td>Mowry</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,467</td><td>314</td><td>2,498</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>Sandstone</td><td>4,781</td><td>345</td><td>2,812</td></tr><tr><td>Swift</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,125</td><td>494</td><td>3,156</td></tr><tr><td>Rierdon</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,619</td><td>173</td><td>3,650</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Kline</td><td>Limestone</td><td>5,792</td><td>139</td><td>3,823</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Picard</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,931</td><td>68</td><td>3,962</td></tr><tr><td>Spearfish</td><td>Siltstone</td><td>5,999</td><td>230</td><td>4,030</td></tr><tr><td>Minnekahta</td><td>Limestone</td><td>6,229</td><td>47</td><td>4,260</td></tr></table>	Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)					Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0	Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063	Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498	Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812	Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156	Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650	Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823	Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962	Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030	Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260	
Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)																																																																	
Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft																																																													
Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0																																																													
Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063																																																													
Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498																																																													
Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812																																																													
Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156																																																													
Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650																																																													
Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823																																																													
Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962																																																													
Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030																																																													
Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260																																																													
Area of Review Delineation	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1j & §1b(3)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1j</b> j. An area of review and corrective action plan that meets the requirements pursuant to Section 43-05-01-05.1.</p> <p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)</b> (3) A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary. The review must include the following:</p>	<p>The carbon dioxide storage reservoir area of review includes the areal extent of the storage reservoir and 1 mile outside of the storage reservoir boundary, plus the maximum extent of the pressure front caused by injection activities. The area of review delineation must include the following:</p>	<p><b>3.0 AREA OF REVIEW</b></p> <p><b>3.1 AOR Delineation</b></p> <p><i>3.1.1 Written Description</i></p> <p>North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> storage regulations require that each storage facility permit delineate an AoR, which is defined as the region surrounding the geologic storage project where underground sources of drinking water (USDWs) may be endangered by the injection activity (North Dakota Administrative Code [NDAC] § 43-05-01-01 Subsection 4). Concern regarding the endangerment of USDWs is related to the potential vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> and/or brine from the injection zone to the USDW. Therefore, the AoR encompasses the region overlying the injected free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> and the region overlying the extent of formation fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids (e.g., brine) into USDWs, assuming pathways for this migration (e.g., abandoned wells or fractures) are present. The minimum fluid pressure increase in the reservoir that results in a sustained flow of brine upward into an overlying drinking water aquifer is referred to as the “critical threshold pressure increase” and the resultant pressure as the “critical threshold pressure.”</p> <p>The results of computational modeling and simulation of 20 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection at the RTE site show that consequent subsurface pressure increases are below the critical threshold pressure necessary to force formation fluids into USDWs (Figure 3-1). Within the bounds of the modeled area and throughout the entire storage facility area, the maximum fluid pressure increase during the final year of injection is estimated to be 52 psi, which occurs near the RTE-10 wellbore. This maximum pressure increase is below the calculated critical threshold pressure increase of 107.3 psi (Appendix A, Table A-2). At the estimated maximum fluid pressure increase (52 psi), a column of formation fluid could be raised to a depth of 4,223 feet (i.e., the Mowry Formation) based on calculations and assuming a vertical migration pathway exists.</p> <p>NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1b(3) requires, “A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed</p>																																																													

				<p>necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary.” Based on the pressure response of the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> injection, the resulting AoR for the RTE project is delineated as being 1 mile beyond the facility area boundary. This extent ensures compliance with existing state regulations.</p> <p>Appendix A includes a detailed discussion on the computational modeling and simulations (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, pressure front, AoR boundary etc.) and the assumptions and justification used to delineate the AoR.</p> <p>The two deep wells located in the RTE project AoR that penetrate the storage reservoir were evaluated by a professional engineer pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1b(3). The evaluation was performed to determine if corrective action is required and included a review of all available well records. The evaluation determined that both wells penetrating the storage reservoir within the AoR have sufficient isolation to prevent formation fluids or injected CO<sub>2</sub> from vertically migrating outside of the storage reservoir or into USDWs and that no corrective action is necessary (Table 3-2–3-4 and Figures 3-6 and 3-7).</p> <p>An extensive geologic and hydrogeologic characterization, performed by a team of geologists, has shown no evidence of transmissive faults or fractures in the upper confining zone within the AoR and has shown evidence that the upper confining zone has sufficient geologic integrity to prevent vertical fluid movement. All geologic data and investigations indicate the storage reservoir within the AoR has sufficient containment and geologic integrity, including geologic confinement above and below the injection zone to prevent vertical fluid movement and protect USDWs.</p> <p>This section of the storage facility permit application is accompanied by maps and a cross section (Figures 3-1–3-5) that include information required in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1a and 1b(3) and § 43-05-01-05.1 Subsection 2, such as all critical boundaries and the location of any proposed injection wells or monitoring wells, the presence of significant surface structures or land disturbances, and the location of water wells and any other wells within the AoR boundary. Table 3-1 lists all surface and subsurface features that were investigated as part of the AoR evaluation, pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1a and 1b(3) and NDAC § 43-05-01-05.1 Subsection 2. Surface features that were investigated but not found within the AoR boundary are identified in Table 3-1.</p> <p><b>See Appendix A – DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS.</b></p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3) & §1a	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)</b> (3) A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary. The review must include the following:</p> <p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1a</b> a. A site map showing the boundaries of the storage reservoir and the location of all proposed wells, proposed cathodic protection boreholes, and surface facilities within the carbon dioxide storage facility area.</p>	a. A map showing the following within the carbon dioxide reservoir area: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. Boundaries of the storage reservoir.</li><li>ii. Location of all proposed wells.</li><li>iii. Location of proposed cathodic protection boreholes.</li><li>iv. Any existing or proposed above ground facilities.</li></ul>	<b>3.1.2 Supporting Maps</b>	<p>Figure 3-2. Final AoR map showing the RTE storage facility area, including the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and AoR (dotted black boundary). Black circles represent occupied dwellings, and orange boundaries represent buildings.</p> <p>Table 3-1. Investigated and Identified Surface and Subsurface Features (Figures 3-1 through 3-5)</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(a)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(a)</b> (a) All wells, including water, oil, and natural gas exploration and development wells, and</p>	b. A map showing the following within the storage reservoir area and within 1 mile outside of its boundary:	<b>3.1.2 Supporting Maps</b>	Figure 3-2. Final AoR map showing the RTE storage facility area, including the stabilized CO <sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area



		other man-made subsurface structures and activities, including coal mines, within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary.	<div><div>i. All wells, including water, oil, and natural gas exploration and development wells.</div><div>ii. All other man-made subsurface structures and activities, including coal mines.</div></div>		<p>(dotted white boundary), and AoR (dotted black boundary). Black circles represent occupied dwellings, and orange boundaries represent buildings.</p> <p>Figure 3-3. AoR map in relation to nearby legacy wells and groundwater wells. Shown are the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and 1-mile AoR (dotted black boundary). All groundwater wells and springs in the AoR are identified above.</p> <p>Figure 3-4. AoR map in relation to nearby legacy wells. Shown are the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and 1-mile AoR (dotted black boundary). Orange circles represent nearby legacy wells near the project area, including within the 1-mile AoR.</p> <p>Figure 3-5. Cross section of the AoR from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation, the proposed injection well (RTE-10), the proposed monitoring well (RTE-10.2), and the Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) well within the AoR. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1c NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §1a	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1c</b></p> <p>c. The extent of the pore space that will be occupied by carbon dioxide as determined by utilizing all appropriate geologic and reservoir engineering information and reservoir analysis, which must include various computational.</p> <p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §1a</b></p> <p>a. The method for delineating the area of review, including the model to be used, assumptions that will be made, and the site characterization data on which the model will be based.</p>	<p>c. A description of the method used for delineating the area of review, including:</p> <div><div>i. The computational model to be used.</div><div>ii. The assumptions that will be made.</div><div>iii. The site characterization data on which the model will be based.</div></div>	<b>Appendix A – DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS</b>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §1b(1-4)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §1b(1-4)</b></p> <p>b. A description of:</p> <div><div>(1) The reevaluation date, not to exceed five years, at which time the storage operator shall reevaluate the area of review.</div></div>	<p>d. A description of:</p> <div><div>(1) The reevaluation date, not to exceed five years, at which time the storage operator shall reevaluate the area of review.</div><div>(2) Any monitoring and operational conditions that would warrant a</div></div>	<p><b>3.3 Reevaluation of AOR and Corrective Action Plan</b></p> <p>It is required that the storage operator routinely reevaluate the AOR and corrective action plan, with the period between evaluations not to exceed 5 years. As part of the SFP, the application describes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Any monitoring and operational conditions that would warrant a reevaluation of the AOR prior to the scheduled 5-year reevaluation date.</li></ul>	

		<p>(2) The monitoring and operational conditions that would warrant a reevaluation of the area of review prior to the next scheduled reevaluation date</p> <p>(3) How monitoring and operational data (e.g., injection rate and pressure) will be used to inform an area of review reevaluation.</p> <p>(4) How corrective action will be conducted to meet the requirements of this section, including what corrective action will be performed prior to injection and what, if any, portions of the area of review will have corrective action addressed on a phased basis and how the phasing will be determined; how corrective action will be adjusted if there are changes in the area of review; and how site access will be guaranteed for future corrective action.</p>	<p>reevaluation of the area of review prior to the next scheduled reevaluation date.</p> <p>(3)How monitoring and operational data (e.g., injection rate and pressure) will be used to inform an area of review reevaluation.</p> <p>(4)How corrective action will be conducted if necessary, including:</p> <p>a. What corrective action will be performed prior to injection.</p> <p>b. How corrective action will be adjusted if there are changes in the area of review.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• How monitoring and operational data (e.g., injection rate and pressure) will be used to inform a reevaluation of the AOR and corrective action plan, including how the computational model that was used to determine the AOR will be updated and what operational data will be used as the basis for that update.</li><li>• How corrective action, if necessary, will be conducted, including 1) what corrective action will be performed prior to, or following, injection and 2) how corrective action will be adjusted if there are changes in the AOR.</li></ul>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(b)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(b)</b></p> <p>(b) All man-made surface structures that are intended for temporary or permanent human occupancy within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary.</p>	<p>e. A map showing the areal extent of all man-made surface structures that are intended for temporary or permanent human occupancy within the storage reservoir area, and within 1 mile outside of its boundary.</p>	<p><b>3.1.2 Supporting Maps</b></p>	<p>Figure 3-2. Final AoR map showing the RTE storage facility area, including the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and AoR (dotted black boundary). Black circles represent occupied dwellings, and orange boundaries represent buildings.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) ¶	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)</b></p> <p>(2) A geologic and hydrogeologic evaluation of the facility area, including an evaluation of all existing information on all geologic strata overlying the storage reservoir, including the immediate caprock containment characteristics and all subsurface zones to be used for monitoring. The evaluation must include any available geophysical data and assessments of any regional tectonic activity, local seismicity and regional or local fault zones, and a comprehensive description of local and regional structural or stratigraphic</p>	<p>f. A map and cross section identifying any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the storage reservoir area and within 1 mile outside of its boundary.</p>	<p><b>2.6 Potential Mineral Zones</b></p> <p>The North Dakota Geological Survey recognizes the Spearfish as the only potential oil-bearing formation above the Broom Creek Formation. However, production from the Spearfish Formation is limited to the northern tier of counties in western North Dakota (Figure 2-54). There has been no exploration for, nor development of, hydrocarbon resource from the Spearfish Formation in the greater RTE project region.</p> <p>There has been no historic hydrocarbon exploration or production from formations below the Broom Creek Formation within the storage facility area. Although there was some historical gas production from deeper formations along the nearby Heart River Fault trend, there is no known commercial accumulations of hydrocarbons in the storage facility area.</p> <p>Shallow gas resources can be found in many areas of North Dakota, but there are no known references to shallow gas resources in the greater RTE project area.</p>	<p>Figure 2-54. Drillstem results indicating the presence of oil in the Spearfish Formation samples (modified from Stolldorf, 2020).</p>

		features. The evaluation must describe the storage reservoir’s mechanisms of geologic confinement, including rock properties, regional pressure gradients, structural features, and adsorption characteristics with regard to the ability of that confinement to prevent migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir. The evaluation must also identify any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the facility area and any underground sources of drinking water in the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary. The evaluation must include exhibits and plan view maps showing the following:			
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3) NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §2b	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)</b> (3) A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary. The review must include the following:</p> <p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §2b</b> b. Using methods approved by the commission, identify all penetrations, including active and abandoned wells and underground mines, in the area of review that may penetrate the confining zone.</p>	g. A map identifying all wells within the AoR, which penetrate the storage formation or primary or secondary seals overlying the storage formation.	<b>3.1.2 Supporting Maps</b>	Figure 3-4. AoR map in relation to nearby legacy wells. Shown are the stabilized CO <sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and 1-mile AoR (dotted black boundary). Orange circles represent nearby legacy wells near the project area, including within the 1-mile AoR.

		Provide a description of each well’s type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of plugging and completion, and any additional information the commission may require.																																																														
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(a)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(a)</b> (a) A determination that all abandoned wells have been plugged and all operating wells have been constructed in a manner that prevents the carbon dioxide or associated fluids from escaping from the storage reservoir.	h. A review of these wells must include the following:  (1) A determination that all abandoned wells have been plugged in a manner that prevents the carbon dioxide or associated fluids from escaping the storage formation.  (2) A determination that all operating wells have been constructed in a manner that prevents the carbon dioxide or associated fluids from escaping the storage formation.  (3) A description of each well: a. Type b. Construction c. Date drilled d. Location e. Depth f. Record of plugging g. Record of completion  (4) Maps and stratigraphic cross sections of all underground sources of drinking water within the area of review indicating the following: a. Their positions relative to the injection zone b. The direction of water movement, where known c. General vertical and lateral limits d. Water wells e. Springs  (5) Map and cross sections of the area of review.  (6) A map of the area of review showing the following:	<b>3.2 Corrective Action Evaluation</b>  <b>Table 3-2. Wells in AoR Evaluated for Corrective Action</b>  <b>Table 3-3. Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) Well Evaluation</b>  <b>Table 3-4. RTE 10.2 (NDIC File No. 37858) Well Evaluation</b>  <b>Table 3-1. Investigated and Identified Surface and Subsurface Features (Figures 3-1 through 3-5)</b> <table><tr><th>Surface and Subsurface Features</th><th>Investigated and Identified (Figures 3-1–3-5)</th><th>Investigated But Not Found in AoR</th></tr><tr><td>Producing (active) Wells</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Abandoned Wells</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Plugged Wells or Dry Holes</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Deep Stratigraphic Boreholes</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Subsurface Cleanup Sites</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Surface Bodies of Water</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Springs</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Water Wells</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Mines (surface and subsurface)</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Quarries</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Subsurface Structures (e.g., coal mines)</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Location of Proposed Wells</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>*Location of Proposed Cathodic Protection Boreholes</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td></tr><tr><td>Any Existing Aboveground Facilities</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Roads</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>State Boundary Lines</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>County Boundary Lines</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Indian Boundary Lines</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Other Pertinent Surface Features</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr></table> *There are no plans for cathodic protection for the RTE injection wells	Surface and Subsurface Features	Investigated and Identified (Figures 3-1–3-5)	Investigated But Not Found in AoR	Producing (active) Wells		x	Abandoned Wells	x		Plugged Wells or Dry Holes	x		Deep Stratigraphic Boreholes		x	Subsurface Cleanup Sites		x	Surface Bodies of Water	x		Springs	x		Water Wells	x		Mines (surface and subsurface)		x	Quarries		x	Subsurface Structures (e.g., coal mines)		x	Location of Proposed Wells	x		*Location of Proposed Cathodic Protection Boreholes	NA	NA	Any Existing Aboveground Facilities	x		Roads	x		State Boundary Lines		x	County Boundary Lines	x		Indian Boundary Lines		x	Other Pertinent Surface Features	x		Figure 3-5. Cross section of the AoR from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation, the proposed injection well (RTE-10), the proposed monitoring well (RTE-10.2), and the Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) well within the AoR. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.  Figure 3-6. Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) well schematic showing the location and thickness of cement plugs.  Figure 3-7. RTE 10.2 (NDIC File No. 37858) well schematic showing the current status and wellbore construction.
Surface and Subsurface Features	Investigated and Identified (Figures 3-1–3-5)	Investigated But Not Found in AoR																																																														
Producing (active) Wells		x																																																														
Abandoned Wells	x																																																															
Plugged Wells or Dry Holes	x																																																															
Deep Stratigraphic Boreholes		x																																																														
Subsurface Cleanup Sites		x																																																														
Surface Bodies of Water	x																																																															
Springs	x																																																															
Water Wells	x																																																															
Mines (surface and subsurface)		x																																																														
Quarries		x																																																														
Subsurface Structures (e.g., coal mines)		x																																																														
Location of Proposed Wells	x																																																															
*Location of Proposed Cathodic Protection Boreholes	NA	NA																																																														
Any Existing Aboveground Facilities	x																																																															
Roads	x																																																															
State Boundary Lines		x																																																														
County Boundary Lines	x																																																															
Indian Boundary Lines		x																																																														
Other Pertinent Surface Features	x																																																															
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(b)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(b)</b> (b) A description of each well’s type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of plugging, and completion.																																																															
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(c)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(c)</b> (c) Maps and stratigraphic cross sections indicating the general vertical and lateral limits of all underground sources of drinking water, water wells, and springs within the area of review; their positions relative to the injection zone; and the direction of water movement, where known.																																																															
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(d)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(d)</b> (d)Maps and cross sections of the area of review.																																																															
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(e)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(e)</b> (e) A map of the area of review showing the number or name and location of all injection																																																															



	<p>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(b)(f)</p>	<p>wells, producing wells, abandoned wells, plugged wells or dry holes, deep stratigraphic boreholes, state-approved or United States environmental protection agency-approved subsurface cleanup sites, surface bodies of water, springs, mines (surface and subsurface), quarries, water wells, other pertinent surface features, including structures intended for human occupancy, state, county, or Indian country boundary lines, and roads.</p> <p><b>NDAC-43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(b)(f)</b> (f) A list of contacts, submitted to the commission, when the area of review extends across state jurisdiction boundary lines.</p>	<p>a. Number or name and location of all injection wells</p> <p>b. Number or name and location of all producing wells</p> <p>c. Number or name and location of all abandoned wells</p> <p>d. Number of name and location of all plugged wells or dry holes</p> <p>e. Number or name and location of all deep stratigraphic boreholes</p> <p>f. Number or name and location of all state-approved or United States Environmental Protection Agency-approved subsurface cleanup sites</p> <p>g. Name and location of all surface bodies of water</p> <p>h. Name and location of all springs</p> <p>i. Name and location of all mines (surface and subsurface)</p> <p>j. Name and location of all quarries</p> <p>k. Name and location of all water wells</p> <p>l. Name and location of all other pertinent surface features</p> <p>m. Name and location of all structures intended for human occupancy</p> <p>n. Name and location of all state, county, or Indian country boundary lines</p> <p>o. Name and location of all roads</p> <p>(7) A list of contacts, submitted to the Commission, when the area of review extends across state jurisdiction boundary lines.</p>		
	<p>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(g)</p>	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(g)</b> (g) Baseline geochemical data on subsurface formations, including all underground sources of drinking water in the area of review.</p>	<p>i. Baseline geochemical data on subsurface formations, including all underground sources of drinking water in the area of review.</p>	<p><b>Appendix C – FRESHWATER WELL FLUID-SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS</b></p> <p><b>3.4 Protection of USDWs</b></p> <p><b>3.4.1 Introduction of USDW Protection</b> The primary confining zone and additional overlying confining zones geologically isolate the Fox Hills Formation, the lowest USDW in the AoR. The Opeche Formation is the primary confining zone with additional confining layers above, geologically isolating all USDWs from the injection zone (Table 2-14).</p>	<p>Figure 3-8. Major aquifer systems of the Williston Basin.</p> <p>Figure 3-9. Upper stratigraphy of Stark County showing the stratigraphic relationship of Cretaceous and Tertiary groundwater-bearing formations (modified from Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p>

				<p><b>3.4.2 Geology of USDW Formations</b></p> <p>The hydrogeology of western North Dakota is composed of several shallow freshwater-bearing formations of the Quaternary, Tertiary, and upper Cretaceous-aged sediments underlain by multiple saline aquifer systems of the Williston Basin (Figure 3-8). These saline and freshwater systems are separated by the Cretaceous Pierre Shale of the Williston Basin, a regionally extensive shale between 1,000 and 1,500 ft thick (Thamke and others, 2014).</p> <p>The freshwater aquifers comprise the Cretaceous Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations; the overlying Cannonball, Tongue River, and Sentinel Butte Formations of the Tertiary Fort Union Group; and the Tertiary Golden Valley and White River Formations (Figure 3-9). Above these are undifferentiated alluvial and glacial drift Quaternary aquifer layers, which are not necessarily present in all parts of the AoR (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>The lowest USDW in the AoR is the Fox Hills Formation, which together with the overlying Hell Creek Formation, is a confined aquifer system. The Hell Creek Formation is a poorly consolidated unit composed of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, and claystones with occasional carbonaceous beds, all fluvial origin. The underlying Fox Hills Formation is interpreted as interbedded nearshore marine deposits of sand, silt, and shale deposited as part of the final Western Interior Seaway retreat (Fischer, 2013). The Fox Hills Formation in the AoR is approximately 1,000 to 1,600 ft deep and 240–400 ft thick. The structure of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations follows that of the Williston Basin, dipping gently toward the center of the basin to the northwest of the AoR (Figure 3-10).</p> <p>The Pierre Shale is a thick, regionally extensive shale unit which forms the lower boundary of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system, also isolating all overlying freshwater aquifers from the deeper saline aquifer systems. The Pierre Shale is a dark gray to black marine shale and is typically over 1,000 ft thick in the AoR (Thamke and others, 2014).</p> <p><b>3.4.3 Hydrology of USDW Formations</b></p> <p>The aquifers of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations are hydraulically connected and function as a single confined aquifer system (Fischer, 2013). The Bacon Creek Member of the Hell Creek Formation forms a regional aquitard for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system, isolating it from the overlying aquifer layers. Recharge for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system occurs in southwestern North Dakota along the Cedar Creek Anticline and discharges into overlying strata under central and eastern North Dakota (Fischer, 2013). Flow through the AoR is to the northeast (Figure 3-11). Water sampled from the Fox Hills Formation is sodium bicarbonate type with a total dissolved solids (TDS) content of approximately 1,500–1,600 ppm. Previous analysis of Fox Hills Formation water has also noted high levels of fluoride, more than 5 mg/L (Trapp and Croft, 1975). As such, the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system is typically not used as a primary source of drinking water. However, it is occasionally produced for irrigation and/or livestock watering. One active Fox Hills Formation well in AoR is located immediately south of the RTE site on the south side of Interstate 94 (Figure 3-12). Two other Fox Hills wells previously served the city of Richardton, North Dakota, but were plugged and abandoned in the late 1990s.</p> <p>Multiple other freshwater-bearing units, primarily of Tertiary age, overlie the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system in the AoR (Figure 3-13). These formations are often used for domestic and agricultural purposes. The Cannonball and Tongue River Formations comprise the major aquifer units of the Fort Union Group, which overlies the Hell Creek Formation. The Cannonball Formation consists of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, claystone, and thin lignite beds of marine origin. The Tongue River Formation is predominantly sandstone interbedded with siltstone, claystone, lignite, and occasional carbonaceous shales. The basal sandstone member of the Tongue River is persistent and a reliable source of groundwater in the region. Thickness of this basal sand ranges from approximately 50 to 200 ft and can be found at a depth of approximately 550 ft. Tongue River groundwaters are generally sodium bicarbonate with a TDS of approximately 1,000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>The Sentinel Butte Formation, a silty fine- to medium-grained sandstone with claystone and lignite interbeds, overlies the Tongue River Formation. The upper Sentinel Butte Formation is predominantly sandstone with lignite interbeds, forming another important source of groundwater in the region. Generally, the upper Sentinel Butte is 100 to 150 ft thick in the AoR. TDS in the Sentinel Butte Formation range from approximately 400–1000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p>	<p>Figure 3-10. Depth to surface of the Fox Hills Formation in western North Dakota (Fischer, 2013).</p> <p>Figure 3-11. Potentiometric surface of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system shown in feet of hydraulic head above sea level. Flow is to the northeast through the area of investigation in central Stark County (modified from Fischer, 2013).</p> <p>Figure 3-12. Map of water wells in the AoR in relation to the RTE Facility, RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells, stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, facility area, 1-mile AoR, and legacy oil and gas wells.</p> <p>Figure 3-13. West–east cross section of the major aquifer layers in Stark County (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The black dots on the inset map represent the locations of the wells illustrated on the cross section.</p> <p>Figure 3-14. Cross section of the major aquifer layers in the RTE storage facility area (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The location of the water wells used to create the cross section are represented on the inset map. The water wells are labeled with their designation which also correlates to their township range location (e.g., 139-092-18CCC is located in T139N R92W, Section 18).</p>
Required Plans	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1k	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1k k. The storage operator shall comply with the financial responsibility requirements	a. Financial Assurance Demonstration	<b>4.2 Financial Assurance Demonstration Plan</b>  <b>Table 4-5. Cost Estimates for Activities to Be Covered by Surety Bond</b>	

		pursuant to Section 43-05-01-9.1.			<table><tr><th>Activity</th><th>Estimated Total Cost (millions of dollars)</th></tr><tr><td>Corrective Action on Wells in the AoR</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Plugging of Injection and Monitoring Wells*</td><td>0.22</td></tr><tr><td>Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure</td><td>1.1</td></tr><tr><td>Emergency and Remedial Response (including endangerment to USDWs)</td><td>16.0</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>17.32</td></tr></table>	Activity	Estimated Total Cost (millions of dollars)	Corrective Action on Wells in the AoR	0	Plugging of Injection and Monitoring Wells*	0.22	Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure	1.1	Emergency and Remedial Response (including endangerment to USDWs)	16.0	Total	17.32		
	Activity	Estimated Total Cost (millions of dollars)																	
	Corrective Action on Wells in the AoR	0																	
	Plugging of Injection and Monitoring Wells*	0.22																	
	Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure	1.1																	
	Emergency and Remedial Response (including endangerment to USDWs)	16.0																	
	Total	17.32																	
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1d	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1d</b> d. An emergency and remedial response plan pursuant to Section 43-05-01-13.	b. An emergency and remedial response plan.	<b>4.1 Emergency and Remedial Response Plan</b>  <b>4.1.1 Background</b>  <b>4.1.2 Local Resources and Infrastructure</b>  <b>4.1.3 Identification of Potential Emergency Events</b>  <i>4.1.3.1 Definition of an Emergency Event</i>  <b>4.1.4 Emergency Response Actions</b>  <b>4.1.5 Response Personnel/Equipment and Training</b>  4.1.5.1 Response Personnel and Equipment  <b>4.1.6 Emergency Communications Plan</b>  <b>4.1.7 ERRP Reviews and Updates</b>		Figure 4-1. Locations of the RTE ethanol plant and CO <sub>2</sub> injection well (RTE-10) and monitoring well (RTE-10.2). Also shown are the city limits of Richardton, North Dakota; the RTE property limits; the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) property limits; the planned CO <sub>2</sub> flow line from the ethanol plant to the CO <sub>2</sub> injection well; and the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad.  Figure 4-2. Residential, commercial, and public land use within 1 mile of the storage facility area.														
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1e	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1e</b> e. A detailed worker safety plan that addresses carbon dioxide safety training and safe working procedures at the storage facility pursuant to Section 43-05-01-13.	c. A detailed worker safety plan that addresses the following: i. Carbon dioxide safety training ii. Safe working procedures at the storage facility	<b>4.3 Worker Safety Plan (NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1e; NDAC 43-05-01-13)</b>																
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1f	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1f</b> f. A corrosion monitoring and prevention plan for all wells and surface facilities pursuant to Section 43-05-01-15.	d. A corrosion monitoring and prevention plan for all wells and surface facilities;	<b>4.4.2 Corrosion Monitoring and Prevention Plan</b>  <i>4.4.2.1 Corrosion Monitoring</i>  <i>4.4.2.2 Corrosion Prevention</i>																
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1g	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1g</b> g. A leak detection and monitoring plan for all wells and surface facilities pursuant to Section 43-05-01-14. The plan must:  (1) Identify the potential for release to the atmosphere.;  (2) Identify potential degradation of ground water resources with particular emphasis on underground	e. A surface leak detection and monitoring plan for all wells and surface facilities pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) Section 43-05-01-14.	<b>4.4.3 Surface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan</b>		Figure 4-4. RTE completed groundwater well sampling program to establish a groundwater baseline, including seasonal fluctuation. The sample locations were located between the proposed CO <sub>2</sub> injection well and the city of Richardton.  Figure 4-5. RTE completed an initial soil gas-sampling program to establish baseline soil gas concentrations, including seasonal fluctuation. The sample locations were located within and around the CO <sub>2</sub> injection and monitoring wells of the RTE storage site.														

		<p>sources of drinking water.</p> <p>(3) Identify potential migration of carbon dioxide into any mineral zone in the facility area.</p>			<p>Figure 4-6. RTE near-surface monitoring plan sample locations showing the Fox Hills Formation (deepest USDW) monitoring wells, existing groundwater wells, and the two soil-gas profile stations in and around the RTE geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage project site. RTE will investigate Well Nos. 61329 and 51001 to determine accessibility for potential sampling. Well Nos. 61338 and 51004 are both identified as abandoned in the North Dakota State Water Commission database.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1h	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1h</b></p> <p>h. A leak detection and monitoring plan to monitor any movement of the carbon dioxide outside of the storage reservoir. This may include the collection of baseline information of carbon dioxide background concentrations in ground water, surface soils, and chemical composition of in situ waters within the facility area and the storage reservoir and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of the facility area’s outside boundary. Provisions in the plan will be dictated by the site characteristics as documented by materials submitted in support of the permit application but must:</p> <p>(1) Identify the potential for release to the atmosphere.</p> <p>(2) Identify potential degradation of ground water resources with particular emphasis on underground sources of drinking water.</p> <p>(3) Identify potential migration of carbon dioxide into any mineral zone in the facility area.</p>	f. A subsurface leak detection and monitoring plan to monitor for any movement of the carbon dioxide outside of the storage reservoir. This may include the collection of baseline information of carbon dioxide background concentrations in ground water, surface soils, and chemical composition of in situ waters within the facility area and the storage reservoir and within 1 mile of the facility area’s outside boundary.	<p><b>4.4.4 Subsurface Leak Detection and Monitoring Program</b></p> <p><b>4.4.5 Near Surface Groundwater and Soil Gas Sampling Monitoring</b></p> <p><b>4.4.6 Completed Baseline Sampling Program</b></p> <p><i>4.4.6.1 Groundwater Baseline Sampling</i></p> <p><i>4.4.6.2 Soil Gas Baseline Sampling</i></p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i</b></p> <p>i. A testing and monitoring plan pursuant to Section 43-05-01-11.4;</p>	g. A testing and monitoring plan pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.4.	<p><b>4.4 Testing and Monitoring Plan</b></p> <p><b>4.4.1 Analysis of Injected Co2 and Injection Well Testing</b></p> <p><i>4.4.1.1 CO2 Analysis</i></p> <p><i>4.4.1.2 Injection Well Integrity Tests</i></p> <p><b>4.4.5 Near-Surface Groundwater and Soil Gas Sampling and Monitoring</b></p> <p><b>4.4.6 Completed Baseline Sampling Program</b></p> <p><b>4.4.7 Near-Surface (Groundwater – and Soil Gas) Monitoring Plan</b></p> <p><b>4.4.8 Deep Subsurface Monitoring of Free-Phase CO2 Plume and Pressure Front</b></p>	<p>Table 4-6. Overview of RTE Monitoring Program for the Geologic Storage of CO<sub>2</sub></p> <p>Table 4-7. Chemical Components Targeted for Characterization in the Injected CO<sub>2</sub></p> <p>Table 4-10. Baseline (preinjection), Operational, and Postoperational Monitoring Frequency and Duration for Soil Gas, Groundwater, and Surface Air</p> <p>Table 4-11. Description of RTE Monitoring Program</p>



				<div>4.4.8.1 <i>Direct Monitoring Methods</i></div> <div>4.4.8.2 <i>Indirect Monitoring Methods</i></div> <div>4.4.9 Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan; See Appendix D</div>	<div>Figure 4-3. RTE completed an initial sampling program for near-surface groundwater wells and vadose zone soil gas. Shown are all sampling locations completed for the establishment of the baseline monitoring program (water well sample locations and soil gas sample locations); the location of all groundwater wells by type, including all plugged and abandoned legacy oil and gas wells; the city of Richardton; the RTE ethanol plant; the CO<sub>2</sub> flow line; and RTE-10 (injection well) and RTE-10.2 (monitoring well) in relation to the extent of the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume, the storage facility area, and the AoR.</div> <div>Figure 4-7. Simulated CO<sub>2</sub> plume saturation at the end of Years 1 through 5 after initial CO<sub>2</sub> injection. The simulated plume extent at 5 years (2026) results in a CO<sub>2</sub> plume with a radius of ~1,500 ft.</div> <div>Figure 4-8. Simulated extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume at the cessation of injection and the postinjection stabilized plume.</div> <div>Figure 4-9. RTE-10 wellbore schematic showing placement of external BHT/BHP-monitoring gauges and fiber optic.</div> <div>Figure 4-10. RTE-10.2 wellbore schematic showing placement of external BHT/BHP-monitoring gauges and fiber optic.</div> <div>Figure 4-11. Halliburton DataSphere Array System specifications for external BHT/BHP gauges installed in RTE-10 and RTE-10.2.</div> <div>Figure 4-12. Simulated extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume at the end of injection operations in red and the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume following the cessation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection in yellow. Surface seismic and borehole VSP seismic data outlines shown on the map will provide coverage for indirectly monitoring the predicted extents of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume over time.</div> <div>Figure 4-13. The map view (left panel) shows the VSP illumination of surface sourcing (black dots) recorded in the borehole with fiber optic DAS. Also, overlain on the illumination plot (right panel) is the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> plume at 5 years (2026) after the start of CO<sub>2</sub> injection.</div> <div>Figure 4-14. The simulated CO<sub>2</sub> maps at the cessation of injection (left panel) and the postinjection stabilized plume (right panel) are</div>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					overlain on the VSP illumination plots from Figure 4-13. These simulated plume overlays illustrate the plume extents can be imaged with the 3D VSP method throughout CO <sub>2</sub> injection operations. The color bar on the right shows lowfold to highfold illumination of the Broom Creek injection interval depth.
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i</b> i. The proposed well casing and cementing program detailing compliance with Section 43-05-01-09.	h. The proposed well casing and cementing program.	<b>4.5 Well Casing and Cementing Program</b>  <i>4.5.1 RTE-10 – As-Constructed CO<sub>2</sub> Injection Well Casing and Cementing Programs</i>  <i>4.5.2 RTE-10.2 – As-Constructed Monitoring Well Casing and Cementing Programs</i>	Figure 4-15. RTE-10 as-constructed wellbore schematic.  Figure 4-16. RTE-10 isolation scanner results – radial cement evaluation log summary from RTE-10 verifies the material behind the casing and the cement bond index. This enables the analyst to assess isolation in the CO <sub>2</sub> injection zone, confining zones, and USDWs using a high-resolution image.  Figure 4-17. RTE-10.2 as-constructed wellbore schematic
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1m	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1m</b> m. A plugging plan that meets requirements pursuant to Section 43-05-01-11.5.	i. A plugging plan.	<b>4.6 Plugging Plan</b>  4.6.1 RTE-10: P&A Program  <i>4.6.2 RTE-10: P&amp;A Program</i>	Figure 4-18. Proposed CO <sub>2</sub> injection well schematic for RTE-10.  Figure 4-19. Schematic of proposed abandonment plan for RTE-10.  Figure 4-20. Proposed CO <sub>2</sub> -monitoring well schematic for RTE-10.2.  Figure 4-21. Schematic of proposed abandonment plan for monitoring well RTE-10.2.
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1n	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1n</b> n. A postinjection site care and facility closure plan pursuant to Section 43-05-01-19.	j. A post-injection site care and facility closure plan.	<b>4.7 Postinjection Site and Facility Closure Plan</b>  <i>4.7.1 Predicted Postinjection Subsurface Condition</i>  <i>4.7.1.1 Pre- and Postinjection Pressure Differential</i>  <i>4.7.1.2 Predicted Extent of CO<sub>2</sub> Plume</i>  <i>4.7.1.3 Postinjection Monitoring Plan</i>  <i>4.7.2 Groundwater and Soil Gas Monitoring</i>  <i>4.7.3 Monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> Plume and Pressure Front</i>  <i>4.7.3.1 Schedule for Submitting Postinjection Monitoring Results</i>  <i>4.7.3.2 Site Closure Plan</i>  <i>4.7.3.3 Submission of Site Closure Report, Survey, and Deed</i>	Figure 4-22. Predicted pressure increase in storage reservoir following 20 years of injection of 180,000 tonnes per year of CO <sub>2</sub> .  Figure 4-23. Predicted decrease in pressure in the storage reservoir over a 10-year period following the cessation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection.  Figure 4-24. Location of soil gas and groundwater well sampling locations included in the PISC monitoring program.  Figure 4-25. Areal extents of the 3D and borehole seismic surveys proposed during the PISC period in comparison to the areal extents of the CO <sub>2</sub> plume at cessation of injection and the stabilized plume.

Storage Facility Operations	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(4)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(4)</b> (4) The proposed calculated average and maximum daily injection rates, daily volume, and the total anticipated volume of the carbon dioxide stream using a method acceptable to and filed with the commission.	<p>The following items are required as part of the storage facility permit application:</p> <p>a. The proposed average and maximum daily injection rates.</p> <p>b. The proposed average and maximum daily injection volume.</p> <p>c. The proposed total anticipated volume of the carbon dioxide to be stored.</p>	<p><b>5.0 INJECTION WELL AND STORAGE OPERATIONS</b></p> <p>This section of the SFP application presents the engineering criteria for completing and operating the injection well in a manner that protects USDWs. The information that is presented meets the permit requirements for injection well and storage operations as presented in NDAC § 43-05-01-05 (SFP, Table 5-1) and NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 5.0 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Table 5-1. RTE-10 Proposed Injection Well Operating Parameters</th></tr><tr><th>Item</th><th>Values</th><th>Description/Comments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Injected Volume</th></tr><tr><td>Total Injected Volume</td><td>3.7 million tonnes (71 Bscf)</td><td>Based 180,000 tonnes/year (3.5 Bscf/year) for 20 years at an average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day (using 360 operating days per year).</td></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Injection Rates</th></tr><tr><td>Proposed Average Injection Rate</td><td>500 tonnes/day (9.6 MMscf/day)</td><td>Based 180,000 tonnes/year for 20 years (using 360 operating days per year).</td></tr><tr><td>Calculated Maximum Daily Injection Rate</td><td>4,100 tonnes/day (120 MMscf/day)</td><td>Based on surface maximum injection pressure (2,250 psi).</td></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Pressures</th></tr><tr><td>Formation Fracture Pressure at Top Perforation</td><td>4,466 psi</td><td>Modular dynamics testing (MDT) results fracture propagation formation fracture gradient of 0.7 psi/ft.</td></tr><tr><td>Average Operating Surface Injection Pressure</td><td>1,300 psi</td><td>Proposed injection well operating surface injection pressure.</td></tr><tr><td>Surface Maximum Injection Pressure</td><td>2,250 psi</td><td>Based on maximum pressure rating of the flow line.</td></tr><tr><td>Average Operating Bottomhole Pressure (BHP)</td><td>3,000 psi</td><td>An average BHP of 3,000 psi based on average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day.</td></tr><tr><td>Maximum BHP</td><td>4,019 psi</td><td>Calculated maximum BHP 4,019 psi based 90% of the formation fracture pressure 4,466 psi</td></tr><tr><td>Tubing-Casing Annular Pressure</td><td>100 psi</td><td>Variance requested (see Section 5.3) from NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure.</td></tr></table>	Table 5-1. RTE-10 Proposed Injection Well Operating Parameters			Item	Values	Description/Comments	Injected Volume			Total Injected Volume	3.7 million tonnes (71 Bscf)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year (3.5 Bscf/year) for 20 years at an average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day (using 360 operating days per year).	Injection Rates			Proposed Average Injection Rate	500 tonnes/day (9.6 MMscf/day)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year for 20 years (using 360 operating days per year).	Calculated Maximum Daily Injection Rate	4,100 tonnes/day (120 MMscf/day)	Based on surface maximum injection pressure (2,250 psi).	Pressures			Formation Fracture Pressure at Top Perforation	4,466 psi	Modular dynamics testing (MDT) results fracture propagation formation fracture gradient of 0.7 psi/ft.	Average Operating Surface Injection Pressure	1,300 psi	Proposed injection well operating surface injection pressure.	Surface Maximum Injection Pressure	2,250 psi	Based on maximum pressure rating of the flow line.	Average Operating Bottomhole Pressure (BHP)	3,000 psi	An average BHP of 3,000 psi based on average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day.	Maximum BHP	4,019 psi	Calculated maximum BHP 4,019 psi based 90% of the formation fracture pressure 4,466 psi	Tubing-Casing Annular Pressure	100 psi	Variance requested (see Section 5.3) from NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure.
	Table 5-1. RTE-10 Proposed Injection Well Operating Parameters																																													
	Item	Values	Description/Comments																																											
	Injected Volume																																													
Total Injected Volume	3.7 million tonnes (71 Bscf)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year (3.5 Bscf/year) for 20 years at an average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day (using 360 operating days per year).																																												
Injection Rates																																														
Proposed Average Injection Rate	500 tonnes/day (9.6 MMscf/day)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year for 20 years (using 360 operating days per year).																																												
Calculated Maximum Daily Injection Rate	4,100 tonnes/day (120 MMscf/day)	Based on surface maximum injection pressure (2,250 psi).																																												
Pressures																																														
Formation Fracture Pressure at Top Perforation	4,466 psi	Modular dynamics testing (MDT) results fracture propagation formation fracture gradient of 0.7 psi/ft.																																												
Average Operating Surface Injection Pressure	1,300 psi	Proposed injection well operating surface injection pressure.																																												
Surface Maximum Injection Pressure	2,250 psi	Based on maximum pressure rating of the flow line.																																												
Average Operating Bottomhole Pressure (BHP)	3,000 psi	An average BHP of 3,000 psi based on average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day.																																												
Maximum BHP	4,019 psi	Calculated maximum BHP 4,019 psi based 90% of the formation fracture pressure 4,466 psi																																												
Tubing-Casing Annular Pressure	100 psi	Variance requested (see Section 5.3) from NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure.																																												
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(5)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(5)</b> (5) The proposed average and maximum bottom hole injection pressure to be utilized at the reservoir. The maximum allowed injection pressure, measured in pounds per square inch gauge, shall be approved by the commission and specified in the permit. In approving a maximum injection pressure limit, the commission shall consider the results of well tests and other studies that assess the risks of tensile failure and shear failure. The commission shall approve limits that, with a reasonable degree of certainty, will avoid initiating a new fracture or propagating an existing fracture in the confining zone or cause the movement of injection or formation fluids into an underground source of drinking water.	<p>d. The proposed average and maximum bottom hole injection pressure to be utilized.</p> <p>e. The proposed average and maximum surface injection pressures to be utilized.</p>																																											
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(6)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(6)</b> (6) The proposed preoperational formation testing program to obtain an analysis of the chemical and physical characteristics of the injection zone and confining zone pursuant to Section 43-05-01-11.2.	<p>f. The proposed preoperational formation testing program to obtain an analysis of the chemical and physical characteristics of the injection zone.</p> <p>g. The proposed preoperational formation testing program to obtain an analysis of the chemical and physical characteristics of the confining zone.</p>	<table><tr><th colspan="3">Table 4-12. Completed Logging Program for RTE-10 and RTE-10.2</th></tr><tr><th>Log</th><th>Justification</th><th>NDAC Section</th></tr><tr><td>Ultrasonic, CCL (casing collar locator), VDL (variable-density log), GR (gamma ray), Temperature Log</td><td>Identified cement bond quality radially. Detection of cement channels (none observed). Evaluated the cement top and zonal isolation.</td><td>43-05-01-11.2(1c[2])</td></tr><tr><td>Triple Combo (resistivity, density, porosity, GR, caliper, and spontaneous potential)</td><td>Quantified variability in reservoir properties such as resistivity and lithology. Identified the wellbore volume to calculate the required cement volume. Provided input for enhanced geomodeling and predictive simulation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection into the interest zones to improve test design and interpretations.</td><td>43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])</td></tr></table>	Table 4-12. Completed Logging Program for RTE-10 and RTE-10.2			Log	Justification	NDAC Section	Ultrasonic, CCL (casing collar locator), VDL (variable-density log), GR (gamma ray), Temperature Log	Identified cement bond quality radially. Detection of cement channels (none observed). Evaluated the cement top and zonal isolation.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[2])	Triple Combo (resistivity, density, porosity, GR, caliper, and spontaneous potential)	Quantified variability in reservoir properties such as resistivity and lithology. Identified the wellbore volume to calculate the required cement volume. Provided input for enhanced geomodeling and predictive simulation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection into the interest zones to improve test design and interpretations.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])																														
Table 4-12. Completed Logging Program for RTE-10 and RTE-10.2																																														
Log	Justification	NDAC Section																																												
Ultrasonic, CCL (casing collar locator), VDL (variable-density log), GR (gamma ray), Temperature Log	Identified cement bond quality radially. Detection of cement channels (none observed). Evaluated the cement top and zonal isolation.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[2])																																												
Triple Combo (resistivity, density, porosity, GR, caliper, and spontaneous potential)	Quantified variability in reservoir properties such as resistivity and lithology. Identified the wellbore volume to calculate the required cement volume. Provided input for enhanced geomodeling and predictive simulation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection into the interest zones to improve test design and interpretations.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])																																												

				Combinable Magnetic Resonance (CMR)	Aided in interpreting reservoir permeability and determined the best location for modular dynamics testing (MDT) fluid sampling depths, packer setting depths, and stress testing depths. CMR and MDT data combined provided enhanced permeability evaluation, fluid identification, and fluid contacts.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])	
				Spectral GR	Identified clays and lithology that could affect injectivity. Also used for core to log depth correlation.	43-05-01-11.2(2)	
				Dipole Sonic	Identified mechanical properties including stress anisotropy. Provided compression and shear waves for seismic tie-in and quantitative analysis of the seismic data.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])	
				Fracture Finder Log	Quantified fractures in the Inyan Kara and Broom Creek Formations and confining layers to ensure safe, long-term storage of CO <sub>2</sub> .	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])	
				MDT Fluid Sampling	Collected fluid sample from the Inyan Kara and Broom Creek for geochemical testing and TDS (total dissolved solids) quantification.	43-05-01-11.2(2)	
				MDT Formation Pressure Testing	Collected reservoir pressure tests to establish a pressure profile and mobility.	43-05-01-11.2(2)	
				MDT Stress Testing	Collected breakdown pressure, fracture propagation pressure, fracture closure pressure (minimum in situ stress) to establish injection pressure limits.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])	
				Appendix B – RTE-10 AND RTE-10.2 FORMATION FLUID SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS			
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(7)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(7) (7) The proposed stimulation program, a description of stimulation fluids to be used, and a determination that stimulation will not interfere with containment.	h. The proposed stimulation program: 1. A description of the stimulation fluids to be used. 2. A determination of the probability that stimulation will interfere with containment.	5.1 RTE-10 Well – Proposed Completion Procedure to Conduct Injection Operations  <u>Perform Injection Test and Stimulate Broom Creek Formation</u>				



	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(8)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(8) (8) The proposed procedure to outline steps necessary to conduct injection operations.	i. Steps to begin injection operations	<p><b>5.1 RTE-10 Well – Proposed Completion Procedure to Conduct Injection Operations</b></p> <p>RTE constructed the RTE-10 well (Figure 5-1 and Table 5-2) with intentions to conduct CO<sub>2</sub> stream injection operations, as referenced in previous sections. The following proposed completion procedure outlines the steps necessary to complete the RTE-10 well for injection purposes. <u>For additional information, go to Section 5.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>5.2 RTE-10.2 Well – Proposed Procedure for Monitoring Well Operations</b></p> <p>RTE constructed a second well, the RTE-10.2, Figure 5-5, for direct reservoir-monitoring purposes, as referenced in Section 4, to support deep subsurface monitoring of the RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> stream injection well. Monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume location and the storage reservoir pressure will be conducted continuously through use of the casing-conveyed temperature and pressure gauges installed on the outside of the long-string production casing. Monitoring will be conducted during injection operations, Table 4-6, as well as during the PISC period using the methods summarized in Table 4-23, which are also discussed in more detail in the Testing and Monitoring section of this permit application. Monitoring methods include a combination of formation-monitoring methods (e.g., downhole pressure, downhole temperature, MITs; pulsed-neutron capture/reservoir saturation tool logs) that support CO<sub>2</sub> plume stabilization assessments. <u>For more additional information, go to Section 5.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>Figure 5-1. RTE-10 as-constructed wellbore schematic.</p> <p>Figure 5-2. RTE-10 proposed perforation intervals of the Broom Creek Formation (green-shaded sections based on the RTE-10_triple combo openhole log March 2020).</p> <p>Figure 5-3. RTE-10 well – proposed CO<sub>2</sub> resistant wellhead schematic – Cameron Supplier.</p> <p>Figure 5-4. RTE-10 well – proposed completed wellbore schematic.</p> <p>Figure 5-5. RTE-10.2 as-constructed well schematic.</p> <p>Figure 5-6. RTE-10.2 well – proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead schematic – Cameron Supplier.</p> <p>Figure 5-7. RTE-10.2 well – proposed completed wellbore schematic.</p>
--	-------------------------	---	--	--	---

**Received**

**JUN 28 2021**

**ND Oil & Gas Division**



June 28, 2021

**HAND DELIVERED**

Mr. Bruce Hicks  
Assistant Director  
North Dakota Industrial Commission  
Oil and Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard  
Bismarck, North Dakota 58505-0310

**RE: On a motion of the Commission to consider the application of Red Trail Energy LLC for a storage facility permit for geologic storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to NDCC Ch. 38-22 and NDAC Ch. 43-05-01**

Dear Mr. Hicks:

Please find enclosed herewith the GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT, BROOM CREEK FORMATION, STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA, to supplement the above-referenced application for filing for the August 12, 2021 NDIC hearing.

Should you have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "L. Blender", is written over the word "Sincerely,".

LAWRENCE BLENDER

LB/leo

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Dustin Willett - (w/enc.) *Via Email*  
Mr. Kevin Connors - (w/enc.) *Via Email*

Attorneys & Advisors  
main 701.221.8700  
fax 701.221.8750  
fredlaw.com

Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.  
1133 College Drive, Suite 1000  
Bismarck, North Dakota  
58501-1215

**GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

**THIS AGREEMENT** ("Agreement") is entered into as of the 1st day of August, 2021, by the parties who have executed a pore space lease, signed the original of this instrument, a counterpart thereof, ratification and joinder by order of the Commission or other instrument agreeing to become a Party hereto.

**WITNESSETH:**

**WHEREAS**, it is in the public interest to promote the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in a manner which will benefit the state and the global environment by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and in a manner which will help ensure the viability of the state's ethanol industry, to the economic benefit of North Dakota and its citizens;

**WHEREAS**, to further geologic storage of carbon dioxide, a potentially valuable commodity, may allow for its ready availability if needed for commercial, industrial, or other uses, including enhanced recovery of oil, gas, and other minerals; and

**WHEREAS**, for geologic storage, however, to be practical and effective requires cooperative use of surface and subsurface property interests and the collaboration of property owners, which may require procedures that promote, in a manner fair to all interests, cooperative management, thereby ensuring the maximum use of natural resources.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of the premise and of the mutual agreements herein contained, it is agreed as follows:

**ARTICLE 1  
DEFINITIONS**

As used in this Agreement:

1.1 **Carbon Dioxide** means carbon dioxide in gaseous, liquid, or supercritical fluid state together with incidental associated substances derived from the source materials, capture process and any substances added or used to enable or improve the injection process.

1.2 **Commission** means the North Dakota Industrial Commission.

1.3 **Effective Date** is the time and date this Agreement becomes effective as provided in Article 14.

1.4 **Facility Area** is the land described by Tracts in Exhibit "B" and shown on Exhibit "A" containing 3480.00 acres, more or less.

1.5 **Party** is any individual, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, association, receiver, trustee, curator, executor, administrator, guardian, tutor, fiduciary, or other representative of any kind, any department, agency, or instrumentality of the state, or any governmental subdivision thereof, or any other entity capable of holding an interest in the Storage Reservoir.

1.6 **Pore Space** means a cavity or void, whether natural or artificially created, in any subsurface stratum.

1.7 **Pore Space Interest** is a right to or interest in the Pore Space in any Tract within the boundaries of the Facility Area.

1.8 **Pore Space Owner** is a Party hereto who owns Pore Space Interest.

1.9 **Storage Equipment** is any personal property, lease and well equipment, plants and other facilities and equipment for use in Storage Operations.

1.10 **Storage Expense** is all costs, expense or indebtedness incurred by the Storage Operator pursuant to this Agreement for or on account of Storage Operations.

1.11 **Storage Reservoir** consists of the Pore Space and confining subsurface strata underlying the Facility Area described as the Broom Creek Formation and geologically confined by the Opeche Formation (upper confining zone) and the Amsden Formation (lower confining zone), identified by the gamma ray and resistivity logs run in the Runnel-State 1 well (File No. 6797), located in the SE/4 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota, which encompasses the stratigraphic interval from a depth of 6315 feet to a depth of 7060 feet as measured from the Kelly Bushing elevation of 2494 feet, within the limits of the Facility Area.

1.12 **Storage Facility** is the unitized or amalgamated Storage Reservoir created pursuant to an order of the Commission.

1.13 **Storage Facility Participation** is the percentage shown on Exhibit "C" for allocating payments for use of the Pore Space under each Tract identified in Exhibit "B".

1.14 **Storage Operations** are all operations conducted by the Storage Operator pursuant to this Agreement or otherwise authorized by any lease covering any Pore Space Interest.

1.15 **Storage Operator** is the person or entity named in Section 4.1 of this Agreement.

1.16 **Storage Rights** are the rights to explore, develop, and operate lands within the Facility Area for the storage of Storage Substances.

1.17 **Storage Substances** are Carbon Dioxide and incidental associated substances and fluids.



1.18 **Tract** is the land described as such and given a Tract number in Exhibit "B."

## **ARTICLE 2 EXHIBITS**

2.1 **Exhibits.** The following exhibits, which are attached hereto, are incorporated herein by reference:

2.1.1 Exhibit "A" is a map that shows the boundary lines of the Storage Facility area and the tracts therein;

2.1.2 Exhibit "B" is a schedule that describes the acres of each Tract in the Storage Facility area;

2.1.3 Exhibit "C" is a schedule that shows the Storage Facility Participation of each Tract; and

2.1.4 Exhibit "D" is the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease.

2.2 **Reference to Exhibits.** When reference is made to an exhibit, it is to the exhibit as originally attached or, if revised, to the last revision.

2.3 **Exhibits Considered Correct.** Exhibits "A," "B," "C" and "D" shall be considered to be correct until revised as herein provided.

2.4 **Correcting Errors.** The shapes and descriptions of the respective Tracts have been established by using the best information available. If it subsequently appears that any Tract, mechanical miscalculation or clerical error has been made, Storage Operator, with the approval of Pore Space Owners whose interest is affected, shall correct the mistake by revising the exhibits to conform to the facts. The revision shall not include any re-evaluation of engineering or geological interpretations used in determining Storage Facility Participation. Each such revision of an exhibit made prior to thirty (30) days after the Effective Date shall be effective as of the Effective Date. Each such revision thereafter made shall be effective at 7:00 a.m. on the first day of the calendar month next following the filing for record of the revised exhibit or on such other date as may be determined by Storage Operator and set forth in the revised exhibit.

2.5 **Filing Revised Exhibits.** If an exhibit is revised, Storage Operator shall execute an appropriate instrument with the revised exhibit attached and file the same for record in the county or counties in which this Agreement or memorandum of the same is recorded and shall also file the amended changes with the Commission.

### ARTICLE 3 CREATION AND EFFECT OF STORAGE FACILITY

3.1 **Unleased Pore Space Interests.** Any Pore Space Owner in the Storage Facility who owns a Pore Space Interest in the Storage Reservoir that is not leased for the purposes of this Agreement and during the term hereof, shall be treated as if it were subject to the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease attached hereto as Exhibit "D".

3.2 **Amalgamation of Pore Space.** All Pore Space Interests in and to the Tracts are hereby amalgamated and combined insofar as the respective Pore Space Interests pertain to the Storage Reservoir, so that Storage Operations may be conducted with respect to said Storage Reservoir as if all of the Pore Space Interests in the Facility Area had been included in a single lease executed by all Pore Space Owners, as lessors, in favor of Storage Operator, as lessee and as if the lease contained all of the provisions of this Agreement.

3.3 **Amendment of Leases and Other Agreements.** The provisions of the various leases, agreements, or other instruments pertaining to the respective Tracts or the storage of the Storage Substances therein, including the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease attached hereto as Exhibit "D", are amended to the extent necessary to make them conform to the provisions of this Agreement, but otherwise shall remain in effect.

3.4 **Continuation of Leases and Term Interests.** Injection in to any part of the Storage Reservoir, or other Storage Operations, shall be considered as injection in to or upon each Tract within said Storage Reservoir, and such injection or operations shall continue in effect as to each lease as to all lands and formations covered thereby just as if such operations were conducted on and as if a well were injecting in each Tract within said Storage Reservoir.

3.5 **Titles Unaffected by Storage.** Nothing herein shall be construed to result in the transfer of title of the Pore Space Interest of any Party hereto to any other Party or to Storage Operator.

3.6 **Injection Rights.** Storage Operator is hereby granted the right to inject into the Storage Reservoir any Storage Substances in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, together with the right to drill, use, and maintain injection wells in the Facility Area, and to use for injection purposes.

3.7 **Transfer of Storage Substances from Storage Facility.** Storage Operator may transfer from the Storage Facility any Storage Substances, in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, to any other reservoir, subsurface stratum or formation permitted by the Commission for the storage of carbon dioxide under Chapter 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. The transfer of such Storage Substances out of the Storage Facility shall be disregarded for the purposes of calculating the royalty under any lease covering a Pore Space Interest (including Exhibit "D") and shall not affect the allocation of Storage Substances injected into the Storage Facility through the surface of the Facility Area in accordance with Article 6 of this Agreement.

3.8 **Receipt of Storage Substances.** Storage Operator may accept and receive into the Storage Facility any Storage Substances, in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, being stored in any other reservoir, subsurface stratum or formation permitted by the Commission for the storage of carbon dioxide under Chapter 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. The receipt of such Storage Substances into the Storage Facility shall be disregarded for the purposes of calculating the royalty under any lease covering a Pore Space Interest (including Exhibit "D") and shall not affect the allocation of Storage Substances injected into the Storage Facility through the surface of the Facility Area in accordance with Article 6 of this Agreement.

3.9 **Cooperative Agreements.** Storage Operator may enter into cooperative agreements with respect to lands adjacent to the Facility Area for the purpose of coordinating Storage Operations. Such cooperative agreements may include, but shall not be limited to, agreements regarding the transfer and receipt of Storage Substances pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement.

3.10 **Border Agreements.** Storage Operator may enter into an agreement or agreements with owners of adjacent lands with respect to operations which may enhance the injection of the Storage Substances in the Storage Reservoir in the Facility Area or which may otherwise be necessary for the conduct of Storage Operations.

#### **ARTICLE 4 STORAGE OPERATIONS**

4.1 **Storage Operator.** Red Trail Energy, LLC is hereby designated as the initial Storage Operator. Storage Operator shall have the exclusive right to conduct Storage Operations, which shall conform to the provisions of this Agreement and any lease covering a Pore Space Interest. If there is any conflict between such agreements, this Agreement shall govern.

4.2 **Successor Operators.** The initial Storage Operator and any subsequent operator may, at any time, transfer operatorship of the Storage Facility with and upon the approval of the Commission.

4.3 **Method of Operation.** Storage Operator shall engage in Storage Operations with diligence and in accordance with good engineering and injection practices.

4.4 **Change of Method of Operation.** Nothing herein shall prevent Storage Operator from discontinuing or changing in whole or in part any method of operation which, in its opinion, is no longer in accord with good engineering or injection practices. Other methods of operation may be conducted or changes may be made by Storage Operator from time to time if determined by it to be feasible, necessary or desirable to increase the injection or storage of Storage Substances.

## ARTICLE 5 TRACT PARTICIPATIONS

5.1 **Tract Participations.** The Storage Facility Participation of each Tract is shown in Exhibit "C." The Storage Facility Participation of each Tract shall be based 100% upon the ratio of surface acres in each Tract to the total surface acres for all Tracts within the Facility Area.

5.2 **Relative Storage Facility Participations.** If the Facility Area is enlarged or reduced, the revised Storage Facility Participation of the Tracts remaining in the Facility Area and which were within the Facility Area prior to the enlargement or reduction shall remain in the same ratio to one another.

## ARTICLE 6 ALLOCATION OF STORAGE SUBSTANCES

6.1 **Allocation of Tracts.** All Storage Substances injected shall be allocated to the several Tracts in accordance with the respective Storage Facility Participation effective during the period that the Storage Substances are injected. The amount of Storage Substances allocated to each tract, regardless of whether the amount is more or less than the actual injection of Storage Substances from the well or wells, if any, on such Tract, shall be deemed for all purposes to have been injected into such Tract. Storage Substances transferred or received pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement shall be disregarded for the purposes of this Section 6.1.

6.2 **Distribution within Tracts.** The Storage Substances injected and allocated to each Tract shall be distributed among, or accounted for to, the Pore Space Owners who own a Pore Space Interest in such Tract in accordance with the Pore Space Owners' Storage Facility Participation effective during the period that the Storage Substances were injected. If any Pore Space Interest in a Tract hereafter becomes divided and owned in severalty as to different parts of the Tract, the owners of the divided interests, in the absence of an agreement providing for a different division, shall be compensated for the storage of the Storage Substances in proportion to the surface acreage of their respective parts of the Tract. Storage Substances transferred or received pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement shall be disregarded for the purposes of this Section 6.2.

## ARTICLE 7 TITLES

7.1 **Warranty and Indemnity.** Each Pore Space Owner who, by acceptance of revenue for the injection of Storage Substances into the Storage Reservoir, shall be deemed to have warranted title to its Pore Space Interest, and, upon receipt of the proceeds thereof to the credit of such interest, shall indemnify and hold harmless the Storage Operator and other Parties from any loss due to failure, in whole or in part, of its title to any such interest.

7.2 **Injection When Title Is in Dispute.** If the title or right of any Pore Space Owner claiming the right to receive all or any portion of the proceeds for the storage of any Storage Substances allocated to a Tract is in dispute, Storage Operator shall require that the Pore Space



Owner to whom the proceeds thereof are paid furnish security for the proper accounting thereof to the rightful Pore Space Owner if the title or right of such Pore Space Owner fails in whole or in part.

7.3 **Payments of Taxes to Protect Title.** The owner of surface rights to lands within the Facility Area is responsible for the payment of any *ad valorem* taxes on all such rights, interests or property, unless such owner and the Storage Operator otherwise agree. If any *ad valorem* taxes are not paid by or for such owner when due, Storage Operator may at any time prior to tax sale or expiration of period of redemption after tax sale, pay the tax, redeem such rights, interests or property, and discharge the tax lien. Storage Operator shall, if possible, withhold from any proceeds derived from the storage of Storage Substances otherwise due any Pore Space Owner who is a delinquent taxpayer an amount sufficient to defray the costs of such payment or redemption, such withholding to be credited to the Storage Operator. Such withholding shall be without prejudice to any other remedy available to Storage Operator.

7.4 **Pore Space Interest Titles.** If title to a Pore Space Interest fails, but the tract to which it relates is not removed from the Facility Area, the Party whose title failed shall not be entitled to share under this Agreement with respect to that interest.

## ARTICLE 8 EASEMENTS OR USE OF SURFACE

8.1 **Grant of Easement.** Storage Operator shall have the right to use as much of the surface of the land within the Facility Area as may be reasonably necessary for Storage Operations and the injection of Storage Substances.

8.2 **Use of Water.** Storage Operator shall have and is hereby granted free use of water from the Facility Area for Storage Operations, except water from any well, lake, pond or irrigation ditch of a Pore Space Owner; notwithstanding the foregoing, Storage Operator may access any well, lake, or pond as provided in Exhibit "D".

8.3 **Surface Damages.** Storage Owner shall pay surface owners for damage to growing crops, timber, fences, improvements and structures located on the Facility Area that result from Storage Operations.

8.4 **Surface and Sub-Surface Operating Rights.** Except to the extent modified in this Agreement, Storage Operator shall have the same rights to use the surface and sub-surface and use of water and any other rights granted to Storage Operator in any lease covering Pore Space Interests. Except to the extent expanded by this Agreement or the extent that such rights are common to the effected leases, the rights granted by a lease may be exercised only on the land covered by that lease. Storage Operator will to the extent possible minimize surface impacts.

## ARTICLE 9 ENLARGEMENT OF STORAGE FACILITY

9.1 **Enlargement of Storage Facility.** The Storage Facility may be enlarged from time to time to include acreage and formations reasonably proven to be geologically capable of storing

Storage Substances. Any expansion must be approved in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Commission.

9.2 **Determination of Tract Participation.** Storage Operator, subject to Section 5.2, shall determine the Storage Facility Participation of each Tract within the Storage Facility as enlarged, and shall revise Exhibits "A", "B" and "C" accordingly and in accordance with the rules, regulations and orders of the Commission.

9.3 **Effective Date.** The effective date of any enlargement of the Storage Facility shall be effective as determined by the Commission.

## **ARTICLE 10 TRANSFER OF TITLE PARTITION**

10.1 **Transfer of Title.** Any conveyance of all or part of any interest owned by any Party hereto with respect to any Tract shall be made expressly subject to this Agreement. No change of title shall be binding upon Storage Operator, or any Party hereto other than the Party so transferring, until 7:00 a.m. on the first day of the calendar month following thirty (30) days from the date of receipt by Storage Operator of a photocopy, or a certified copy, of the recorded or filed instrument evidencing such a change in ownership.

10.2 **Waiver of Rights to Partition.** Each Party hereto agrees that, during the existence of this Agreement, it will not resort to any action to partition any Tract or parcel within the Facility Area or the facilities used in the development or operation thereof, and to that extent waives the benefits or laws authorizing such partition.

## **ARTICLE 11 RELATIONSHIP OF PARTIES**

11.1 **No Partnership.** The duties, obligations and liabilities arising hereunder shall be several and not joint or collective. This Agreement is not intended to create, and shall not be construed to create, an association or trust, or to impose a partnership duty, obligation or liability with regard to any one or more of the Parties hereto. Each Party hereto shall be individually responsible for its own obligations as herein provided.

11.2 **No Joint Marketing.** This Agreement is not intended to provide, and shall not be construed to provide, directly or indirectly, for any joint marketing of Storage Substances.

11.3 **Pore Space Owners Free of Costs.** This Agreement is not intended to impose, and shall not be construed to impose, upon any Pore Space Owner any obligation to pay any Storage Expense unless such Pore Space Owner is otherwise so obligated.

11.4 **Information to Pore Space Owners.** Each Pore Space Owner shall be entitled to all information in possession of Storage Operator to which such Pore Space Owner is entitled by an existing lease or a lease imposed by this Agreement.

## **ARTICLE 12 LAWS AND REGULATIONS**

12.1 **Laws and Regulations.** This Agreement shall be subject to all applicable federal, state and municipal laws, rules, regulations and orders.

## **ARTICLE 13 FORCE MAJEURE**

13.1 **Force Majeure.** All obligations imposed by this Agreement on each Party, except for the payment of money, shall be suspended while compliance is prevented, in whole or in part, by a labor dispute, fire, war, civil disturbance, or act of God; by federal, state or municipal laws; by any rule, regulation or order of a governmental agency; by inability to secure materials; or by any other cause or causes, whether similar or dissimilar, beyond reasonable control of the Party. No Party shall be required against his will to adjust or settle any labor dispute. Neither this Agreement nor any lease or other instrument subject hereto shall be terminated by reason of suspension of Storage Operations due to any one or more of the causes set forth in this Article.

## **ARTICLE 14 EFFECTIVE DATE**

14.1 **Effective Date.** This Agreement shall become effective as determined by the Commission.

14.2 **Ipsa Facto Termination.** If the requirements of Section 14.1 are not accomplished on or before December 31, 2021 this Agreement shall *ipso facto* terminate on that date (hereinafter called "termination date") and thereafter be of no further effect, unless prior thereto Pore Space Owners owning a combined Storage Facility Participation of at least thirty percent (30%) of the Facility Area have become Parties to this Agreement and have decided to extend the termination date for a period not to exceed six (6) months. If the termination date is so extended and the requirements of Section 14.1 are not accomplished on or before the extended termination date this Agreement shall *ipso facto* terminate on the extended termination date and thereafter be of no further effect.

14.3 **Certificate of Effectiveness.** Storage Operator shall file for record in the county or counties in which the land affected is located a certificate stating the Effective Date of this Agreement.

## **ARTICLE 15**

### **TERM**

15.1 **Term.** Unless sooner terminated in the manner hereinafter provided or by order of the Commission, this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect until the Commission has issued a certificate of project completion with respect to the Storage Facility in accordance with Section 38-22-17 of the North Dakota Century Code.

15.2 **Termination by Storage Operator.** This Agreement may be terminated at any time by the Storage Operator.

15.3 **Effect of Termination.** Upon termination of this Agreement all Storage Operations shall cease. Each lease and other agreement covering Pore Space within the Facility Area shall remain in force for ninety (90) days after the date on which this Agreement terminates, and for such further period as is provided by Exhibit "D" or other agreement.

15.4 **Salvaging Equipment Upon Termination.** If not otherwise granted by Exhibit "D" or other instruments affecting each Tract, Pore Space Owners hereby grant Storage Operator a period of six (6) months after the date of termination of this Agreement within which to salvage and remove Storage Equipment.

15.5 **Certificate of Termination.** Upon termination of this Agreement, Storage Operator shall file for record in the county or counties in which the land affected is located a certificate that this Agreement has terminated, stating its termination date.

## **ARTICLE 16**

### **APPROVAL**

16.1 **Original, Counterpart or Other Instrument.** A Pore Space Owner may approve this Agreement by entering into a pore space lease with Storage Operator signing the original of this instrument, a counterpart thereof, ratification or joinder or other instrument approving this instrument hereto. The signing of any such instrument shall have the same effect as if all Parties had signed the same instrument.

16.2 **Joinder in Dual Capacity.** Execution as herein provided by any Party as either a Pore Space Owner or the Storage Operator shall commit all interests owned or controlled by such Party and any additional interest thereafter acquired in the Facility Area.

16.3 **Approval by the North Dakota Industrial Commission.**  
Notwithstanding anything in this Article to the contrary, all Tracts within the Facility Area shall be deemed to be qualified for participation if this Agreement is duly approved by order of the Commission.



**ARTICLE 17  
GENERAL**

17.1 **Amendments Affecting Pore Space Owners.** Amendments hereto relating wholly to Pore Space Owners may be made with approval by the Commission.

17.4 **Construction.** This agreement shall be construed according to the laws of the State of North Dakota.

**ARTICLE 18  
SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS**

18.1 **Successors and Assigns.** This Agreement shall extend to, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, devisees, legal representatives, successors and assigns and shall constitute a covenant running with the lands, leases and interests covered hereby.

*[Remainder of page intentionally left blank. Signature page follows.]*

Executed the date set opposite each name below but effective for all purposes as provided by Article 14.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2021

**STORAGE OPERATOR**

**RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC**

By: \_\_\_\_\_


Its: \_\_\_\_\_

73044007.1

## EXHIBIT A

### Tract Map

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota



## **EXHIBIT B**

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

<b><u>Tract No.</u></b>	<b><u>Land Description</u></b>	<b><u>Owner Name</u></b>	<b><u>Tract Net Acres</u></b>	<b><u>Tract Participation</u></b>	<b><u>Storage Facility Participation</u></b>
1	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	160.000  <b>160.000</b>	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
2	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	40.000  <b>40.000</b>	100.00000000%	1.14942529%
3	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff Charlotte Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	120.000  <b>120.000</b>	100.00000000%	3.44827586%
4	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Jody Hoff Maria Hoff <b>Tract Total:</b>	150.060  <b>150.060</b>	100.00000000%	4.31206897%
5	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	299.078 <b>299.078</b>	100.00000000%	8.59419540%
6	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Red Trail Energy, LLC <b>Tract Total:</b>	55.500 <b>55.500</b>	100.00000000%	1.59482759%



## **EXHIBIT B**

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

7	Section 9-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer	64.500	100.00000000%	1.85344828%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>64.500</b>		
8	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Barbara Hoff	113.314	100.00000000%	3.25614943%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>113.314</b>		
9	Section 10-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	17.878	100.00000000%	0.51373563%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>17.878</b>		
10	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Neal C. & Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	77.850	100.00000000%	2.23706897%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>77.850</b>		
11	Section 11-T139N-R92W	Richard L. Hauck Linda Hauck	10.120	100.00000000%	0.29080460%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>10.120</b>		
12	Section 11-T139N-R92W	William S. Hoff Doris Hoff	68.750	100.00000000%	1.97557471%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>68.750</b>		

## **EXHIBIT B**

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

Neal C. & Bonnie M.  
Messer Farm Properties

13	Section 11-T139N-R92W	LLL	143.800	100.00000000%	4.13218391%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>143.800</b>		
14	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Kevin Frederick	15.000	100.00000000%	0.43103448%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>15.000</b>		
15	Section 12-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher	65.000	100.00000000%	1.86781609%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>65.000</b>		
16	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Craig S. Fisher	40.959	100.00000000%	1.17698276%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>40.959</b>		
17	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher	18.658	100.00000000%	0.53614943%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>18.658</b>		
18	Section 13-T139N-R92W	Sheldon Fisher	88.223	100.00000000%	2.53514368%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>88.223</b>		
19	Section 14-T139N-R92W	Dwight Schank	607.120	100.00000000%	17.44597701%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>607.120</b>		
20	Section 15-T139N-R92W	Karen Messmer	640.000	100.00000000%	18.39080460%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>640.000</b>		

## **EXHIBIT B**

### Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

21	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Messmer Farms LLP	80.000	100.00000000%	2.29885057%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>80.000</b>		
22	Section 22-T139N-R92W	Jeffrey R. Hoff	160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>160.000</b>		
23	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Lori Hinder	160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>160.000</b>		
24	Section 23-T139N-R92W	Ambrose Hoff	160.000	100.00000000%	4.59770115%
		Charlotte Hoff			
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>160.000</b>		
25	Sections 10,11,13 & 14-T139N-R92W	BNSF Railway Company	124.190	100.00000000%	3.56867816%
		<b>Tract Total:</b>	<b>124.190</b>		
<b>Total Acres:</b>			<b>3480.000</b>	<b>Total Participation:</b>	<b>100.00000000%</b>

## **EXHIBIT C**

### **Tract Participation Factors**

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

<b><u>Tract No.</u></b>	<b><u>Acres</u></b>	<b><u>Tract Participation Factor</u></b>
1	160.000	4.59770115%
2	40.000	1.14942529%
3	120.000	3.44827586%
4	150.060	4.31206897%
5	299.078	8.59419540%
6	55.500	1.59482759%
7	64.500	1.85344828%
8	113.314	3.25614943%
9	17.878	0.51373563%
10	77.850	2.23706897%
11	10.120	0.29080460%
12	68.750	1.97557471%
13	143.800	4.13218391%
14	15.000	0.43103448%
15	65.000	1.86781609%
16	40.959	1.17698276%
17	18.658	0.53614943%
18	88.223	2.53514368%
19	607.120	17.44597701%
20	640.000	18.39080460%
21	80.000	2.29885057%
22	160.000	4.59770115%
23	160.000	4.59770115%
24	160.000	4.59770115%
25	124.190	3.56867816%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3480.000</b>	<b>100.00000000%</b>



## **EXHIBIT D**

### Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

### **FORM OF SURFACE USE AND PORE SPACE LEASE**

THIS SURFACE USE AND PORE SPACE LEASE (this "Lease") is made and entered into this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2018, by and between \_\_\_\_\_, whose address is \_\_\_\_\_ (whether one or more, "Lessor"), and Red Trail Energy, LLC, a North Dakota limited liability company, whose address is 3682 Hwy 8 S., Richardton, North Dakota 58652 (whether one or more, "Lessee"). Lessor and Lessee may be individually referred to herein as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties".

1. **Leased Premises.** Lessor, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, does hereby grant, demise, lease and let unto Lessee for Lessee's geologic storage operations and other purposes set forth herein, the following-described lands situated in Stark County, North Dakota:

Township \_\_\_\_ North, Range \_\_\_\_ West  
Section \_\_\_\_: \_\_\_\_

containing \_\_\_\_ acres, more or less (the "Leased Premises"), subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein.

2. **Term.** The initial term of this Lease shall be for fifty (50) years. Lessee shall have the option, but not the obligation, to extend this lease for an additional fifty (50) year term by paying a bonus of \_\_\_\_\_ and No/100 Dollars (\$\_\_\_\_\_) per net acre before the end of the initial ten (10) year term. This Lease shall continue beyond the second ten (10) year term for so long as any portion of the Leased Premises or Lessee's storage facilities are subject to a permit issued by the North Dakota Industrial Commission (the "Commission") or under the ownership or control of the State of North Dakota; *provided, however*, that all of Lessee's obligations under this Lease shall terminate upon issuance of a certificate of project completion pursuant to Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.

3. **Annual Rentals.** Lessee shall pay to Lessor an annual rental of \_\_\_\_\_ dollars (\$\_\_\_\_\_) per net acre for as long as this Lease is in effect. The annual rental shall be paid each year prior to the anniversary date of this Lease. The first year's rental has been paid to Lessor, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged. The rentals paid under this lease shall not be deducted from the royalties as they accrue. Lessee shall have the right to prepay in a lump sum the annual rentals payable during the terms of this Lease or any extension thereof. Prepaid annual rental shall be refunded on a pro-rata basis in the event this Lease is terminated due to no fault of Lessee. Lessee shall no longer be liable to Lessee for annual rentals upon (i) the termination of this Lease or, (ii) the issuance of a certificate of project completion and transfer of title and custody of Lessor's storage facilities to the State of North Dakota in accordance with Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. For avoidance of doubt, Lessee shall continue to pay Lessor the annual rental for the duration of the ten (10) year period following the date injection operations have ceased in accordance with Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.

4. Royalty. In addition to the annual rental, Lessee shall pay to Lessor a royalty of \_\_\_\_ cents (\$0.\_\_\_\_) per ton of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) injected into the reservoirs and pore spaces underlying the Leased Premises. The quantity of carbon dioxide injected into the reservoirs and pore spaces underlying the Leased Premises shall be determined through the use of metering equipment installed and operated by Lessee at the injection site. All royalties due hereunder for carbon dioxide injected into the Leased Premises during any calendar quarter shall be paid to Lessor by the last day of the following month after the calendar quarter.

5. Right to Pore Space/Storage of Carbon Dioxide. Lessor grants to Lessee the exclusive right to inject and store carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and other gaseous substances, from whatever source or sources obtained, into the reservoirs and subsurface pore spaces (as such terms are defined in Ch. 38-22 and Ch. 47-31 of the North Dakota Century Code), stratum or strata underlying the Leased Premises, together with the right to construct, replace, inspect, repair, monitor, maintain, relocate, change the size of, abandon in place any such pipelines, reservoirs, electric and telephone lines, roadways, underground equipment, surface facilities and equipment, buildings and structures Lessee determines reasonably necessary to carry out the purpose of this Lease.

6. Right of Ways. Lessor grants Lessee the rights of ingress and egress over the Leased Premises together with the right of way over, under and across the Leased Premises and the right from time to time to lay, maintain, replace repair, and remove roads, pipelines, tanks, fences, or other facilities and appurtenances on the Leased Premises for the purposes herein granted to Lessee. Lessee shall have the further right to fence the perimeter of any facility on the Leased Premises and sufficiently illuminate the site for the safety of operations. Lessee shall utilize "dark sky" lighting fixtures or shades so as to minimize or reduce night light pollution.

7. Lessee Obligations. Lessee shall have no obligation, express or implied, to begin, prosecute or continue storage operations in, upon or under the Leased Premises, or store and/or sell or use all or any portion of the gaseous substances stored thereon. The timing, nature, manner and extent of Lessee's operations, if any, under this Lease shall be at the sole discretion of Lessee. All obligations of Lessee are expressed herein, and there shall be no covenants implied under this Lease, it being agreed that all amounts paid hereunder constitute full and adequate consideration for this Lease.

8. Ownership. Lessee shall at all times be the owner of (i) the carbon dioxide and other gaseous substances stored in the reservoirs and subsurface pore spaces of the Leased Premises, and (ii) all equipment, buildings, structures, facilities and other property constructed or installed by Lessee on the Leased Premises. Lessee shall have the right, but not the obligation, at any time during this Lease to remove all or any portion of the property or fixtures placed by Lessee on the Lease Premises. Title to the storage facility and to the stored carbon dioxide or other gaseous substances shall be transferred to the State of North Dakota upon issuance of a certificate of project completion by the Commission in accordance with Ch. 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.

9. Surrender of Leased Premises. Lessee shall have the right at any time from time to time to execute and deliver to Lessor a surrender and/or release covering all or any part of the Leased Premises for which the subsurface pore space is not being utilized for storage as set forth herein, and upon delivery of such surrender and/or release to Lessor this Lease shall terminate as to such lands, and Lessee shall be released from all further obligations and duties as to the lands so surrendered and/or released, including, without limitation, any obligation to make payments provided for herein, except obligations accrued as of the date of the surrender and/or release.

10. Hold Harmless and Indemnification. The Lessee agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless Lessor from any claims by any person that are a direct result of the Lessee's use of the Leased Premises. Notwithstanding the foregoing, such indemnity/hold harmless obligation excludes (i) any claim or cause of action, or alleged or threatened claim or cause of action, damage, judgment, interest, penalty or other loss arising or resulting from the negligence or intentional acts of Lessor or Lessor's agents, invitees, or licensees; or third parties, and (ii) any claim for exemplary, punitive, special or consequential damages claimed by Lessor. Lessee further accepts liability and indemnifies Lessor for reasonable costs, expenses and attorneys' fees incurred in establishing and litigating the indemnification coverage provided above. The legal defense provided by Lessee to the Lessor under this paragraph must be free of any conflicts of interest even if this requires Lessee to retain separate legal counsel for Lessor.

11. Termination. A material violation or default of any terms of this Lease by Lessee shall be grounds for termination of the Lease. Lessor shall give Lessee written notice of violation or default and Lessee shall have sixty (60) days after receipt of said notice to substantially cure such violations or defaults. If Lessee fails to substantially cure such violations or defaults within the 60-day cure period, Lessor may terminate the Lease. Lessee may terminate the lease with thirty (30) days written notice to Lessor. Upon termination of this Lease, Lessee shall have one hundred eighty (180) days to remove all facilities and property of Lessee located on the Leased Premises.

12. Taxes. Lessee shall pay all taxes, if any, levied against its personal property or on its improvements to the Leased Premises. Lessor shall pay for all real estate taxes and other assessments levied upon the Leased Premises. Lessee shall have the right to pay all taxes, assessments and other fees on behalf of Lessor and to deduct the amount so paid from other payments due to Lessor hereunder.

13. Conduct of Operations. In conducting its operations hereunder, Lessee shall use its best efforts to comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations and ordinances pertaining thereto. Lessee reserves and shall have the right to challenge and/or appeal any law, ruling, regulation, order or other determination and to carry on its operations in accordance with Lessee's interpretation of the same, pending final determination.

14. Force Majeure. Should Lessee be prevented from complying with any express or implied covenant of this Lease, from utilizing the Lease Premises for underground storage purposes by reason of scarcity of or an inability to obtain or to use equipment or material or failure or breakdown of equipment, or by operation of force majeure, any federal or state law or any order, rule or regulation of governmental authority, then while so prevented, Lessee's obligation to comply with such covenant shall be suspended and this Lease shall be extended while and so long as Lessee is prevented by any such cause from utilizing the property for underground storage purposes and the time while Lessee is so prevented shall not be counted against Lessee, anything in this Lease to the contrary notwithstanding.

15. Surface Damage Compensation Act. The annual rental amounts and any and all other compensation contemplated and paid to Lessor hereunder is compensation for, among other things, damages sustained by Lessor for the lost use of and access to Lessor's land, pore space (to the extent required under North Dakota law), and any other damages which are contemplated under Ch. 38-11.1 of the North Dakota Century Code. Lessor agrees that such compensation is just and adequate for any and all damages contemplated under said Chapter 38-11.1 and all other damages which Lessor may sustain as a result of Lessee's use of the property for its storage operations.

16. Warranty of Title. Lessor represents and warrants to Lessee that Lessor is the owner of the surface of the Leased Premises. Lessor hereby warrants and agrees to defend title to the Leased Premises and Lessor hereby agrees that Lessee, at its option, shall have the right to discharge any tax, mortgage, or other lien upon the

Leased Premises, and in the event Lessee does so, Lessee shall be subrogated to such lien with the right to enforce the same and apply annual rental payments or any other such payments due to Lessor toward satisfying the same.

17. Assignment. The rights of either Party hereto may be assigned in whole or part. The assigning party shall provide written notice of any assignment within sixty (60) days after such assignment has become effective; *provided, however*, that an assigning party's failure to deliver written notice of assignment within such 60-day period shall not be deemed a breach of this Lease unless such failure is willful and intentional.

18. Change of Ownership. No change of ownership in the Leased Premises shall be binding on the Lessee for purpose of making payments to Lessor hereunder until the date Lessor, or Lessor's successors or assigns, furnishes Lessee the recorded original or a certified copy of the instrument evidencing the change in ownership.

19. Notices. All notices required to be given under this Lease shall be in writing and addressed to the respective Party at the addresses set forth at the beginning of this Lease unless otherwise directed by either Party.

20. No Waiver. The failure of either Party to insist in any one or more instances upon strict performance of any of the provisions of this Lease or to take advantage of any of its rights hereunder shall not be construed as a waiver of any such provision or the relinquishment of any such rights, but the same shall continue and remain in full force and effect.

21. Notice of Lease. This Lease shall not be recorded in the real property records. Lessee shall cause a memorandum of this Lease to be recorded in the real property records of the county in which the Leased Premises are situated. A recorded copy of said memorandum shall be furnished to Lessor within thirty (30) days of recording.

22. Counterparts. This Lease may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which, when executed and delivered, shall be an original, but all of which shall collectively constitute one and the same instrument.

23. Severability. If any provision of this Lease is found to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, such provision shall be deemed to be severed from this Agreement, and the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions contained herein shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.

24. Governing Law. This Lease shall be governed by, construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of North Dakota and the Parties hereby submit to the jurisdiction of the state or federal courts located in Bismarck, North Dakota.

25. Entire Agreement. This Lease constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and supersedes all prior negotiations, undertakings, notices, memoranda and agreement between the Parties, whether oral or written, with respect to the subject matter hereof. This Lease may only be amended or modified by a written agreement duly executed by Lessor and Lessee.

*[Remainder of page intentionally left blank. Signature page follows.]*



IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Lease effective for all purposes as of the date first set forth above.

**LESSOR:**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Print: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Print: \_\_\_\_\_

**LESSEE:**

RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Print: \_\_\_\_\_  
Its: \_\_\_\_\_



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

“Our Farms, Our Fuel, Our Future”

---

PO Box 11 Richardton, ND 58652 (701)-974-3308 FAX (701)-974-3309

---

June 4, 2021

—NOTIFICATION OF ELECTRONIC FILING—

Mr. Lynn Helms  
Director, Department of Mineral Resources  
North Dakota Industrial Commission  
Oil & Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard Avenue, Department 405  
Bismarck, ND 58505-0840

Dear Mr. Helms:

Subject: Red Trail Energy, LLC – Carbon Dioxide Geologic Storage Facility Permit Application

Red Trail Energy, LLC, respectfully submits for the review and consideration of the North Dakota Industrial Commission (“Commission”), the application for a carbon dioxide storage facility permit. A link to the application is provided below. The application is submitted pursuant to and in accordance with Chapter 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code and Chapter 43-05-01 of the North Dakota Administrative Code. The permit application fee was previously submitted to the Commission.

Electronic Submission to the Red Trail Energy, LLC, Storage Facility Permit Application:

[REDACTED]

Please contact me with any questions at (701) 974-1105 or [dustin@redtrailenergy.com](mailto:dustin@redtrailenergy.com).

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

*Dustin Willett*

E089F55131F44F9...

Dustin Willett  
Chief Operating Officer  
Red Trail Energy, LLC



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

“Our Farms, Our Fuel, Our Future”

---

PO Box 11 Richardton, ND 58652 (701)-974-3308 FAX (701)-974-3309

---

## RED TRAIL ENERGY – CARBON DIOXIDE GEOLOGIC STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT

North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Facility Permit Application

*Prepared for:*

Lynn Helms

North Dakota Industrial Commission  
Oil & Gas Division  
600 East Boulevard Avenue  
Department 405  
Bismarck, ND 58505-0840

*Prepared by:*

Dustin Willett  
Gerald Bachmeier

Red Trail Energy, LLC  
3682 Highway 8 South  
PO Box 11  
Richardton, ND 58652

Energy & Environmental Research Center

University of North Dakota  
15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018  
Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018

June 2021

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERMIT APPLICATION SUMMARY .....	iii
1.0 PORE SPACE ACCESS .....	1-1
Geologic Storage Agreement .....	Attachment 1
2.0 GEOLOGIC EXHIBITS .....	2-1
2.1 Overview of Project Area Geology .....	2-1
2.2 Data and Information Sources .....	2-1
2.2.1 Existing Data .....	2-2
2.2.2 Site-Specific Data .....	2-5
2.3 Storage Reservoir.....	2-13
2.3.1 Mineralogy.....	2-23
2.3.2 Mechanism of Geologic Confinement.....	2-27
2.3.3 Geochemical Information of Injection Zone .....	2-27
2.4 Confining Zones .....	2-36
2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone.....	2-36
2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones.....	2-46
2.4.3 Lower Confining Zone .....	2-48
2.4.4 Geomechanical Information of Confining Zone.....	2-52
2.5 Faults, Fractures, and Seismic Activity .....	2-67
2.5.1 Heart River Fault .....	2-67
2.5.2 Collapse Features above the Broom Creek Formation.....	2-69
2.5.3 Seismic Activity .....	2-72
2.6 Potential Mineral Zones.....	2-75
2.7 References.....	2-76
3.0 AREA OF REVIEW .....	3-1
3.1 Area of Review Delineation .....	3-1
3.1.1 Written Description .....	3-1
3.1.2 Supporting Maps.....	3-3
3.2 Corrective Action Evaluation .....	3-9
3.3 Reevaluation of AoR and Corrective Action Plan.....	3-14
3.4 Protection of USDWs .....	3-14
3.4.1 Introduction of USDW Protection.....	3-14
3.4.2 Geology of USDW Formations .....	3-14
3.4.3 Hydrology of USDW Formations.....	3-17
3.4.4 Protection for USDWs.....	3-21
3.5 References.....	3-22
4.0 SUPPORTING PERMIT PLANS.....	4-1
4.1 Emergency and Remedial Response Plan.....	4-1
4.1.1 Background.....	4-2
4.1.2 Local Resources and Infrastructure .....	4-4
4.1.3 Identification of Potential Emergency Events .....	4-5
4.1.4 Emergency Response Actions .....	4-7
4.1.5 Response Personnel/Equipment and Training.....	4-10

Continued . . .



## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.1.6	Emergency Communications Plan.....	4-11
4.1.7	ERRP Review and Updates .....	4-12
4.2	Financial Assurance Demonstration Plan.....	4-12
4.3	Worker Safety Plan.....	4-14
4.4	Testing and Monitoring Plan .....	4-15
4.4.1	Analysis of Injected CO <sub>2</sub> and Injection Well Testing .....	4-16
4.4.2	Corrosion Monitoring and Prevention Plan.....	4-17
4.4.3	Surface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan .....	4-18
4.4.4	Subsurface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan.....	4-18
4.4.5	Near-Surface Groundwater and Soil Gas Sampling and Monitoring .....	4-19
4.4.6	Completed Baseline Sampling Program.....	4-21
4.4.7	Near-Surface (Groundwater and Soil Gas)-Monitoring Plan .....	4-24
4.4.8	Deep Subsurface Monitoring of Free-Phase CO <sub>2</sub> Plume and Pressure Front.....	4-27
4.4.9	Quality Assurance and Surveillance Plan.....	4-41
4.5	Well Casing and Cementing Program .....	4-41
4.5.1	RTE-10 – As-Constructed CO <sub>2</sub> Injection Well Casing and Cementing Programs .....	4-41
4.5.2	RTE-10.2 – As-Constructed Monitoring Well Casing and Cementing Programs .....	4-46
4.6	Plugging Plan.....	4-49
4.6.1	RTE-10: P&A Program .....	4-49
4.6.2	RTE-10.2: P&A Program .....	4-55
4.7	Postinjection Site and Facility Closure Plan.....	4-60
4.7.1	Predicted Postinjection Subsurface Conditions .....	4-60
4.7.2	Groundwater and Soil Gas Monitoring.....	4-65
4.7.3	Monitoring of CO <sub>2</sub> Plume and Pressure Front.....	4-67
4.8	References.....	4-69
5.0	INJECTION WELL AND STORAGE OPERATIONS .....	5-1
5.1	RTE-10 Well – Proposed Completion Procedure to Conduct Injection Operations.....	5-1
5.2	RTE-10.2 Well – Proposed Procedure for Monitoring Well Operations .....	5-11
5.3	Variance Request for Operating Annular Pressure.....	5-16
DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO <sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS .....		Appendix A
RTE-10 AND RTE-10.2 FORMATION FLUID-SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS.....		Appendix B
FRESHWATER WELL FLUID-SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS .....		Appendix C
QUALITY ASSURANCE AND SURVEILLANCE PLAN.....		Appendix D
STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT REGULATORY COMPLIANCE TABLE .....		Appendix E

## **RED TRAIL ENERGY – CARBON DIOXIDE GEOLOGIC STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT APPLICATION**

### **PERMIT APPLICATION SUMMARY**

Red Trail Energy, LLC (RTE) is requesting consideration of this application for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) from the RTE ethanol facility located near Richardton, North Dakota (Figure PS-1). The RTE ethanol facility is a North Dakota-based, investor-owned 64-million-gallon dry mill ethanol production plant (Table PS-1), which has been in operation since January 2007. The RTE facility emits an average 180,000 metric tons of high-purity CO<sub>2</sub> (>99% CO<sub>2</sub> dry) annually from the fermentation process during ethanol production. RTE plans to commercially capture (dehydrate and compress) and inject the 180,000-metric-ton-per-year CO<sub>2</sub> stream into the Broom Creek Formation on RTE property for permanent geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage.

Research efforts by RTE and the Energy & Environmental Research Center, with funding support from the North Dakota Industrial Commission Renewable Energy Program and the U.S. Department of Energy, began in 2016 to characterize the geology and determine site feasibility to develop the first carbon capture and storage (CCS) facility in North Dakota (Leroux and others, 2020). The geologic characterization work resulted in RTE conducting a 3D seismic survey over the project area in March 2019 and drilling a stratigraphic test well (RTE-10) in March–April 2020 to acquire the geologic data required for this North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Facility Permit (SFP) application to implement commercial CCS at the RTE site. In addition, detailed capture process design has been conducted for a liquefaction system to capture the fermentation-generated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the RTE facility, providing the engineering support for the expected CO<sub>2</sub> output stream and thus injection conditions.

As shown in Figure PS-1, integration of CCS technology with the existing RTE ethanol facility will consist of a CO<sub>2</sub> liquefaction system pumping the CO<sub>2</sub> stream to the RTE-10 injection well for geologic storage into the Broom Creek Formation (a saline formation). An underground flow line will be installed on RTE property to connect the liquefaction system to the RTE-10 injection well. A monitoring well (RTE-10.2) was also installed on RTE property in October 2020 for compliance with the North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> SFP requirements to directly monitor CO<sub>2</sub> injection in the Broom Creek Formation. Monitoring equipment currently installed in both RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells includes pressure–temperature gauges in the Broom Creek Formation and a fiber optic cable along the entire length of the well and flow line. Additional monitoring equipment to be added includes (but is not limited to) CO<sub>2</sub> flowmeters at the capture facility, along the flow line, and at the wellhead as well as related SCADA (supervisory control and data acquisition) systems.

The Broom Creek Formation is situated directly below RTE property with excellent geologic properties (high porosity/permeability, tight seals) for CO<sub>2</sub> injection and permanent storage (Sorensen and others, 2009; Glazewski and others, 2015; Leroux and others, 2020). Shales and salts of the Opeche, Piper, and Swift Formations overlying the Broom Creek Formation create a sealing barrier of over 1,000 ft, providing a secure, permanent geologic storage reservoir for the planned geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage. Further above, the Pierre Formation is an impermeable shale approximately 2,000 ft thick, providing an additional seal for underground sources of drinking water in the area to be permitted.

Therefore, the following North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> SFP application provides detailed geologic exhibits generated from the seismic survey, core collection with subsequent laboratory analyses and downhole testing from the RTE-10 and RTE 10.2 wells, and successive modeling and simulation for predictive CO<sub>2</sub> movement forecasting and pore space access determination. These lay the foundation for area of review determination, which is the basis for the required supporting permit plans: emergency and remedial response, financial assurance demonstration, worker safety, testing and monitoring, well casing and cementing, plugging, and postinjection site and facility closure. In conclusion, injection well and storage operations provide detailed descriptions of the RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells and planned injection and storage/monitoring operations, included for a proposed permit to inject. An RTE Storage Facility Permit Regulatory Compliance Table (Appendix E) has been generated to provide a crosswalk of the specific RTE application components addressing each permit requirement.

## References

- Glazewski, K.A., Grove, M.M., Peck, W.D., Gorecki, C.D., Steadman, E.N., and Harju, J.A., 2015, Characterization of the PCOR Partnership region: Plains CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction (PCOR) Partnership value-added report for U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC26-05NT42592, EERC Publication 2015-EERC-02-14, Grand Forks, North Dakota, Energy & Environmental Research Center, January.
- Leroux, K.M., Klapperich, R.J., Ayash, S.C., Kalenze, N.S., Jensen, M.D., Jacobson, L.L., Crocker, C.R., Doll, T.E., Livers-Douglas, A.J., Azzolina, N.A., Crossland, J.L., Connors, K.C., Nakles, D.V., Hamling, J.A., Peck, W.D., Bosshart, N.W., Daly, D.J., Wilson IV, W.I., Gorecki, C.D., Brad D. Piggott Austyn E. Vance Piggott, B., and Vance, A.E., 2020, Subtask 1.3 – Integrated carbon capture and storage for North Dakota ethanol production: Final report (November 1, 2016 – May 31, 2020) for U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FE0024233, Grand Forks, North Dakota, Energy & Environmental Research Center, May.
- Sorensen, J., Bailey, T., Dobroskok, A., Gorecki, C., Smith, S., Fisher, D., Peck, W., Steadman, E., and Harju, J., 2009, Characterization and modeling of the Broom Creek Formation for potential storage of CO<sub>2</sub> from coal-fired power plants in North Dakota: Search and Discovery Article No. 80046.




Figure PS-1. RTE geologic storage of CO<sub>2</sub> project map.



**Table PS-1. RTE Operator and Ethanol Facility Information**

<b>Operator Information Pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-07.1 Subsection 3a, c, and f</b>		
<u>NDAC § 43-05-01-07.1 Subsection 3a</u> The activities conducted by the applicant which require it to obtain a storage facility permit or other federal, state, or local permits.	RTE is proposing geologic storage of CO <sub>2</sub> .  Additional activities: drilling stratigraphic test wells RTE-10 (NDIC File No. 37229) and RTE-10.2 (NDIC File No. 37858), conversion of these wells to Class VI injection and monitoring wells (respectively), and the construction of a CO <sub>2</sub> liquefaction system and flow line.	
<u>NDAC § 43-05-01-07.1 Subsection 3c</u> Up to four standard industrial classification codes which best reflect the principal products or services provided by the facility.	Products	Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Code
	Ethanol	2869
	Corn Oil	2046
<u>NDAC § 43-05-01-07.1 Subsection 3f</u> A listing of all environmental permits, construction approvals, or any other relevant permit received or applied for from the commission or any other federal, state, or local regulatory agency.	Permits to Drill (state) and Richardton Special Use Permits (local) for wells RTE-10 (NDIC File No. 37229) and RTE-10.2 (NDIC File No. 37858), construction permits (local) for the CO <sub>2</sub> liquefaction system, and storm water permit (state) for the CO <sub>2</sub> liquefaction system and wellsite location.	



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## 1.0 PORE SPACE ACCESS

## **1.0 PORE SPACE ACCESS**

North Dakota law explicitly grants title of the pore space in all strata underlying the surface of lands and waters to the overlying surface estate; i.e., the surface owner owns the pore space (North Dakota Century Code [NDCC] Chapter 47-31-Subsurface Pore Space Policy). Prior to issuance of the Storage Facility Permit (SFP), the storage operator is mandated by North Dakota statute for geologic storage of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) to obtain the consent of landowners who own at least 60% of the pore space of the storage reservoir. The statute also mandates that a good faith effort be made to obtain consent from all pore space owners and that all nonconsenting pore space owners are or will be equitably compensated. North Dakota law grants the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) the authority to require pore space owned by nonconsenting owners to be included in a storage facility and subject to geologic storage through pore space amalgamation. Amalgamation of pore space will be considered at an administrative hearing as part of the regulatory process required for consideration of the SFP application (NDCC § 38-22-06(3) and -06(4) and North Dakota Administrative Code [NDAC] § 43-05-01-08(1) and -08(2)).

In connection herewith, Red Trail Energy (RTE) submits the form of storage agreement attached hereto as Attachment 1, which, upon final approval by NDIC, shall govern certain rights and obligations of the storage operator and the persons owning pore space within the amalgamated storage reservoir.

RTE has identified the owners (surface and mineral); in addition, no mineral lessees or operators of mineral extraction activities are within the facility area or within 0.5 miles of its outside boundary. RTE will notify all owners of a pore space amalgamation hearing at least 45 days prior to the scheduled hearing and will provide information about the proposed CO<sub>2</sub> storage project and the details of the scheduled hearing. An affidavit of mailing will be provided to NDIC to certify that these notifications were made.

The identification of the owners, lessees, and operators that require notification was based on the following, recognizing that all surface owners also own the underlying pore space per North Dakota law, which vests the title to pore space in all strata underlying the surface of lands to the owner of the overlying surface estate (NDCC Chapter 47-31):

- A map showing the extent of the pore space that will be occupied by CO<sub>2</sub> over the life of the project, including the storage reservoir boundary and 0.5 miles (0.8 kilometers) outside of the storage reservoir boundary with a description of pore space ownership, surface owner, and pore space lessees of record (Figure 1-1 and Figure 1-2).
- A table identifying all pore space (surface) owners, each owner's mailing address, and a legal description of pore space landownership (Table 1-1).
- A table identifying each owner of record of minerals and each mineral lessee of record (Table 1-2).

Note: All surface owners and pore space owners and lessees are the same owner of record, and there are no operators of mineral extraction activities within the storage facility area.




Figure 1-1. Storage facility area map.






Figure 1-2. Hearing notification area for landowners within ½ mile of the storage facility area.

**Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification**

Owner, Lessee, or Operator Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff	3729 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2S2
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2S2
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: SE4
Vernon J. Tormaschy and Kathleen M. Tormaschy	3549 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: E2SW4 and W2SW4
Karen Messmer	8860 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: SE4
Neal C. and Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	10339 Hwy 10	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: North Tract in E2 and Tract B in E2
Jody A. Hoff and Marla A. Hoff	3729 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: Tract in NE4NE4
Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	8601 Hwy 10 E	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: Tract in NE4NE4
Jody A. Hoff and Marla A. Hoff	8601 Hwy 10 E	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NE4 less tracts
Neal C. and Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	10339 Hwy 10	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: Tract in SE4 North of I-94
Gerald L. Hoff	422 1st Ave. W	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: 15.09-acre Tract in SE4 and 76.1-acre Tract in SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification (continued)**

Owner, Lessee, or Operator Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Joann Hoselton	13877 145th St. SW	Red Lake Falls	MN	56750	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: 15.09-acre Tract in SE4 and 76.1-acre Tract in SW4
Barbara Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 S	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: 15.09-acre Tract in SE4 and 76.1-acre Tract in SW4
William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff	Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4
William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff	Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: Tracts in S2
Neal C. and Bonnie M. Messer Farm Properties LLLP	10339 Hwy 10	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4 and SW4 less Tracts
Richard L. Hauck and Linda Hauck	8559 Hwy 10 East	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: 7.51-acre Tract in SE4SW4
Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff	3729 86th Ave. S	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: N2N2NW4
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: N2N2NW4
Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NW4 less N2N2NW4
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte R. Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: NW4
Craig S. Fisher	8330 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: SW4 less tracts

Continued . . .

**Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification (continued)**

Owner, Lessee, or Operator Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Kevin Frederick	1325 27th St. SE #900	Minot	ND	58701	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: 18.3-acre Tract in NW4SW4
Kenneth Moore	Box 56	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: East 40 acres of SW4
Craig S. Fisher	8330 39th St SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: N2 lying north of Northern Pacific Railway ROW
Sheldon Fisher	8330 39th St SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: N2 lying south of Northern Pacific Railway ROW and S2 less tracts
Dwight F. Schank	3840 91st Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: All
Karen L. Messmer	1990 Mesquite Lp	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: All
Karen L. Messmer	1990 Mesquite Lp	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2
Gerald L. Hoff and JoAnn Hoselton	422 1st Ave. West	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4
Jeffrey R. Hoff	3960 87th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: E2
Messmer Farms LLP	10844 East Queensborough Ave.	Mesa	AZ	85212	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NW4
Lori Linder	613 Rose Ave.	Wheatland	CA	95692	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: E2NW4 and W2NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification (continued)**

Owner, Lessee, or Operator Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Randy Mischel	7410 Keystone Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: N2SE4
Gary Mischel	1036 SE 6th St.	Cape Coral	FL	33990	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2SE4
Dalton Rixen	201 Linden Ave.	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: N2SW4
Ambrose Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	3713 36th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: W2NE4 and E2NE4
Kent Mischel	5411 Trace Bd	Bryan	TX	77807	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NW4

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Lee Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Lucille C. Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Althea Prible	12015 SW Rose Vista Dr.	Portland	OR	97223	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Carole Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Rose Schnell	7536 SE 141st Ave.	Portland	OR	97236	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Aloys Gress	7526 East Maple Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98664	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Anton Gress	941 NE 113 Ave.	Portland	OR	97200	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
George Gress	10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6	Yuma	AZ	85365	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
John Gress	3140 Hwy 8	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
John Gress Family Trust					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Gerald Gress	3112 La Tierra Dr.	Roswell	NM	88201	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Francis Gress	825 Elm Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Victor Gress	488 NW 6th Ave. Apt. 12	Gresham	OR	97013	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Barbara E. Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 South	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NE4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NE4
Lee Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Lucille C. Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Althea Prible	12015 SW Rose Vista Dr.	Portland	OR	97223	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Carole Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Rose Schnell	7536 SE 141st Ave.	Portland	OR	97236	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Aloys Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Eleanor Gaman	7526 East Maple Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98664	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Anton Gress	836 S Curry St Unit 304	Portland	OR	97239	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
George Gress	10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6	Yuma	AZ	85368	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
John Gress	3140 Hwy 8	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
John Gress Family Trust					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Gerald Gress	3112 La Tierra Dr.	Roswell	MN	88201	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Francis Gress	825 Elm Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Victor Gress	488 NW 6th Ave. Apt. 12	Gresham	OR	97013	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SW4 less a 76.10-acre tract
Kathleen McVay	14530 Westchester Dr.	Colorado Springs	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Curtis Hoff	4817 Cheyenne Dr.	Larkspur	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Joyce Kastner	4720 Ignacio Ave.	Loveland	CO	80118	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jane Will	1222 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	50538	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Joel Hoff	1141 Clark	Billings	MT	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Theodore Hoff	Box 7268	Bozeman	MT	49102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Emily Knopik	903 13th St. West	Billings	MT	49771	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Regina Pfeifer	1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Rose Mary Hoff	21138 Saddleback Circle	Parker	CO	80138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Barbara E. Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 South	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Sarah Jane Wolf	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Ann Geck	716 East Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Kathryn Geck	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	MD	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Clemens Geck	668 Knollwood Dr.	Woodland	CA	95695	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Sarah Surry	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Ann Kilzer	716 E. Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Kathryn Dorgan	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust, dated 01/04/1982	Box 371	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
James L. Hoff	606 Dakota St. N	Elgin	ND	58533	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Lee Ann Hoff	78 Stratford St.	West Roxbury	MA	02132	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Kenneth Hoff	6165 Paisley Dr. North	Olmstead	OH	44070	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Marie Hoff	4262 Shaw, Apt 1 East	St. Louis	MO	63100	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Lee R. Hoff	2618 South Willow Wood	Mesa	AZ	85209	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Bernadine Hoff	7202 Lake Shore Rd	Derby	NY	14047	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Judith Lee Dinyer	318 Bluffview Dr.	Brownwood	TX	76801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4
Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust, dated 06/29/2012	340 North Ave. East	Missoula	MT	59801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: A tract in the SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Kathleen McVay	14530 Westchester Dr.	Colorado Springs	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Curtis Hoff	4817 Cheyenne Dr.	Larkspur	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Joyce Kastner	4720 Ignacio Ave.	Loveland	CO	80118	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Jane Will	1222 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	50538	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Joel Hoff	1141 Clark	Billings	MT	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Theodore Hoff	Box 7268	Bozeman	MT	49102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Emily Knopik	903 13th St. West	Billings	MT	49771	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Regina Pfeifer	1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Rose Mary Hoff	21138 Saddleback Circle	Parker	CO	80138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Sarah Jane Wolf	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Ann Geck	716 East Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Kathryn Geck	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	MD	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Clemens Geck	668 Knollwood Dr.	Woodland	CA	95695	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Sarah Surry	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Ann Kilzer	716 East Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Kathryn Dorgan	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust, dated 01/04/1982	Box 371	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
James L. Hoff	606 Dakota St. North	Elgin	ND	58533	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Lee Ann Hoff	78 Stratford St.	West Roxbury	MA	02132	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Kenneth Hoff	6165 Paisley Dr. North	Olmstead	OH	44070	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Marie Hoff	4262 Shaw, Apt 1 East	St. Louis	MO	63100	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Lee R. Hoff	2618 South Willow Wood	Mesa	AZ	85209	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Bernadine Hoff	7202 Lake Shore Rd	Derby	NY	14047	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Judith Lee Dinyer	318 Bluffview Dr.	Brownwood	TX	76801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust, dated 06/29/2012	340 North Ave. East	Missoula	MT	59801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4 less 15.09-acre tract and less a 98.19-acre tract
Kathleen McVay	14530 Westchester Dr.	Colorado Springs	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Curtis Hoff	4817 Cheyenne Dr.	Larkspur	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Joyce Kastner	4720 Ignacio Ave.	Loveland	CO	80118	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Jane Will	1222 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	50538	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Joel Hoff	1141 Clark	Billings	MT	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Theodore Hoff	Box 7268	Bozeman	MT	49102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Emily Knopik	903 13th St. West	Billings	MT	49771	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Regina Pfeifer	1111 N 1st St. Apt. 1	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Rose Mary Hoff	21138 Saddleback Circle	Parker	CO	80138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Sarah Jane Wolf	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Ann Geck	716 East Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Kathryn Geck	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	MD	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Clemens Geck	668 Knollwood Dr.	Woodland	CA	95695	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Sarah Surry	1780 NW 7th Pl	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Timothy R. Geck	4560 Lake Ave.	Saint Paul	MN	55110	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Ann Kilzer	716 E. Turnpike Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Kathryn Dorgan	1121 West Highland Acres Rd.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, as Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust, dated 01/04/1982	Box 371	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
James L. Hoff	606 Dakota St. North	Elgin	ND	58533	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Lee Ann Hoff	78 Stratford St.	West Roxbury	MA	02132	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Kenneth Hoff	6165 Paisley Dr. North	Olmstead	OH	44070	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Marie Hoff	4262 Shaw, Apt 1 East	St. Louis	MO	63100	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Lee R. Hoff	2618 South Willow Wood	Mesa	AZ	85209	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Bernadine Hoff	7202 Lake Shore Rd	Derby	NY	14047	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Judith Lee Dinyer	318 Bluffview Dr.	Brownwood	TX	76801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: SE4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Raymond Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust, dated 06/29/2012	340 N Ave. East	Missoula	MT	59801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: S4, excepting the mainline ROW of the TT and ROW of a county road
Magdalena Hauck					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Carolyn Jurgens	PO Box 204	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Robert Bosch	7032 57th Dr. NE	Marysville	WA	98270	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Patty Bosch	2013 Hewitt Dr.	Billings	MT	59102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Kaire Bosch	3170 121st Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Richard Hauck	8559 Hwy 10 East	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Marilyn Marx	3129 Lakeview Dr.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Gladys Schwehr	1716 West 40th Ave.	Kennewick	WA	99337	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Dwight Hauck	41625 228th Ave. SE	Enumclaw	WA	98022- 9079	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Glenn Hauck	947 – 24th St. West	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
David Hauck	2233 Hwy 8	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Bryan Hauck	PO Box 154	Smoot	WY	83126	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Frank Hoff, Jr.					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Juanita Baesler	409 Ashbrook Ln	Russellville	AR	72802	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaeysk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
William Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Faye Stockie King	2117 Debra Dr.	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr. 5009	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Mark Stockie	West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Heather Moff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	2718 N 153rd Dr.	Goodyear	AZ	85395	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Ann Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Tristan Hoff	1 Michele Ln	Kennebunk	ME	04043	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Daniel Hoff	12040 SW Fairfield St.	Beaverton	OR	97005	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Jane Hoff Hutz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Edward Wehri	2639 Camino Lenada	Oakland	CA	94611	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James E. Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Ann Clara Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: A 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Lee Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Lucille C. Gress					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Althea Prible	12015 SW Rose Vista Dr.	Portland	OR	97223	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Rose Schnell	7536 SE 141st Ave.	Portland	OR	97236	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Aloys Gress	7526 East Maple Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98664	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Eleanor Gaman					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Anton Gress	836 South Curry St. Unit 304	Portland	OR	97239	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
George Gress	10657 South Ave. 9-E, Space A-6	Yuma	AZ	85365	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
John Gress	3140 Hwy 8	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Gerald Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992	3112 La Tierra Dr.	Rosewell	NM	88201	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Francis Gress, as Co-Trustee of the John Gress Family Trust Dated May 6, 1992	825 Elm Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Victor Gress	488 NW 6th Ave. Apt. 12	Gresham	OR	97013	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: S2NW4
William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Frank Hoff, Jr.					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Juanita Baesler	409 Ashbrook Ln	Russellville	AR	72802	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
William Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Faye Stockie King	2117 Debra Dr.	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Heather Moff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
James Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	2718 N 153rd Dr.	Goodyear	AZ	85395	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Ann Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Tristan Hoff	1 Michele Ln	Kennebunk	ME	04043	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Daniel Hoff	12040 SW Fairfield St.	Beaverton	OR	97005	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Jane Hoff Hutz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Edward Wehri	2639 Camino Lenada	Oakland	CA	94611	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
James E. Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
Ann Clara Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SE4
William S. Hoff and Doris Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Frank Hoff, Jr.					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Juanita Baesler	409 Ashbrook Ln	Russellville	AR	72802	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
William Hoff	PO Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Faye Stockie King	2117 Debra Dr.	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Heather Moff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	2718 N 153rd Dr.	Goodyear	AZ	85395	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Ann Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Tristan Hoff	1 Michele Ln	Kennebunk	ME	04043	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Daniel Hoff	12040 SW Fairfield St.	Beaverton	OR	97005	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jane Hoff Hutz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Edward Wehri	2639 Camino Lenada	Oakland	CA	94611	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
James E. Hart	PO Box 110266	Campbell	CA	95011	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
Ann Clara Hart	178 Echo Ave.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: SW4, less a 7.51-acre tract in the SE4SW4
State Treasurer, as Trustee for the State of North Dakota	1707 N 9th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Robert D. Barth	PO Box 270	Dickinson	ND	58562	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Lorraine Thompson	5990 Tanforan Ct.	Fair Oaks	CA	95628-2634	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Lucille Wendt	PO Box 788	Medical Lake	WA	99022	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Delnita Messer	3052 Lakeview Dr.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Kim Glasser	1228 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Randy Barth	581 Cottonwood Loop	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Larry Meyer	252 7th Ln SW	Fairfield	MT	59436	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Steve Meyer	205 7th Ave. NW	Watford City	ND		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Nancy Bishop	22860 Sky St.	Rapid City	SD	57703	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth Trust Dated January 13, 2015	1900 West Camino Granada	Yuma	AZ	85364	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co-Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015	1307 N 18th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Luann Woeste	1014 1st Ave. NW	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Pamela Meissner	650 52-1/2 Ave. SW #12	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Alicia Holum	5512 64th Ave. NW	Gig Harbor	WA		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Kathleen Mangan	3053 N 19th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Cynthia Martin	5110 99th Ave. SW	Lefor	ND	58641	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Wayne Pechtl	3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
Jeanne Betlaf	8075 Haas Ln	Blackhawk	SD		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NE4
AgriBank, FCB	30 East 7th St. Suite 1600	St. Paul	MN		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Robert D. Barth	PO Box 270	Dickinson	ND	58562	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Lorraine Thompson	5990 Tanforan Ct.	Fair Oaks	CA	95628-2634	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Lucille Wendt	PO Box 788	Medical Lake	WA	99022	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Delnita Messer	3052 Lakeview Dr.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Kim Glasser	1228 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Randy Barth	581 Cottonwood Loop	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Larry Meyer	252 7th Ln SW	Fairfield	MT	59436	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Steve Meyer	205 7th Ave. NW	Watford City	ND		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Nancy Bishop	22860 Sky St.	Rapid City	SD	57703	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth Trust Dated January 13, 2015	1900 West Camino Granada	Yuma	AZ	85364	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co-Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015	1307 N 18th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Luann Woeste	1014 1st Ave. NW	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Pamela Meissner	650 52-1/2 Ave. SW #12	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Alicia Holum	5512 64th Ave. NW	Gig Harbor	WA		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Kathleen Mangan	3053 N 19th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Cynthia Martin	5110 99th Ave. SW	Lefor	ND	58641	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Wayne Pechtl	3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4
Jeanne Betlaf	8075 Haas Ln	Blackhawk	SD		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
State Treasurer, as Trustee for the State of North Dakota	1707 N 9th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Robert D. Barth	PO Box 270	Dickinson	ND	58562	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Lorraine Thompson	5990 Tanforan Ct.	Fair Oaks	CA	95628-2634	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Lucille Wendt	PO Box 788	Medical Lake	WA	99022	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Delnita Messer	3052 Lakeview Dr.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Kim Glasser	1228 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Randy Barth	581 Cottonwood Loop	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Larry Meyer	252 7th Ln SW	Fairfield	MT	59436	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Steve Meyer	205 7th Ave. NW	Watford City	ND		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Nancy Bishop	22860 Sky St.	Rapid City	SD	57703	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Gerald R. Barth and Mary Ann Barth as Trustees of the Gerald and Mary Barth Trust Dated January 13, 2015	1900 West Camino Granada	Yuma	AZ	85364	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
John D. Barth and Edith A. Barth, as Co-Trustees of the John and Edith Barth Family Mineral Trust Dated August 10, 2015	1307 N 18th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Luann Woeste	1014 1st Ave. NW	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Pamela Meissner	650 52-1/2 Ave. SW #12	Hazen	ND	58545	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Alicia Holum	5512 64th Ave. NW	Gig Harbor	WA		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Kathleen Mangan	3053 N 19th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Cynthia Martin	5110 99th Ave. SW	Lefor	ND	58641	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Wayne Pechtl	3001 Ohio St. Apt. 13	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
Jeanne Betlaf	8075 Haas Ln	Blackhawk	SD		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 14: S2
John Messmer					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Regina V. Messmer	145 Wilson St.	Bordulac	ND	58421	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Amalia Amann	N 1818 Cook St.	Spokane	WA	99207	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Joe Messmer	4478 Essex St. SE	Salem	OR	97301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Rose Steiner		Reeder	ND	58649	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Beatrice Zimmerman	620 112th St. SE #316	Everett	WA	98208	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jack Messmer					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Ida Stergios	4043 Lucille Ave. SE	Salem	OR	97302	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Anna Grasseeth	3016 Oak Crest Dr. NW	Salem	OR	97306	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Francis Messmer	4825 Yellowstone Court NE	Salem	OR	97301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Linus Messmer	4121 Markins Dr.	Corpus Christi	TX	78411	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Albert Messmer	Rt. 3, Box 16	Mott	ND	58646	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Ernest Messmer					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Kathy L. Hoyt, as Trustee of the Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust dated August 10, 2011	1013 Fir Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Donald J. Blatz and Venita F. Blatz, Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust, under Trust Agreement dated June 27, 1995	7718 Mustang Ln	Lina Lakes	MN	55014	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J. Messmer Living Trust	PO Box 13	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Victor Messmer and Clara Messmer	3515 N 19th St., Apt. 4	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Karen Messmer, as Trustee of T K Messmer Mineral Trust	1990 Mesquite Loop	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
James Walby and Mary Ann Walby	502 2nd St. SW	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
William R. Messmer and Jennifer Lynne Messmer	11303 Halma Ln	Woodstock	IL	60098	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Jennifer Anne Hischer	445 31st Ave. East	West Fargo	ND	58078	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Paul Robert Helten	3147 Morgan Circle	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 15: ALL
Gerald T. Rixen	PO Box 9583	Fargo	ND	58109	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Patricia M. Meyer	1902 East Beck Ln	Phoenix	AZ	85022- 3341	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Linda M. Reisenauer	PO Box 116	New England	ND	58647	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Dennis J. Rixen	508 5th St. NE	Jamestown	ND	58401	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Leroy A. Rixen, Jr.	37 - 29th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Wayne M. Rixen	1301 4th St. NE	Jamestown	ND	58401	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Bonnie J. Saetz	3030 115th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: NE4
Dennis Mischel	Box 6	Horace	ND	58049	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: E2NE4
Lori Linder	613 Rose Ave.	Wheatland	CA	95692	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: E2NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Donald Mischel	608 Lynn Dr.	Argusville	ND	58005	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: W2NE4
Diane Mischel	5212 Meadow Ln Court	Rapid City	SD	57703-6581	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: W2NW4
United States of America Bureau of Land Management	5001 Southgate Dr.	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Garrett BTF Minerals, LLC	9701 North Broadway	Oklahoma City	OK	73114	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
The Pfanenstiel Company, LLC	PO Box 12928	Oklahoma City	OK	73157	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Somerset Development, Inc.	15660 North Dallas Parkway, Suite 700	Dallas	TX	75248	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Youngblood LTD	3826 N. Versailles Ave.	Dallas	TX	75209	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
J. Lee Youngblood, Trustee	128 West Denver Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Estate of Jerry Schnell	2522 West Meredith Dr. (1993)	Vienna	VA	22181	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Carla Schnell	2522 West Meredith Dr. (1993)	Vienna	VA	22181	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Gordon W. Schnell and Sandra Y. Schnell	801 9th Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Tom Schnell	1437 South Washington Ave	Royal Oaks	MI	48067	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Courtney Moody	27680 Spring Valley Rd	Farmington Hills	MI	48336	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Brian Schnell	6016 Erin Terrace	Edina	MN	55439	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
MAP2006-OK	101 N. Robinson, Suite 100	Oklahoma City	OK	73102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Dennis L. Roossien, Jr., as the duly appointed Chapter 11 Trustee for Provident Royalties, LLC, and its affiliate debtors					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
Assumption Abbey	418 3rd Ave. West	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 1: SW4
United States of America Bureau of Land Management	5001 Southgate Dr.	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Donald Roy Gress	12881 Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Estate of Jerry Schnell	2522 West Meredith Dr.	Vienna	VA	22181	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Carla Schnell	2522 West Meredith Dr.	Vienna	VA	22181	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Gordon W. Schnell Sandra Y. Schnell	801 9th Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Tom Schnell	1437 South Washington Ave.	Royal Oaks	MI	48067	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Courtney Moody	27680 Spring Valley Rd	Farmington Hills	MI	48336	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Brian Schnell	6016 Erin Terrace	Edina	MN	55439	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 2: S2
Ambrose R. Hoff and Chalotte Hoff	3713 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	59652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Vernon J. and Kathleen M. Tomaschy	3549 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	59652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Great Northern Properties LP	PO Box 1745	Miles City	MT	59301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Donald R. Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97128	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Patrick M. Carroll	306 2nd Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Bonnie M. Carroll	306 2nd Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Gene Lacher and Joyce Lacher	616 S. Anderson St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
St. John's Lutheran Church	PO Box 126	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
William Robinson	Christian Colony	Ripon	WI		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Farmer's Loom & Trust Co.		New York	NY		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
Edwin H. McHenry		St. Paul	MN		Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 3: S2
United States of America	306 2nd Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
Patrick M. Carroll and Bonnie M. Carroll	PO Box 126	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
St. John's Lutheran Church	Rt. 1, Box 41	Sentinel Butte	ND	58654	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
Home of the Range	8749 Hwy. 10	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
Jason R. Tormaschy and Hannah Tormaschy	PO Box 11	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
Red Trail Energy, LLC	306 2nd Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 4: SE4
BNSF Railroad Co.	2500 Lou Menk Dr.	Fort Worth	TX	76131-2830	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: E2, E2W2
Assumption Abby, Inc.	PO Box A	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: E2, E2W2
State of North Dakota	608 East Boulevard Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58505-0700	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 9: E2, E2W2
James L. Hoff	Route 1	Leith	ND	58551	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Lee Ann Hoff	71A Appleton	Boston	MA	2116	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Kenneth Hoff	6165 Paisley Dr. N	Olmstead	OH	44070	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Marie Hoff	4262 Shaw, Apt. 1	East St. Louis	MO	63100	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Lee R. Hoff	Box 143	Leith	ND	58551	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Bernadine Hoff	7200 Old Lake Shore Rd	Derby	NY	14047-0266	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Paul Hoff and Eleanor Hoff, Trustees of the Paul Hoff Family Mineral Trust	Box 371	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Regina Pfeifer	708 8th Ave. NW	Mandan	ND	58554	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Clemens Geck	668 Knollwood Dr.	Woodland	CA	95695	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Rose Mary Hoff	7939 Pecos	Denver	CO	80221	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Judith Lee Dinyer	221 East Owens Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Raymond J. Hoff, Trustee of the Hoff Family Revocable Trust	340 E North Ave.	Missoula	MT	59801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Emil M. Hoff	1023 Alderson	Billings	MT	59102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Emily Knopik	1023 Alderson	Billings	MT	59102	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Joel Hoff	712 Kirkland Circle #A303	Kirkland	WA	98033	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Curtis Hoff	17780 Canterbury Dr.	Monument	CO	80132	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Theodore Hoff	3380 Penwell Bridge Rd.	Belgrade	MT	59714	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Joyce Kastner	1802 W. 37th	Loveland	CO	80537	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Jane Will	1222 Richmond Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Kathleen McVay	14530 Westchester Dr.	Colorado Springs	CO	80921	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Red Trail Energy, LLC	PO Box 11	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Adam Dale Schank	4809 Southbay Dr.	Mandan	ND	58554	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 10: NW4
Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership	1107 N. 27th St., Suite 201	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
William S. Hoff & Doris Hoff	8547 Hwy 10 E	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Edward Wehri	7901 Winthrope St.	Oakland	CA	94605	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Juanita Baesler	509 Scenic Dr.	Ville Platte	LA	70586	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Frances Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
James E. Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Ann Clara Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
James Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Ann Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
William Hoff	8547 Hwy 10 East	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Harlan Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N. 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Bremer Bank, NA	128 North B St., PO Box 352	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Faye Stockie King	1043 Cinnamon Ave.	Eugene	OR	97404	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Heather Hoff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	1252 First Street West	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Tristan Hoff	PO Box 10947	Jackson	WY	83002	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Daniel Hoff	426 - RD 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Jane Hoff Hotz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Ambrose R. Hoff and Charlotte Hoff	3713 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jody Hoff and Marla Hoff	3729 86th Ave. .	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Lee Gress	941 NE 113 Ave.	Portland	OR	97200	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Rose Schnell	941 NE 113 Ave.	Portland	OR	97200	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Charles F. Gress	483 SW Pemberly Loop	McMinnville	OR	97218	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Donald Roy Gress	12881 NW Bayonne Ln	Portland	OR	97229	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Aloys Gress	5100 NE 19th Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98660	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Anton Gress	941 N.E. 113 Ave.	Portland	OR	97200	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
George Gress	Doby Lou's Trailer Park, 1980 Colorado St.	Yuma	AZ	85364	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Victor Gress	3250 SE Hillyard Rd	Gresham	OR	97030	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
John Gress		Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 11: NE4, N2NW4
Ambrose R. Hoff and Chalotte Hoff	3713 86th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	59652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
AgriBank	30 E. 7th St., #1600	St. Paul	MN	55101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Joel and Linda Zimmerman, Trustees of the Zimmerman Living Trust	44236 N 12th St.	New River	AZ	85087	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
R.A. Couse and Darlene Couse, Trustees of the Robert and Darlene Couse Trust	493 Avenida Dr.	Arroyo Grande	CA	93420	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Marie Wehri	17 South Merriam Ave.	Miles City	MT	59301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Alvin Hoff	426 - RD - 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Juanita Baesler	409 Ashbrook Ln	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Frances Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Earl E. Hart III	629 N St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
James E. Hart,	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Ann Clara Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
William Hoff	8547 Hwy 10 East	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Mitch Erdle	8160 35th St.	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Faye Stockie King	1043 Cinnamon Ave.	Eugene	OR	97404	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
James Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Ann Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
William J. Jones, Earl E. Hart and Denise M. Drye, Co-Trustees of the Residual Trust under the Jones Family Living Trust Dated January 14, 1992	1507 Shaw Dr.	San Jose	CA	95118	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Edward Wehri	7901 Winthrope St.	Oakland	CA	94605	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Heather Hoff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	1252 First St. West	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Tristan Hoff	PO Box 10947	Jackson	WY	83002	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Daniel Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Jane Hoff Hotz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Samantha Mitchell Hart	629 N 18th St.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Dakota Community Bank and Trust	609 Main St. PO Box 431	Hebron	ND	58638-0431	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Rocky Mountain Exploration, Inc.	5441 Preserve Parkway S	Greenwood Village	CO	80121	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
Tracker Resources Development II, LLC	1050 17th St., Suite 975	Denver	CO	80265	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 12: W2E2, W2
BNSF Railway Company	2500 Lou Menk Dr.	Fort Worth	TX	76131-2830	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership	1101 N 27th St., Suite 201	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
State of North Dakota	608 East Boulevard Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58505-0700	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Kenneth E. Moore	8465 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Gerald R. Aluisse & Valerie A. Aluisse	8441 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Sheldon Fisher	8330 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Naomi Elkins	131 Boise	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Janice Faye Wahlers	44628 308 St.	Mission Hill	SD	57046	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Cheryl Harriet Keenan	15922 Dunmoor	Houston	TX	77059	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Joy Beth Mische	1335 Hwy 30	Pipestone	MN	56164	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Melodie Joy Alt	7015 County Rd 4	Grafton	ND	58237	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
William S. Hoffand Doris Hoff	Box 204	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Frank Hoff, Jr.					Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Edward Wehri	7901 Winthrope St.	Oakland	CA	94605	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Alvin Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Donna Stockie	795 Montview Way	Springfield	OR	97477	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Juanita Baesler	5009 Scenic Dr.	Ville Platte	LA	70586	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Robert Hoff	PO Box 5063	Nikolaevsk	AK	99556	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Harold Hoff	733 Chaffee Row	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Frances Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Earl E. Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
James E. Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Ann Clara Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Faye Stockie King	1043 Cinnamon Ave..	Eugene	OR	97404	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Guy Stockie	5720 125th St. SE	Snohomish	WA	98296	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Mark Stockie	5009 West Rosewood Ave.	Glendale	AZ	85304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Katelyn Elaine Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Samantha Michelle Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Madalyn Jacqueline Hart	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Earl Hart III	629 N 18th St.	San Jose	CA	95112	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
James Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Ann Hart	1138 Nadine Dr.	Campbell	CA	95008	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
James Baesler	4018 Maple Dr.	Chesapeake	VA	23321	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Audrey Baesler Gund	852 Cliff Rd	Russellville	AR	72801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Leland Baesler	PO Box 80751	San Diego	CA	92138	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Heather Hoff	2702 N 191st Ave.	Buckeye	AZ	85326	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Kay Lynn Hoff McGarva	1252 First St. West	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Tristan Hoff	PO Box 10947	Jackson	WY	83002	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Daniel Hoff	426 Rd 261	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
Jane Hoff Hotz	1407 First Ave. NE	Beulah	ND	58523	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	101 N Phillips Ave.	Sioux Falls	SD	57104	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 13: W2E2, W2
State of North Dakota	1707 N 9th St.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
James Erdle	8840 37th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Mary Mooer	192 Hwy 200 South	Glendive	MT	59330	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Kathleen Heimbuch	9748 122nd Ave. SE	Cogswell	ND	58017	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Lucille Trotman	2701 Berkshire Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Teresa Hoff	128 West Denver Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Karen Elstoen	505 Halyard Dr.	Allen	TX	75013	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Jerome Erdle	21051 Gresham St.; Apt 201	Canoga Park	CA	91304	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Tim Erdle	16901 Northridge Ave. N	Marine On St. Croix	MN	55047	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Assumption Abbey	PO Box A	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Carey D. Rummel	534 10th St. West	West Fargo	ND	58078	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Darcie M. Rummel	2327 Hoover Ave.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Peggy A. Rummel	7735 Hwy 9 SE	Carrington	ND	58421	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Peggy A. Rummel	7735 Hwy 9 SE	Carrington	ND	58421	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Anthony Messmer and Karen Messmer, as Trustees of the TK Messmer Mineral Trust	8860 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 16: E2, E2NW4
Barbara E. Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 South	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Gerald L. Hoff	422 1st Ave. West	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Joann Hoselton	13877 145th St. SW	Red Lake Falls	MN	56750	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Sharon Schaefer	12012 NW 35th Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98685	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Ambrose Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Rita Schaefer	5415 N 179 Dr.	Litchfield Park	AZ	85340	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Jeffrey Hoff	3960 87th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Lucas Hoff	8969 31st St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Fred J. Williams III, as Trustee of the Fred J. Williams III 2017 GST Trust under agreement dated January 27, 2010, as amended	4437 Beach Ln South	Fargo	ND	58104	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Fred J. Williams III and Jennifer G. Williams, collectively, as Trustees of the Jennifer G. Williams GST Trust under agreement, effective August 6, 2020	6119 East Osborn Rd	Scottsdale	AZ	85251	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Bruce C. Fjelde, as Trustee of the Bruce C. Fjelde Revocable Trust, dated the 13th day of July, 2015	1200 Harwood Dr. South, #127	Fargo	ND	58104	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Williams Mineral Investments, LLC	1042 Morningside Court	Casselton	ND	58012	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Frederick W. Burgum	Box 206	Arthur	ND	58006	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
A. C. Johnson	Box 2643, 1736-8 St. S	Fargo	ND	58108	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Black Stone Minerals Company, L.P.	1001 Fannin, Suite 2020	Houston	TX	77002- 6709	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 21: NE4, N2SE4
Bonnie J. Saetz	3030 115th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Jolene F. Gress	746 8th Ave. SW	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Jerilyn L. Haberstroh	6608 80th Ave. SW	Mott	ND	58646	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Michelle L. Kuhn	1201 Prairie View Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Gerald T. Rixen	PO Box 9583	Fargo	ND	58106- 9583	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Patricia M. Meyer	7821 Arroyo Dr.	Paradise Valley	AZ	85253-3006	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Linda M. Reisenauer	Rt. 2, Box 87	New England	ND	58647	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Wayne M. Rixen	3421 East Acoma Dr.	Phoenix	AZ	85032-5165	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Dennis J. Rixen	117 2nd Ave. East	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
LeRoy A. Rixen, Jr.	RR 1, Box 60	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Barabra E. Hoff	3752 Hwy 8 South	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Joann Hoselton	13877 145th St. SW	Red Lake Falls	MN	56750	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Sharon Schaefer	12012 NW 35th Ave.	Vancouver	WA	98685	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Gerald L. Hoff	422 1st Ave. West	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Ambrose Hoff	2461 81st Ave. SW	Hebron	ND	58638	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Rita Schaefer	5415 N 179 Dr.	Litchfield Park	AZ	85340	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Jeffery Hoff	3960 87th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Lucas Hoff	8969 31st St. SW	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
JRH Enterprises	3960 87th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Jennifer Anne Hischer	445 31st Ave. East	West Fargo	ND	58078-8301	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Paul Robert Helten	3147 Morgan Circle	Bismarck	ND	58503-0154	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Betty L. Zacher	261 Boothill Rd.	Custer	SD	57730-6223	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Kathleen A. Porubensky	6305 Mountain Meadow Dr.	Blackhawk	SD	57718	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
John J. Zacher	2221 Merlot Cr.	Fort Collins	CO	80528	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Lynn M. Groh	16147 Harvard Ln.	Lakeville	MN	55044	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Richard A. Zacher	105 Buckboard Ct.	Custer	SD	57730	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
William R. and Jennifer Lynne Messmer	11303 Halma Ln	Woodstock	IL	60098-7537	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
James and Mary Ann Walby	502 2nd St. SW	Bowman	ND	58623-4533	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Todd Walby	PO Box 784	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Scott Walby	P.O. Box 109	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Daniel Walby	1486 13th St. W	Dickinson	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Jason Walby	2403 Benders Place	Mandan	ND	58554	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Eric Walby	207 9th Ave. NW	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Karen Messmer, as Trustee of the T.K. Messmer Mineral Trust	8860 39th St. W	Richardton	ND	58625	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Terry Messmer	220 Buckingham Dr	Providence	UT	84332- 9669	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Timothy Messmer	1245 Holly St.	Denver	CO	80220	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Victoria Jessop	PO Box 265	Mott	ND	58646	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Carrie Gerving	4245 62nd Ave.	Glen Ullin	ND	58631	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Victor Messmer and Clara Messmer	3515 N 19th St., Apt. 4	Bismarck	ND	58503- 5395	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Kathy L Hoyt, as Trustee of the Pauline E. Messmer Family Trust	1031 Fir Ave.	Dickinson	ND	58601	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Bob Morland, Trustee of the Roy J. Messmer Living Trust	15 S Main St.	Bowman	ND	58623	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Donald and Venita F. Blatz, Trustees of the Blatz Revocable Trust	216 Capitol Dr.	Appleton	WI	54911- 1204	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Albert Messmer		Mott	ND	58646	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Russell James Messmer, as Trustee of the Magdaline E. Messmer Family Mineral Trust	10695 Annette Ct.	Portland	OR	97229-8801	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Rocky Mountain Exploration, Inc.	5441 Preserve Parkway S	Greenwood Village	CO	80121-2148	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Tracker Resources Development II, LLC	1050 17th St., Suite 975	Denver	CO	80265-1001	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 22: S2NE4, W2, SE4
Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership	1107 N 27th St., Suite 201	Billings	MT	59101	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Dalton John Rixen	201 Linden Ave.	Taylor	ND	58656	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Tracy John Rixen and Debbie Ann Rixen	8429 44th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Grace Rixen-Handford	4496 85th Ave. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Gary Mischel	1036 South E 6th St.	Cape Coral	FL	33990	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Randy Mischel	7410 Keystone Dr.	Bismarck	ND	58503	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Farm Credit Services of Mandan, FLCA	1600 Old Red Trail	Mandan	ND	58554	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 23: S2
Joy Beth Mische	1335 State Hwy 30	Pipestone	MN	56164	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Melodie Joy Alt	7015 County Rd 4	Grafton	ND	58237	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2

Continued . . .

**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Cheryl H. Keenan	15922 Dunmoor	Houston	TX	77059	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Janice Faye Wahlers	44628 308th St.	Mission Hill	SD	57046	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Naomi Elkins	131 Boise	Bismarck	ND	58501	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Sheldon Fisher	8330 39th St. SW	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Dorothy Palm Monte	12420 SE Steele	Portland	OR	97236	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Angela Palm Brouillette	24335 S. Brockway Rd	Oregon City	OR	97045	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Mary Teresa Palm Miller	11272 SE 64th Ave.	Milwaukee	OR	97222	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Gerriann Palm Courtney	10485 SW Kiowa St.	Tualatin	OR	97062	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Michael Palm	6627 SE Mabel Ave.	Milwaukee	OR	97267	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Chantra Boehm	2120 South 12th St.; Apt. 112	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Kent Mischel	5411 Trace Bend	Bryan	TX	77807	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Nancy Schmidt	533 South 17th St.	Bismarck	ND	58504	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Benjamin B. Saunders, Frances Fohs Sohn and Fred Sohn	1116 SE Terrace St.	Roseburg	OR	97470	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2

Continued . . .



**Table 1-2. Mineral Owners and Lessees Requiring Hearing Notification (continued)**

Mineral Owner Name	Addresses				Legal Description
	Street	City	State	Zip	
Charlotte R. Richards, Trustee, Fohs Sohn Oil and Gas Trust	PO Box 1001	Roseburg	OR	97470	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Adobe Oil Company	Petroleum Life Building	Midland	TX	79701	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
SFER Properties - A, Inc.	1616 S Voss; Suite 1000	Houston	TX	77057	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2
Assumption Abbey	PO Box A	Richardton	ND	58652	Township 139 North, Range 92 West Section 24: W2NE4, W2



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## ATTACHMENT 1

## GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT

**GEOLOGIC STORAGE AGREEMENT  
BROOM CREEK FORMATION  
STARK COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA**

**THIS AGREEMENT** (“Agreement”) is entered into as of the 1st day of \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_, by the parties who have signed the original of this instrument, a counterpart thereof, ratification and joinder or other instrument agreeing to become a Party hereto.

**WITNESSETH:**

**WHEREAS**, it is in the public interest to promote the geologic storage of carbon dioxide in a manner which will benefit the state and the global environment by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and in a manner which will help ensure the viability of the state's ethanol industry, to the economic benefit of North Dakota and its citizens;

**WHEREAS**, to further geologic storage of carbon dioxide, a potentially valuable commodity, may allow for its ready availability if needed for commercial, industrial, or other uses, including enhanced recovery of oil, gas, and other minerals; and

**WHEREAS**, for geologic storage, however, to be practical and effective requires cooperative use of surface and subsurface property interests and the collaboration of property owners, which may require procedures that promote, in a manner fair to all interests, cooperative management, thereby ensuring the maximum use of natural resources.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of the premise and of the mutual agreements herein contained, it is agreed as follows:

**ARTICLE 1  
DEFINITIONS**

As used in this Agreement:

1.1 **Carbon Dioxide** means carbon dioxide in gaseous, liquid, or supercritical fluid state together with incidental associated substances derived from the source materials, capture process and any substances added or used to enable or improve the injection process.

1.2 **Commission** means the North Dakota Industrial Commission.

1.3 **Effective Date** is the time and date this Agreement becomes effective as provided in Article

1.4 **Facility Area** is the land described by Tracts in Exhibit “B” and shown on Exhibit “A” containing \_\_\_\_\_ acres, more or less.

1.5 **Party** is any individual, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, association, receiver, trustee, curator, executor, administrator, guardian, tutor, fiduciary, or other representative

of any kind, any department, agency, or instrumentality of the state, or any governmental subdivision thereof, or any other entity capable of holding an interest in the Storage Reservoir.

1.6 **Pore Space** means a cavity or void, whether natural or artificially created, in any subsurface stratum.

1.7 **Pore Space Interest** is a right to or interest in the Pore Space in any Tract within the boundaries of the Facility Area.

1.8 **Pore Space Owner** is a Party hereto who owns Pore Space Interest.

1.9 **Storage Equipment** is any personal property, lease and well equipment, plants and other facilities and equipment for use in Storage Operations.

1.10 **Storage Expense** is all costs, expense or indebtedness incurred by the Storage Operator pursuant to this Agreement for or on account of Storage Operations.

1.11 **Storage Reservoir** consists of the Pore Space and confining subsurface strata underlying the Facility Area described as **[stratigraphic limits]**.

1.12 **Storage Facility** is the unitized or amalgamated Storage Reservoir created pursuant to an order of the Commission.

1.13 **Storage Facility Participation** is the percentage shown on Exhibit "C" for allocating payments for use of the Pore Space under each Tract identified in Exhibit "B".

1.14 **Storage Operations** are all operations conducted by the Storage Operator pursuant to this Agreement or otherwise authorized by any lease covering any Pore Space Interest.

1.15 **Storage Operator** is the person or entity named in Section 4.1 of this Agreement.

1.16 **Storage Rights** are the rights to explore, develop, and operate lands within the Facility Area for the storage of Storage Substances.

1.17 **Storage Substances** are Carbon Dioxide and incidental associated substances and fluids.

1.18 **Tract** is the land described as such and given a Tract number in Exhibit "B."

## **ARTICLE 2 EXHIBITS**

2.1 **Exhibits.** The following exhibits, which are attached hereto, are incorporated herein by reference:

2.1.1 Exhibit "A" is a map that shows the boundary lines of the Storage Facility area and the tracts therein;



- 2.1.2 Exhibit “B” is a schedule that describes the acres of each Tract in the Storage Facility area;
- 2.1.3 Exhibit “C” is a schedule that shows the Storage Facility Participation of each Tract; and
- 2.1.4 Exhibit “D” is the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease.

2.2 **Reference to Exhibits.** When reference is made to an exhibit, it is to the exhibit as originally attached or, if revised, to the last revision.

2.3 **Exhibits Considered Correct.** Exhibits “A,” “B,” “C” and “D” shall be considered to be correct until revised as herein provided.

2.4 **Correcting Errors.** The shapes and descriptions of the respective Tracts have been established by using the best information available. If it subsequently appears that any Tract, mechanical miscalculation or clerical error has been made, Storage Operator, with the approval of Pore Space Owners whose interest is affected, shall correct the mistake by revising the exhibits to conform to the facts. The revision shall not include any re-evaluation of engineering or geological interpretations used in determining Storage Facility Participation. Each such revision of an exhibit made prior to thirty (30) days after the Effective Date shall be effective as of the Effective Date. Each such revision thereafter made shall be effective at 7:00 a.m. on the first day of the calendar month next following the filing for record of the revised exhibit or on such other date as may be determined by Storage Operator and set forth in the revised exhibit.

2.5 **Filing Revised Exhibits.** If an exhibit is revised, Storage Operator shall execute an appropriate instrument with the revised exhibit attached and file the same for record in the county or counties in which this Agreement or memorandum of the same is recorded and shall also file the amended changes with the Commission.

### **ARTICLE 3 CREATION AND EFFECT OF STORAGE FACILITY**

3.1 **Unleased Pore Space Interests.** Any Pore Space Owner in the Storage Facility who owns a Pore Space Interest in the Storage Reservoir that is not leased for the purposes of this Agreement and during the term hereof, shall be treated as if it were subject to the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease attached hereto as Exhibit “D”.

3.2 **Amalgamation of Pore Space.** All Pore Space Interests in and to the Tracts are hereby amalgamated and combined insofar as the respective Pore Space Interests pertain to the Storage Reservoir, so that Storage Operations may be conducted with respect to said Storage Reservoir as if all of the Pore Space Interests in the Facility Area had been included in a single lease executed by all Pore Space Owners, as lessors, in favor of Storage Operator, as lessee and as if the lease contained all of the provisions of this Agreement.

3.3 **Amendment of Leases and Other Agreements.** The provisions of the various leases, agreements, or other instruments pertaining to the respective Tracts or the storage of the Storage Substances therein, including the Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease attached hereto as

Exhibit “D”, are amended to the extent necessary to make them conform to the provisions of this Agreement, but otherwise shall remain in effect.

3.4 **Continuation of Leases and Term Interests.** Injection in to any part of the Storage Reservoir, or other Storage Operations, shall be considered as injection in to or upon each Tract within said Storage Reservoir, and such injection or operations shall continue in effect as to each lease as to all lands and formations covered thereby just as if such operations were conducted on and as if a well were injecting in each Tract within said Storage Reservoir.

3.5 **Titles Unaffected by Storage.** Nothing herein shall be construed to result in the transfer of title of the Pore Space Interest of any Party hereto to any other Party or to Storage Operator.

3.6 **Injection Rights.** Storage Operator is hereby granted the right to inject into the Storage Reservoir any Storage Substances in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, together with the right to drill, use, and maintain injection wells in the Facility Area, and to use for injection purposes.

3.7 **Transfer of Storage Substances from Storage Facility.** Storage Operator may transfer from the Storage Facility any Storage Substances, in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, to any other reservoir, subsurface stratum or formation permitted by the Commission for the storage of carbon dioxide under Chapter 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. The transfer of such Storage Substances out of the Storage Facility shall be disregarded for the purposes of calculating the royalty under any lease covering a Pore Space Interest (including Exhibit “D”) and shall not affect the allocation of Storage Substances injected into the Storage Facility through the surface of the Facility Area in accordance with Article 6 of this Agreement.

3.8 **Receipt of Storage Substances.** Storage Operator may accept and receive into the Storage Facility any Storage Substances, in whatever amounts Storage Operator may deem expedient for Storage Operations, being stored in any other reservoir, subsurface stratum or formation permitted by the Commission for the storage of carbon dioxide under Chapter 38-22 of the North Dakota Century Code. The receipt of such Storage Substances into the Storage Facility shall be disregarded for the purposes of calculating the royalty under any lease covering a Pore Space Interest (including Exhibit “D”) and shall not affect the allocation of Storage Substances injected into the Storage Facility through the surface of the Facility Area in accordance with Article 6 of this Agreement.

3.9 **Cooperative Agreements.** Storage Operator may enter into cooperative agreements with respect to lands adjacent to the Facility Area for the purpose of coordinating Storage Operations. Such cooperative agreements may include, but shall not be limited to, agreements regarding the transfer and receipt of Storage Substances pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement.

3.10 **Border Agreements.** Storage Operator may enter into an agreement or agreements with owners of adjacent lands with respect to operations which may enhance the injection of the Storage Substances in the Storage Reservoir in the Facility Area or which may otherwise be necessary for the conduct of Storage Operations.

## **ARTICLE 4 STORAGE OPERATIONS**

4.1 **Storage Operator.** Red Trail Energy, LLC is hereby designated as the initial Storage Operator. Storage Operator shall have the exclusive right to conduct Storage Operations, which shall conform to the provisions of this Agreement and any lease covering a Pore Space Interest. If there is any conflict between such agreements, this Agreement shall govern.

4.2 **Successor Operators.** The initial Storage Operator and any subsequent operator may, at any time, transfer operatorship of the Storage Facility with and upon the approval of the Commission.

4.3 **Method of Operation.** Storage Operator shall engage in Storage Operations with diligence and in accordance with good engineering and injection practices.

4.4 **Change of Method of Operation.** Nothing herein shall prevent Storage Operator from discontinuing or changing in whole or in part any method of operation which, in its opinion, is no longer in accord with good engineering or injection practices. Other methods of operation may be conducted or changes may be made by Storage Operator from time to time if determined by it to be feasible, necessary or desirable to increase the injection or storage of Storage Substances.

## **ARTICLE 5 TRACT PARTICIPATIONS**

5.1 **Tract Participations.** The Storage Facility Participation of each Tract is shown in Exhibit "C." The Storage Facility Participation of each Tract shall be based 100% upon the ratio of surface acres in each Tract to the total surface acres for all Tracts within the Facility Area.

5.2 **Relative Storage Facility Participations.** If the Facility Area is enlarged or reduced, the revised Storage Facility Participation of the Tracts remaining in the Facility Area and which were within the Facility Area prior to the enlargement or reduction shall remain in the same ratio to one another.

## **ARTICLE 6 ALLOCATION OF STORAGE SUBSTANCES**

6.1 **Allocation of Tracts.** All Storage Substances injected shall be allocated to the several Tracts in accordance with the respective Storage Facility Participation effective during the period that the Storage Substances are injected. The amount of Storage Substances allocated to each tract, regardless of whether the amount is more or less than the actual injection of Storage Substances from the well or wells, if any, on such Tract, shall be deemed for all purposes to have been injected into such Tract. Storage Substances transferred or received pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement shall be disregarded for the purposes of this Section 6.1.

6.2 **Distribution within Tracts.** The Storage Substances injected and allocated to each Tract shall be distributed among, or accounted for to, the Pore Space Owners who own a Pore Space

Interest in such Tract in accordance with the Pore Space Owners' Storage Facility Participation effective during the period that the Storage Substances were injected. If any Pore Space Interest in a Tract hereafter becomes divided and owned in severalty as to different parts of the Tract, the owners of the divided interests, in the absence of an agreement providing for a different division, shall be compensated for the storage of the Storage Substances in proportion to the surface acreage of their respective parts of the Tract. Storage Substances transferred or received pursuant to Sections 3.7 and 3.8 of this Agreement shall be disregarded for the purposes of this Section 6.2.

## **ARTICLE 7 TITLES**

7.1 **Warranty and Indemnity.** Each Pore Space Owner who, by acceptance of revenue for the injection of Storage Substances into the Storage Reservoir, shall be deemed to have warranted title to its Pore Space Interest, and, upon receipt of the proceeds thereof to the credit of such interest, shall indemnify and hold harmless the Storage Operator and other Parties from any loss due to failure, in whole or in part, of its title to any such interest.

7.2 **Injection When Title Is in Dispute.** If the title or right of any Pore Space Owner claiming the right to receive all or any portion of the proceeds for the storage of any Storage Substances allocated to a Tract is in dispute, Storage Operator shall require that the Pore Space Owner to whom the proceeds thereof are paid furnish security for the proper accounting thereof to the rightful Pore Space Owner if the title or right of such Pore Space Owner fails in whole or in part.

7.3 **Payments of Taxes to Protect Title.** The owner of surface rights to lands within the Facility Area is responsible for the payment of any *ad valorem* taxes on all such rights, interests or property, unless such owner and the Storage Operator otherwise agree. If any *ad valorem* taxes are not paid by or for such owner when due, Storage Operator may at any time prior to tax sale or expiration of period of redemption after tax sale, pay the tax, redeem such rights, interests or property, and discharge the tax lien. Storage Operator shall, if possible, withhold from any proceeds derived from the storage of Storage Substances otherwise due any Pore Space Owner who is a delinquent taxpayer an amount sufficient to defray the costs of such payment or redemption, such withholding to be credited to the Storage Operator. Such withholding shall be without prejudice to any other remedy available to Storage Operator.

7.4 **Pore Space Interest Titles.** If title to a Pore Space Interest fails, but the tract to which it relates is not removed from the Facility Area, the Party whose title failed shall not be entitled to share under this Agreement with respect to that interest.

## **ARTICLE 8 EASEMENTS OR USE OF SURFACE**

8.1 **Grant of Easement.** Storage Operator shall have the right to use as much of the surface of the land within the Facility Area as may be reasonably necessary for Storage Operations and the injection of Storage Substances.



8.2 **Use of Water.** Storage Operator shall have and is hereby granted free use of water from the Facility Area for Storage Operations, except water from any well, lake, pond or irrigation ditch of a Pore Space Owner; notwithstanding the foregoing, Storage Operator may access any well, lake, or pond as provided in Exhibit “D”.

8.3 **Surface Damages.** Storage Owner shall pay surface owners for damage to growing crops, timber, fences, improvements and structures located on the Facility Area that result from Storage Operations.

8.4 **Surface and Sub-Surface Operating Rights.** Except to the extent modified in this Agreement, Storage Operator shall have the same rights to use the surface and sub-surface and use of water and any other rights granted to Storage Operator in any lease covering Pore Space Interests. Except to the extent expanded by this Agreement or the extent that such rights are common to the effected leases, the rights granted by a lease may be exercised only on the land covered by that lease. Storage Operator will to the extent possible minimize surface impacts.

## **ARTICLE 9 ENLARGEMENT OF STORAGE FACILITY**

9.1 **Enlargement of Storage Facility.** The Storage Facility may be enlarged from time to time to include acreage and formations reasonably proven to be geologically capable of storing Storage Substances. Any expansion must be approved in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Commission.

9.2 **Determination of Tract Participation.** Storage Operator, subject to Section 5.2, shall determine the Storage Facility Participation of each Tract within the Storage Facility as enlarged, and shall revise Exhibits “A”, “B” and “C” accordingly and in accordance with the rules, regulations and orders of the Commission.

9.3 **Effective Date.** The effective date of any enlargement of the Storage Facility shall be effective as determined by the Commission.

## **ARTICLE 10 TRANSFER OF TITLE PARTITION**

10.1 **Transfer of Title.** Any conveyance of all or part of any interest owned by any Party hereto with respect to any Tract shall be made expressly subject to this Agreement. No change of title shall be binding upon Storage Operator, or any Party hereto other than the Party so transferring, until 7:00 a.m. on the first day of the calendar month following thirty (30) days from the date of receipt by Storage Operator of a photocopy, or a certified copy, of the recorded or filed instrument evidencing such a change in ownership.

10.2 **Waiver of Rights to Partition.** Each Party hereto agrees that, during the existence of this Agreement, it will not resort to any action to partition any Tract or parcel within the Facility Area or the facilities used in the development or operation thereof, and to that extent waives the benefits or laws authorizing such partition.

## **ARTICLE 11 RELATIONSHIP OF PARTIES**

11.1 **No Partnership.** The duties, obligations and liabilities arising hereunder shall be several and not joint or collective. This Agreement is not intended to create, and shall not be construed to create, an association or trust, or to impose a partnership duty, obligation or liability with regard to any one or more of the Parties hereto. Each Party hereto shall be individually responsible for its own obligations as herein provided.

11.2 **No Joint Marketing.** This Agreement is not intended to provide, and shall not be construed to provide, directly or indirectly, for any joint marketing of Storage Substances.

11.3 **Pore Space Owners Free of Costs.** This Agreement is not intended to impose, and shall not be construed to impose, upon any Pore Space Owner any obligation to pay any Storage Expense unless such Pore Space Owner is otherwise so obligated.

11.4 **Information to Pore Space Owners.** Each Pore Space Owner shall be entitled to all information in possession of Storage Operator to which such Pore Space Owner is entitled by an existing lease or a lease imposed by this Agreement.

## **ARTICLE 12 LAWS AND REGULATIONS**

12.1 **Laws and Regulations.** This Agreement shall be subject to all applicable federal, state and municipal laws, rules, regulations and orders.

## **ARTICLE 13 FORCE MAJEURE**

13.1 **Force Majeure.** All obligations imposed by this Agreement on each Party, except for the payment of money, shall be suspended while compliance is prevented, in whole or in part, by a labor dispute, fire, war, civil disturbance, or act of God; by federal, state or municipal laws; by any rule, regulation or order of a governmental agency; by inability to secure materials; or by any other cause or causes, whether similar or dissimilar, beyond reasonable control of the Party. No Party shall be required against his will to adjust or settle any labor dispute. Neither this Agreement nor any lease or other instrument subject hereto shall be terminated by reason of suspension of Storage Operations due to any one or more of the causes set forth in this Article.

## **ARTICLE 14 EFFECTIVE DATE**

14.1 **Effective Date.** This Agreement shall become effective as determined by the Commission.

14.2 **Ipsa Facto Termination.** If the requirements of Section 14.1 are not accomplished on or before \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_ this Agreement shall *ipso facto* terminate on that date (hereinafter called "termination date") and thereafter be of no further effect, unless prior thereto Pore Space

Owners owning a combined Storage Facility Participation of at least thirty percent (30%) of the Facility Area have become Parties to this Agreement and have decided to extend the termination date for a period not to exceed six (6) months. If the termination date is so extended and the requirements of Section 14.1 are not accomplished on or before the extended termination date this Agreement shall *ipso facto* terminate on the extended termination date and thereafter be of no further effect.

14.3 **Certificate of Effectiveness.** Storage Operator shall file for record in the county or counties in which the land affected is located a certificate stating the Effective Date of this Agreement.

## **ARTICLE 15 TERM**

15.1 **Term.** Unless sooner terminated in the manner hereinafter provided or by order of the Commission, this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect until the Commission has issued a certificate of project completion with respect to the Storage Facility in accordance with Section 38-22-17 of the North Dakota Century Code.

15.2 **Termination by Storage Operator.** This Agreement may be terminated at any time by the Storage Operator.

15.3 **Effect of Termination.** Upon termination of this Agreement all Storage Operations shall cease. Each lease and other agreement covering Pore Space within the Facility Area shall remain in force for ninety (90) days after the date on which this Agreement terminates, and for such further period as is provided by Exhibit "C" or other agreement.

15.4 **Salvaging Equipment Upon Termination.** If not otherwise granted by Exhibit "C" or other instruments affecting each Tract, Pore Space Owners hereby grant Storage Operator a period of six (6) months after the date of termination of this Agreement within which to salvage and remove Storage Equipment.

15.5 **Certificate of Termination.** Upon termination of this Agreement, Storage Operator shall file for record in the county or counties in which the land affected is located a certificate that this Agreement has terminated, stating its termination date.

## **ARTICLE 16 APPROVAL**

16.1 **Original, Counterpart or Other Instrument.** A Pore Space Owner may approve this Agreement by signing the original of this instrument, a counterpart thereof, ratification or joinder or other instrument approving this instrument hereto. The signing of any such instrument shall have the same effect as if all Parties had signed the same instrument.

16.2 **Joinder in Dual Capacity.** Execution as herein provided by any Party as either a Pore Space Owner or the Storage Operator shall commit all interests owned or controlled by such Party and any additional interest thereafter acquired in the Facility Area.

16.3 **Approval by the North Dakota Industrial Commission.**

Notwithstanding anything in this Article to the contrary, all Tracts within the Facility Area shall be deemed to be qualified for participation if this Agreement is duly approved by order of the Commission.

## **ARTICLE 17 GENERAL**

17.1 **Amendments Affecting Pore Space Owners.** Amendments hereto relating wholly to Pore Space Owners may be made with approval by the Commission.

17.4 **Construction.** This agreement shall be construed according to the laws of the State of North Dakota.

## **ARTICLE 18 SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS**

18.1 **Successors and Assigns.** This Agreement shall extend to, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, devisees, legal representatives, successors and assigns and shall constitute a covenant running with the lands, leases and interests covered hereby.

*[Remainder of page intentionally left blank. Signature page follows.]*



Executed the date set opposite each name below but effective for all purposes as provided by Article 14.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ **STORAGE OPERATOR**

**RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC**

By: \_\_\_\_\_ [NAME]

Its: \_\_\_\_\_

**EXHIBIT A**

Tract Map

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

**EXHIBIT B**

Tract Summary

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota

**EXHIBIT C**

Tract Participation Factors

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota



**EXHIBIT D**

Form of Surface Use and Pore Space Lease

Attached to and made part of the Geologic Storage Agreement  
Broom Creek Formation  
Stark County, North Dakota



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## 2.0 GEOLOGIC EXHIBITS

## **2.0 GEOLOGIC EXHIBITS**

### **2.1 Overview of Project Area Geology**

The proposed Red Trail Energy (RTE) carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) storage project will be situated near Richardton, North Dakota (Figure 2-1). This project site is on the southern flank of the Williston Basin. The Williston Basin is a sedimentary intracratonic basin covering approximately 150,000 square miles, with its depocenter near Watford City, North Dakota.

Overall, the stratigraphy of the Williston Basin has been well studied, particularly the numerous oil-bearing formations. Through research conducted via the Plains CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction (PCOR) Partnership, the Williston Basin has been identified as an excellent candidate for long-term CO<sub>2</sub> storage due, in part, to the thick sequence of clastic and carbonate sedimentary rocks and the basin's subtle structural character and tectonic stability.

The target CO<sub>2</sub> storage reservoir for the RTE project is the Broom Creek Formation, a predominantly sandstone horizon lying about 6,380 ft below the RTE facility. Mudstones, siltstones, and interbedded evaporites of the Opeche Formation unconformably overly the Broom Creek and serve as the primary confining zone (Figure 2-2). The Amsden Formation (dolostone, limestone, and anhydrite) unconformably underlies the Broom Creek Formation and serves as the lower confining zone (Figure 2-2). Together, the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden comprise the CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex for the RTE project (Table 2-1).

In addition to the Opeche Formation, there is ~1,200 ft of impermeable rock formations between the Broom Creek Formation and the next overlying porous zone, the Inyan Kara Formation. An additional ~3,000 ft of impermeable intervals separates the Inyan Kara and the lowest underground source of drinking water (USDW), the Fox Hills Formation (Figure 2-2).

### **2.2 Data and Information Sources**

Several sets of data were used to characterize the injection and confining zones to establish their suitability for the storage and containment of injected CO<sub>2</sub>. Data sets used for characterization included both existing data (e.g., from published literature, publicly available databases, private data from brokers) and site-specific data acquired specifically to characterize the storage complex.




Figure 2-1. Topographic map of the RTE project area showing well locations, RTE, the proposed CO<sub>2</sub> flow line, and property lines.

### 2.2.1 Existing Data

Existing data used to characterize the geology beneath the RTE site included publicly available well logs and formation top depths acquired from the North Dakota Industrial Commission's (NDIC's) online database. Well log data and interpreted formation top depths were acquired for 47 wellbores within a 25-mile radius of the proposed storage site (Figure 2-3). These data were used to characterize the depth, thickness, and extent of the subsurface geologic formations. Existing laboratory measurements from Broom Creek Formation core samples were available from three wells: Flemmer 1 (NDIC File No. 34243), BNI 1 (NDIC File No. 34244), and ANG 1 (North Dakota Department of Health [NDDH] No. 11308) (Figure 2-4). These measurements were compiled and used to establish relationships between measured petrophysical characteristics and estimates from well log data. Ten square miles of legacy 3D seismic data from Mercer County, encompassing the Flemmer 1 wellsite, was examined to understand heterogeneity and geologic structure of the Broom Creek Formation interval.






Figure 2-2. Stratigraphic column identifying the storage reservoir and confining zones for the geology underlying the RTE project area.

**Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Complex**

	Formation	Purpose	Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft	Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD ft	Lithology
<b>Storage Complex</b>	Opeche	Upper confining zone	103	3,871	Mudstone/siltstone
	Broom Creek	Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)	313	3,974	Sandstone, dolomite
	Amsden	Lower confining zone	329	4,285	Dolomite/shaly sand




Figure 2-3. Map showing the extent of the regional geologic model, distribution of well control points, and extent of the simulation model. The wells shown penetrate the storage reservoir and the upper and lower confining zones.




Figure 2-4. Map showing the spatial relationship between the RTE project area and wells where Broom Creek Formation core samples were collected.

### 2.2.2 Site-Specific Data

Site-specific efforts to characterize the proposed storage complex generated multiple data sets, including geophysical well logs, petrophysical data, fluid analyses, and 3D seismic data. In 2019, the RTE-10 well was drilled specifically to gather subsurface geologic data to support the development of a CO<sub>2</sub> storage facility permit and serve as the future CO<sub>2</sub> injection well. RTE-10 was drilled to a depth of 6,900 ft, 223 ft into the Amsden Formation. A downhole sampling and measurement program focused on the proposed storage complex (i.e., the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations [Figure 2-5a]). Additional characterization efforts focused on the Inyan Kara Formation interval as a potential alternate CO<sub>2</sub> storage reservoir (Figure 2-5b).




Figure 2-5a. Schematic showing vertical relationship of coring, combinable magnetic resonance (CMR) logging, and testing intervals in the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations in the RTE-10 well. Note: Small pore and capillary-bound fluid porosities represent porosity containing immobile formation fluid. Fluid within the small pores cannot escape because of pore size, while capillary-bound fluids cannot escape pores because of pressure constraints. Higher recorded T2 relaxation times (ms) of hydrogen atoms in the first track indicate the presence of larger pores within the near well-bore environment, which are filled with water and, therefore, more pore space (Kenyon and others, 1995; Schlumberger, 2002). T2 values that are greater than the T2 cutoff, as seen in the fourth track, indicate higher pore space and permeabilities.






Figure 2-5b. Schematic showing vertical relationship of coring, CMR logging, and testing intervals in the Mowry, Inyan Kara, and Swift Formations in the RTE-10 well. Note: Small pore and capillary-bound fluid porosities represent porosity containing immobile formation fluid. Fluid within the small pores cannot escape because of pore size, while capillary-bound fluids cannot escape pores because of pressure constraints. Higher recorded T2 relaxation times (ms) of hydrogen atoms in the first track indicate the presence of larger pores within the near wellbore environment, which are filled with water and, therefore, more pore space (Kenyon and others, 1995; Schlumberger, 2002). T2 values that are greater than the T2 cutoff, as seen in the fourth track, indicate higher pore space and permeabilities.

Site-specific data were used to assess the suitability of the storage complex for safe and permanent storage of CO<sub>2</sub>. Site-specific data were used as inputs for geologic model construction (Appendix A), numerical simulations of CO<sub>2</sub> injection (Appendix A), geochemical simulation (Sections 2.3.3 and 2.4.1.2), and geomechanical analysis (Section 2.4.4). The improved understanding of the subsurface provided by the site-specific data directly informed the selection of monitoring technologies, development of the timing and frequency of monitoring data collection, and interpretation of monitoring data with respect to potential subsurface risks. Furthermore, these data provide important information for guiding the design and operation of site equipment and infrastructure.

#### *2.2.2.1 Geophysical Well Logs*

Openhole wireline geophysical well logs were acquired in the RTE-10 well along the entire open section of the wellbore. The logging suite included caliper, spontaneous potential (SP), gamma ray (GR), density, porosity (neutron, density), dipole sonic, resistivity, a CMR log, and a full-bore formation microimager (FMI) log.

The acquired well logs were used to pick formation top depths and interpret lithology, petrophysical properties, and time-to-depth shifting of seismic data. Formation top depths were picked from the top of the Pierre Formation to the top of the Amsden Formation. The site-specific formation top depths were added to the existing data of the 47 wellbores within a 25-mile radius of the study area to understand the geologic extent, depth, and thickness of the subsurface geologic strata. Formation top depths were interpolated to create structural surfaces which served as inputs for geologic model construction.

#### *2.2.2.2 Core Sample Analyses*

Nearly 420 ft of core was collected from the Broom Creek storage complex in RTE-10. This core was analyzed to characterize the lithologies of the Broom Creek, Opeche, and Amsden Formations and correlated to the well log data. Core analysis also included porosity and permeability measurements, x-ray diffraction (XRD), x-ray fluorescence (XRF), relative permeability testing, thin-section analysis, capillary entry pressure measurements, and triaxial geomechanics testing. The results were used to inform geologic modeling, predictive simulation inputs and assumptions, geochemical modeling, and geomechanical modeling.

#### *2.2.2.3 Formation Temperature and Pressure*

Temperature data recorded from logging the RTE-10 wellbore were used to derive a temperature gradient for the proposed injection site (Table 2-2). In combination with depth, the temperature gradient was used to distribute a temperature property throughout the geologic model of the study area. The temperature property was used primarily to inform predictive simulation inputs and assumptions. Temperature data were also used as inputs for the geochemical modeling.

Formation pressure testing at RTE-10 was performed with the Schlumberger MDT\* Modular Formation Dynamics Tester tool. A wireline conveyed tool assembly incorporated a dual-packer module to isolate intervals, a large-diameter probe for formation pressure and temperature measurements, a pumpout module to pump unwanted mud filtrate, a flow control module, and sample chambers for formation fluid collection (Appendix D, “Schlumberger, MDT Modular Formation Dynamics Tester”).

**Table 2-2. Description of RTE-10 Temperature Measurements and Calculated Temperature Gradients**

<b>Formation</b>	<b>Test Depth, ft</b>	<b>Temperature, °F</b>
Mowry	4,760.18	129.18
Inyan Kara	4,849.66	125.26
	4,869.73	125.94
	4,910.08	126.62
Mean Inyan Kara Temp.		125.94
Inyan Kara Temperature Gradient, °F/ft		0.017
Opeche	6,290.08	142.29
Broom Creek	6,432.17	143.70
	6,458.91	143.98
	6,565.09	144.65
Mean Broom Creek Temp.		144.11
Broom Creek Temperature Gradient, °F/ft		0.016

The MDT tool formation pressure measurements from the Inyan Kara and Broom Creek Formations are included in Table 2-3. The calculated pressure gradients were used to model formation pressure profiles for use in the numerical simulations of CO<sub>2</sub> injection.

**Table 2-3. Description of RTE-10 Formation Pressure Measurements and Calculated Pressure Gradients**

<b>Formation</b>	<b>Test Depth, ft</b>	<b>Formation Pressure, psi</b>
Inyan Kara	4,849.66	1,947.97
Inyan Kara	4,869.73	1,956.62
Inyan Kara	4,910.08	1,974.03
Mean Inyan Kara Pressure		1,959.51
Inyan Kara Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft		0.40
Broom Creek	6,432.17	2,935.16
Broom Creek	6,458.91	2,947.73
Broom Creek	6,565.09	2,997.91
Mean Broom Creek Pressure		2,960.14
Broom Creek Pressure Gradient, psi/ft		0.45

#### 2.2.2.4 Microfracture Tests

Using the Schlumberger MDT\* Modular Formation Dynamics Tester tool, Appendix D, “SLB-MDT brochure,” microfracture tests were performed at RTE-10. In situ reservoir stress testing measurements provided real-time formation temperatures, formation fracture breakdown, formation fracture propagation, and formation fracture closure pressures.

Microfracture tests were performed in the Mowry, Inyan Kara, Opeche, and Broom Creek Formations (Table 2-4). The use of the dual-packer module on the MDT tool assembly to isolate the designated intervals tested a 1.5-foot section of the zone of interest.

Two of the three tests attempted in the Opeche Formation were unsuccessful. One predominant reason included Schlumberger’s dual-packer mechanical specifications, with a maximum differential pressure between the upper packer and the hydrostatic pressure of 5,500 psi. See Appendix D, “Schlumberger Dual-Packer Module.” The inability to break down the Opeche Formation at the two depths indicated that the upper confining formation is very tight and exhibits sufficient geologic integrity to contain the injected carbon dioxide stream. The first microfracture test attempted in the Broom Creek Formation was unable to achieve injection zone formation breakdown pressure because of the Broom Creek’s high permeability, requiring additional injection volumes, which then led to the successful breakdown of the second test, Appendix D, “SPE Paper 127233.”

Fracture propagation pressures determined from the microfracture test were used to calculate pressure constraints related to the maximum allowable bottomhole pressure.

**Table 2-4. Description of RTE-10 Microfracture Tests**

Formation	Test Depth, ft		Breakdown Pressure		Propagation Pressure	Closure Pressure		Initial Shut-In Pressure	
			Gradient,	Avg.,	Gradient,	Avg.,	Gradient,	Avg.,	Gradient,
	psi		psi/ft	psi	psi/ft	psi	psi/ft	psi	psi/ft
Mowry	4,760.49	5,122.00	1.08	4,027.31	0.85	3,910.53	0.82	3,993.20	0.84
Inyan Kara	4,910.35	6,192.76	1.26	4,901.44	1.00	4,819.42	0.98	4,741.64	0.97
Opeche	6,288.91	* Unable to break down; max. inj. pressure = 8,912 psi, gradient = 1.41 psi/ft							
	6,291.49	* Unable to break down; max. inj. pressure = 8,908 psi, gradient = 1.41 psi/ft							
	6,376.89	7,676.76	1.20	4,878.68	0.77	4,623.94	0.73	4,900.51	0.77
Broom Creek	6,432.18	7,863.00	1.22	4,594.73	0.71	3,762.17	0.58	4,649.10	0.72
	6,432.69	* Unable to break down; max. inj. pressure = 7,890 psi, gradient = 1.23 psi/ft.							

#### 2.2.2.5 Fluid Samples

Fluid samples from the Broom Creek and Inyan Kara Formations were collected from the RTE-10 wellbore via an MDT tool (Table 2-5), Appendix D, “Schlumberger Saturn 3D Radial Probe. Results were analyzed by a state-certified laboratory and confirmed by the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC). Fluid sample analysis results were used as inputs for geochemical modeling and dynamic reservoir simulations. Fluid sample analysis reports can be found in Appendix B.



**Table 2-5. Description of RTE-10 Fluid Sample Tests and Corresponding Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) Values for Each Sample**

Formation	Test Depth, ft	TDS, mg/L
Inyan Kara	4,910.08	11,100
Broom Creek	6,432.04	159,000

In situ fluid pressure testing was performed in the upper confining zone, the Opeche Formation, with the MDT tool. This test utilized the tools large-diameter probe to test both mobility and reservoir pressure (Appendix D). The probe (MDT) was unable to draw down reservoir fluid in order to give the reservoir pressure or in situ fluid sample, and the formation was unable to rebound (build pressure) because of low to almost zero permeability. The nonmobile fluid can be confirmed with the CMR log showing low to almost zero permeability (Figure 2-5a). The testing results provide further evidence of the confining properties of the Opeche Formation, ensuring sufficient geologic integrity to contain the injected carbon dioxide stream.

#### 2.2.2.6 Seismic Survey

A 7.8-square-mile 3D seismic survey was acquired in early 2019 (Figure 2-6). The 3D seismic data allowed for visualization of deep geologic formations at lateral spatial intervals as short as tens of feet. The seismic data were used for assessment of geologic structure, interpretation of interwell heterogeneity, and to inform well placement. Additionally, data products generated from the interpretation of the 3D seismic data were used as inputs into the geologic model.

The 3D seismic data and RTE-10 well logs were used to interpret surfaces for the formations of interest within the survey area. These surfaces were converted to depth using the time-to-depth relationship derived from the RTE-10 sonic log. The depth-converted surfaces for the storage reservoir and upper and lower confining zones were used as inputs for the geologic model. These surfaces captured detailed information about the structure and varying thickness of the formations between wells. Interpretation of the 3D seismic data suggests there are no major stratigraphic pinch-outs or structural features with associated spill points in the RTE project area. No structural features, faults, or discontinuities that would cause a concern about seal integrity were observed in the seismic data. Section 2.5.2 describes interpretation of the seismic data in more detail.

The 3D seismic data were also used to gain a better understanding of interwell heterogeneity across the study area for petrophysical property distributions. The 3D seismic data suggest the interbedded dolomite and anhydrite intervals within the Broom Creek Formation seen in RTE-10 are laterally discontinuous in the RTE project area; however, the data do not suggest that these lower-permeability intervals compartmentalize the storage reservoir in the RTE project area. A compressional wave (P-wave) velocity volume was created using the 3D seismic data and RTE-10 sonic and density log data (Figure 2-7). The velocity volume was used to classify sandstone and dolostone lithofacies of the Broom Creek Formation and distribute lithofacies through the geologic model as well as inform petrophysical property distribution in the geologic model.




Figure 2-6. Map showing the extent of the 7.8-square-mile 3D seismic survey in the RTE project area.




Figure 2-7. Cross section of the inverted compressional wave velocity volume that transects the RTE-10 well. The compressional wave velocities from the RTE-10 sonic log are shown on the inset panel.

### 2.3 Storage Reservoir (injection zone)

Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).






Figure 2-8. Areal extent of the Broom Creek Formation in North Dakota.

At RTE-10, the Broom Creek Formation is made up of 201 ft of sandstone and 97 ft of dolostone and is located at a depth of 6,379 ft. Across the project area, the Broom Creek Formation varies in thickness from 210 to 406 ft (Figure 2-9), with an average thickness of 313 ft. Based on offset well data and geologic model characteristics, the net sandstone thickness within the project area ranges from 48 to 324 ft, with an average of 192 ft.






Figure 2-9. Isopach map of the Broom Creek Formation in the RTE project area.

The top of the Broom Creek Formation was picked across the project area based on the transition from a relatively high GR signature representing the mudstones and siltstones of the Opeche Formation to a relatively low GR signature of sandstone and dolostone lithologies within the Broom Creek (Figure 2-10). The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the bottom of a relatively high GR signature representing an argillaceous dolostone that could be correlated across the project area. Seismic data collected as part of site characterization efforts (Figure 2-6) were used to reinforce structural correlation and thickness estimations of the storage reservoir. The combined structural correlation and analyses indicate that there should be few-to-no major reservoir stratigraphic discontinuities near RTE-10 (Figures 2-11a and 2-11b). The 3D seismic data suggest the interbedded dolomite and anhydrite intervals in the RTE-10 well are laterally discontinuous and do not compartmentalize the storage reservoir in the RTE project area. A structure map of the Broom Creek Formation shows no detectable features (e.g., folds, domes, or fault traps) with associated spill points in the project area (Figures 2-12 and 2-13).





Figure 2-10. Well log display of the interpreted lithologies of the lower Opeche, Broom Creek, and upper Amsden Formation in RTE-10.




EERC KL59576.AI

Figure 2-11a. Regional well log stratigraphic cross sections of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations flattened on the top of the Amsden Formation. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red), 2) delta time (purple), and 3) interpreted lithology log.

Note: Wells in these cross sections are spaced evenly. These figures do not portray the relative distance between wells. Because of the spacing, structure may appear more drastic than it actually is.





EERC KL59770.AI

Figure 2-11b. Regional well log cross sections showing the structure of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red) and 2) delta time (purple).

Note: Wells in these cross sections are spaced evenly. These figures do not portray the relative distance between wells. Because of the spacing, structure may appear more drastic than it actually is.







Figure 2-12. Structure map of the Broom Creek Formation across the greater RTE project area.

Forty-three 1-in.-diameter core plug samples were taken from the sandstone and dolostone lithofacies of Broom Creek core retrieved from the RTE-10 well. These core samples were used to determine the distribution of porosity and permeability values throughout the formation. Porosity and permeability measurements from the RTE-10 Broom Creek core samples have porosity values ranging from 2.91% to 33.7% and permeabilities ranging from <0.001 to 5,120 mD (Table 2-6). The wide range in porosity and permeability reflects the differences between the sandstone and dolostone lithofacies in the Broom Creek Formation. Portions of the Broom Creek core revealed unconsolidated or poorly consolidated sandstone.

Analysis of 21 core samples from the sandstone portion of the Broom Creek core from RTE-10 showed porosity values ranging from 12% to 34%, with an average of 22%. Permeability of the sandstone samples ranged from 25 to 5,120 mD, with a geometric average of 419 mD. Porosity values of dolostone samples from the Broom Creek core ranged from 3% to 9%, with an average of 6%. Dolostone permeability values ranged from 0.004 to 1.12 mD, with a geometric average of 0.08 mD (Table 2-6 and Figure 2-14).

Core-derived measurements were used as the foundation for the generation of porosity and permeability properties within the 3D geologic model. The core sample measurements showed good agreement with the wireline logs collected from RTE-10. This agreement allowed for confident extrapolation of porosity and permeability from offset well logs, thus creating a spatially



EERC KL59579.AI

Figure 2-13. Cross section of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.

and computationally larger data set to populate the geologic model. The model property distribution statistics shown in Table 2-6 are derived from a combination of the core analysis and the larger data set derived from offset well logs.

Sandstone intervals in the Broom Creek Formation are associated with low GR, low density, high porosity (neutron, density, and sonic), low resistivity due to high porosity and brine salinity, and high sonic velocity measurements. The dolostone intervals in the formation are associated with an increase in GR measurements compared to the sandstone intervals, in addition to high density, low porosity (neutron, density, and sonic), high resistivity, and low sonic velocity measurements.

**Table 2-6. Description of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Reservoir (injection zone) at the RTE-10 Well**

Injection Zone Properties			
Property		Description	
Formation Name		Broom Creek	
Lithology		Sandstone, dolomite	
Formation Top Depth, ft		6,379	
Thickness, ft		298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)	
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi		1.1	
Geologic Properties			
Formation	Property	Laboratory Analysis	Model Property Distribution
Broom Creek (sandstone)	Porosity, %	21.68 (12.18–33.65)*	25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*
	Permeability, mD	419.1 (25.35–5,120)**	277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**
Broom Creek (dolomite)	Porosity, %	6 (2.91–8.54)*	15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*
	Permeability, mD	0.08 (0.004–1.12)**	8.65 (0.01– 2,261.53)**

\* Porosity values are reported as the arithmetic mean followed by the range of values in parentheses.

\*\* Permeability values are reported as the geometric mean followed by the range of values in parentheses.




Figure 2-14. Vertical distribution of core-derived porosity and permeability values in the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex.



Pressure testing in the Broom Creek Formation included three formation pressure measurements via an MDT tool at RTE-10. All tests resulted in good agreement, with reservoir pressures recorded that ranged from 2,935 to 2,998 psi. These pressures were used to derive a pressure gradient of 0.45 psi/ft. The pressure gradient was used to calculate a formation pressure profile for use in the numerical simulations of CO<sub>2</sub> injection.

A microfracture test was performed via an MDT tool in the RTE-10 well within the Broom Creek Formation. The test was conducted 53 ft below the top of the formation. The results of this test are shown in Table 2-7.

**Table 2-7. Broom Creek Microfracture Results from RTE-10**

Depth, ft	6,432	
Pressure/Gradient	psi	psi/ft
Breakdown	7,863	1.22
Fracture Propagation	4,594	0.717
Closure	3,762	0.584

The measured temperature of the Broom Creek Formation in RTE-10 was 144°F at a depth of 6,432 ft. Using an average surface temperature of 40°F, the resulting temperature gradient for the Broom Creek Formation is 0.016°F/ft.

$$\frac{144^{\circ}\text{F}-40^{\circ}\text{F}}{6,460 \text{ ft}} = 0.016^{\circ}\text{F/ft} \quad [\text{Eq. 1}]$$

Fluid samples collected via an MDT tool in RTE-10 from the Broom Creek Formation were analyzed by a state-certified lab and confirmed by the EERC.

### 2.3.1 Mineralogy

The combined interpretation of core, well logs, and thin sections shows that the Broom Creek Formation is dominated by fine- to medium-grained sandstone with lesser amounts of carbonates and anhydrites. Forty-three depth intervals representing nearly 300 ft of the Broom Creek Formation were sampled for thin-section creation, XRD mineralogical determination, and XRF bulk chemical analysis. For the assessment below, thin sections and XRD provide independent confirmation of the mineralogical constituents of the Broom Creek Formation.

Thin-section analysis of the sandstone intervals show that quartz (80%) is the dominant mineral. Throughout these intervals are minor occurrence of feldspar (3%), dolomite (5%), and anhydrite as cement (10%). Where present, anhydrite is crystallized between quartz grains and obstructs the intercrystalline porosity. The contact between grains is long (straight) to tangential. The porosity ranges between 20% to 25%.

Two distinct carbonate intervals are notable. First is the presence of a very fine- to fine-grained dolostone (80%), with quartz of variable size and shape (5%) and iron oxides (10%)

present. The porosity is intercrystalline and not well-developed, averaging 5%. Diagenesis is expressed by dolomitization of the original calcite grains. Fossils are not present in this interval. In the second occurrence of carbonate, the texture becomes coarse and more fossil-rich, comprising fine-grained dolomite (35%), dolomitized fossils (25%), quartz (15%), and silicified fossils (25%). Diagenesis is expressed by the dissolution of dolomite, resulting in shelter and vuggy porosity. The presence of quartz crystallized inside fossils shows several episodes of crystallization partially obstructing the vuggy porosity. The porosity averages 20%. The anhydrite intervals are expressed as thin beds that separate different sand bodies and as cement. The porosity is almost null.

XRD data from the samples supported facies interpretations from core descriptions and thin-section analysis. The Broom Creek Formation core primarily comprises quartz, feldspar, dolomite, anhydrite, clay, and iron oxides (Figure 2-15).

XRF data are shown in Figure 2-16 for the Broom Creek Formation. As shown, the majority of the sandstone and dolomite intervals are confirmed through the high percentages of SiO<sub>2</sub> (70%–90%), CaO (5%–10%), and MgO (5%–10%). The high percentage of CaO and SO<sub>3</sub> at 6,640 ft indicates a presence of a thin layer of anhydrite. The formation shows very little clay, with a range of 0.0.5% to 3% being the highest detected.





Figure 2-15. Laboratory-derived mineralogic characteristics of the Broom Creek Formation.



EERC KL59771.AI

Figure 2-16. XRF data from the Broom Creek from RTE-10.



### ***2.3.2 Mechanism of Geologic Confinement***

For the RTE project, the initial mechanism for geologic confinement of CO<sub>2</sub> injected into the Broom Creek Formation will be the cap rock (Opeche Formation), which will contain the initially buoyant CO<sub>2</sub> under the effects of relative permeability and capillary pressure. Lateral movement of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will be restricted by residual gas trapping (relative permeability) and solubility trapping (dissolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> into the native formation brine). After the injected CO<sub>2</sub> becomes dissolved in the formation brine, the brine density will increase. This higher-density brine will ultimately sink in the storage formation (convective mixing). Over a much longer period of time (>100 years), mineralization of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will ensure long-term, permanent geologic confinement. Injected CO<sub>2</sub> is not expected to adsorb to any of the mineral constituents of the target formation and, therefore, is not considered to be a viable trapping mechanism in this project. Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> is a trapping mechanism notable in the storage of CO<sub>2</sub> in deep unminable coal seams.

### ***2.3.3 Geochemical Information of Injection Zone***

Geochemical simulation has been performed to calculate the effects of introducing the CO<sub>2</sub> stream to the injection zone. The effects have been found to be minimal and not threatening to the geologic integrity of the storage system.

The injection zone, the Broom Creek Formation, was investigated using the geochemical analysis option available in the Computer Modelling Group Ltd. (CMG) compositional simulation software package GEM. GEM is also the primary simulation software used for evaluation of the reservoir's dynamic behavior resulting from the expected CO<sub>2</sub> injection. The project's base case simulation (base case) was rerun with the geochemical analysis option included (geochemistry case), and results from the two cases were compared. Geochemical alteration effects were seen in the geochemistry case, as described below. However, these effects were not significant enough to cause observable change to storage reservoir performance or to mechanical integrity of the storage formation.

The geochemistry case was constructed using the base case simulation inputs and assumptions as well as honoring the average mineralogical composition of the Broom Creek rock materials (80% of bulk reservoir volume) and the average formation brine composition (20% of bulk reservoir volume). XRD data from the RTE 10 core samples were used to inform the mineralogical composition of the Broom Creek used in the geochemical modeling (Table 2-8). CO<sub>2</sub> injection stream composition remained the same as the base case, as described by RTE (Table 2-9). The geochemistry case was run for the 20-year injection period followed by 25 years of postinjection shutdown and monitoring.

**Table 2-8. XRD Results for RTE-10 Broom Creek Core Samples**

Depth 6,599.5 ft		Depth 6,667 ft	
Mineral Data	%	Mineral Data	%
Kaolinite	2	Illite/muscovite	3.9
Illite/Muscovite	5.3	Chlorite	1.1
K-Feldspar	3	K-feldspar	12.3
Quartz	58.2	Quartz	53.2
Rutile	0.8	Calcite	0.8
Aphthitalite	1.1	Dolomite	1.3
Halite	0.9	Anhydrite	27.4
Anhydrite	28.7		

**Table 2-9. Expected CO<sub>2</sub> Stream Composition for the RTE Project**

Component Flows	ppmv	mol%
Carbon Dioxide, CO <sub>2</sub>	998,700	99.87
Oxygen, O <sub>2</sub>	10	1.00E-03
Nitrogen, N <sub>2</sub>	610	6.10E-02
Total Hydrocarbons, (as CH <sub>4</sub> )	92	9.20E-03
Total Sulfur, as S	2.6	2.60E-04
Water, H <sub>2</sub> O	633	6.33E-02

Figure 2-17 shows that reservoir performance results for the two cases are essentially identical. There is no observable change in injection rate or pressure as a result of geochemical reactions in the reservoir. However, the pH of the reservoir brine changes in the vicinity of the CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation, as shown in Figure 2-18a and 2-18b. It should be noted that the area affected by pH change extends approximately 300 feet beyond the area of CO<sub>2</sub> saturation.





Figure 2-17. Upper graph shows cumulative injection vs. time. The two cases overlay each other. Lower graph shows wellhead injection pressure for the two cases. There is no observable change in injection performance.



EERC KL59625A.AI

Figure 2-18a. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> molality.






Figure 2-18b. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the pH of formation brine. The extent of the pH-affected area is slightly larger (~300 feet) than the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation.

Figure 2-19 shows the mass of mineral dissolution and precipitation due to geochemical reactions in the Broom Creek. Dissolution of halite far exceeds the quantities of the other minerals. Halite, calcite, and dolomite dissolution appreciably slows after Year 2041, the year in which injection ends. There is net dissolution during the simulation period as larger quantities of minerals are dissolved than precipitated. Figure 2-20a and 2-20b provides an indication of the distribution of the mineral that has the most dissolution, halite, and the mineral that has the most precipitation, illite, at the end of the injection period. Considering the apparent net dissolution of minerals in the system, as indicated in Figure 2-19, the affected area has an associated increase in porosity (Figure 2-21). However, the porosity change is small, up to 1.5 porosity units, equating to a maximum increase in average porosity from 20% to 21.5% after the 20-year injection period.

Results of the simulation show that geochemical processes will be at work in the Broom Creek during and after CO<sub>2</sub> injection. Mineral dissolution and some reprecipitation are expected to occur during the simulated time span of 45 years. Fluid pH will decrease in the area of the CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation, and there will be a slight net increase in system porosity. However, these changes are not significant enough to create observable change in reservoir performance parameters such as injection rate or wellhead injection pressure.






Figure 2-19. Dissolution and precipitation quantities of reservoir minerals due to CO<sub>2</sub> injection.



EERC KL59627A.AI


Figure 2-20a. Molar distribution of key dissolved and precipitated minerals at the end of the injection period. Dissolution of halite is shown by the dark blue color. Compare to the molar  $\text{CO}_2$  distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18a. Some reprecipitation of halite is indicated in lower and peripheral areas of the reservoir, as shown by areas of green and yellow color.



EERC KL59627B.AI

Figure 2-20b. Molar distribution of key dissolved and precipitated minerals at the end of the injection period. Illite precipitation is indicated throughout the affected area of the reservoir.





EERC KL59628.AI

Figure 2-21. Change in porosity due to geochemical dissolution after the 20-year injection period (compare to the molar  $\text{CO}_2$  distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18).

## 2.4 Confining Zones

The confining zones for the Broom Creek Formation are the overlying Opeche Formation and underlying Amsden Formation (Figure 2-2, Table 2-10). Both the Amsden and the Opeche Formations consist of impermeable rock layers.

**Table 2-10. Properties of Upper and Lower Confining Zones**

Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone
Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden
Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677
Thickness, ft	103	329
Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24)*
Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8
Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4307	4708

\* Porosity values are reported as the arithmetic mean followed by the range of values in parenthesis.

\*\* Permeability values are reported as the geometric mean followed by the range of values in parenthesis.

### 2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone

In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area (Figures 2-22 and 2-23) and is 6276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10 and Figure 2-24). The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation's extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact (Figure 2-25).




Figure 2-22. Areal extent of the Opeche Formation in western North Dakota. Extent is derived from Carlson (1993).




Figure 2-23. Structure map of the Opeche Formation across the greater RTE project area.






Figure 2-24. Isopach map of the Opeche Formation in the RTE project area.




Figure 2-25. Well log display of the Opeche Formation at the RTE-10 well.

Microfracture tests were performed via an MDT tool in RTE-10 near the base of the Opeche Formation (Table 2-11). The MDT test results showed a fracture initiation pressure of 7,677 psi at a depth of 6,376 ft in the Opeche Formation. Two other microfracture tests in the Opeche were attempted at depths of 6,288 and 6,291 ft. The instruments recorded a pump pressure of 8,900 psi without generating a fracture. The tests were discontinued at this pressure because of concerns maintaining the seals of the confining packers. Section 2.2.2.4 discusses this in more detail.

**Table 2-11. Opeche Microfracture Results from RTE-10**

Depth, ft	6,376	
Pressure/Gradient	psi	psi/ft
Initiation/Breakdown	7,677	1.20
Propagation	4,874	0.77
Closure	4,624	0.73

Laboratory measurements from 11 Opeche Formation core samples taken from the RTE-10 well have porosity values ranging from 1.36% to 9.89% and permeability values from <0.001 to 0.0086 mD (Table 2-12). The lithology of the cored sections of the Opeche is primarily silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite.

**Table 2-12. Opeche Core Sample Porosity and Permeability from RTE-10**


Sample Depth, ft	Porosity, %	Permeability, mD
6,318	2.55	<0.001
6,320	2.3	<0.01
6,342	1.96	<0.001
6,366	3	<0.001
6,372	5.25	0.0086
6,379	9.89	0.0056
6,381	6.89	0.0030
6,382	4.79	0.0032
6,382.5	1.36	<0.001
6,383.5	2.15	<0.001
Range	1.36–9.89	<0.001–0.0086

#### 2.4.1.1 Mineralogy

Thin-section investigation shows that the Opeche Formation comprises alternating intervals of silty mudstone, argillaceous siltstone, mudstone, and anhydrite. In all, 11 thin sections were created covering greater than 60 ft of the Opeche. The mineral components present are clay, quartz, anhydrite, feldspar, dolomite, and iron oxides. The grains are almost always surrounded by anhydrite or clay as cement or matrix. The rare porosity is due to the dissolution of quartz and feldspar. The porosity ranges between 1% and 3%.

XRD data from 11 samples from the RTE-10 core supported facies interpretations from core descriptions and thin-section analysis. The Opeche Formation mainly comprises clay, quartz, dolomite, and anhydrite.

XRF analysis of the Opeche Formation shown in Figure 2-26 identifies the major chemical constituents to be dominated by SiO<sub>2</sub> (30%–60%), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (3%–10%), CaO (5%–40%), and MgO (1%–16%) correlating well with the silicate-, carbonate-, and aluminum-rich mineralogy determined by XRD. Two samples toward the base of the Opeche show high percentages of CaO and SO<sub>3</sub> attributed to an interval of anhydrite separating the two formation. This correlates with XRD, core description, and thin-section analysis.



EERC KL59772.AI

Figure 2-26. XRF data for the Opeche Formation from RTE-10.

#### 2.4.1.2 Geochemical Interaction

Geochemical simulation using PHREEQC geochemical software was performed to calculate the potential effects of injected CO<sub>2</sub> on the Opeche Formation, the primary confining zone. A vertically oriented 1D simulation was created where the formation was exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> at the bottom boundary of the simulation and allowed to enter the system by diffusion processes. Results were monitored at 1-meter increments above the cap rock–CO<sub>2</sub> exposure boundary. The mineralogical composition of the Opeche determined from XRD analysis was honored (Table 2-13). Formation brine composition was assumed to be the same as the known composition from the Broom Creek injection zone below (Table 2-14). This composition was determined from analysis of fluid samples from the RTE-10 well. CO<sub>2</sub> stream composition was as provided by RTE (Table 2-9). Three different CO<sub>2</sub> exposure levels of the CO<sub>2</sub> stream to the cap rock (1.15, 2.3, and 4.5 moles/yr) were used. These values are considerably higher than the actual expected exposure levels. This was done to ensure that the degree and pace of geochemical change would not be underestimated. These three simulations were run for 45 years to represent 20 years of injection plus 25 years postinjection. The simulations were performed at reservoir pressure and temperature conditions.



**Table 2-13. XRD Results for RTE-10 Opeche Core Sample from 6,381 feet**

Mineral Data	%
Albite	15.8
Anhydrite	3.5
Chlorite	3.2
Dolomite	20.8
Illite	11.8
K-Feldspar	15
Quartz	29.9

**Table 2-14. Formation Water Chemistry from Broom Creek Fluid Samples from RTE-10**

Parameter	Result, mg/L	Parameter	Result, mg/L
Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ )	129	Iron	1.4
Alkalinity, as Carbonate ( $\text{CO}_3^{=}$ )	0	Potassium	991
Alkalinity, as Hydroxide ( $\text{OH}^-$ )	0	Lithium	13.3
Boron	21.8	Magnesium	487
Barium	0.405	Sodium	56,900
Bromide	79.4	Lead	0.023
Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC)	25.3	Sulfate	1,990
Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)	587	Strontium	131
Calcium	3,490	Zinc	1.07
Chloride	97,300	TDS	164,000

Results showed geochemical processes at work, but even at extreme exposure levels, these processes did not extend more than 3 meters up into the cap rock during the simulation period. Figures 2-27–2-29 show results from the most extreme exposure case. Figure 2-27 shows change in fluid pH over time as  $\text{CO}_2$  enters the system. For the cell at the  $\text{CO}_2$  interface, C1, the pH declines to a level of 4.6 before recovering to a value of 5.25. For the cell occupying the space 2 to 3 meters into the cap rock, C3, the pH only begins to change after Year 35. Figure 2-28 shows change in mineral dissolution and precipitation in grams. Dashed lines are for Cell C1; solid lines that are only faintly seen in the figure are from Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters into the cap rock. Any effects in Cell C3 are too small to represent at this scale. Figure 2-29 shows change in porosity of the cap rock. Cell 1 experiences a rapid increase in porosity as it is first exposed to  $\text{CO}_2$  due to dissolution. The porosity then decreases around Year 9 due to precipitation. As precipitation occurs in Cell 1, reaction products move into Cell 2 where they precipitate, causing decreased porosity. When  $\text{CO}_2$  reaches Cell 2 at Year 9, dissolution occurs, increasing the porosity. Note the scale of percent porosity change,  $\sim 0.00001\%$ . The net porosity changes from dissolution and precipitation are miniscule and unchanging in later years of the simulation. These results show that exposure to  $\text{CO}_2$  will not cause deterioration of the Opeche cap rock.




Figure 2-27. Change in fluid pH vs. time. Red line shows pH for Cell C1, 0 to 1 meter above the Opeche cap rock base. Yellow line shows Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters above the cap rock base. Green line shows Cell C3, 2 to 3 meters above the cap rock base. pH for Cell C3 does not begin to change until after 35 years. For cases with lower exposure levels, pH for Cell C3 does not change at all.




Figure 2-28. Dissolution and precipitation of minerals in the Opeche cap rock. Dashed lines show results for Cell C1, 0 to 1 meter above the cap rock base. Solid lines show results for Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters above the cap rock base; changes are barely visible. Results from Cell C3, 2 to 3 meters above the cap rock base, are not shown as they are too small to be seen.




Figure 2-29. Change in percent porosity of the Opeche cap rock. Red line shows porosity change for Cell C1, 0 to 1 meter above the cap rock base. Yellow line shows Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters above the cap rock base. Green line shows Cell C3, 2 to 3 meters above the cap rock base. Long-term change in porosity is miniscule and stabilized.

#### 2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones

Several additional formations provide additional confinement above the Opeche Formation. Impermeable rocks above the primary seal, the Opeche Formation, include the Minnekahta, Spearfish, Piper, Rierdon, and Swift Formations, which make up the first additional group of confining formations (Table 2-15). Together with the Opeche, these formations are 1,200 ft thick and will isolate Broom Creek Formation fluids from migrating upward to the next permeable interval, the Inyan Kara Formation (see Figure 2-30). Above the Inyan Kara Formation, 3,000 ft of impermeable rocks acts as an additional seal between the Inyan Kara and the lowermost USDW, the Fox Hills Formation (see Figure 2-31). Confining layers above the Inyan Kara include the Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre Formations (Table 2-15).



**Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)**

Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft
Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0
Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063
Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498
Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812
Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156
Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650
Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823
Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962
Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030
Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260




Figure 2-30. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Broom Creek Formation and the top of the Swift Formation. This interval represents the primary and secondary confinement zones.




Figure 2-31. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Pierre Formation. This interval represents the tertiary confinement zone.

These formations between the Broom Creek and Inyan Kara and between the Inyan Kara and lowest USDW have demonstrated the ability to prevent the vertical migration of fluids throughout geologic time and are recognized as impermeable flow barriers in the Williston Basin.

Sandstones of the Inyan Kara Formation comprise the first unit with relatively high porosity and permeability above the injection zone and the primary sealing formation. The Inyan Kara represents the most likely candidate to act as an overlying pressure dissipation zone. In the unlikely event of out-of-zone migration through the primary and secondary sealing formations, CO<sub>2</sub> would become trapped in the Inyan Kara. Monitoring the Inyan Kara Formation provides an additional opportunity for monitoring, mitigation, and remediation (Section 4). The depth to the Inyan Kara Formation in the project area is approximately 4,800 ft, and the formation itself is about 350 ft thick.

### 2.4.3 Lower Confining Zone

The lower confining zone of the storage complex is the Amsden Formation, which comprises primarily dolostone, mudstone, and anhydrite. The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the top of an argillaceous dolostone, with relatively high GR character that could be correlated across

the project area (Figures 2-32 and 2-33). The Amsden Formation is 6,677 ft below land surface and 329 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10).




Figure 2-32. Structure map of the Amsden Formation across the greater RTE project area.




Figure 2-33. Isopach map of the Amsden Formation across the RTE project area.

The contact between the overlying Broom Creek and Amsden is evident on wireline logs as there is a lithological change from the porous sandstones of the Broom Creek Formation to the dolostone and anhydrite beds of the Amsden Formation. This lithologic change is recognized in the core from RTE-10. The lithology of the cored section of the Amsden from RTE-10 is dolostone, anhydrite, and mudstone with laminated, fine-grained sandstone and siltstone. Three feet below the contact with the Broom Creek is an 11-ft-thick anhydrite layer. Data acquired from the seven core plug samples taken from the Amsden show porosity values ranging from 2.25% to 9.24% and permeability values from <0.001 to 0.595 mD (Table 2-16).



**Table 2-16. Amsden Core Sample Porosity and Permeability from RTE-10**

<b>Sample Depth, ft</b>	<b>Porosity %</b>	<b>Permeability, mD</b>
6,684	2.25	<0.001
6,691	8.75	<0.001
6,698	6.85	0.0186
6,706	8.71	0.0595
6,708	9.24	0.0173
6,714	4.26	<0.001
6,721	2.87	<0.001
Range	2.25–9.24	<0.001–0.595

#### *2.4.3.1 Mineralogy*

Thin-section analysis shows that the Amsden Formation comprises dolomite, anhydrite, sandy dolomite, and shaly sand. The dolomite is expressed by very fine- to fine-grained dolostone (90%), with the presence of quartz of variable size and shape, feldspar, clay, and iron oxides. The porosity is very low and is mainly due to the dissolution of feldspar and quartz. The porosity averages 5% (Table 2-16).


Anhydrite is present as beds that separate the dolomite intervals. It is composed of needles of anhydrite with minor inclusions of iron oxides. Also, dolomite and quartz are present and found filling rare fractures. The porosity is almost null.

The sandy dolomite is mainly composed of dolomite and grains of quartz. Minor iron oxides and feldspar are present, with rare occurrence of anhydrite observed. The grains of quartz are almost always separated by dolomite cement. The porosity is mainly due to the dissolution of feldspar and averages 5%.

Finally, the shaly sandstone comprises quartz, clay, and dolomite. A minor presence of feldspar, anhydrite, and iron oxides exists. The grains of quartz and anhydrite are almost always separated by the dolomite cement and clay minerals. The porosity is very low, averaging 5% and is mainly due to the dissolution of feldspar and quartz.

XRD was performed, and the results confirm the observations made during core analyses and thin-section description.

XRF data show the Amsden Formation has the same major chemical constituents as the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-34). However, the formation at the contact with the Broom Creek is dominated by CaO and SO<sub>3</sub> (major chemical elements of anhydrite). As the formation gets deeper, the chemistry changes to a more carbonate-rich siltstone, as shown by the high percentage of SiO<sub>2</sub>, CaO, and MgO.



EERC KL59776.AI

Figure 2-34. XRF data for the Amsden Formation from the RTE-10 well.

#### 2.4.3.2 Geochemical Interaction

Review of simulation results of the Broom Creek Formation suggest that neither free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> saturation nor CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in formation brine will come in contact with the Amsden Formation. Therefore, no geochemical reaction effects are anticipated in the Amsden.

### 2.4.4 Geomechanical Information of Confining Zone

#### 2.4.4.1 Fracture Analysis

Fractures within the Opeche Formation, the overlying confining zone, and Amsden Formation, the underlying confining zone, have been assessed during the description of the RTE-10 well core. Observable fractures were categorized by attributes including morphology, orientation, aperture, and origin. Secondly, natural, in situ fractures were assessed through the interpretation of the FMI log acquired during the drilling of the RTE-10 well.

#### 2.4.4.2 Fracture Analysis Core Description

Fractures within the Opeche Formation are primarily closed and are commonly filled with anhydrite. The fractures vary in orientation and exhibit horizontal, oblique, and vertical trends. The aperture varies from closed to, in rare cases, centimeter scale.

In the Amsden Formation, closed tension fractures are commonly coincident with the horizontal compaction features (stylolite) observed. Calcite is the dominant mineral found to fill observable fractures. Very few-to-no connected fractures were observed in the Amsden core interval from the RTE well.

#### 2.4.4.3 Borehole Image Fracture Analysis (FMI)

Schlumberger's FMI log was chosen to evaluate the geomechanical condition of the formation in the subsurface. This log provides a 360-degree image of the formation of interest and can be oriented to provide an understanding of the general direction of features observed.

Figures 2-35a and 2-35b show two sections of the interpreted borehole imagery and the primary features observed. The far-right track on Figure 2-35a notes the presence of electrically resistive features. These are interpreted as minor anhydrite-filled fractures. Figure 2-35b demonstrates that the tool provides information on surface boundaries and bedding features. Some isolated fractures are identified in Figure 2-35b and are likely clay-filled because of their electrically conductive signal. Figures 2-36a and 2-36b show two thin-section images and give an indication of different minerals within the reservoir and observed change in the electrical response shown on the FMI log.




Figure 2-35a. Examples of the interpreted FMI log for the RTE-10 well. Two examples show the traces of features observed and their interpreted feature type. This example shows the common feature types seen in the Opeche FMI borehole image analysis.




Figure 2-35b. Examples of the interpreted FMI log for the RTE-10 well. Two examples show the traces of features observed and their interpreted feature type. This example shows the common feature types seen in the Opeche FMI borehole image analysis.






Figure 2-36a. Plane-polarized light thin-section images from the RTE well Opeche Formation. This image shows the silt-rich nature of this interval of the Opeche Formation. On the example shown, the quartz grains (white) are rimmed by iron.




Figure 2-36b. Plane-polarized light thin-section images from the RTE well Opeche Formation. This image shows the heterogeneity of this interval. The dark material shown (between the white quartz grains) is clay and is likely responsible for the electrical conductivity identified on the FMI log.

Finally, Figure 2-37 shows the logged interval for the entire Opeche Formation. As shown, the section closest to the Broom Creek (6377 ft) is dominated by compaction features (stylolites) and has corresponding tensional features, as noted in the core description analysis. The observed stylolites are parallel to bedding and are commonly filled with clay minerals. Effectively, these features reduce the porosity of a formation. The midregion of the formation is dominated by







Figure 2-37. Interpreted FMI log through the lower Opeche Formation.

electrically resistive features likely due to the presence of anhydrite-filled fractures. Toward the upper portion of the formation, fractures are fewer in number but are still found to be electrically resistive. The diagrams shown in Figures 2-38 and 2-39 provide the orientation of the electrically conductive and resistive fractures in the Opeche Formation. As shown, the electrically conductive fractures are fewer in number and are mainly oriented NW–SE. On the other hand, the resistive fractures have no preferred orientation.



EERC KL59777.AI

Figure 2-38. Conductive fracture dip orientation in the Opeche Formation.






Figure 2-39. Resistive fracture dip orientation in the Opeche Formation.

The logged interval of the Amsden shows that the main features present are stylolite–tension pairs, an indication that the formation has undergone a reduction in porosity in response to postdepositional stress. Two zones at 6,743 and 6,762 ft, respectively, show some evidence of resistive fractures (Figure 2-40). Core was not retrieved from this depth. The interpretation of this logged interval supports the core-based and thin-section descriptions, suggesting these features are anhydrite-filled. The rose diagrams shown in Figures 2-41 and 2-42 provide the orientation of the conductive and resistive features in the Amsden Formation. As shown, only one electrically conductive feature was picked in the Amsden interval and is oriented NE–SW. Some electrically resistive features are present and oriented N–S, NE–SW, and E–W, respectively. Drilling-induced fractures were identified mainly in the Amsden Formation and are oriented NE–SW (Figure 2-43), parallel to the maximum horizontal stress ( $SH_{max}$ ).






Figure 2-40. Interpreted FMI log through the upper Amsden Formation.



EERC KL59780.AI


Figure 2-41. Conductive fracture dip orientation in the Amsden Formation.



EERC KL59781.AI

Figure 2-42. Resistive fracture dip orientation in the Amsden Formation.





EERC KL59782.AI

Figure 2-43. Drilling-induced fractures dip orientation in the Amsden Formation.

#### 2.4.4.4 Stress

During drilling of the RTE-10 well, an openhole MDT minifrac was completed to determine the minimum horizontal stress of the formation. The minifrac operation was performed using a dual-packer setup where four minifrac tests were successful among the seven conducted. The induced fractures observed in the Amsden Formation have an orientation NE–SW, parallel to the maximum horizontal stress. Figure 2-44 shows an annotated example of an expected result in the determination of minimum horizontal stress during MDT applications. As shown, the combined insight gained from the propagation pressure, closure pressure, and reopening pressure define the minimum horizontal stress in the subsurface (Figure 2-44).




Figure 2-44. Results of MDT testing for a depth interval of 6,377 ft in the Opeche Formation.

Within the Opeche Formation confining zone, several attempts were made to generate the fracture needed to determine a suitable breakdown pressure, which is generally considered a close approximation of minimum horizontal stress of a material. A successful test was performed in the Opeche Formation at a depth of 6,377 ft, 3 vertical feet above the reservoir contact. Figure 2-44 shows the results of testing in the overlying Opeche Formation and presents the multiple cycles performed during the determination of initial breakdown pressure, fracture propagation pressure, and closure pressure. As shown, the breakdown pressure was in excess of 7,500 psi. To determine the potential for reopening and closure pressures, injection was reinitiated and allowed to develop until a stable value was attained. Based on the test, the average minimum stress is shown in Table 2-17.

**Table 2-17. Average Minimum Stress of the Opeche Formation as Determined by Horizontal Stress Test**

<b>Depth, ft</b>	<b>Average Propagation Pressure, psi</b>	<b>Reopening Pressure, psi</b>	<b>Closure Pressure, psi</b>	<b>Average Minimum Stress, psi</b>
6,377	4,995	4,823	4,680	4,680

#### 2.4.4.5 Ductility and Rock Strength

Ductility and rock strength have been determined through laboratory testing of rock samples acquired from the Opeche Formation core in the RTE-10 well. To determine these parameters, a multistage triaxial test was performed at confining pressures exceeding 40 MPa (5,800 psi). This commonly used test provides information regarding the elastic parameters and peak strength of a material. Because of the low porosity and anhydrite mineralogy, samples were not saturated for testing. Table 2-18 shows the sample parameters, and Table 2-19 shows the elastic parameters obtained.

Rock strength was determined at the final stage of confinement and axial loading. As shown in Figure 2-45, the sample failed at a maximum stress of 143 MPa (20,740 psi). Based on the plot below, the final stage (Radial Stage 4) of testing, shown in yellow, has significant residual strength postfailure, indicating a high degree of ductility.

**Table 2-18. Sample Parameters**

<b>Sample and Experiment Information</b>			
Depth:	6,383 ft	Rock Type:	Anhydrite
Formation:	Opeche	Porosity:	1.2%
Dry Bulk Density:	2.970 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	Pore Fluids:	None
Diameter:	25.40 mm	Entered Length:	50.80 mm

**Table 2-19. Elastic Properties Obtained Through Experimentation: E = Young's Modulus, n = Poisson's Ratio, K = Bulk Modulus, G = Shear Modulus, P = Uniaxial Strain Modulus**

Elastic Properties Measured at Different Confining Pressures							
Event	Conf., MPa	Diff., MPa	E, GPa	n	K, GPa	G, GPa	P, GPa
1	10.0	10.2	72.70	0.237	46.07	29.39	85.25
2	20.1	20.2	70.79	0.270	51.29	27.87	88.46
3	30.2	30.2	73.81	0.271	53.78	29.03	92.49
4	40.2	40.0	77.59	0.270	56.19	30.55	96.92




Figure 2-45. Results of multistage triaxial test performed at confining pressures exceeding 40 MPa (5,800 psi), providing information regarding the elastic parameters and peak strength of the rock sample. Failure occurred at the fourth-stage peak stress of 143 MPa.



## **2.5 Faults, Fractures, and Seismic Activity**

In the RTE project area, no known or suspected regional faults or fractures with sufficient permeability and vertical extent to allow fluid movement between formations have been identified through site-specific characterization activities, previous studies, or oil and gas exploration activities.

Regional structural features, including the Heart River Fault and collapse features above the Broom Creek Formation, are discussed in this section as well as the data that support the low probability that these features will interfere with containment. This section also discusses the seismic history of North Dakota and low probability that seismic activity will interfere with containment.

### ***2.5.1 Heart River Fault***

The Heart River Fault is located 3.2 miles southwest of the RTE plant and 1.4 miles from the outer edge of the area of review (AoR) for the RTE project (Figure 2-46). This high-angle reverse fault originates in the Precambrian basement. Through the interpretation of seismic data, the offset of the Heart River Fault is interpreted to be less than 400 ft in rocks up through the Stony Mountain, Stonewall, and lower Interlake Formations (Figure 2-47)., well below the Broom Creek Formation (Figure 2-2). Formations between the lower Interlake Formation and the Niobrara show some flexure from the fault but have no apparent offset.




Figure 2-46. Map showing the trend of the Heart River Fault in the RTE project area. The blue line is a 2D seismic line transecting the Heart River Fault. See Figure 2-47 for a geologic interpretation along the seismic line.




Figure 2-47. Seismic Line 3022 showing the interpreted location of the Heart River Fault in purple (Chimney and others, 1992). Faulting offset is observed in the Winnipeg horizon, but only slight flexure is observed in other overlying interpreted horizons.

### 2.5.2 Collapse Features above the Broom Creek Formation

The analysis of 3D seismic data acquired specifically for the RTE project in 2019 (Figure 2-6) revealed evidence for suspected collapse features in strata above the Broom Creek Formation. These features appear as depressions in the seismic data and are bounded by dipping or offset reflections (Figures 2-48 and 2-49). These collapse features correlate to 30–50-ft decreases in thickness in known evaporite-bearing formations, the Spearfish and Opeche Formations, suggesting they were caused by dissolution of evaporites and subsequent collapse of overlying sediments (Figure 2-50). The polygonal nature of these features also supports the interpretation of collapse features. The vertical extent of these features and increased thickness in the Inyan Kara Formation suggest collapse of overlying sediment ceased during the deposition of the Inyan Kara and the depressions were filled in with newly deposited sediment (Figures 2-48 and 2-51). The lack of deformation to the reflections in the upper Inyan Kara supports the argument that collapse caused by dissolution stopped during the early Cretaceous.




Figure 2-48. Cross-sectional view of the 3D seismic data through the proposed injection well, RTE-10, showing the interpreted boundaries of the collapse features in orange. Identified formations include Inyan Kara (yellow), Rierdon (green), Spearfish (aqua), Minnekahta (pink), Broom Creek (magenta), and Amsden (red). The collapse features near the proposed injection well do not extend below the Spearfish Formation. The red arrow indicates an area of increased thickness in sediment above these features. Figure 2-49 shows the location of this cross section.




Figure 2-49. The location of the cross section highlighted in Figure 2-48.






Figure 2-50. Map showing the thickness of the Spearfish–Minnekahta Formations calculated using the seismic data. Several of the interpreted collapse features correspond to areas of decreased thickness.




Figure 2-51. Maps showing the thickness of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Rierdon Formation calculated using the seismic data. The increased thickness supports that the collapse features formed prior to or during the deposition of the Inyan Kara.

Pressure gradients calculated using MDT measurements from RTE-10 and water chemistry from fluid samples collected in RTE-10 for the Broom Creek and Inyan Kara Formations suggest the two formations are hydraulically isolated, indicating the collapse features are not transmissive (Table 2-20). The data suggest that structural elements of the collapse features do not have sufficient permeability and vertical extent to have allowed fluid movement between the Broom Creek and Inyan Kara Formations. The features are interpreted to have a low risk of interfering with containment.

**Table 2-20. Pressure Gradients and Water Salinity Measurements from the RTE-10 Well. The differences in pressure gradients and TDS between the Inyan Kara and Broom Creek Formations suggest the two formations are hydraulically isolated, indicating the collapse features are not transmissive.**

Formation	Pressure Gradient	TDS
Inyan Kara	0.40 psi/ft	11,100 mg/L
Broom Creek	0.45 psi/ft	159,000 mg/L

### **2.5.3 Seismic Activity**

The Williston Basin is a tectonically stable region of the North American Craton. Zhou and others (2008) summarize that “the Williston Basin as a whole is in an overburden compressive stress regime,” which could be attributed to the general stability of the North American Craton. Interpreted structural features associated with tectonic activity in the Williston Basin in North Dakota include anticlinal and synclinal structures in the western half of the state, lineaments associated with Precambrian basement block boundaries, and faults (North Dakota Industrial Commission, 2019).

Between 1870 and 2015, 13 earthquakes have been detected within the North Dakota portion of the Williston Basin (Table 2-21) (Anderson, 2016). Of these 13 earthquakes, only three have occurred along one of the eight interpreted Precambrian basement faults in the North Dakota portion of the Williston Basin (Figure 2-52). The earthquake recorded closest to the RTE project occurred in 1927 9.4 miles to the east, near Hebron, North Dakota (Table 2-21). The magnitude of this earthquake is estimated to have been 3.2.

**Table 2-21. Summary of Earthquakes Reported to Have Occurred in North Dakota (from Anderson, 2016)**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Magnitude</b>	<b>Depth, miles</b>	<b>Longitude</b>	<b>Latitude</b>	<b>City or Vicinity of Earthquake</b>	<b>Map Label</b>	<b>Distance to RTE, miles</b>
Sept 28, 2012	3.3	0.4*	-103.48	48.01	Southeast of Williston	A	95.9
June 14, 2010	1.4	3.1	-103.96	46.03	Boxelder Creek	B	98.7
March 21, 2010	2.5	3.1	-103.98	47.98	Buford	C	109.6
Aug 30, 2009	1.9	3.1	-102.38	47.63	Ft. Berthold southwest	D	52.1
Jan 3, 2009	1.5	8.3	-103.95	48.36	Grenora	E	128.2
Nov 15, 2008	2.6	11.2	-100.04	47.46	Goodrich	F	113.6
Nov 11, 1998	3.5	3.1	-104.03	48.55	Grenora	G	140.9
March 9, 1982	3.3	11.2	-104.03	48.51	Grenora	H	138.7
July 8, 1968	4.4	20.5	-100.74	46.59	Huff	I	76.6
May 13, 1947	3.7**	U	-100.90	46.00	Selfridge	J	90.2
Oct 26, 1946	3.7**	U	-103.70	48.20	Williston	K	112.5
April 29, 1927	3.2**	U	-102.10	46.90	Hebron	L	9.4
Aug 8, 1915	3.7**	U	-103.60	48.20	Williston	M	109.8

\* Estimated depth.

\*\* Magnitude estimated from reported modified Mercalli intensity (MMI) value.




Figure 2-52. Location of major faults, tectonic boundaries, and earthquakes in North Dakota (modified from Anderson, 2016). The black dots indicate earthquake locations listed in Table 2-21.

Studies completed by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) indicate there is a low probability of damaging earthquake events occurring in North Dakota, with less than two damaging earthquake events predicted to occur over a 10,000-year time period (Figure 2-53) (U.S. Geological Survey, 2019). A 1-year seismic forecast (including both induced and natural seismic events) released by USGS in 2016 determined North Dakota has very low risk (less than 1% chance) of experiencing any seismic events resulting in damage (U.S. Geological Survey, 2016). Frohlich and others (2015) state there is very little seismic activity near injection wells in the Williston Basin. They noted only two historic earthquake events in North Dakota that could be associated with nearby oil and gas activities. Additionally, no earthquakes occurring along the Heart River Fault have been reported. This indicates relatively stable geologic conditions in the region surrounding the potential injection site. The results from the USGS studies, the low risk of induced seismicity due to the basin stress regime, and the small volume of CO<sub>2</sub> injected as part of this project suggest the probability that seismicity would interfere with containment is low.






Figure 2-53. Probabilistic map showing how often scientists expect damaging earthquake shaking around the United States (U.S. Geological Survey, 2019). The map shows there is a low probability of damaging earthquake events occurring in North Dakota.

## 2.6 Potential Mineral Zones


The North Dakota Geological Survey recognizes the Spearfish as the only potential oil-bearing formation above the Broom Creek Formation. However, production from the Spearfish Formation is limited to the northern tier of counties in western North Dakota (Figure 2-54). There has been no exploration for, nor development of, hydrocarbon resource from the Spearfish Formation in the greater RTE project region.

There has been no historic hydrocarbon exploration or production from formations below the Broom Creek Formation within the storage facility area. Although there was some historical gas production from deeper formations along the nearby Heart River Fault trend, there is no known commercial accumulations of hydrocarbons in the storage facility area.



# SPEARFISH DRILL STEM TEST RESULTS

Prepared by  
Travis Stolldorf



EXT KL59645.AI

Figure 2-54. Drillstem results indicating the presence of oil in the Spearfish Formation samples (modified from Stolldorf, 2020).

Shallow gas resources can be found in many areas of North Dakota, but there are no known references to shallow gas resources in the greater RTE project area.

## 2.7 References

- Anderson, F.J., 2016, North Dakota earthquake catalog (1870–2015): North Dakota Geological Survey Miscellaneous Series No. 93.
- Carlson, C.G., 1993, Permian to Jurassic redbeds of the Williston Basin: North Dakota Geological Survey Miscellaneous Series 78, 21 p.
- Chimney, P.J., Treska, C.E., and Wolsin, C.A., 1992, Richardton/Taylor Fields, U.S.A.: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Treatise of Petroleum Geology, Stratigraphic Traps III, p. 421–445.

- Frohlich, C., Walter, J.I., and Gale, J.F.W., 2015, Analysis of Transportable Array (USArray) data shows earthquakes are scarce near injection wells in the Williston Basin, 2008–2011: *Seismological Research Letters*, v. 86, no. 2A, March/April.
- Kenyon, B., Kleinberg, R., Straley, C., Gubelin, G., and Morriss, C., 1995, Nuclear magnetic resonance imaging – technology for the 21st century: *Oilfield Review*, p. 19–33.
- North Dakota Industrial Commission, 2019, NDIC website: [www.state.nd.us/ndic](http://www.state.nd.us/ndic) (accessed December 2019).
- Schlumberger, 2002, Advanced fluid characterization—hydrocarbon identification and analysis using NMR: <http://www.slb.com/-/media/files/fe/brochure/mrf-br> (accessed 2020)
- Stolldorf, T.D., 2020, Production and drillstem test (DST) maps: GI-238, [www.dmr.nd.gov/ndgs/Publication\\_List/gi.asp](http://www.dmr.nd.gov/ndgs/Publication_List/gi.asp) (accessed December 2020).
- U.S. Geological Survey, 2019, [www.usgs.gov/media/images/frequency-damaging-earthquake-shaking-around-us](http://www.usgs.gov/media/images/frequency-damaging-earthquake-shaking-around-us) (accessed December 2019).
- U.S. Geological Survey, 2016, [www.usgs.gov/news/induced-earthquakes-raise-chances-damaging-shaking-2016](http://www.usgs.gov/news/induced-earthquakes-raise-chances-damaging-shaking-2016) (accessed December 2019).
- Zhou, X.J., Zeng, Z., and Belobraydic, M., 2008, Geomechanical stability assessment of Williston Basin formations for petroleum production and CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration: Presented at the 42nd U.S. Rock Mechanics Symposium and 2nd U.S.–Canada Rock Mechanics Symposium, San Francisco, California, June 29 – July 2, 2008.



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## 3.0 AREA OF REVIEW



### 3.0 AREA OF REVIEW

#### 3.1 Area of Review Delineation

##### 3.1.1 *Written Description*

North Dakota carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) storage regulations require that each storage facility permit delineate an area of review (AoR), which is defined as the region surrounding the geologic storage project where underground sources of drinking water (USDWs) may be endangered by the injection activity (North Dakota Administrative Code [NDAC] § 43-05-01-01 subsection 4). Concern regarding the endangerment of USDWs is related to the potential vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> and/or brine from the injection zone to the USDW. Therefore, the AoR encompasses the region overlying the injected free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> and the region overlying the extent of formation fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids (e.g., brine) into USDWs, assuming pathways for this migration (e.g., abandoned wells or fractures) are present. The minimum fluid pressure increase in the reservoir that results in a sustained flow of brine upward into an overlying drinking water aquifer is referred to as the “critical threshold pressure increase” and the resultant pressure as the “critical threshold pressure.”

The results of computational modeling and simulation of 20 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection at the Red Trail Energy (RTE) site show that consequent subsurface pressure increases are below the critical threshold pressure necessary to force formation fluids into USDWs (Figure 3-1). Within the bounds of the modeled area and throughout the entire storage facility area, the maximum fluid pressure increase during the final year of injection is estimated to be 52 psi, which occurs near the RTE-10 wellbore. This maximum pressure increase is below the calculated critical threshold pressure increase of 107.3 psi (Appendix A, Table A-2). At the estimated maximum fluid pressure increase (52 psi), a column of formation fluid could be raised to a depth of 4,223 feet (i.e., the Mowry Formation) based on calculations and assuming a vertical migration pathway exists.

NDAC § 43-05-01-05 subsection 1b(3) requires, “A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within one mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary.” Based on the pressure response of the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> injection, the resulting AoR for the RTE project is delineated as being 1 mile beyond the facility area boundary. This extent ensures compliance with existing state regulations.

Appendix A includes a detailed discussion on the computational modeling and simulations (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, pressure front, AoR boundary etc.) and the assumptions and justification used to delineate the AoR.

The two deep wells located in the RTE project AoR that penetrate the storage reservoir were evaluated by a professional engineer pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-05 subsection 1b(3). The evaluation was performed to determine if corrective action is required and included a review of all available well records. The evaluation determined that both wells penetrating the storage reservoir within the AoR have sufficient isolation to prevent formation fluids or injected CO<sub>2</sub> from vertically

migrating outside of the storage reservoir or into USDWs and that no corrective action is necessary (Table 3-2–3-4 and Figures 3-6 and 3-7).

An extensive geologic and hydrogeologic characterization, performed by a team of geologists, has shown no evidence of transmissive faults or fractures in the upper confining zone within the AoR and has shown evidence that the upper confining zone has sufficient geologic integrity to prevent vertical fluid movement. All geologic data and investigations indicate the storage reservoir within the AoR has sufficient containment and geologic integrity, including geologic confinement above and below the injection zone to prevent vertical fluid movement and protect USDWs.

This section of the Storage Facility Permit application is accompanied by maps and a cross section (Figures 3-1–3-5) that include information required in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-05 subsection 1a and 1b(3) and § 43-05-01-05.1 subsection 2, such as all critical boundaries and the location of any proposed injection wells or monitoring wells, the presence of significant surface structures or land disturbances, and the location of water wells and any other wells within the AoR boundary. Table 3-1 lists all surface and subsurface features that were investigated as part of the AoR evaluation, pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-05 subsection 1a and 1b(3) and NDAC § 43-05-01-05.1 subsection 2. Surface features that were investigated but not found within the AoR boundary are identified in Table 3-1.

### 3.1.2 Supporting Maps




Figure 3-1. Pressure map showing the maximum subsurface pressure influence associated with CO<sub>2</sub> injection in the Broom Creek Formation. Shown is the CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent after 20 years of injection, the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection, the storage facility area, and the 1-mile AoR boundary in relation to the maximum subsurface pressure influence. The maximum pressure increase shown is below the calculated critical threshold pressure increase of 107.3 psi. Subsurface pressure from injection activities immediately begins to subside at cessation of injection.




Figure 3-2. Final AoR map showing the RTE storage facility area, including the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and AoR (dotted black boundary). Black circles represent occupied dwellings, and orange boundaries represent buildings.






Figure 3-3. AoR map in relation to nearby legacy wells and groundwater wells. Shown are the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and 1-mile AoR (dotted black boundary). All groundwater wells and springs in the AoR are identified above.




Figure 3-4. AoR map in relation to nearby legacy wells. Shown are the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and 1-mile AoR (dotted black boundary). Orange circles represent nearby legacy wells near the project area, including within the 1-mile AoR.




Figure 3-5. Cross section of the AoR from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation, the proposed injection well (RTE-10), the proposed monitoring well (RTE-10.2), and the Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) well within the AoR. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.

**Table 3-1. Investigated and Identified Surface and Subsurface Features (Figures 3-1 through 3-5)**

<b>Surface and Subsurface Features</b>	<b>Investigated and Identified (Figures 3-1–3-5)</b>	<b>Investigated But Not Found in AoR</b>
Producing (active) Wells		x
Abandoned Wells	x	
Plugged Wells or Dry Holes	x	
Deep Stratigraphic Boreholes		x
Subsurface Cleanup Sites		x
Surface Bodies of Water	x	
Springs	x	
Water Wells	x	
Mines (surface and subsurface)		x
Quarries		x
Subsurface Structures (e.g., coal mines)		x
Location of Proposed Wells	x	
*Location of Proposed Cathodic Protection Boreholes	NA	NA
Any Existing Aboveground Facilities	x	
Roads	x	
State Boundary Lines		x
County Boundary Lines	x	
Indian Boundary Lines		x
Other Pertinent Surface Features	x	

\*There are no plans for cathodic protection for the RTE injection wells.



3.2 Corrective Action Evaluation

Table 3-2. Wells in AoR Evaluated for Corrective Action

NDIC Well File No.	Operator	Well Name	Spud Date	Surface Casing o.d., inches	Surface Casing Seat, ft	Long- String Casing o.d., inches	Long- String Casing seat, inches	Hole Direction	TD, ft	TVD, ft	Status	Plug Date	TWN	RNG	Section	Qtr/Qtr	County	Corrective Action Needed
6797	W.H. Hunt Trust Estate	Rummel- State 1	12/14/1978	9.625	1,519	Openhole		Vertical	11,270	11,270	P&A	2/4/1979	139 N	92 W	16	SE/SW	Stark	No
37858	Red Trail Energy LLC	RTE-10.2	10/7/2020	9.625	1,952	7	7,024	Vertical	7,025	7,023.7 4	TAO	N/A	139 N	92 W	10	SW/N W	Stark	No

Table 3-3. Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) Well Evaluation

Well Name: Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797)				
Cement Plugs				
Number	Interval, ft		Thickness, ft	Volume, sacks
1	11,143	11,043	100	35
2	10,500	10,300	200	35
3	9,500	9,400	100	35
4	7,560	7,460	100	35
5	6,438	6,338	100	35
6	4,900	4,800	100	35
7	3,200	3,100	100	35
8	1,606	1,506	100	35
9	25	0	25	10
*Data and information are provided from well-plugging report found in NDIC database.				
Spud Date: 12/14/1978 Total Depth: 11,270 (Red River Formation)  Surface Casing: 9½" 36# K-55 ST&C casing set at 1,519', cement to surface with 300 sacks Class G cement and 600 sacks Halco lite  Openhole plugging				
Corrective Action: No corrective action is necessary. Based on modeling and simulations, the Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) well will not be in contact with the CO <sub>2</sub> plume, and pressure increase in the Broom Creek Formation at this well location is predicted to be approximately 5–10-psi difference. Brine displacement from injection activities below the Broom Creek Formation at this well location is not expected to be an impact beyond what has been occurring since this well was drilled and plugged.				
Formation		Cement Plug Remarks		
Name	Estimated Top, ft			
9⅝" Casing Shoe	1,519	Cement Plug 8 isolates the 9⅝" casing shoe with 87' and 13' cement below and above the casing shoe, respectively.		
Pierre	1,850			
Mowry	4,498	Cement Plug 6 isolates the Inyan Kara Formation with 77' within the Inyan Kara and 23' within the Mowry.		
Inyan Kara	4,827			
Swift	5,314			
Spearfish	6,182			
Minnekahta	6,273			
Opeche	6,315	Cement Plug 5 isolates the Broom Creek Formation with 30' within the Broom Creek and 70' within the Opeche.		
Broom Creek	6,408			
Kibby Lime	7,400	Cement Plug 4 isolates the formations below the Boom Creek Formation.		

# Rummel-State 1

NDIC Well File No. 6797




Figure 3-6. Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) well schematic showing the location and thickness of cement plugs.

Table 3-4. RTE 10.2 (NDIC File No. 37858) Well Evaluation

Well Name: RTE 10.2 (NDIC File No. 37858)

Casing Program				
Section	Casing Outside Diameter (o.d.), in.	Weight, lb/ft	Casing Seat, ft	Grade
Surface	9⅝	36	1,952	J-55
Production	7	29	7,025	L-80
				13Cr-80

Cement Program				
Casing, in.	Cement Type	TOC	Excess, %	Volume, sacks
9⅝	Class G	Surface	100	863
7	Class G	Surface	100	1,378
	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant	4,350		

Formation		Remarks
Name	Estimated Top, ft	
Pierre	1,778	Class G cement isolates the 9⅝" casing shoe.
9⅝" Casing Shoe	1,952	
Mowry	4,516	Production casing and CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant cement isolate the Inyan Kara and Mowry Formations.
Inyan Kara	4,853	
Swift	5,205	
Opeche	6,308	Production casing and CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant cement isolate the Broom Creek Formation.
Broom Creek	6,431	
Amsden	6,770	

Corrective Action: No corrective action is necessary.






EERC

# RTE-10.2



10-2020-Post\_Drilling



Note:

This schematic has been updated post-drilling before CBL logging in the long-string hole section.  
PBTD at 6,985' based on the GR/CCL during gauge verification pre-cement.

Not to scale

EERC KL59774.AI

Figure 3-7. RTE 10.2 (NDIC File No. 37858) well schematic showing the current status and wellbore construction.

### **3.3 Reevaluation of AoR and Corrective Action Plan**

RTE will reevaluate the AoR and corrective action plan, with the period between evaluations not to exceed 5 years. AoR reevaluations will address the following:

- Changes to the monitoring and operational data prior to the scheduled 5-year reevaluation date.
- Monitoring and operational data (e.g., injection rate and pressure) will be used to update the geologic model and the computational simulations to inform a reevaluation of the AoR and corrective action plan, including the computational model that was used to determine the AoR, will be updated, and the operational data to be utilized as the basis for that update will be identified.
- How corrective action, if necessary, will be conducted, including 1) what corrective action will be performed and 2) how corrective action will be adjusted if there are changes in the AoR.

### **3.4 Protection of USDWs**

#### ***3.4.1 Introduction of USDW Protection***

The primary confining zone and additional overlying confining zones geologically isolate the Fox Hills Formation, the lowest USDW in the AoR. The Opeche Formation is the primary confining zone with additional confining layers above, geologically isolating all USDWs from the injection zone (Table 2-14).

#### ***3.4.2 Geology of USDW Formations***

The hydrogeology of western North Dakota is composed of several shallow freshwater-bearing formations of the Quaternary, Tertiary, and upper Cretaceous-aged sediments underlain by multiple saline aquifer systems of the Williston Basin (Figure 3-8). These saline and freshwater systems are separated by the Cretaceous Pierre Shale of the Williston Basin, a regionally extensive shale between 1,000 and 1,500 ft thick (Thamke and others, 2014).

The freshwater aquifers comprise the Cretaceous Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations; the overlying Cannonball, Tongue River, and Sentinel Butte Formations of the Tertiary Fort Union Group; and the Tertiary Golden Valley and White River Formations (Figure 3-9). Above these are undifferentiated alluvial and glacial drift Quaternary aquifer layers, which are not necessarily present in all parts of the AoR (Trapp and Croft, 1975).

The lowest USDW in the AoR is the Fox Hills Formation, which together with the overlying Hell Creek Formation, is a confined aquifer system. The Hell Creek Formation is a poorly consolidated unit composed of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, and claystones with occasional carbonaceous beds, all fluvial origin. The underlying Fox Hills Formation is interpreted as interbedded nearshore marine deposits of sand, silt, and shale deposited as part of the final Western Interior Seaway retreat (Fischer, 2013). The Fox Hills Formation in the AoR is approximately 1,000 to 1,600 ft deep and 240–400 ft thick. The structure of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations follows that of the Williston Basin, dipping gently toward the center of the basin to the northwest of the AoR (Figure 3-10).




Figure 3-8. Major aquifer systems of the Williston Basin.

The Pierre Shale is a thick, regionally extensive shale unit which forms the lower boundary of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system, also isolating all overlying freshwater aquifers from the deeper saline aquifer systems. The Pierre Shale is a dark gray to black marine shale and is typically over 1,000 ft thick in the AoR (Thamke and others, 2014).

<b>Era</b>	<b>Period</b>	<b>Group</b>	<b>Formation</b>	<b>Freshwater Aquifer(s) Present</b>
<b>Cenozoic</b>	Quaternary		Glacial Drift	Yes
	Tertiary		Arikaree	No
			White River	No
			Golden Valley	Yes
		Fort Union	Sentinel Butte	Yes
			Tongue River	Yes
			Cannonball	Yes
<b>Mesozoic</b>	Cretaceous		Hell Creek	Yes
			Fox Hills	Yes
			Pierre	No
		Colorado	Niobrara	No
			Carlile	No
			Greenhorn	No
			Belle Fourche	No

EERC KL59727.AI

Figure 3-9. Upper stratigraphy of Stark County showing the stratigraphic relationship of Cretaceous and Tertiary groundwater-bearing formations (modified from Trapp and Croft, 1975).






Figure 3-10. Depth to surface of the Fox Hills Formation in western North Dakota (Fischer, 2013).

### 3.4.3 Hydrology of USDW Formations

The aquifers of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations are hydraulically connected and function as a single confined aquifer system (Fischer, 2013). The Bacon Creek Member of the Hell Creek Formation forms a regional aquitard for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system, isolating it from the overlying aquifer layers. Recharge for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system occurs in southwestern North Dakota along the Cedar Creek Anticline and discharges into overlying strata under central and eastern North Dakota (Fischer, 2013). Flow through the AoR is to the northeast (Figure 3-11). Water sampled from the Fox Hills Formation is sodium bicarbonate type with a total dissolved solids (TDS) content of approximately 1,500–1,600 ppm. Previous analysis of Fox Hills Formation water has also noted high levels of fluoride, more than 5 mg/L (Trapp and Croft, 1975). As such, the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system is typically not used as a primary source of drinking water. However, it is occasionally produced for irrigation and/or livestock watering. One active Fox Hills Formation well in AoR is located immediately south of the RTE site on the south side of Interstate 94 (Figure 3-12). Two other Fox Hills wells previously served the city of Richardton, North Dakota, but were plugged and abandoned in the late 1990s.




Figure 3-11. Potentiometric surface of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system shown in feet of hydraulic head above sea level. Flow is to the northeast through the area of investigation in central Stark County (modified from Fischer, 2013).

Multiple other freshwater-bearing units, primarily of Tertiary age, overlie the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system in the AoR (Figure 3-13). These formations are often used for domestic and agricultural purposes. The Cannonball and Tongue River Formations comprise the major aquifer units of the Fort Union Group, which overlies the Hell Creek Formation. The Cannonball Formation consists of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, claystone, and thin lignite beds of marine origin. The Tongue River Formation is predominantly sandstone interbedded with siltstone, claystone, lignite, and occasional carbonaceous shales. The basal sandstone member of the Tongue River is persistent and a reliable source of groundwater in the region. Thickness of this basal sand ranges from approximately 50 to 200 ft and can be found at a depth of approximately 550 ft. Tongue River groundwaters are generally sodium bicarbonate with a TDS of approximately 1,000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).






Figure 3-12. Map of water wells in the AoR in relation to the RTE Facility, RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells, stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, facility area, 1-mile AoR, and legacy oil and gas wells.

The Sentinel Butte Formation, a silty fine- to medium-grained sandstone with claystone and lignite interbeds, overlies the Tongue River Formation. The upper Sentinel Butte Formation is predominantly sandstone with lignite interbeds, forming another important source of groundwater in the region. Generally, the upper Sentinel Butte is 100 to 150 ft thick in the AoR. TDS in the Sentinel Butte Formation range from approximately 400–1,000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).




Figure 3-13. West-east cross section of the major aquifer layers in Stark County (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The black dots on the inset map represent the locations of the eleven water wells used to create the cross section. The water wells are labeled with their designation at the top of the cross section, which correlates to their township range location (e.g., 139-092-18CCC is located in T139N R92W, Section 18).






Figure 3-14. Cross section of the major aquifer layers in the RTE storage facility area (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The location of the water wells used to create the cross section are represented on the inset map. The water wells are labeled with their designation which also correlates to their township range location (e.g., 139-092-18CCC is located in T139N R92W, Section 18).

#### 3.4.4 Protection for USDWs

The Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system is the lowest USDW in the AoR. The injection zone (Broom Creek Formation) and the lowest USDW (Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system) are isolated geologically and hydrologically by multiple impermeable rock layers consisting of shale and siltstone formations of Permian, Jurassic, and Cretaceous ages (Figure 3-8). The primary seal of the injection zone is the Permian-aged Opeche Formation with the shales of the Permian-aged Spearfish, the Jurassic-aged Piper, Reiridon, and Swift Formations, all of which overlie the Opeche Formation. Above the Swift is the confined saltwater aquifer system of the Inyan Kara Formation, which extends across much of the Williston Basin. The Inyan Kara will be monitored for temperature and pressure changes in the injection well (RTE-10) and the monitoring well (RTE-10.2). Results for baseline geochemical data for USDWs in the AoR can be found in Appendix C.

Above the Inyan Kara are the Cretaceous-aged shale formations Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre. The Pierre Formation is the thickest shale formation in the AoR and the primary geologic barrier between the USDWs and the injection zone. The geologic strata overlying the injection zone consists of multiple impermeable rock layers that are free of transmissive faults or fractures and provide adequate isolation of the USDWs from CO<sub>2</sub> injection activities in the AoR.

### **3.5 References**

- Fischer, K., 2013, Groundwater flow model inversion to assess water availability in the Fox Hills–Hell Creek Aquifer: North Dakota State Water Commission Water Resources Investigation No. 54.
- Thamke, J. N., LeCain, G.D., Ryter, D.W., Sando, R., and Long, A.J., 2014, Hydrogeologic framework of the uppermost principal aquifer systems in the Williston and Powder River structural basins, United States and Canada: U.S. Geological Survey Groundwater Resources Program Scientific Investigations Report 2014-5047.
- Trapp, H., and Croft, M.G., 1975, Geology and ground water resources of Hettinger and Stark Counties North Dakota: U.S. Geological Survey, County Ground Water Studies – 16.



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## 4.0 SUPPORTING PERMIT PLANS

#### 4.0 SUPPORTING PERMIT PLANS

The ten supporting plans of this permit application are listed in Table 4-1 and are provided in this section of the application. To aid in the review of these plans, it should be noted that the four monitoring-related plans (i.e., corrosion monitoring and prevention plan, surface leak detection and monitoring plan, subsurface leak detection and monitoring plan, and testing and monitoring plan) are presented under a single subsection entitled Testing and Monitoring. The other plans are presented as discrete subsections.

**Table 4-1. Supporting Plans for Permit Application**

Emergency and Remedial Response Plan
Financial Assurance Demonstration Plan
Worker Safety Plan
Testing and Monitoring Plan
Corrosion Monitoring and Prevention Plan*
Surface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan*
Subsurface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan*
Well Casing and Cementing Plan
Plugging Plan
Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure Plan

\* These plans are presented under the heading Testing and Monitoring Plan (Section 4.4).

The development of several of the plans identified in Table 4-1 was informed by a screening-level risk assessment (SLRA) of the geologic storage project, which was performed in accordance with the international standard, ISO 31000 (Leroux and others, 2017). The SLRA was conducted through a series of work group sessions involving subject matter experts (SMEs) who were asked to review 26 individual technical project risks and assign them a probability of occurrence and assess their potential impacts on cost, schedule, health and safety, legal/regulatory compliance, permitting compliance, and corporate image/public relations. These technical risks were grouped into the following five risk categories: 1) carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) supply, injectivity, and storage capacity (seven risks); 2) subsurface containment – lateral migration of CO<sub>2</sub> or formation water brine (three risks); 3) subsurface containment – propagation of subsurface pressure plume (three risks); 4) subsurface containment – vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> or formation water brine via injection wells, plugged and abandoned wells, monitoring wells, or faults/fractures (12 risks); and 5) induced seismicity (one risk). The risk assessment results indicated that all of the technical risks were ranked low, i.e., represented low-probability and low- to moderate-impact events. While the results of the SLRA indicated that there are no risks that would preclude the commercial deployment of the project, it did identify a set of operational events with the potential for endangering underground sources of drinking water (USDWs) for future monitoring and provided the basis for the identification and costing of potential emergency response actions during the geologic storage operations.

#### 4.1 Emergency and Remedial Response Plan

This emergency and remedial response plan (ERRP) 1) describes the local resources and infrastructure in proximity to the site; 2) identifies events that have the potential to endanger USDWs during the construction, operation, and postinjection site care periods of the geologic



storage project, building upon the SLRA; and 3) describes the response actions that are necessary to manage these risks to USDWs. In addition, the integration of the ERRP with the existing emergency action plan and risk management plan of the Red Trail Energy (RTE) ethanol facility is described, emphasizing the incident action team and command structure of RTE, plant evacuation plans, HazMat (hazardous materials) capabilities, and emergency communication plans. Lastly, procedures are presented for regularly conducting an evaluation of the adequacy of the ERRP and updating it, if warranted, over the lifetime of the geologic storage project.

#### 4.1.1 Background

CO<sub>2</sub> produced at the ethanol production plant of RTE (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] Facility Identifier: 100000197583) will be captured and geologically stored in close proximity to the plant location. The projected composition of the captured gas is greater than 99.9% (by volume) CO<sub>2</sub>, with trace quantities (0.1% by volume) of nitrogen and oxygen (Leroux and others, 2018). Figure 4-1 provides the location of the ethanol production plant, which is located in Stark County, North Dakota, and the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well (RTE-10) and monitoring well (RTE-10.2). The well locations, including latitudes and longitudes, are provided below (Table 4-2).




Figure 4-1. Locations of the RTE ethanol plant and CO<sub>2</sub> injection well (RTE-10) and monitoring well (RTE-10.2). Also shown are the city limits of Richardton, North Dakota; the RTE property limits; the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) property limits; the planned CO<sub>2</sub> flow line from the ethanol plant to the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well; and the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad.

**Table 4-2. Well Name and Location Information for the CO<sub>2</sub> Injection Well and Monitoring Well of the RTE Geologic Storage Operations**

Well Name	Purpose	NDIC* File		Quarter/Quarter	Section	Township	Range	Latitude	Longitude
		No.							
RTE -10	CO <sub>2</sub> Injection Well	37229		SE/SE	10	139 North	92 West	46.864092	-102.226022
RTE-10.2	Monitoring Well	37858		SW/NW	10	139 North	92 West	46.870333	-102.282087

\* North Dakota Industrial Commission.

The primary RTE contacts for the geologic storage project and their contact information are as follows:

<b>Primary RTE Project Contacts</b>		
<b>Individual</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Contact Information</b>
		<b>Office Phone Number</b>
Gerald Bachmeier	Chief Executive Office	701.974.3308
Dustin Willet	Chief Operating Officer	701.974.3308
Tyler Mock	Environmental/Lab Manager – Safety Director	701.974.3308, ext. 1123

Contact names and information for the complete incident action team as well as key local emergency organizations/agencies are provided in a separate section of this ERRP (Section 4.1.6, Emergency Communications Plan).

#### ***4.1.2 Local Resources and Infrastructure***

Local resources in the vicinity of the project that may be impacted as a result of an emergency event include 1) the holding pond at the plant; 2) one municipal water well located to the northwest within the city limits of Richardton, North Dakota; 3) three potable groundwater wells located to the west and northwest of the project; and 4) Abbey Lake, located north of Richardton.

The infrastructure in the vicinity of the project that may be impacted as a result of an emergency event is shown in Figure 4-1 and includes 1) the RTE ethanol plant facilities; 2) the CO<sub>2</sub> injection wellhead (RTE-10) and the monitoring wellhead (RTE-10.2); 3) residential/business structures in Richardton, North Dakota; 4) railroad tracks and other infrastructure of the BNSF; and 5) Highway I-94 and Highway 10. In addition, Figure 4-2 is provided to show land use within 1 mile of the storage facility area boundary as required in North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) § 43-05-01-13.






Figure 4-2. Residential, commercial, and public land use within 1 mile of the storage facility area.

### 4.1.3 Identification of Potential Emergency Events

#### 4.1.3.1 Definition of an Emergency Event

An emergency event is an event that poses an immediate, or acute, risk to human health, resources, or infrastructure and requires a rapid, immediate response. This ERRP focuses on emergency events that have the potential to move injection fluid or formation fluid in a manner that may endanger a USDW during operation or postinjection site care periods. Another emergency event of interest involves the accidental release of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere.



### Potential Project Emergency Events and Their Detection

The SLRA for the project developed a list of potential technical project risks (i.e., a risk register) which were placed into the following five technical risk categories:

- CO<sub>2</sub> supply, injectivity, and storage capacity
- Containment – lateral migration of CO<sub>2</sub> or formation fluid
- Containment – propagation of subsurface pressure plume
- Containment – vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> or formation water brine via injection wells, plugged and abandoned wells, monitoring wells, or faults/fractures
- Induced seismicity

Based on a review of these technical risk categories of the SLRA, a list of geologic storage project events that could potentially result in the movement of injection fluid or formation fluid in a manner that may endanger a USDW and require an emergency response was developed for inclusion in this ERRP. These events and means for their detection are provided in Table 4-3.

**Table 4-3. Potential Project Emergency Events and Their Detection**

Potential Emergency Events	Detection of Emergency Events
Failure of CO <sub>2</sub> Flow Line from CO <sub>2</sub> Capture System of RTE to CO <sub>2</sub> Injection Wellhead	Distributed temperature sensing (DTS)/distributed acoustic sensing. (DAS) fiber optic cable detects a release of CO <sub>2</sub> from the CO <sub>2</sub> flow line.
Integrity Failure of Injection or Monitoring Well	Pressure monitoring reveals wellhead pressure exceeds the shutdown pressure specified in the permit.  Annulus pressure indicates a loss of external or internal well containment.  Mechanical integrity test results identify a loss of mechanical integrity.
Injection Well-Monitoring Equipment Failure	Failure of monitoring equipment for wellhead pressure, temperature, and/or annulus pressure is detected.
Storage Reservoir Unable to Contain the Formation Fluid or Stored CO <sub>2</sub>	Elevated concentrations of indicator parameter(s) in soil gas, groundwater, and/or surface water sample(s) are detected.
Induced Seismic Event	Seismic readings are recorded in excess of predefined limits.

In addition to these technical project risks, the occurrence of a natural disaster (e.g., naturally occurring earthquakes, tornado, lightning strike, etc.) also represents an event for which an emergency response action may be warranted. For example, an earthquake or weather-related disasters (e.g., tornado or lightning strike) have the potential to result in injection well problems (integrity loss, leakage, or malfunction) and may also disrupt surface and subsurface storage operations.

#### **4.1.4 Emergency Response Actions**

The response actions that will be taken to address the events listed in Table 4-3, as well as the natural disasters, will follow the same protocol. This protocol consists of the following actions:

- The RTE incident commander (see Section 4.1.6, Emergency Communications Plan) will be notified and, within 24 hours of that notification, make an initial assessment of the severity of the event (i.e., does it represent an emergency event).
- If designated as an emergency event, the RTE incident commander or designee shall notify the NDIC Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program director pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-13 and implement the emergency communications plan.
- Following these actions, RTE will:
  1. Initiate a project shutdown plan (RTE may immediately cease CO<sub>2</sub> injection. However, in some circumstances, RTE may, in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director, determine whether gradual or temporary cessation of injection is more appropriate).
  2. Shut in the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well (close flow valve).
  3. Vent CO<sub>2</sub> from surface facilities.
  4. Limit access to the wellhead to authorized personnel only.
  5. If warranted, initiate the evacuation of the plant in accordance with the RTE action plan and communicate with local authorities to initiate evacuation plans of nearby residents.
  6. Perform the necessary actions to determine the cause of the event and, in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director, identify and implement appropriate emergency response actions (see Table 4-4 for details regarding the specific actions that will be taken to determine the cause and, if required, mitigation of each of the events listed in Table 4-3).

**Table 4-4. Actions Necessary to Determine Cause of Events and Appropriate Emergency Response Actions**

Failure of CO <sub>2</sub> Flow Line from the CO <sub>2</sub> Capture System of RTE to CO <sub>2</sub> Injection Wellhead	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The CO<sub>2</sub> release and its location will be detected by the DAS/DTS fiber optic cable, which will trigger an alarm and result in the automatic shutdown of the flow line.</li> <li>• If warranted, initiate an evacuation plan in tandem with an appropriate workspace and/or ambient air-monitoring program at the plant boundary to monitor the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and its natural dispersion following the shutdown of the flow line using practices similar to those used to develop the RTE risk management plan.</li> <li>• The pipeline failure will be inspected to determine the root cause of the flow line failure.</li> <li>• Repair/replace the damaged flow line, and if warranted, put in place the measures necessary to eliminate such events in the future.</li> </ul>
Integrity Failure of Injection or Monitoring Well	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure to verify integrity loss and determine the cause and extent of failure.</li> <li>• Identify and implement appropriate remedial actions to repair damage to the well (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director).</li> <li>• If subsurface impacts are detected, implement appropriate site investigation activities to determine the nature and extent of these impacts.</li> <li>• If warranted based on the site investigations, implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director).</li> </ul>
Injection Well-Monitoring Equipment Failure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure (manually if necessary) to determine the cause and extent of failure.</li> <li>• Identify and, if necessary, implement appropriate remedial actions (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director).</li> </ul>

Continued . . .

**Table 4-4. Actions Necessary to Determine Cause of Events and Appropriate Emergency Response Actions (continued)**

<p>Storage Reservoir Unable to Contain the Formation Fluid or Stored CO<sub>2</sub></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Collect a confirmation sample(s) of groundwater from the Fox Hills monitoring well, soil gas profile station, and analyze them for indicator parameters (see Testing and Monitoring Plan in Section 4.4 of this document).</li> <li>• If the presence of indicator parameters is confirmed, develop (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director) a case-specific work plan to:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Install additional monitoring points near the impacted area to delineate the extent of impact:                 <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. If a USDW is impacted above drinking water standards, arrange for an alternate potable water supply for all users of that USDW.</li> <li>b. If a surface release of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere is confirmed, initiate an evacuation plan, if warranted, in tandem with an appropriate workspace and/or ambient air-monitoring program at the plant boundary to monitor the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and its natural dispersion following the termination of CO<sub>2</sub> injection following practices similar to those used to develop the RTE risk management plan.</li> <li>c. If surface release of CO<sub>2</sub> to surface waters is confirmed, implement appropriate surface water-monitoring program to determine if water quality standards are being exceeded.</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. Proceed with efforts, if necessary, to a) remediate the USDW to achieve compliance with drinking water standards (e.g., install system to intercept/extract brine or CO<sub>2</sub> or “pump and treat” the impacted drinking water to mitigate CO<sub>2</sub>/brine impacts) and/or b) manage surface waters using natural attenuation (i.e., natural processes, e.g., biological degradation, active in the environment that can reduce contaminant concentrations) or active treatment to achieve compliance with applicable water quality standards.</li> </ol> </li> <li>• Continue all remediation and monitoring at an appropriate frequency (as determined by RTE and the NDIC DMR UIC Program director) until unacceptable adverse impacts have been fully addressed.</li> </ul>
---	--

Continued . . .



**Table 4-4. Actions Necessary to Determine Cause of Events and Appropriate Emergency Response Actions (continued)**

Induced Seismic Event	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify when the event occurred and the epicenter and magnitude of the event.</li> </ul> <p>If magnitude is greater than 2.7:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determine whether there is a connection with injection activities.</li> <li>Demonstrate all project wells have maintained mechanical integrity.</li> <li>If a loss of CO<sub>2</sub> containment is determined, proceed as described above to evaluate, and if warranted, mitigate the loss of containment.</li> </ol>
Natural Disasters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monitor well pressure, temperature, and annulus pressure to verify well status and determine the cause and extent of any failure.</li> <li>If warranted, perform additional monitoring of groundwater, surface water, and/or workspace/ambient air to delineate extent of any impacts.</li> <li>If impacts or endangerment are detected, identify and implement appropriate response actions in accordance with the RTE emergency action plan (in consultation with the NDIC DMR UIC Program director).</li> </ul>

#### **4.1.5 Response Personnel/Equipment and Training**

##### **4.1.5.1 Response Personnel and Equipment**

All RTE plant and geologic storage project personnel will have undergone hazardous waste operations and emergency response (HAZWOPER) training in accordance with guidelines produced and maintained by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) (OSHA 29 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 1910.120). In addition, RTE has arranged to secure assistance from local (Richardton and Dickinson, North Dakota) and county (Stark County) emergency services to implement this ERRP.

Equipment needed in the event of an emergency and remedial response will vary, depending on the emergency event. Response actions (e.g., cessation of injection, well shut-in, and evacuation) will generally not require specialized equipment to implement. However, when specialized equipment (such as a drilling rig or logging equipment or potable water hauling, etc.) is required, the RTE safety director shall be responsible for its procurement (see Section 4.1.6, Emergency Communications).

##### **Staff Training and Exercise Procedures**


RTE will integrate the training of the emergency response personnel of the geologic storage project into the standard operating procedures and plant operations training programs, which are described in the RTE safety and emergency action plans. Periodic training will be provided, not less than annually, to protect all necessary plant and project personnel. The training efforts will be

documented in accordance with the requirements of the RTE plans which, at a minimum, will include a record of the trainee name, date of training, type of training (e.g., initial or refresher), and instructor name. RTE will also work with local emergency response personnel to perform coordinated training exercises associated with potential emergency events such as a significant release of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere.

#### **4.1.6 Emergency Communications Plan**

An incident command system is identified in the RTE emergency action plan that specifies the organization of an incident action team at RTE and team member roles and responsibilities in the event of an emergency. The organizational structure of this system is provided below, along with the identification and contact information of each member of the incident action team.

Organization of Incident Command System



<b>Members and Contact Information of the Incident Action Team</b>		
<b>Position</b>	<b>RTE Employee</b>	<b>Office Phone Number</b>
Incident Commander (IC)	Kent Glasser	701.974.3308 ext. 1111
Public Relations (PR)/Incident Communications Manager (ICM)	Gerald Bachmeier	701.974.3308 ext. 1110
Alternate PR Manager/ICM	Kent Glasser	701.974.3308 ext. 1114
Alternate IC	Tyler Mock	701.974.3308 ext. 1123
Second Alternate IC	Ray Dobitz	701.974.3308 ext. 1107
Safety Director	Tyler Mock	701.974.3308 ext. 1123
Operations	Ray Dobitz	701.974-.3308 ext. 1107
Logistics	Tyler Mock	701.974.3308 ext. 1123

The ICM is responsible for establishing and maintaining communications with appropriate off-site persons and/or agencies, including, but not limited to, the following:

Richardton Police Department	701.974.3700
Richardton Fire Department*	701.974.2436
Richardton Ambulance	701.974.3375
Stark County Emergency Response	701.456.7605
Stark County Sheriff's Office	701.456.7610
Dickinson Police Department*	701.456.7877
North Dakota Highway Patrol	701.328.2447
North Dakota Highway Department	701.328.9921
North Dakota Poison Control	800.222.1222
County (Dickinson) Fire Department*	TBD
Medical Center*	TBD
County (Stark) Resource Management Agency*	TBD
County Fire Department*	TBD
State Emergency Response Commission*	TBD

\* Those persons/agencies above marked with an asterisk have received a copy of the RTE emergency action plan.

Lastly, the RTE emergency action plan contact list also includes addresses and contact information for approximately 20 neighboring facilities and residences located within 1 mile of the ethanol plant.

#### **4.1.7 ERRP Review and Updates**

This ERRP shall be reviewed:

- At least annually following its approval by NDIC DMR.
- Within 1 year of an area of review (AoR) reevaluation.
- Within a prescribed period (to be determined by NDIC DMR) following any significant changes to the project, e.g., injection process, the injection rate, etc.
- As required by NDIC DMR.

Should the operational monitoring (see Section 4.4, Testing and Monitoring Plan) of the geologic storage operations identify trends that warrant a modification to the ERRP prior to the scheduled 5-year review, RTE will move forward with revising the plan and submitting a revised ERRP to NDIC DMR within 6 months of that determination.

If the 5-year review indicates that no amendments to the ERRP are necessary, RTE will provide NDIC DMR with the documentation supporting a no-amendment-necessary determination. If the review indicates that amendments to the ERRP are necessary, amendments shall be made and submitted to NDIC DMR within 6 months following their identification.

#### **4.2 Financial Assurance Demonstration Plan**

This financial assurance demonstration plan (FADP) is provided to meet the regulatory requirements for the geologic storage of CO<sub>2</sub> as prescribed by the state of North Dakota (NDAC

§ 43-05-01-09.1). The facility name, facility contact, and injection well location are provided below:

Facility Name: RTE Ethanol Facility  
Facility Contact: Dustin Willett  
Injection Well Location: RTE-10 (NDIC File No. 37229) SE/SE of Section 10, T139N, R92W (-102.226022, 46.864092)

RTE is providing financial responsibility pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-09.1 using the following financial instruments:

- RTE will establish a surety bond to cover the costs of 1) corrective action in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-05.1 and 2) plugging of injection wells in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5).
- A third-party pollution liability insurance policy with an aggregate limit of \$20,000,000 to cover the costs of 1) implementing postinjection site care and facility closure activities in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-19 and 2) implementing emergency and remedial response actions, if warranted, in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-13.

The estimated costs of these activities are presented in Table 4-5.

**Table 4-5. Cost Estimates for Activities to Be Covered**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Estimated Total Cost (millions of dollars)</b>
Corrective Action on Wells in the AoR	0
Plugging of Injection and Monitoring Wells	0.22
Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure	1.76
Emergency and Remedial Response (including endangerment to USDWs)	16.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>17.98</b>

The surety bond, which will identify RTE as the principal on the bond, will be provided by International Fidelity Insurance Company. International Fidelity Insurance Company meets all of the following criteria:

1. The surety company is authorized to transact business in North Dakota.
2. The surety company has either passed the specified financial strength requirements based on credit ratings or has met a minimum rating, minimum capitalization, and ability to pass the bond rating, when applicable.
3. The surety bond can be maintained until such time that the Commission determines that the storage operator has fulfilled its financial obligations.



The third-party insurance, which identifies RTE as the insured party, is provided by the Ascot Specialty Insurance Company. The coverage limits of the policy are summarized below:

- Coverage A – Covered Location Pollution Liability – \$20,000,000
- Coverage B – Miscellaneous Pollution Liability – \$20,000,000
- Coverage C – Emergency and Crisis Management Costs – \$20,000,000
- Coverage D – Business Income and Extra Expense – \$1,000,000
- Policy Aggregate – \$20,000,000

The Ascot Specialty Insurance Company meets both of the following criteria, as specified in NDAC §43-05-01-09.1(1)(g):

1. The company satisfies financial strength requirements based on credit ratings in the top four categories of either Standard & Poor's (AAA, AA, A, or BBB) or Moody's (Aaa, Aa, A, Baa).
2. The company meets a minimum rating ("minimum rating" based on an issuer, credit, securities, or financial strength rating as a demonstration of financial stability) and minimum capitalization (i.e., demonstration that minimum thresholds are met for the following financial ratios: debt-equity, assets-liabilities, cash return on liabilities, liquidity, and net profit) and is able to pass bond rating in the top four categories of either Standard & Poor's (AAA, AA, A, or BBB) or Moody's (Aaa, Aa, A, Baa), when applicable.

#### **4.3 Worker Safety Plan**

RTE maintains and implements a plantwide safety program that meets all state and federal requirements for worker safety protections, including OSHA and the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA). This program is described in the RTE safety plan, which includes a list of training programs that are currently in place and the frequency with which they will be reviewed and, if necessary, updated.

The CO<sub>2</sub> safety training program of RTE identifies the dangers of CO<sub>2</sub> and requires all employees and visitors to wear the proper PPE (personal protective equipment) and to perform their duties in ways that prevent the discharge of CO<sub>2</sub>. Project personnel will participate in annual safety training to include familiarization with operating procedures and equipment configurations that are appropriate to their job assignment as well as emergency response procedures, equipment, and instrumentation. New personnel, if appropriate, will receive similar instruction prior to beginning their work. Lastly, contractors and visitors will undergo an orientation that ensures all persons on-site are trained and aware of the dangers of CO<sub>2</sub>. Initial training will be conducted by, or under the supervision of, the safety director or his designated representative, and all trainers will be thoroughly familiar with the project operations plan and ERRP.

Refresher training will be conducted at least annually for all project personnel. Monthly briefings will be provided to operations personnel according to their respective responsibilities and will highlight recent operating incidents, lessons learned based on actual experience in operating the equipment, and recent storage reservoir-monitoring information.

Only personnel who have been properly trained will participate in the project activities of drilling, construction, operations, and equipment repair. A record including the person's name, date and type of training, and the signatures of the trainee and instructor will be maintained.

#### **4.4 Testing and Monitoring Plan**

This testing and monitoring plan for the project includes an analysis of the injected CO<sub>2</sub>, periodic testing of the injection well, a corrosion-monitoring plan for the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well components, a leak detection and monitoring plan for surface components of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection system, and a leak detection plan to monitor any movement of the CO<sub>2</sub> outside of the storage reservoir. As such, this plan simultaneously meets the permit requirements for three other required plans: 1) a corrosion-monitoring and prevention plan, 2) a surface leak detection and monitoring plan, and 3) a subsurface leak detection and monitoring plan.

The combination of the above monitoring efforts is used to verify that the geologic storage project is operating as permitted and is protecting USDWs. An overview of these individual monitoring efforts is provided in Table 4-6 along with the structure/project area that is monitored.

A regular assessment and adaptation of the monitoring program (i.e., a minimum of every 5 years) will be conducted to ensure that it remains appropriate for the site and is adequately tracking the injected CO<sub>2</sub>, thereby providing an accurate assessment of the performance of the surface/subsurface equipment and subsurface geologic structures in containing the stored CO<sub>2</sub>.

If needed, alterations to the monitoring program (i.e., technologies applied, frequency of testing, etc.) will be submitted for approval by NDIC. Results of pertinent analyses and data evaluations conducted as part of the monitoring program will be compiled and reported, as required. Another goal of this monitoring program is to establish preinjection baseline data for the storage complex, including baseline data for nearby groundwater wells, the Fox Hills Formation (deepest USDW), and soil gas.

Additional details of the individual efforts of the monitoring program are provided in the remainder of this section.

**Table 4-6. Overview of RTE Monitoring Program for the Geologic Storage of CO<sub>2</sub>**

<b>Monitoring Type</b>	<b>RTE Monitoring Program</b>	<b>Target Structure/Project Area</b>
Analysis of Injected CO <sub>2</sub>	Compositional and isotopic analysis of the injected CO <sub>2</sub> stream	Wellhead
CO <sub>2</sub> Flow Line	DTS/DAS and distributed strain sensing (DSS)	Capture facility to the wellsite
Continuous Recording of Injection Pressure, Rate, and Volume	Surface pressure/temperature gauges and a flowmeter installed at the wellhead with shutoff alarms	Surface-to-reservoir (injection well)
Well Annulus Pressure Between Tubing and Casing	Annular pressure gauge for continuous monitoring	Surface-to-reservoir (injection well)
Near-Surface Monitoring	Groundwater wells in the AoR, dedicated Fox Hills monitoring wells, and soil gas sampling and analyses	Near-surface environment, USDWs
Direct Reservoir Monitoring	Wireline logging, external downhole pressure and temperature gauges, and DTS/DAS fiber optic cable	Storage reservoir and primary sealing formation
Indirect Reservoir Monitoring	Time-lapse geophysical surveys, gravity surveys, inSAR and passive seismic measurements.	Entire storage complex
Internal and external mechanical integrity	Tubing-casing annulus pressure testing (internal)  DTS/DAS fiber optic cable, ultrasonic imager tool (USIT) (external)	Well infrastructure
Corrosion Monitoring	Flow-through corrosion coupon test system for periodic corrosion monitoring.	Well infrastructure

#### **4.4.1 Analysis of Injected CO<sub>2</sub> and Injection Well Testing**

##### **4.4.1.1 CO<sub>2</sub> Analysis**

Prior to injection, RTE will determine the chemical and physical characteristics of the CO<sub>2</sub> that has been captured for storage using appropriate analytical methods. An example of the types of chemical composition data that will be generated and compiled is shown in Table 4-7; physical characteristics of interest include density and viscosity.

**Table 4-7. Chemical Components Targeted for Characterization in the Injected CO<sub>2</sub>**

Chemical Components
CO <sub>2</sub>
Ethane
Propane
n-Butane
Hydrogen
Nitrogen
Methane
Oxygen
Water, ppm

#### *4.4.1.2 Injection Well Integrity Tests*

Until the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well is plugged, RTE will continuously monitor its external mechanical integrity via a DTS/DAS fiber optic cable. A baseline USIT was used to establish the initial baseline external mechanical integrity. A USIT will be ran after the first year of injection and every 5 years thereafter to verify external mechanical integrity of the injection well. Internal mechanical integrity of the injection well will be demonstrated via a tubing-casing annulus pressure test prior to injection, after the first year of injection, and every 5 years thereafter. In addition, a pressure fall-off test will be performed in the injection well prior to initiation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection activities and at least once every 5 years thereafter to demonstrate storage reservoir injectivity.

#### **4.4.2 Corrosion Monitoring and Prevention Plan**

The purpose of the corrosion monitoring and prevention plan is to monitor the corrosion of injection well components during the operational phase of the project to ensure that the well will meet the minimum standards for material strength and performance.

##### *4.4.2.1 Corrosion Monitoring*

Corrosion monitoring will be done using the corrosion coupon method, focusing on the loss of mass, thickness, cracking, and pitting as well as other visual signs of corrosion of the materials of interest. The monitoring will occur quarterly during the first year of injection (i.e., at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after the initiation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection) and once a year thereafter. Wireline monitoring using USIT will also be considered for assessing the corrosion of the well casing and/or tubing.

##### Sample Description

Samples of material used in the construction of the injection well that contact CO<sub>2</sub> will be included in the corrosion-monitoring program. Materials from these process components and/or conventional corrosion coupons of similar composition and specifications will be weighed, measured, and photographed prior to initial exposure.

##### Sample Exposure

Each sample will be suspended in a flow-through apparatus, which will be located downstream of all process compression/dehydration/pumping equipment (i.e., at the beginning of the flow line to the wellhead). A parallel stream of high-pressure CO<sub>2</sub> will be withdrawn from the flow line, passed



through the corrosion-monitoring system, and then routed back into a lower-pressure point upstream in the compression system. This loop will operate any time injection is occurring. The operation of this system will provide exposure of the samples to CO<sub>2</sub> that is representative of the composition, temperature, and pressures that will be present at the wellhead and injection tubing.

#### Sample Handling and Monitoring

The exposed materials/coupons will be handled and assessed for corrosion in accordance with ASTM International (ASTM) Method G1-03, Standard Practice for Preparing, Cleaning, and Evaluating Corrosion Test Specimens (ASTM International, 2017). The coupons will be photographed, visually inspected for cracking and pitting with a minimum of 10× power, dimensionally measured (to within 0.0001 in.), and weighed (to within 0.0001 g).

#### *4.4.2.2 Corrosion Prevention*

Over the lifetime of the project, anticorrosion chemicals will be added to the CO<sub>2</sub> streamline based on the corrosion-monitoring results, and, if warranted, consumable cathodic protection plates will be used to inhibit and/or prevent corrosion on the surface injection system. The corrosion inhibitor, which must be compatible with the CO<sub>2</sub>, will be used in the tubing–casing annulus of the injection well prior to initiation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection and continuously throughout the project’s lifetime. Periodic fluid sampling will be conducted at critical points in the system to determine the corrosion inhibitor’s concentration and confirm that it is present at levels sufficient, but not in excess of what is needed, to prevent corrosion.

#### **4.4.3 Surface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan**

Surface components of the injection system, including the CO<sub>2</sub> transport flow line and wellhead, will be monitored using CO<sub>2</sub> leak detection equipment. The flow line from the capture facility to the wellhead will be monitored using a DTS/DAS and DSS fiber optic cable with an interrogator system to provide the ability to detect leaks along the flow line. CO<sub>2</sub> detectors will be placed at the injection wellhead and key wellsite locations (e.g., flow line riser). Leak detection equipment will be integrated with automated warning systems, which will be inspected and tested on a semiannual basis. Any defective equipment will be repaired or replaced within 10 days and retested, if necessary. A record of each inspection result will be kept by the site operator and maintained until project completion and be available to NDIC upon request. Any detected leaks at the surface facilities shall be promptly reported to NDIC.

#### **4.4.4 Subsurface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan**

The monitoring plan for detecting subsurface leaks comprises surface/near-surface- and deep-subsurface-monitoring programs. Surface/near-surface refers to the region from ground surface down to, and including, the deepest USDW as well as surface waters, soil gas (vadose zone), and shallow groundwater (e.g., stock wells, residential drinking water wells, etc.). The deep subsurface zone extends from the base of the deepest USDW to the base of the injection zone of the storage reservoir.

Subsurface leak detection will require multiple approaches to ensure confidence that surface (i.e., ambient and workspace atmospheres and surface waters) and near-surface (i.e., vadose zone, groundwater wells, and the deepest USDWs) environments are protected, and the CO<sub>2</sub> is safely and permanently stored in the storage reservoir. More specifically, for the RTE geologic storage

project, near-surface monitoring will include two dedicated Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells to detect if the deepest USDW is being impacted by operations as well as two soil gas profile stations each located at the RTE-10 injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well sites. In addition, existing groundwater wells within the AoR have been and will continue to be periodically sampled as outlined in the monitoring program. These monitoring efforts will provide additional lines of evidence to assess whether the surface/near-surface environment is being protected and whether the CO<sub>2</sub> is being safely and permanently stored in the storage reservoir.

To complement near-surface/surface monitoring, additional monitoring of the subsurface will ensure CO<sub>2</sub> is staying in the targeted storage reservoir. Operational monitoring at the injection well (RTE-10) including injection rates, pressures, and temperatures will provide data to inform the monitoring approaches. Internal and external mechanical integrity of the injection well will also be demonstrated to ensure no leakage pathway exists that may allow vertical movement of the CO<sub>2</sub>. Additionally, geophysical (seismic) surveys conducted over regular intervals will monitor subsurface CO<sub>2</sub> plume movement.

More details regarding the surface, near-surface, and deep subsurface-monitoring efforts are provided in the remainder of this section.

#### ***4.4.5 Near-Surface Groundwater and Soil Gas Sampling and Monitoring***

Surface and near-surface environments will be monitored to ensure that an out-of-zone migration has not occurred. This will be accomplished by monitoring the environment within the delineated AoR via groundwater wells (e.g., domestic drinking water wells, stock wells, etc.) and vadose zone soil gas sampling prior to CO<sub>2</sub> injection (preoperational baseline), during active CO<sub>2</sub> injection (operational) and during the postoperational-monitoring time frame.

RTE has completed an initial near-surface baseline sampling program, including seasonal sampling of existing groundwater wells and soil gas (Figure 4-3). This completed sampling program and the results are provided in detail in Section 4.4.6.

Prior to injection, RTE plans to install two dedicated Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells at each well site (RTE-10 injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well). The Fox Hills Formation will be sampled, and a state-certified laboratory analysis will be provided to NDIC prior to injection. In addition, two soil gas profile stations will be installed at each well site (RTE-10 injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well), and sample analysis will be provided to NDIC prior to CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations (Figure 4-6). The near-surface monitoring plan, including the additional baseline sampling of the Fox Hills Formation and the soil gas profile stations, is provided in Section 4.4.7




Figure 4-3. RTE completed an initial sampling program for near-surface groundwater wells and vadose zone soil gas. Shown are all sampling locations completed for the establishment of the baseline monitoring program (water well sample locations and soil gas sample locations); the location of all groundwater wells by type, including all plugged and abandoned legacy oil and gas wells; the city of Richardton; the RTE ethanol plant; the CO<sub>2</sub> flow line; and RTE-10 (injection well) and RTE-10.2 (monitoring well) in relation to the extent of the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume, the storage facility area, and the AoR.



#### 4.4.6 Completed Baseline Sampling Program

##### 4.4.6.1 Groundwater Baseline Sampling

An initial baseline of groundwater sampling results has been acquired for the RTE project site by collecting and characterizing groundwater samples taken from Well Nos. 51002, 61337, and 10648 in May, August, and November 2019. The locations of these wells are shown in Figure 4-4, and the results of the baseline measurements for pH, specific conductivity, and alkalinity are provided in Table 4-8, with detailed laboratory analyses for each sampling event provided in Appendix C.




Figure 4-4. RTE completed groundwater well sampling program to establish a groundwater baseline, including seasonal fluctuation. The sample locations were located between the proposed CO<sub>2</sub> injection well and the city of Richardton.



**Table 4-8. Baseline Groundwater-Sampling Results – May Through November 2019**

Parameter Well No.	pH (pH unit)			SpC, mS/cm			Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub> , mg/L		
	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19
51002	8.21	8.42	8.47	2,643	2,740	2,731	1,570	1,540	1,540
61337	8.18	8.46	8.51	1,851	1,886	1,890	1,070	1,060	1,040
10648	*	8.36	8.24	*	1,931	1,928	*	1,010	960

\* Well not accessible.

#### 4.4.6.2 Soil Gas Baseline Sampling

Soil gas sampling and analyses have also been performed in order to establish baseline soil-gas concentrations. The sampling and analyses performed to date were generated from 11 soil gas-sampling locations, as shown on Figure 4-5 and identified in Table 4-9 (SG01 through SG11), during the months of May, August, and November 2019. The analyses, which determined the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>, were performed in accordance with ASTM standard procedures (D5314) for soil gas sampling and analysis (ASTM International, 2006). These analytical results were concentrated in the area around and between the injection well (RTE-10) and the monitoring well (RTE-10.2).

The sampling results from these efforts will provide a preoperational baseline of the soil gas chemistry in the vadose zone in and around the CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage project.

**Table 4-9. Soil Gas-Sampling Results from RTE Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) Study Region by Sampling Date (*italicized values denote likely ambient air reading/contamination*)**

Parameter: Sample No.	CO <sub>2</sub> , %			O <sub>2</sub> , %			N <sub>2</sub> , %		
	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19
SG01	0.34	0.34	0.88	20.38	21.08	20.55	78.08	78.62	78.57
SG02	0.21	0.49	0.11	21.03	20.35	21.28	79.11	79.16	78.61
SG03	0.62	1.09	0.72	20.68	20.08	20.54	78.60	78.82	78.74
SG04	0.13	*	*	21.27	*	*	79.21	*	*
SG05	0.25	1.01	<i>0.05</i>	21.00	20.19	<i>21.29</i>	78.57	78.80	<i>78.67</i>
SG06	0.26	0.31	<i>0.07</i>	20.44	21.01	<i>21.20</i>	78.83	78.68	<i>78.73</i>
SG07	*	0.79	0.65	*	20.49	20.74	*	78.72	78.61
SG08	*	<i>0.04</i>	0.97	*	<i>21.30</i>	16.42	*	78.66	82.61
SG09	*	0.38	0.12	*	20.75	20.75	*	78.86	79.13
SG10	<i>0.08</i>	0.42	*	<i>20.84</i>	20.75	*	<i>77.71</i>	78.83	*
SG11	<i>0.03</i>	6.86	*	<i>21.13</i>	14.68	*	78.66	78.46	*

\* Sampling location too wet to access/sample.




Figure 4-5. RTE completed an initial soil gas-sampling program to establish baseline soil gas concentrations, including seasonal fluctuation. The sample locations were located within and around the CO<sub>2</sub> injection and monitoring wells of the RTE storage site.

#### ***4.4.7 Near-Surface (Groundwater- and Soil Gas)-Monitoring Plan***

Prior to injection operations, RTE will drill and construct two dedicated groundwater-monitoring wells in the Fox Hills Formation (i.e., deepest USDW) at each well site (RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well) (Figure 4-6). Baseline Fox Hills Formation<sup>1</sup> water samples will be collected from these two monitoring wells prior to CO<sub>2</sub> injection. RTE plans to monitor the vadose zone by installing two soil gas profile stations, one each at the well sites of the RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> injection well (SS01) and RTE-10.2 monitoring well (SS02) (Figure 4-6). RTE will investigate Well Nos. 61329 and 51001 to determine accessibility for sampling these existing groundwater wells in the project area, both of which are located within the storage facility area of the RTE geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage project site (Figure 4-6).

During the first 3 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection activities, the two Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells, the soil gas profile stations located at each well site (RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> injection well and RTE-10.2 monitoring well), and select groundwater wells within the AoR will be sampled on an annual basis, and laboratory results will be filed with NDIC. Starting at Year 5 of injection operations, the Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells and existing groundwater wells will be sampled annually. The sampling of groundwater wells in the AoR will be phased in over time based on monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume in the injection zone. A detailed near-surface monitoring plan is presented in Table 4-10, including the frequency and duration of the sampling that will be made during each phase (i.e., preinjection, operational, and postoperational) of the geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage project.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Fox Hills aquifer underlying the RTE site and western North Dakota is a confined aquifer system which does not receive measurable flow from overlying aquifers or the underlying Pierre shale. The overlying confining layer in the Hell Creek Formation comprises impermeable clays, and the underlying Pierre Shale serves as the lower confining layer (Trapp and Croft, 1975). Recharge occurs hundreds of miles to the southwest in the Black Hills of South Dakota where the corresponding geologic layers are exposed at the surface. Flow within the aquifer is to the northwest with a rate on the order of single feet per year. Thus groundwater in the Fox Hills aquifer at the RTE site is geochemically stable as it is isolated from its source of recharge and does not receive other sources of recharge (Fischer, 2013). The aquifer itself is a quartz-rich sand and not known to contain reactive mineralogy. Thus minimal geochemical variation can be expected to occur across the site, attributable to minor variations in the geologic composition of the aquifer sediments.






Figure 4-6. RTE near-surface monitoring plan sample locations showing the Fox Hills Formation (deepest USDW) monitoring wells, existing groundwater wells, and the two soil-gas profile stations in and around the RTE geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage project site. RTE will investigate Well Nos. 61329 and 51001 to determine accessibility for potential sampling. Well Nos. 61338 and 51004 are both identified as abandoned in the North Dakota State Water Commission database.



**Table 4-10. Baseline (preinjection), Operational, and Postoperational Monitoring Frequency and Duration for Soil Gas, and Groundwater**

<b>Monitoring Type</b>	<b>Baseline (preinjection)*</b>	<b>Operational</b>	<b>Postoperational</b>
<b>Soil Monitoring</b>			
Soil Gas Profile Stations (SS01 and SS02) (Figure 4-6)	Duration: minimum 1 year	Duration: 20 years	Duration: minimum 10 years
Soil Gas Probes (SG01 to SG11) (Figures 4-3 and 4-5)	<p>Frequency: Sample 3–4 events per well to establish seasonal baseline</p> <p>Soil gas profile stations identified in Figure 4-6 will be sampled prior to initiation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations and analyses will be combined with previously completed sampling results from soil gas probe locations SG01 to SG11, identified in Figure 4-5.</p> <p>Two soil-gas profile stations located at the RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 well sites (see Figure 4-6).</p>	Frequency: 3–4 sample events per year at soil gas profile stations SS01 and SS02 (Figure 4-6) to account for seasonal fluctuation	Frequency: 3–4 seasonal sample events at soil gas stations SS01 and SS02 (Figure 4-6) performed every 3 years following cessation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection.
<b>Water Monitoring</b>			
Groundwater (existing freshwater wells)	<p>Duration: minimum 1 year</p> <p>Frequency: completed baseline sampling program (Figure 4-4). RTE will investigate Well Nos. 61329 and 51001 to determine accessibility for potential sampling identified in Figure 4-6.</p>	<p>Duration: 20 years</p> <p>Frequency: sampling of select groundwater wells within the AoR will occur at a minimum of once a year during Years 1–3 and during Year 5 of injection operations, then every 5 years thereafter. Wells will be phased in over time based on monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume in the injection zone.</p>	<p>Duration: 10 years</p> <p>Frequency: 3–4 sample events at cessation of injection and 3–4 sample events as part of the final site closure assessment.</p>

Continued . . .

**Table 4-10. Baseline (preinjection), Operational, and Postoperational Monitoring Frequency and Duration for Soil Gas, and Groundwater (continued)**

Monitoring Type	Baseline (preinjection)*	Operational	Postoperational
<b>Water Monitoring</b>			
Fox Hills Formation (deepest USDW)	<p>Duration: minimum of 1 year</p> <p>Frequency: sample 3–4 events per well to establish seasonal baseline.</p> <p>Two Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells located at the RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 well sites (see Figure 4-6).</p>	<p>Duration: 20 years</p> <p>Frequency: sampling of Fox Hills monitoring wells will occur at a minimum of once a year during Years 1–3 and during Year 5 of injection operations, then every 5 years thereafter.</p>	<p>Duration: minimum 10 years</p> <p>Frequency: 3–4 sample events at cessation of injection and 3–4 sample events as part of the final site closure assessment.</p>

\* The preinjection baseline monitoring effort is largely complete as of the writing of this permit application. As noted in the text, selected additional samples will be collected between the submission date of this permit application and the start of CO<sub>2</sub> injection.

#### **4.4.8 Deep Subsurface Monitoring of Free-Phase CO<sub>2</sub> Plume and Pressure Front**

RTE will implement direct and indirect methods to monitor the location, thickness, and distribution of the free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> plume (plume) and associated pressure (pressure) relative to the permitted storage reservoir. The time frame of these monitoring efforts will encompass the entire life cycle of the injection site, which includes the preoperational (baseline), operational, and postoperational periods. The methods described in Tables 4-11 and 4-12 will be used to characterize the plume and pressure within the AoR. RTE will employ an adaptive management approach to implementing the testing and monitoring plan by completing periodic reviews of the testing and monitoring plan. During each review, monitoring data and operational data will be analyzed, the AoR will be reevaluated, and, if warranted, the testing and monitoring plan will be adjusted accordingly. The testing and monitoring plan will be reviewed in this manner at least once every 5 years. Based on this review, it will either be demonstrated that no amendment to the testing and monitoring program is needed or that modifications to the program are necessary to ensure proper monitoring of the storage performance is achieved and that the risk profile of the storage operations is addressed moving forward. This determination will be submitted to the commission for approval. Should amendments to the testing and monitoring plan be necessary, they will be incorporated into the permit following approval by NDIC. Over time, monitoring methods and data collection may be supplemented or replaced as advanced techniques are developed.

Monitoring and operational data will be used to evaluate conformance between observations and history-matched simulation of CO<sub>2</sub> and pressure distribution relative to the permitted geologic storage facility. If significant variance is observed, the monitoring and operational data will be used to calibrate the geologic model and associated simulations. The monitoring plan will be adapted to provide suitable characterization and calibration data as necessary to achieve such conformance. Subsequently, history-matched predictive simulation and model interpretations will in turn be used to inform adaptations to the monitoring program to demonstrate lateral and vertical containment of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> within the permitted geologic storage facility.

**Table 4-11. Description of RTE Monitoring Program**

Monitoring Type	Preoperational (baseline)	Operational	Postoperational
<b>Storage Reservoir Monitoring</b>			
<b>Monitoring During Injection Well Operations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Flow Rates</li> <li>Volumes</li> <li>Surface Injection Pressure</li> <li>Surface Injectate Temperature</li> <li>Annulus Pressure, between tubing and long-string</li> </ul>	Duration: 1 year  Frequency: initial setup The maximum allowable injection pressure and annulus pressure will be derived from preoperational injection tests.	Duration: 20 years  Frequency: continuous monitoring	Duration: minimum 10 years postinjection  Convert injection well (RTE 10) to postinjection monitoring well for the postinjection monitoring period.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Packer Fluid (corrosion inhibitor) Volume</li> </ul>	Initial volume of packer fluid to fill casing	Record if additional volume to fill annulus.  Test corrosion inhibitors effectiveness (as needed during well workovers).	Monitor fluid levels until well is plugged.
<b>Downhole Monitoring (Injection Well RTE-10 and Monitoring Well RTE-10.2)</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Downhole Pressure Gauge</li> <li>Downhole Temperature Gauge</li> <li>Distributed Fiber Optic Temperature (DTS)</li> </ul>	Baseline temperature and pressure of the injection zone and pressure dissipation zone above (e.g., Inyan Kara)	Continuous monitoring of the injection zone and pressure dissipation zone above (e.g., Inyan Kara)	Pressure and temperature monitoring until plume stabilization. Monitoring will continue as part of postinjection site care and facility closure plan.
<b>Wireline Logging and Retrievable Monitoring</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pulsed-Neutron Log (PNL)</li> </ul>	Baseline PNL logging	Annual PNL logging to ensure fluids are contained within storage interval and ground-truth 3D seismic monitors.	At cessation of injection and once every 5 years thereafter until plume stabilization.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ultrasonic Imager Tool (USIT) (External Mechanical Integrity)</li> </ul>	Baseline USIT prior to injection.	Duration: 20 years Frequency: Perform during well workovers but not more frequently than once every 5 years  Will provide corroborating evidence for continuous DAS/DTS fiber optic evaluation of external casing mechanical integrity.	Duration: minimum 10 years postinjection  Frequency: perform during well workovers but not more frequently than once every 5 years

Continued . . .

**Table 4-11. Description of RTE Monitoring Program (continued)**

<b>Monitoring Type</b>	<b>Baseline (preoperational)</b>	<b>Operational</b>	<b>Postoperational</b>
<b>Internal Mechanical Integrity</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Tubing-Casing Annulus Pressure Test</b></li> </ul>	Tubing-casing annulus mechanical integrity pressure testing.	Perform during well workovers but not more frequently than once every 5 years	Duration: minimum 10 years postinjection  Frequency: Perform during well workovers but not more frequently than once every 5 years
<b>External Mechanical Integrity</b>	DTS/DAS baseline temperature and noise through the storage interval to surface.	Continuous through the storage interval to surface.	Continuous until well plugging and site reclamation
<b>Pressure Fall-Off Test (Injection Zone)</b>	Prior to injection	Every 5 years	None
<b>Corrosion Monitoring</b>	Baseline material specifications.	Quarterly sampling for loss of mass, thickness, cracking, pitting, and other signs of corrosion.  Corrosion coupons placed in contact with the CO <sub>2</sub> stream.	None
<b>Geophysical Monitoring</b>			
Time-Lapse Seismic	Existing baseline 3D seismic (collected 2019) integrated in reservoir model for site characterization.  3D seismic covers the predicted extent of the CO <sub>2</sub> plume at the end of the operational period.	3D seismic monitor will be collected within first 5 years of injection sufficient to determine distribution of injected free-phase CO <sub>2</sub> plume relative to permitted area.	Time-lapse seismic surveys will continue as part of minimum 10-year post-CO <sub>2</sub> injection operations-monitoring plan and until stability of plume is demonstrated.
DAS/DTS	DAS/DTS fiber will deliver a baseline flow and injection profile (utilizing acoustics and temperature from the fiber optic system).	DAS/DTS fiber will give continuous profile for injected and monitoring intervals and will collect passive seismicity.	
InSAR	Feasibility of surface deformation monitoring with interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) – baseline data	Continuous monitoring of ground elevation based on relative surface deformation with InSAR	Continuous monitoring of ground elevation based on relative surface deformation with InSAR until storage facility achieves stabilization

Continued . . .



**Table 4-11. Description of RTE Monitoring Program (continued)**

<b>Monitoring Type</b>	<b>Baseline (preoperational)</b>	<b>Operational</b>	<b>Postoperational</b>
Gravity	Gravity survey will be collected for baseline conditions.	To be determined. Repeat gravity survey (minimum one) collected as part of adaptive plan once adequate mass change is achieved based on reservoir simulation.	To be determined. Repeat gravity survey (minimum one) will be collected in the postoperational period to demonstrate plume stability.
Passive Seismicity	Install seismometer stations for monitoring induced seismicity.	The data collected in the surface geophones will be continuously recorded and analyzed for seismic activity.	

Table 4-12 describes the logging programs for the RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wellbores. Included in the table is a description of fluid sampling, pressure testing, stress testing, and coring (conventional and sidewall) that will be performed. These wellbore data have been integrated with the baseline 3D seismic survey to provide a detailed reservoir description for the geologic model and to inform the reservoir simulations that are used to characterize the initial state of the reservoir before injection operations. The simulated CO<sub>2</sub> plumes based on the current geologic model and simulations are shown in Figures 4-7 and 4-8. These simulated CO<sub>2</sub> plume extents inform the timing and frequency of the application of the direct and indirect monitoring methods of the testing and monitoring plan.

**Table 4-12. Completed Logging Program for RTE-10 and RTE-10.2**

<b>Log</b>	<b>Justification</b>	<b>NDAC Section</b>
Ultrasonic, CCL (casing collar locator), VDL (variable-density log), GR (gamma ray), Temperature Log	Identified cement bond quality radially. Detection of cement channels (none observed). Evaluated the cement top and zonal isolation.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[2])
Triple Combo (resistivity, density, porosity, GR, caliper, and spontaneous potential)	Quantified variability in reservoir properties such as resistivity and lithology. Identified the wellbore volume to calculate the required cement volume. Provided input for enhanced geomodeling and predictive simulation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection into the interest zones to improve test design and interpretations.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])
Combinable Magnetic Resonance (CMR)	Aided in interpreting reservoir permeability and determined the best location for modular dynamics testing (MDT) fluid sampling depths, packer setting depths, and stress testing depths. CMR and MDT data combined provided enhanced permeability evaluation, fluid identification, and fluid contacts.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])
Spectral GR	Identified clays and lithology that could affect injectivity. Also used for core to log depth correlation.	43-05-01-11.2(2)
Dipole Sonic	Identified mechanical properties including stress anisotropy. Provided compression and shear waves for seismic tie-in and quantitative analysis of the seismic data.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])
Fracture Finder Log	Quantified fractures in the Inyan Kara and Broom Creek Formations and confining layers to ensure safe, long-term storage of CO <sub>2</sub> .	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])
MDT Fluid Sampling	Collected fluid sample from the Inyan Kara and Broom Creek for geochemical testing and TDS (total dissolved solids) quantification.	43-05-01-11.2(2)
MDT Formation Pressure Testing	Collected reservoir pressure tests to establish a pressure profile and mobility.	43-05-01-11.2(2)
MDT Stress Testing	Collected breakdown pressure, fracture propagation pressure, fracture closure pressure (minimum in situ stress) to establish injection pressure limits.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])




Figure 4-7. Simulated CO<sub>2</sub> plume saturation at the end of Years 1 through 5 after initial CO<sub>2</sub> injection. The simulated plume extent at 5 years (2026) results in a CO<sub>2</sub> plume with a radius of ~1,500 ft.




Figure 4-8. Simulated extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume at the cessation of injection and the postinjection stabilized plume.

#### 4.4.8.1 Direct Monitoring Methods

To directly monitor and track the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume within the storage reservoir, the injection (RTE-10) and monitoring (RTE-10.2) wells are equipped with external temperature (borehole temperature, BHT) and pressure (borehole pressure, BHP) gauges as well as fiber optics (see Figures 4-9 and 4-10). The specifications for these external gauges are provided in Figure 4-11. Continuous reservoir temperature and pressure will be monitored in both the Broom Creek Formation and the overlying Inyan Kara Formation. The pressure and temperature data collected in the overlying Inyan Kara Formation, the nearest overlying, highly permeable interval above the storage reservoir and main sealing formations, will provide confirmation of seal capacity for the Upper Confining Zone (e.g., Opeche) for monitoring the performance of the storage complex. Monitoring of the overlying interval can provide an early warning of out of zone migration of fluids, providing sufficient time for the development and implementation of mitigation strategies to ensure these migrating fluids do not impact a USDW or reach the surface.






Figure 4-9. RTE-10 wellbore schematic showing placement of external BHT/BHP-monitoring gauges and fiber optic.




# EERC

## RTE-10.2



10-2020-Post\_Drilling



**Note:**

This schematic has been updated post-drilling before CBL logging in the long-string hole section. PBTD at 6,985' based on the GR/CCL during gauge verification pre-cement.

Not to scale

EERC KL59792.AI

Figure 4-10. RTE-10.2 wellbore schematic showing placement of external BHT/BHP-monitoring gauges and fiber optic.

### DataSphere® Array System - Temperature Performance

Accuracy (°C)	0.5
Typical Accuracy (°C)	0.15
Achievable Resolution (°C/sec)	< 0.005
Repeatability (°C)	< 0.01
Drift at 177°C (°C/year)	< 0.1

### DataSphere® Array System - Temperature Performance

Pressure Range (psi/bar)	0 to 10,000 / 0 to 690
Accuracy (%FS)	0.015
Typical Accuracy (%FS)	0.012
Achievable Resolution (psi/sec)	< 0.006
Repeatability (%FS)	< 0.01
Response Time to FS Step (for 99.5% FS)	< 1 sec
Acceleration Sensitivity (psi/g – any axis)	< 0.02
Drift at 14 psi and 25°C (%FS/year)	Negligible
Drift at Max. Pressure and Temperature (%FS/year)	0.02

EERC KL59652.AI

Figure 4-11. Halliburton DataSphere Array System specifications for external BHT/BHP gauges installed in RTE-10 and RTE-10.2.

The distributed strain data, provided by the wellbore annulus distributed fiber optic in situ strain system (DFOSS) installed in RTE-10.2, will be aggregated and interpreted with other pressure data from the monitoring plan and integrated with the reservoir model to map the distribution of pressure associated with the free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> plume relative to the permitted storage facility area. The fiber optic system, installed within both RTE-10 and RTE-10.2, will also be used to acquire distributed temperature data. By interchanging the surface interrogator unit with one capable of DAS, and coupled with active seismic sourcing, vertical seismic profile (VSP) data may also be collected over time as the plan is adapted.

PNLs of the injection and monitoring wells will also be performed on an annual basis to demonstrate that fluids are not moving beyond the sealing formations. Preoperational baseline PNL data have been collected from the RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells. These time-lapse saturation data will be used to monitor for CO<sub>2</sub> in the formation directly above the storage reservoir, otherwise known as the above-zone monitoring interval, or AZMI, as an assurance-monitoring technique.

#### 4.4.8.2 *Indirect Monitoring Methods*

Indirect monitoring methods will also track the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume within the storage reservoir and can be accomplished by performing time-lapse geophysical surveys of the AoR. A 3D seismic survey was conducted to establish baseline conditions in the storage reservoir. Figure 4-12 shows the extent of the injected free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> plume at the end of 20 years of injection relative to the baseline 3D seismic and storage facility area. To demonstrate conformance between the reservoir model simulation and site performance, a repeat 3D seismic survey (4D seismic) will be collected to monitor the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume within the first 5 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection. These seismic monitoring data will provide confirmation of the simulation predictions and confirm the extents of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume within the AoR. Through the operational phase of the project, the 4D seismic monitoring plan will be adapted based on updated simulations of the predicted extents of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume. At the end of the operational phase, 4D seismic will be utilized during the postinjection period to confirm the stabilization of the plume, as defined in Appendix A. To complement the seismic monitoring surveys and, as improved time-lapse monitoring technologies emerge (e.g., borehole seismic, gravity, electromagnetic [EM], InSAR, passive seismicity), the monitoring plan will be reevaluated at least every 5 years to determine if modifications to the plan would improve the ability to characterize the migrating CO<sub>2</sub> plume. These indirect monitoring methods for characterization of the deep subsurface CO<sub>2</sub> plume are commercially available and are proven time-lapse methods. More details regarding the different indirect monitoring methods that will be employed at the proposed geologic storage site are provided in the remainder of this section.

The time-lapse seismic response (4D seismic) is a measurement of change in fluid compressibility. Since CO<sub>2</sub> is a highly compressible fluid, it can be tracked with conventional seismic methods. Both the surface 3D and borehole seismic (3D VSP) methods are effective for monitoring the distribution of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume. During CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations, the DAS fiber optic system provides a cost-effective and higher-resolution opportunity for monitoring the extents of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection with a 3D VSP. The modeled VSP coverage is illustrated in Figure 4-13. In Figure 4-14, the 3D view shows the illumination area with a radius of approximately 7,000 ft at ~100-fold. This area represents the modeled seismic reflection area based on the configuration of the fiber optic DAS in RTE-10. The simulated CO<sub>2</sub> plume at the end of injection operations and the simulated stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume that is reached during the postinjection period are overlain on the VSP illumination plots in Figure 4-14. These simulated plume overlays illustrate that the predicted extents of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume can be imaged with the 3D VSP method throughout CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations and the postinjection period. Figure 4-12 shows the area of VSP and 3D seismic coverage relative to these plume extents and the storage facility area.

Throughout the operational phase of injection operations, continuous monitoring of seismic activity will be performed using surface-installed geophones (sensors) on the project site and DAS fiber optic systems installed on the monitoring and injection well. The wireless sensors and DAS are capable of continuously measuring a wide range of seismicity (micro/macro events). Baseline passive seismic data will be collected both prior to injection as well as throughout the operational phase of the project.



InSAR<sup>2</sup> can detect small-scale surface ground deformation and has been shown to be one such technique for approximately mapping pressure distribution associated with subsurface fluid injection.<sup>3</sup> Geodetic methods, like InSAR, are widely available and allow for multiple nonunique interpretations requiring integration with other monitoring methods (e.g., time lapse seismic). InSAR requires continuous satellite coverage with consistent surface reflectivity.<sup>4</sup> In areas where there is snowfall, agricultural changes, or erosional features, the InSAR results will be uncertain and unreliable for elevation changes. To improve InSAR measurement sensitivity, reflectivity challenges can be mitigated by installing stable reflective monuments.

Gravity is a measure of mass and, when used as a time-lapse method (4D gravity), can provide a measure of mass change related to a difference in density. Monitoring with 4D gravity requires a preoperational baseline survey and monitoring through the operational and postoperational phases to provide a measure of the extents of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume. These data provide a quantitative measure of mass change relative to a change in fluid density over the life of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection. 4D gravity surveys provide a measure of density change associated with the storage interval, complementing the compressibility measurement from seismic. Gravity surveys for monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> densities require high-precision instruments and a significant volume of cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> at appropriate pressure and temperature conditions to achieve a measurable density contrast with the injected fluid.

At the conclusion of the operating phase of the project, the monitoring program will permit an assessment of the long-term containment and stability of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> in the storage complex. This assessment is required to secure a certificate of project completion from NDIC. To this end, monitoring of the storage complex will continue following the cessation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection until it can be established that the injected CO<sub>2</sub> plume is stable.

---

<sup>2</sup> Donald, W. et al., 2020, Monitoring the fate of injected CO<sub>2</sub> using geodetic techniques: Vasco, The Leading Edge, v. 39, no. 1, p. 29.

<sup>3</sup> Reed\_inSAR\_BellCreek.

<sup>4</sup> PSinSAR\_May2010.






Figure 4-13. The map view (left panel) shows the VSP illumination of surface sourcing (black dots) recorded in the borehole with fiber optic DAS. Also, overlain on the illumination plot (right panel) is the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> plume at 5 years (2026) after the start of CO<sub>2</sub> injection.




Figure 4-14. The simulated CO<sub>2</sub> maps at the cessation of injection (left panel) and the postinjection stabilized plume (right panel) are overlain on the VSP illumination plots from Figure 4-13. These simulated plume overlays illustrate the plume extents can be imaged with the 3D VSP method throughout CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations. The color bar on the right shows lowfold to highfold illumination of the Broom Creek injection interval depth.

#### ***4.4.9 Quality Assurance and Surveillance Plan***

RTE has developed a quality assurance and surveillance plan (QASP) as part of the testing and monitoring plan. The QASP is provided in Appendix D of this permit.

#### **4.5 Well Casing and Cementing Program**

RTE constructed two wells: RTE-10 and RTE-10.2. Both wells were permitted and drilled as stratigraphic test wells in 2020 and were constructed in compliance with Class VI UIC injection well construction requirements. Application to convert RTE-10 to a CO<sub>2</sub> storage injection well and RTE-10.2 to a monitoring well is being filed in conjunction with this SFP. The following information represents the current, as-constructed state for RTE-10 (illustrated in Figure 4-15 and detailed in Tables 4-13–4-16), a radial evaluation log summary for RTE-10 (Figure 4-16) and the current as-constructed state for RTE-10.2 (illustrated in Figure 4-17 and detailed in Tables 4-17–4-20).

##### ***4.5.1 RTE-10 – As-Constructed CO<sub>2</sub> Injection Well Casing and Cementing Programs***

The as-constructed state of RTE-10 is provided below in Figure 4-15.






# RTE-10



08-2020-Post\_Drilling



Note:

Depths are updated based on Casing Collar Locator (CCL) log performed on July 30, 2020

Not to scale

Figure 4-15. RTE-10 as-constructed wellbore schematic.

Tables 4-13–4-16 provide the casing and cement programs for RTE-10 and have been updated according to the drilling performed in April 2020. The tables demonstrate compliance with NDAC § 43-05-01-09. In addition, the materials used for construction align with NDAC § 43-05-01-09(2) for conversion to a CO<sub>2</sub> storage injection well.

**Table 4-13. RTE-10 As-Constructed Well Information**

<b>Well Name:</b>	<b>RTE-10</b>	<b>NDIC No.:</b>	<b>37229</b>	<b>API No.:</b>	<b>33-089-00904-00-00</b>
<b>County:</b>	Stark	<b>State:</b>	ND	<b>Operator:</b>	Red Trail Energy, LLC
<b>Location:</b>	SE/SE Sec. 10 T139N R92W	<b>Footages*:</b>	600' FSL 250' FEL*	<b>Total Depth:</b>	6,900'

\* From the south line, from the east line.

**Table 4-14. RTE-10 As-Constructed Casing Program**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Hole Size, in.</b>	<b>Casing o.d., in.</b>	<b>Weight, lb/ft</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Connection*</b>	<b>Top Depth, ft</b>	<b>Bottom Depth, ft</b>	<b>Objective</b>
<b>Surface</b>	13½	9⅝	36	J-55	STC	0	1,970	Cover shallow freshwater aquifers
<b>Production</b>	8¾	7	29	L-80	LTC	0	4,353	Production casing
<b>Production</b>	8¾	7	29	13Cr-80	VAM TOP®	4,353	5,429	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant production casing
<b>Production</b>	8¾	7	29	L-80	LTC	5,429	6,148	Production casing
<b>Production</b>	8¾	7	29	13Cr-80	VAM TOP	6,148	6,898	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant production casing

\* STC: short-thread and coupled, LTC: long-thread and coupled, VAM TOP: premium thread and coupled.

**Table 4-15. RTE-10 As-Constructed Casing Properties**

o.d., in.	Grade	Weight, lb/ft	Connection	i.d., in.	Drift, in.	Burst, psi	Collapse, psi	Yield Strength, 1000 lb	
								Body	Connection
9 <sup>5</sup> / <sub>8</sub>	J-55	36	STC	8.921	8.765	3,520	2,020	564	394
7	L-80	29	LTC	6.184	6.059	8,160	7,030	676	587
7	13Cr-80	29	VAM TOP	6.184	6.059	8,160	7,030	676	676

**Table 4-16. RTE-10 As-Constructed Cement Program**

Casing, in.	Tail		Lead		Excess, %	Volume, sacks
	Slurry	Interval, ft	Slurry	Interval, ft		
9 <sup>5</sup> / <sub>8</sub>	14.2 ppg Class G cement	1,450– 1,950	11.5 ppg Class G cement	0–1,450	75	726
7	15.8 ppg CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant cement	3,938*– 6,900	12.2 ppg Class G cement	502*–3,938	75	1,330

\* The cement top was obtained from the radial cement evaluation. Figure 4-16 below provides Schlumberger's evaluation of the isolation scanner performed on July 30, 2020. The top of cement is at 502 ft, while the top of CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement is at 3,938 ft.




Figure 4-16. RTE-10 isolation scanner results – radial cement evaluation log summary from RTE-10 verifies the material behind the casing and the cement bond index. This enables the analyst to assess isolation in the CO<sub>2</sub> injection zone, confining zones, and USDWs using a high-resolution image.



#### 4.5.2 RTE-10.2 – As-Constructed Monitoring Well Casing and Cementing Programs

The as-constructed state of RTE-10.2 is provided in Figure 4-17.




Figure 4-17. RTE-10.2 as-constructed wellbore schematic.

Tables 4-17–4-20 provide the casing and cement programs for RTE-10.2 and have been updated according to the drilling performed in October 2020. The tables demonstrate compliance with NDAC § 43-05-01-09. In addition, the materials used for construction align with NDAC § 43-05-01-09(2) for conversion to a CO<sub>2</sub> storage-monitoring well.

**Table 4-17. RTE-10.2 As-Constructed Well Information**

<b>Well Name:</b>	<b>RTE-10.2</b>	<b>NDIC No.:</b>	<b>37858</b>	<b>API No.:</b>	<b>33-089-00906-00-00</b>
<b>County:</b>	Stark	<b>State:</b>	ND	<b>Operator:</b>	Red Trail Energy, LLC
<b>Location:</b>	SW/NW Sec 10 T139N R92W	<b>Footages*:</b>	2,296' FNL 1,043' FWL*	<b>Total Depth:</b>	7,025'

\* From the north line, from the west line.

**Table 4-18. RTE-10.2 As-Constructed Casing Program**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Hole Size, in.</b>	<b>Casing o.d., in.</b>	<b>Weight, lb/ft</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Connection*</b>	<b>Top Depth, ft</b>	<b>Bottom Depth, ft</b>	<b>Objective</b>
<b>Surface</b>	13½	9⅝	36	J-55	STC	0	1,952	Cover shallow freshwater aquifers
<b>Production</b>	8¾	7	29	L-80	LTC	0	3,970	Production casing
<b>Production</b>	8¾	7	29	13Cr-80	Tenaris Blue®	3,970	5,387	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant production casing
<b>Production</b>	8¾	7	29	L-80	LTC	5,387	6,178	Production casing
<b>Production</b>	8¾	7	29	13Cr-80	Tenaris Blue	6,178	7,024	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant production casing

\* STC: short-thread and coupled, LTC: long-thread and coupled, Tenaris Blue: premium thread and coupled.

**Table 4-19. RTE-10.2 As-Constructed Casing Properties**

o.d., in.	Grade	Weight, lb/ft	Connection	i.d., in.	Drift, in.	Burst, psi	Collapse, psi	Yield Strength, 1,000 lb	
								Body	Connection
9 <sup>5</sup> / <sub>8</sub>	J-55	36	STC	8.921	8.765	3,520	2,020	564	394
7	L-80	29	LTC	6.184	6.125*	8,160	7,030	676	587
7	13Cr-80	29	Tenaris Blue	6.184	6.125*	8,160	7,030	676	676

\* Special drift of 6.125 in. API (American Petroleum Institute) standard for 7-in. 29# casing is 6.059 in.

**Table 4-20. RTE-10.2 As-Constructed Cement Program**

Casing, in.	Tail		Lead		Excess, %	Volume, sacks
	Slurry	Interval, ft	Slurry	Interval, ft		
9 <sup>5</sup> / <sub>8</sub>	14.2 ppg Class G cement	1,400–1,940	11.5 ppg Class G cement	0–1,400	100	735
7	14.5 ppg CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant cement	4,350*– 7,025	11.5 ppg Class G cement	0*–4,350	100	1,524

\* The cement top will be confirmed once the radial cement evaluation log is performed.

#### **4.6 Plugging Plan**

The plugging plans for both RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 are intended to be interpreted as proposed conditions and do not reflect the current as-constructed state for both wells. The schematics and procedures in this section are to illustrate what the estimated wellbore conditions will look like before and after the plugging and abandonment (P&A) in each case. Also, the plugging operations are likely to occur at different points in the life cycle for each well. RTE-10 will most likely be plugged and abandoned when CO<sub>2</sub> storage and injection operations cease. RTE-10.2 is likely to be plugged and abandoned after monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume determines stability within the plume extent.

The CO<sub>2</sub> storage injection well, RTE-10, will satisfy the above requirements at the end of the injection life cycle. The plugging plan will be provided to a representative from NDIC, who will be present during the plugging operations. This will also be documented during workover reports. The plugging record will show that the material used will be compatible with CO<sub>2</sub> and isolate the injection zone.

The CO<sub>2</sub> storage-monitoring well, RTE-10.2, may be plugged at a later time when the CO<sub>2</sub> plume has stabilized postinjection. When it has been verified the plume is in a stable condition, all requirements stated above will be fulfilled during plugging operations. An NDIC representative will be notified of the plugging plan and will also be present and documented by the workover site supervisor. Materials used during the plugging process will be compatible with CO<sub>2</sub> and ensure isolation of the injection zone.

##### ***4.6.1 RTE-10: P&A Program***

###### Description of P&A Technique

A proposed CO<sub>2</sub> injection well schematic of RTE-10 is provided in Figure 4-18.




**EERC**

# RTE-10

Proposed Well Completion Schematic



10-2020-V005



Note:

\* Depths have not been confirmed

Not to scale

EERC KL59794.AI

Figure 4-18. Proposed CO<sub>2</sub> injection well schematic for RTE-10.

The NDIC–DMR will be contacted, and an intent to plug and abandon RTE-10 will be filed for approval. Final adjustments to the proposed P&A procedure will be made based on wellbore conditions at that time and NDIC field inspector recommendations. Currently, the proposed procedure for P&A of the well is as follows.

#### Prepare Well for P&A

The wellbore is to be plugged and abandoned at the end of the injection of CO<sub>2</sub>. API standards, NDIC regulations, and best management practices will be employed to control the well at all times. Well work will be performed by experienced crews and contractors and supervised by RTE, with other competent and experienced engineers and NDIC DMR personnel on-site as necessary. Safety and environmental measures will be in place to ensure the well-being of all personnel and subsequent site reclamation.

1. Record bottomhole reservoir pressure for Broom Creek Formation using casing-conveyed gauges – NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5(2a).  
*Note: calculate the required corrosion-inhibited kill fluid weight based on bottomhole reservoir pressure plus 200–500 psi for overbalanced pressure. Appropriate storage volume of weighted kill fluid will be stored in portable tanks on location.*
2. Move in and rig up (MIRU) workover rig. Move in rental tools, 2⅞-in., 6.4-lb, L-80, external upset end (EUE) work string.
3. Kill well by pumping calculated weight and volume of corrosion-inhibited kill fluid down 3½-in. injection string. Ensure wellhead, tubing, and annular/casing pressures are showing 0 psi and stable.
4. Nipple down (ND) wellhead. Install blowout preventer (BOP), and test low/high 250 psi/4,000 psi.
5. While maintaining a hole full of kill fluid, trip out of hole (TOOH) with 3½-in. injection tubing, seal assembly, and locator sub, and lay down 3½-in. tubing with thread protectors. Also, remove injection packer at 6,385 ft.

#### **Proposed Well Completion Tubular Properties**

<b>o.d., in.</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Weight, lb/ft</b>	<b>Connection</b>	<b>i.d., in.</b>	<b>Drift i.d., in.</b>	<b>Collapse, psi</b>	<b>Burst, psi</b>	<b>Tension, klb</b>
7	L-80	29	LTC	6.184	6.059	7,030	8,160	587
7	13Cr-80	29	VAM TOP	6.184	6.059	7,030	8,160	676
3½	13Cr-80	9.2	JFEBEAR™	2.992	2.867	10,540	10,160	207.2

6. MIRU wireline services to perform external mechanical integrity test and set 7-in. cast iron cement retainer (CICR).
7. Install lubricator and pressure-test to 4,000 psi for 10 minutes.

8. Make up and run in hole (RIH) with ultrasonic log–variable-density log (VDL) –casing collar locator (CCL) –temperature–GR log from plug back total depth (PBSD) (anticipated at ~6,853 ft from GR–CCL log run by GoWireline on April 24, 2020, for gauge depth verification) to surface for external mechanical integrity test – NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5(2b).  
*Note: The proposed logs satisfy requirements for determining external mechanical integrity – NDAC § 43-05-01-11.2(1d).*
9. Make up and RIH with CICR. Set CICR at 6,427 ft, or 5 ft above top perforation.
10. Rig down and move out (RDMO) wireline unit and crew.

Isolate Broom Creek Formation

Perforations will be isolated pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5. They will be isolated with a CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement.

11. RIH with 2<sup>7</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-in. L-80 work string and sting-in into the CICR.
12. Rig up (RU) cementing equipment. Mix and pump 134 sacks (sx) of **CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement** to squeeze from 6,427 to 6,853 ft. Displace with corrosion-inhibited spacer fluid.  
*Note: Assumptions on the cement properties are 14.2 ppg, 100% excess, and a yield of 1.33 ft<sup>3</sup>/sack.*
13. Unsting 2<sup>7</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-in. work string from CICR.
14. TOOH and lay down with work string to ± 6,397 ft. Mix and pump a cement plug of 47 sx **CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement** to plug interval of 6,228–6,427 ft. Displace with corrosion-inhibited spacer fluid.  
*Note: Assumptions on the cement properties are 14.2 ppg, 50% excess, and a yield of 1.33 ft<sup>3</sup>/sack.*

Isolate Dakota Group

The Inyan Kara Formation will be isolated pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5. The method of isolation will be a CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement plug placed inside the casing.

15. TOOH and lay down with work string to ±4,838 ft. Mix and pump a balanced plug of 99 sx **CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement** to plug interval of 4,418–4,838 ft. Displace with corrosion-inhibited spacer fluid.  
*Note: Assumptions on the cement properties are 14.2 ppg, 50% excess, and a yield of 1.33 ft<sup>3</sup>/sack.*

Isolate Surface Casing Shoe

16. TOOH and lay down with work string to ±2,020 ft. Mix and pump a balanced plug of 122 sx Class G cement to plug interval of 1,568–2,020 ft. Displace with corrosion-inhibited spacer fluid.

*Note: Assumptions on the cement properties are 15.8 ppg, 50% excess, and a yield of 1.16 ft<sup>3</sup>/sack.*

#### Isolate Surface

17. TOO and lay down with work string to ±115 ft. Mix and pump a balanced plug of 20 sx Class G cement to plug interval of 40–115 ft. Displace with corrosion-inhibited spacer fluid.  
*Note: Assumptions on the cement properties are 15.8 ppg, 50% excess, and a yield of 1.16 ft<sup>3</sup>/sack.*
18. TOO and lay down remainder of work string.
19. RD cementing equipment.
20. ND BOP and RDMO workover rig.
21. Dig out wellhead and cut off casing 5 ft below ground level (GL). Weld 1/2-in. steel cap on casing with well name, date inscribed (confined space entry), and information that it was used for CO<sub>2</sub> injection. Dig out deadman if applicable – NDAC § 43-05-01-19(6).  
*Note: Cut off the cables (casing-conveyed gauges and fiber optic).*
22. Within 60 days, submit Form 7 plugging report after plugging operations are complete – NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5(4).
23. Submit notice of intent to reclaim to NDIC 30 days in advance prior to reclamation – NDAC § 43-05-01-18(10d).

The proposed P&A plan for RTE-10 is provided in Figure 4-19 and summarized in Table 4-21.

**Table 4-21. Summary of P&A Plan for RTE-10**

<b>Cement Plug Number</b>	<b>Interval Range, ft</b>		<b>Thickness, ft</b>	<b>Volume, sacks</b>	<b>Note</b>
1	6,427	6,853	426	134	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant cement plug from CICR to PBTD. Squeezed cement will isolate perforations in the Broom Creek.
2	6,228	6,427	199	47	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant cement plug isolates the Broom Creek Formation and 50 ft above the top of the Opeche Formation.
3	4,418	4,838	420	99	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant balanced cement plug 50 ft above the top of the Mowry Formation and 50 ft below the top of the Inyan Kara Formation.
4	1,568	2,020	452	122	Class G balanced cement plug to isolate the 9½-in. casing shoe.
5	40	115	75	20	Class G balanced surface cement plug.






Figure 4-19. Schematic of proposed abandonment plan for RTE-10.

#### 4.6.2 RTE-10.2: P&A Program

##### Description of P&A Technique

A proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-monitoring well schematic of RTE-10.2 is provided in Figure 4-20.

The procedure for P&A of the well will be performed as follows.

##### Prepare Well for P&A

The wellbore is to be plugged and abandoned when the CO<sub>2</sub> plume has stabilized and monitoring of the plume extent is no longer necessary. API standards, NDIC regulations, and best management practices will be employed to control the well at all times. Well work will be performed by experienced crews and contractors and supervised by RTE, with other competent and experienced engineers and NDIC DMR personnel on-site as necessary. Safety and environmental measures will be in place to ensure the well-being of all personnel and subsequent site reclamation.

1. Record bottomhole reservoir pressure for Broom Creek Formation using the casing-conveyed gauges – NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5(2a).
2. MIRU workover rig. Move in rental tools, 2 $\frac{7}{8}$ -in., 6.4-lb, L-80, EUE work string.
3. ND wellhead. Install BOP, and test low/high 250 psi/4,000 psi at 6,426 ft.

##### **Proposed Well Completion Tubular Properties**

<b>o.d., in.</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Weight, lb/ft</b>	<b>Connection</b>	<b>i.d., in.</b>	<b>Drift i.d., in.</b>	<b>Collapse, psi</b>	<b>Burst, psi</b>	<b>Tension, klb</b>
7	L-80	29	LTC	6.184	6.059	7,030	8,160	587
7	13Cr-80	29	Tenaris Blue	6.184	6.125	7,030	8,160	587
3 $\frac{1}{2}$	13Cr-80	9.2	JFEBEAR	2.992	2.867	10,540	10,160	207.2
2 $\frac{7}{8}$	L-80	6.4	EUE	2.441	2.347	11,170	10,570	105.6


4. MIRU wireline services to perform external mechanical integrity test.

Make up and RIH with ultrasonic log-VDL–CCL–temperature–GR log from PBTD (anticipated at ~6,985 ft from GR–CCL log run by GoWireline on October 19, 2020, for gauge depth verification) to surface for external mechanical integrity test – NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5(2b).

*Note: The proposed logs satisfy requirements for determining external mechanical integrity – NDAC § 43-05-01-11.2(1d).*

5. RDMO wireline unit and crew.

10-2020-Post\_Drilling



**Note:**

This schematic has been updated post-drilling before CBL logging in the long-string hole section.  
PBTD at 6,985' based on the GR/CCL during gauge verification pre-cement.

*Not to scale*

EERC KL59796.AI

Figure 4-20. Proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-monitoring well schematic for RTE-10.2.

#### Isolate Broom Creek Formation

This interval will be isolated pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5. The method of isolation will be a CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement plug placed inside the casing.

6. RIH with 2<sup>7</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-in. L-80 work string to ±6,258 ft.
7. RU cementing equipment. Mix and pump a cement plug of 171 sx **CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement** to plug interval of 6,258–6,985 ft. Displace with corrosion-inhibited spacer fluid.  
*Note: Assumptions on the cement properties are 14.2 ppg, 50% excess, and a yield of 1.33 ft<sup>3</sup>/sack.*

#### Isolate Dakota Group

This interval will be isolated pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5. The method of isolation will be cement plugs placed inside the casing.

8. TOOH and lay down with work string to ±4,903 ft. Mix and pump a balanced plug of 103 sx **CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement** to plug interval of 4,466–4,903 ft. Displace with corrosion-inhibited spacer fluid.  
*Note: Assumptions on the cement properties are 14.2 ppg, 50% excess, and a yield of 1.33 ft<sup>3</sup>/sack.*

#### Isolate Surface Casing Shoe

9. TOOH and lay down with work string to ±2,002 ft. Mix and pump a balanced plug of 87 sx Class G cement to plug interval of 1,678–2,002 ft. Displace with corrosion-inhibited spacer fluid.  
*Note: Assumptions on the cement properties are 15.8 ppg, 50% excess, and a yield of 1.16 ft<sup>3</sup>/sack.*

#### Isolate Surface

10. TOOH and lay down with work string to ±115 ft. Mix and pump a balanced plug of 20 sx Class G cement to plug interval of 40–115 ft. Displace with corrosion-inhibited spacer fluid.  
*Note: Assumptions on the cement properties are 15.8 ppg, 50% excess, and a yield of 1.16 ft<sup>3</sup>/sack.*
11. TOOH and lay down remainder of work string.
12. RD cement equipment.
13. ND BOP and RDMO workover rig.
14. Dig out wellhead and cut off casing 5 ft below GL. Weld ½-in. steel cap on casing with well name, date inscribed (confined space entry), and information that it was used for CO<sub>2</sub> injection. Dig out deadman if applicable – NDAC § 43-05-01-19(6).  
*Note: Cut off the cables (casing-conveyed gauges and fiber optic).*



15. Within 60 days, submit Form 7 plugging report after plugging operations are complete – NDAC § 43-05-01-11.5(4).
16. Submit notice of intent to reclaim to NDIC 30 days in advance prior to reclamation – NDAC § 43-05-01-18(10d).

The proposed P&A plan for RTE-10.2 is in Figure 4-21 and summarized in Table 4-22.

**Table 4-22. Summary of P&A Plan for RTE-10.2**

<b>Cement Plugs Number</b>	<b>Interval Range, ft</b>		<b>Thickness, ft</b>	<b>Volume, sacks</b>	<b>Note</b>
1	6,258	6,985	727	171	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant cement plug 50 ft above the top of the Opeche Formation to PBTD.
2	4,466	4,903	437	103	CO <sub>2</sub> -resistant balanced cement plug 50 ft above the top of the Mowry Formation and 50 ft below the top of the Inyan Kara Formation.
3	1,678	2,002	324	87	Class G balanced cement plug to isolate the 9 <sup>5</sup> / <sub>8</sub> -in. casing shoe.
4	40	115	75	20	Class G balanced surface cement plug.




**EERC**

# RTE-10.2

Proposed P&A Schematic



12-2020-FINAL



EERC KL59798.AI

Figure 4-21. Schematic of proposed abandonment plan for monitoring well RTE-10.2.

#### **4.7 Postinjection Site and Facility Closure Plan**

This postinjection site care (PISC) and facility closure plan describes the activities that RTE will perform following the cessation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection to achieve final closure of the site. A primary component of this plan is a postinjection monitoring program that will provide evidence that the injected CO<sub>2</sub> plume is stable, i.e., CO<sub>2</sub> migration will be unlikely to move beyond the boundary of the storage facility area. Based on current simulations of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume movement following the cessation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection, it is projected that the CO<sub>2</sub> plume will stabilize within the storage facility area boundary (see Appendix A). Based on these observations, a minimum postinjection monitoring period of 10 years is planned to confirm these current predictions of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent and postinjection stabilization. However, monitoring will be extended beyond 10 years if it is determined that additional data are required to demonstrate a stable CO<sub>2</sub> plume. The nature and duration of that extension will be determined based on an update of this plan and NDIC approval.

In addition to executing the postinjection monitoring program, the Class VI injection and monitoring wells will be plugged as described in the plugging plan of this permit application (Section 4.6), all surface equipment not associated with long-term monitoring will be removed, and the surface land of the site will be reclaimed to as close as is practical to its original condition. Lastly, following the plume stability demonstration, a final assessment will be prepared to document the status of the site and submitted as part of a site closure report.

##### ***4.7.1 Predicted Postinjection Subsurface Conditions***

###### ***4.7.1.1 Pre- and Postinjection Pressure Differential***

Model simulations were performed to estimate the change in pressure in the Broom Creek Formation during and after the cessation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection. The simulations were conducted for 20 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection at a rate of 180,000 tonnes per year, followed by a postinjection period of 10 years. Figure 4-22 shows the predicted pressure differential at the conclusion of 20 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection. As shown, at the time that CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations have stopped, the model predicts an increase in the pressure of the reservoir, with a maximum pressure differential of 35 to 40 psi at the location of the injection well. It is important to note that this maximum pressure increase is not sufficient to move formation fluids from the storage reservoir to the deepest USDW. The details of this pressure evaluation are provided as part of the AoR delineation of this permit application (see Appendix A). A description of the predicted decrease in this pressure profile over the 10-year postinjection period is provided in Figure 4-23. As expected, the pressure in the reservoir gradually decreases over time following the cessation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection, with the pressure at the injection well after 10 years of postinjection predicted to decrease 25 to 30 psi as compared to the pressure at the time CO<sub>2</sub> injection was terminated. This trend of decreasing pressure in the storage reservoir is anticipated to continue over time until the pressure of the storage reservoir approaches the original storage reservoir pressure conditions prior to any CO<sub>2</sub> injection activities.




Figure 4-22. Predicted pressure increase in storage reservoir following 20 years of injection of 180,000 tonnes per year of CO<sub>2</sub>.






Figure 4-23. Predicted decrease in pressure in the storage reservoir over a 10-year period following the cessation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection.

#### 4.7.1.2 Predicted Extent of CO<sub>2</sub> Plume

Also shown in Figures 4-22 and 4-23 are numerical simulation predictions of the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume at the time CO<sub>2</sub> injection was terminated (i.e., after 20 years of injection) and following the planned 10-year PISC period, respectively. The results of these simulations predict that 99.0% of the separate-phase CO<sub>2</sub> mass would be contained within an area of 1.15 mi<sup>2</sup> at the end of CO<sub>2</sub> injection (see Figure 4-22). As shown in Figure 4-23, the areal extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume is not predicted to change substantially over the planned 10-year PISC period.

Additional simulations beyond the 10-year PISC period were also performed and predict that at no time will the boundary of the stabilized plume at the site, which is shown on both Figures 4-22 and 4-23, extend beyond the boundary of the storage facility area. If such a determination can be made following the planned 10-year postinjection period, the CO<sub>2</sub> plume will meet the definition of stabilization as presented in NDCC § 38-22-17(5d) and qualify the geologic storage site for receipt of a certificate of project completion.

#### 4.7.1.3 Postinjection Monitoring Plan

A summary of the postinjection monitoring plan that will be implemented during the 10-year postinjection period is provided in Table 4-23. The plan includes a combination of soil gas and groundwater/USDW monitoring, storage reservoir pressure/temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> saturation monitoring, well integrity testing, and geophysical monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume in the storage reservoir. Each of these monitoring efforts is described in more detail in Table 4-23.

**Table 4-23. Summary of 10-year Postinjection Site Care-Monitoring Program**

Type of Monitoring	Frequency	Comments
<b>Near-Surface Monitoring</b>		
Soil Gas Profile Stations (soil gas sampling locations SS01 and SS02 – Figure 4-24)	Duration: minimum 10 years  Frequency: 3–4 seasonal sample events at soil gas stations SS01 and SS02 performed every 3 years following cessation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection.	Located at the wellsite of the RTE-10 (CO <sub>2</sub> injection well) and the RTE-10.2 (monitoring well) (Figure 4-24).
Groundwater Wells	Duration: 10 years  Frequency: 3–4 sample events at cessation of injection and 3–4 sample events as part of the final site closure assessment.	Sampling will be performed on all active freshwater groundwater wells within the AoR, as shown in Figure 4-24.
Fox Hills Formation	Duration: minimum 10 years  Frequency: 3–4 sample events at cessation of injection and 3–4 sample events as part of the final site closure assessment.	Deepest USDW

Continued . . .

**Table 4-23. Summary of 10-year Postinjection Site Care Monitoring Program (continued)**

Type of Monitoring	Frequency	Comments
<b>Storage Reservoir Monitoring</b>		
Injection Well	Duration: minimum 10 years postinjection	Convert injection well (RTE 10) to postinjection monitoring well for the postinjection monitoring period.
<b>Downhole Monitoring (Injection Well RTE-10 and Monitoring Well RTE-10.2)</b>		
Downhole Pressure and Temperature Gauges	Continuous monitoring of the injection zone and pressure dissipation zone above (e.g., Inyan Kara).	Pressure and temperature monitoring until plume stabilization is demonstrated.
Distributed Fiber Optic (DTS)		
Pulsed-Neutron Log (PNL)	At cessation of injection and once every 5 years thereafter until plume stabilization is demonstrated.	
Ultrasonic Imager Tool (USIT) (External Mechanical Integrity)	Duration: minimum 10 years postinjection  Frequency: Perform during well workovers but not more frequently than once every 5 years.	Will provide corroborating evidence for continuous DTS fiber optic evaluation of external casing mechanical integrity.
Internal Mechanical Integrity • Tubing-Casing Annulus Pressure Test	Duration: minimum 10 years postinjection  Frequency: Perform during well workovers but not more frequently than once every 5 years.	
External Mechanical Integrity (DTS)	Continuous until well plugging and site reclamation.	

Continued . . .

**Table 4-23. Summary of 10-year Postinjection Site Care Monitoring Program (continued)**

Type of Monitoring	Frequency	Comments
<b>Geophysical Monitoring</b>		
Time-Lapse Seismic	Duration: minimum 10-year post-CO <sub>2</sub> injection operations-monitoring plan and until stability of plume is demonstrated.  Frequency: Perform 3D seismic surveys at the cessation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection and every 5 years during the postinjection period.	Time-lapse seismic surveys will continue as part of the 10-year postinjection period to support a stabilization assessment of the CO <sub>2</sub> plume.
InSAR	Continuous	InSAR will give continuous monitoring of ground elevation based on relative surface deformation with InSAR until storage facility achieves stabilization.
Gravity	To be determined	To be determined – repeat gravity survey (minimum of one) to support the demonstration of CO <sub>2</sub> plume stabilization.
Passive Seismicity	Continuous.	Data collected at seismometer stations will be continuously recorded and analyzed to identify seismic events and, if warranted, investigate causation of the seismic event.

**4.7.2 Groundwater and Soil Gas Monitoring**

Two soil gas profile stations, two Fox Hills Formation (i.e., deepest USDW) monitoring wells, and the groundwater wells that were identified and sampled during the operations phase of the project will be sampled during the proposed 10-year PISC period. Figure 4-24 identifies the location of the soil gas profile stations, the Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells, and groundwater monitoring wells that will be included in this monitoring effort. It is proposed that these samples will be analyzed for the same list of parameters as described in the testing and monitoring plan (Section 4.4 of this permit application); however, it is anticipated that the final target list of analytical parameters will likely be reduced for the PISC period based on an evaluation of the monitoring results that are generated during the 20-year injection period of the storage operations.






Figure 4-24. Location of soil gas and groundwater well sampling locations included in the PISC monitoring program.

#### 4.7.3 Monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> Plume and Pressure Front

Monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume location and the storage reservoir pressure will be conducted during the PISC period using the methods summarized in Table 4-23, which are also discussed in more detail in the testing and monitoring plan of this permit application (Section 4.4). Monitoring methods include a combination of formation-monitoring methods (e.g., downhole pressure, temperature, mechanical integrity tests; PNLs, and capture/reservoir saturation tool logs); and geophysical monitoring techniques (i.e., surface and borehole seismic and gravity) that monitor CO<sub>2</sub> saturation. Figure 4-25 provides an areal view of the extents of both the 3D seismic surveys and the borehole seismic (or VSP) surveys as compared to the predicted areal extents of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume at cessation of injection and the stabilized plume.




Figure 4-25. Areal extents of the 3D and borehole seismic surveys proposed during the PISC period in comparison to the areal extents of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume at cessation of injection and the stabilized plume.



#### *4.7.3.1 Schedule for Submitting Postinjection Monitoring Results*

All postinjection site care-monitoring data and monitoring results will be submitted to NDIC in annual reports. These reports will be submitted each year, within 60 days following the anniversary date on which the CO<sub>2</sub> injection ceased.

The annual reports will contain information and data generated during the reporting period, including seismic data acquisition, formation-monitoring data, soil gas and groundwater sample analytical results, and simulation results from updated site models and numerical simulations.

#### *4.7.3.2 Site Closure Plan*

RTE will submit a final site closure plan and notify NDIC at least 90 days prior of its intent to close the site. The site closure plan will describe a set of closure activities that will be performed, following approval by NDIC, at the end of the postinjection site care period. Site closure activities will include the plugging of all wells that are not targeted for use as future subsurface observation wells; the decommissioning of storage facility equipment, appurtenances, and structures (e.g., structures/buildings, gravel pads, access roads, etc.) not associated with monitoring; and the reclaiming of the surface land of the site to as close as is practical to its original condition.

#### *4.7.3.3 Submission of Site Closure Report, Survey, and Deed*

A site closure report will be prepared and submitted to NDIC within 90 days following the execution of the postinjection site care and facility closure plan. This report will provide NDIC with a final assessment that documents the location of the stored CO<sub>2</sub> in the reservoir, describes its characteristics, and demonstrates the stability of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume in the reservoir over time. The site closure report will also document the following:

- Plugging of the verification and geophysical wells (and the injection well if it has not previously been plugged).
- Location of sealed injection well on a plat survey that has been submitted to the local zoning authority.
- Notifications to state and local authorities as required by NDAC § 43-05-01-19.
- Records regarding the nature, composition, and volume of the injected CO<sub>2</sub>.
- Postinjection monitoring records.

At the same time, RTE will also provide NDIC with a copy of an accurate plat certified by a registered surveyor that has been submitted to the county recorder's office designated by NDIC. The plat will indicate the location of the injection well relative to permanently surveyed benchmarks pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-19.

Lastly, RTE will record a notation on the deed (or any other title search document) to the property on which the injection well was located pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-19.

#### 4.8 References

- ASTM International, 2017, ASTM G1-03(2017)e1, Standard practice for preparing, cleaning, and evaluating corrosion specimens: West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania, ASTM International, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org) (accessed December 2020).
- Fischer, K., 2013, Groundwater flow model inversion to assess water availability in the Fox Hills–Hell Creek Aquifer: North Dakota State Water Commission Water Resources Investigation No. 54.
- Leroux, K.M., Klapperich, R.J., Azzolina, N.A., Jensen, M.D., Kalenze, N.S., Bosshart, N.W., Torres Rivero, J.A., Jacobson, L.L., Ayash, S.C., Nakles, D.V., Jiang, T., Oster, B.S., Feole, I.K., Fiala, N.J., Schlasner, S.M., Wilson IV, W.I., Doll, T.E., Hamling, J.A., Gorecki, C.D., Pekot, L.J., Peck, W.D., Harju, J.A., Burnison, S.A., Stevens, B.G., Smith, S.A., Butler, S.K., Glazewski, K.A., Piggott, B., and Vance, A.E., 2017, Integrated carbon capture and storage for North Dakota ethanol production: Final report (November 1, 2016 – May 31, 2017) for North Dakota Industrial Commission and Red Trail Energy, Grand Forks, North Dakota, Energy & Environmental Research Center, May.
- Leroux, K.M., Klapperich, R.J., Jensen, M.D., Kalenze, N.S., Daly, D.J., Crocker, C.R., Ayash, S.C., Azzolina, N.A., Crossland, J.L., Doll, T.E., Gorecki, C.D., Stevens, B.G., Schlasner, S.M., Botnen, B.W., Foerster, C.L., Hamling, J.A., Nakles, D.V., Peck, W.D., Glazewski, K.A., Harju, J.A., Piggott, B., and Vance, A.E., 2018, Integrated carbon capture and storage for North Dakota ethanol production – Phase II: Final report (November 1, 2017 – July 31, 2018) for North Dakota Industrial Commission and Red Trail Energy, Grand Forks, North Dakota, Energy & Environmental Research Center, July.
- Trapp, H., and Croft, M.G., 1975, Geology and ground water resources of Hettinger and Stark Counties North Dakota: U.S. Geological Survey, County Ground Water Studies – 16.





# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## 5.0 INJECTION WELL AND STORAGE OPERATIONS

## 5.0 INJECTION WELL AND STORAGE OPERATIONS

This section of the Storage Facility Permit (SFP) application presents the engineering criteria for completing and operating the injection well in a manner that protects underground sources of drinking water (USDWs). The information that is presented meets the permit requirements for injection well and storage operations as presented in North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) § 43-05-01-05 (SFP, Table 5-1) and NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3

**Table 5-1. RTE-10 Proposed Injection Well Operating Parameters**

Item	Values	Description/Comments
<b>Injected Volume</b>		
Total Injected Volume	3.7 million tonnes (71 Bscf)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year (3.5 Bscf/year) for 20 years at an average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day (using 360 operating days per year).
<b>Injection Rates</b>		
Proposed Average Injection Rate	500 tonnes/day (9.6 MMscf/day)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year for 20 years (using 360 operating days per year).
Calculated Maximum Daily Injection Rate	4,100 tonnes/day (120 MMscf/day)	Based on surface maximum injection pressure (2,250 psi).
<b>Pressures</b>		
Formation Fracture Pressure at Top Perforation	4,466 psi	Modular dynamics testing (MDT) results fracture propagation formation fracture gradient of 0.7 psi/ft.
Average Operating Surface Injection Pressure	1,300 psi	Proposed injection well operating surface injection pressure.
Surface Maximum Injection Pressure	2,250 psi	Based on maximum pressure rating of the flow line.
Average Operating Bottomhole Pressure (BHP)	3,000 psi	An average BHP of 3,000 psi based on average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day.
Maximum BHP	4,019 psi	Calculated maximum BHP 4,019 psi based 90% of the formation fracture pressure 4,466 psi
Tubing-Casing Annular Pressure	100 psi	Variance requested (see Section 5.3) from NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure.

### 5.1 RTE-10 Well – Proposed Completion Procedure to Conduct Injection Operations

Red Trail Energy (RTE) constructed the RTE-10 well (Figure 5-1 and Table 5-2) with intentions to conduct CO<sub>2</sub> stream injection operations, as referenced in previous sections. The following proposed completion procedure outlines the steps necessary to complete the RTE-10 well for injection purposes.




# EERC

## RTE-10

As-Constructed Well Schematic



08-2020-Post\_Drilling



Note:

Depths are updated based on Casing Collar Locator (CCL) log performed on July 30, 2020

Not to scale

Figure 5-1. RTE-10 as-constructed wellbore schematic.

**Table 5-2. RTE-10 Wellbore Casing and Proposed Injection Tubing Properties**

<b>o.d., in.</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Weight, lb/ft</b>	<b>Connection</b>	<b>i.d., in.</b>	<b>Drift i.d., in.</b>	<b>Collapse, psi</b>	<b>Burst, psi</b>	<b>Tension, klb</b>
7	L-80	29	LTC	6.184	6.059	7,030	8,160	587
7	13Cr-80	29	VAM TOP	6.184	6.059	7,030	8,160	587
3½	13Cr-80	9.2	JFEBEAR	2.992	2.867	10,540	10,160	207.2

## **RTE-10 Proposed Completion Procedure for CO<sub>2</sub> Injectate Well**

### Site and Well Work Preparation

- Contact the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) and provide schedule to perform NDIC-approved well work.
- Work road and location as needed for safe operations.
- Install rig anchors and test to 20,000 lbf (or as required). If installed, confirm recent anchor test date and that tension has been performed according to company policy.
- Confirm actual casing depths and casing-conveyed gauges with the company representative and designated field engineer.
- Conduct safety meetings prior to shifts and treatments.
- Move in rental equipment:
  1. ~7,000 ft of 2⅞-in. L-80 workover (WO) string – inspect and drift tubing prior to use.
  2. Four 400-barrel (bbl) tanks filled with produced saltwater.
- Move in ~6,400 ft of 3½-in. 13Cr-80 injection tubing plus pup joints, inspect and drift tubing prior to running downhole.

### Clean Wellbore and Test Production Casing

1. Move in and rig up (MIRU) workover rig.
2. Check wellhead pressure gauge for pressure prior to removing wellhead. If under pressure, bleed pressure off slowly to a tank if possible.
3. Nipple down (ND) wellhead (7⅛-in. valve and night cap).
4. Nipple up (NU) blowout preventer (BOP), record BOP test with a low/high pressure of 250 psi/4,000 psi.
5. Pick up (PU) 2⅞-in. L-80 WO string.
6. Round-trip (RT) 6-in. bit on 2⅞-in. L-80 WO string and tag plug back total depth (PBSD).
7. Fill 2⅞-in. WO string with 40 bbl of produced saltwater and circulate hole with bottoms up, a minimum of 201 bbl of produced saltwater.  
*Record volume required to fill/catch pressure if fluid level is not at surface.*
8. Lay down (LD) 6-in. bit and stand back 2⅞-in. L-80 WO string.



9. Pressure-test production casing to 1,000 psi.
  - a. Top off production casing with produced saltwater.
  - b. Pressure casing to 1,000 psi and shut-in valves, record pressure for a minimum of 30 min.
  - c. If casing pressure drops more than 10% variance (NDAC § 43-02-03-21), contact designated field engineer and RTE representative for further instructions.

#### Run Cased-Hole Logs

10. MIRU wireline service company.
11. Rig up (RU) wireline lubricator and pressure-test to 4,000 psi.
12. Run in hole (RIH) with ultrasonic–variable density log (VDL) –casing collar locator (CCL) – temperature–gamma ray (GR) log from plug back total depth (PBTD) to surface.
13. Review cement evaluation log with designated field engineer and wireline company domain. If poor cement shows, repeat test with 1,000 psi applied pressure on production casing. Correlate the cement log depths with the triple combo openhole log March 2020 and with the isolation scanner log July 2020.

#### Perforate Broom Creek Formation

14. RU perforating guns to perforate the Broom Creek Formation to encompass depths from 6,432 to 6,676 ft measured depth (MD), Figure 5-2, with proposed intervals denoted by the green-shaded sections utilizing the RTE-10\_triple combo openhole log March 2020.
  - a. Halliburton recommends a minimum of 10 ft from the casing-conveyed bottomhole temperature and pressure (BHT/P) gauges, at 6,410.5 and 6,417.5 ft to minimize impact.
  - b. Actual perforation depths will be determined by designated geologist and engineers and based on the log analysis review.
  - c. Perforation parameters recommended for ~0.46-in. holes with  $\pm 28$  in. penetration and 6 spf 60° phasing.
15. Rig down (RD) wireline service company.



Perform Injection Test and Stimulate Broom Creek Formation

16. PU 7-in. retrievable packer on 2 $\frac{7}{8}$ -in. L-80 WO string and set at  $\pm 6,390$  ft.  
Avoid setting packer within 10 ft of casing-conveyed BHT/P gauges installed at 6,410.5 and 6,417.5 ft.
17. Fill 2 $\frac{7}{8}$ -in. WO string with 37 bbl and top off annulus with produced saltwater.
18. Pressure-test packer via annulus to 1,000 psi for 15 min. If greater than 10% variance, discuss with RTE and designated field representative, as packer will need to be reset.
19. RU pump service company
  - a. Hold prejob safety meeting and fill out job safety analysis (JSA).
  - b. Pressure-test surface lines to 5,000 psi.
  - c. Set pressure relief valve (PRV) at 4,000 psi or the maximum surface treating pressure.
  - d. Monitor annulus with annular pressure gauge for communication.
  - e. Ensure treating fluid has temporary clay stabilizer added. Actual injection fluid is to be determined (TBD) by selected vendor.
  - f. Open master valve and perform proposed step rate injection test (SRT), detailed in Table 5-3.
    - a. Inject at step rates of 1 barrel per minute (bpm).
    - b. Inject at constant rate for 15-min increments.
  - g. After indication of formation breakdown (change in pressure slope):
    - a. Continue to inject at breakdown rate for an additional 15 min.
    - b. Increase rate by  $\pm 1$  bpm (as pump truck capable) for an additional 15 min.
    - c. Continuously record rate vs. pressure data throughout the entire test.
  - h. Shut down and record instant shut-in pressure (ISIP), 5-, 10-, and 15-min pressure readings.
  - i. Shut-in well via master valve and bleed pressure off the surface lines back to the pump truck.
  - j. Monitor and record all pressures for initial reservoir radial flow and continue to monitor for stable radial flow as required (NDAC § 43-05-01-11.2), for pressure falloff testing.
  - k. RD service company pumping equipment.

**Table 5-3. RTE-10 Proposed Step Rate Injection Test of Broom Creek Formation**

Step	Rate, bpm	Time, min	Volume, bbl	Cumulative Volume, bbl	Max. Tubing Pressure, psi	Casing Pressure, psi	Comments
0	0	0	0	0		500	Pressure test
1	0.75	15	11.25	11.25			Minimum in lockup
2	1	15	15	26.25			
3	2	15	30	56.25			
4	3	15	45	101.25			
5	4	15	60	161.25			
6	5	15	75	236.25			
7	6	15	90	326.25			
8	7	15	105	431.25			
9	8	15	120	551.25			
10	8.5	15	127.5	678.75			
ISIP							Record ISIP
5 min							Record 5-min SIP
10 min							Record 10-min SIP
15 min							Record 15-min SIP
<b>Total</b>		150		678.75			

20. If operations are not continuous after SRT above, RU pump service company for stimulation.
  - a. Hold prejob safety meeting and fill out JSA.
  - b. Pressure-test surface lines to 5,000 psi.
  - c. Set PRV at 4,000 psi, or maximum surface treating pressure, not to exceed determined fracture pressure.
  - d. Monitor annulus for communication.
21. Perform a matrix acid, hydrochloric or hydrofluoric, treatment based on recommendation of chosen vendor based on formation solubility test.
22. **Maximum pressure not to exceed formation fracture pressure determined in SRT.**
23. Remain shut-in and monitor as recommended.
24. RD service pump company.
25. Trip out of hole (TOOH) and LD 7-in. retrievable packer and 2<sup>7</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-in. WO string.
26. Change out the pipe ram from 2<sup>7</sup>/<sub>8</sub> to 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> in. and pressure-test accordingly (test low/high 250 psi/4,000 psi).
27. MIRU wireline service company.
28. Install and pressure-test lubricator to 4,000 psi.



29. Make up 3½-in. chrome wireline reentry guide, XN and 7-in. × 3½-in. packer assembly (wireline-set packer) with pump-out plug or ceramic burst disc.
30. Set 7-in. chrome packer at ±6,385 ft.
  - a. Note: If packer is set greater than 50 ft from top perforation, NDIC variance is required (NDAC § 43-05-01-11).
  - b. Avoid setting packer within 10 ft of casing-conveyed BHT/P gauges installed at 6,410.5 and 6,417.5 ft.
  - c. Avoid setting packer in casing collars at 6,364.4 and 6,405.6 ft, based upon casing tally.
  - d. Ensure the end of tubing has the ability to land a plug and prong or alternative plug while maintaining the largest inner diameter possible (alternative plug types available).
31. Pressure-test packer to 1,000 psi, pending maximum injection pressure, with rig pump. Ensure that pressure does not exceed tubing pump-out plug rating (~2,100 psi).
32. Rig down move out (RDMO) wireline service company.
33. Make up seal assembly, locator subs, and necessary connections. RIH with 3½-in. chrome tubing (13Cr -80, 9.2#, JFEBEAR).
34. Pump 161.5 bbl corrosion-inhibited packer fluid down 3½-in. tubing and displace with 56 bbl clean saltwater to displace packer fluid into the annulus.
35. Sting the seal-bore assembly into the packer bore, space out and stack ±30,000 lb compression on packer. Pre-pressure-test annulus, packer, and seal bore to 1,000 psi for 30 min with rig pump. Record pressure readings every 5 min.
36. Contact NDIC to witness mechanical integrity test (MIT) 24-hr prior to official testing.
  - a. Pressure well to 1,000 psi, or as directed by NDIC while charting entire pressure test.
  - b. NDIC must witness MIT in accordance with state regulations.
37. Land tubing with tubing head, lock down, and secure.
38. ND BOP and NU proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead, Figure 5-3.
39. Pressure up tubing to ±2,100 psi to pump out the plug using the rig pump.
40. RDMO workover rig, continuing to be careful of wellhead equipment. Load out surplus equipment. Clear and clean location.
41. Well is to begin injection operations after NDIC approval, including approved MIT.
42. Well is ready for installation of surface equipment for injection operations, Figure 5-4, proposed completed wellbore.




Figure 5-3. RTE-10 well – proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead schematic – Cameron Supplier.




# EERC

## RTE-10

Proposed Completed Well Schematic



10-2020-V005



Note:

\* Depths have not been confirmed

Not to scale

Figure 5-4. RTE-10 well – proposed completed wellbore schematic.

## **5.2 RTE-10.2 Well – Proposed Procedure for Monitoring Well Operations**

RTE constructed a second well, the RTE-10.2, Figure 5-5, for direct reservoir-monitoring purposes, as referenced in Section 4, to support deep subsurface monitoring of the RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> stream injection well. Monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume location and the storage reservoir pressure will be conducted continuously through use of the casing-conveyed temperature and pressure gauges installed on the outside of the long-string production casing. Monitoring will be conducted during injection operations, Table 4-6, as well as during the PISC period using the methods summarized in Table 4-23, which are also discussed in more detail in the Testing and Monitoring section of this permit application. Monitoring methods include a combination of formation-monitoring methods (e.g., downhole pressure, downhole temperature, MITs; pulsed-neutron capture/reservoir saturation tool logs) that support CO<sub>2</sub> plume stabilization assessments.






# RTE-10.2

As-Constructed Well Schematic



10-2020-Post\_Drilling



**Note:**

This schematic has been updated post-drilling before CBL logging in the long-string hole section. PBTd at 6,985' based on the GR/CCL during gauge verification pre-cement.

Not to scale

Figure 5-5. RTE-10.2 as-constructed well schematic.

**Table 5-4. RTE-10.2 As-Constructed Wellbore Casing Properties**

<b>o.d., in.</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Weight, lb/ft</b>	<b>Connection</b>	<b>i.d., in.</b>	<b>Drift i.d., in.</b>	<b>Collapse, psi</b>	<b>Burst, psi</b>	<b>Tension, klb</b>
7	L-80	29	LTC	6.184	6.059	7,030	8,160	587
7	13Cr-80	29	Tenaris Blue®	6.184	6.125	7,030	8,160	587

## **RTE-10.2 – Proposed Procedure for Monitoring Well for CO<sub>2</sub> Plume**

### Site and Well Work Preparation


- Contact NDIC and provide schedule to perform NDIC-approved well work.
- Work road and location as needed for safe operations.
- Conduct safety meetings prior to shifts and treatments.

### Install Wellhead

1. Check wellhead pressure gauge for wellbore pressure prior to removing wellhead. If under pressure, bleed pressure off slowly to a tank if possible.
2. ND current wellhead assembly (7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>16</sub>-in. valve and night cap).
3. NU CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead, Figure 5-6, Cameron Supplier.
4. Pressure-test production casing to 1,000 psi.
  - a. Top off/fill casing with produced saltwater – *Record volume required to fill if fluid level is not at surface.*
  - b. PU casing to 1,000 psi. Shut-in valves, record pressure for a minimum of 30 min.
  - c. If casing pressure drops more than 10% variance (NDAC § 43-02-03-21) contact designated field engineer and RTE representative for further instructions.

### Run Cased-Hole Logs

5. MIRU wireline service company.
6. RIH with ultrasonic–VDL–CCL–temperature–GR log from PBTD to surface. If TOC is not at surface, discuss with RTE company representative.
7. Review cement evaluation log with field engineer and wireline company domain. If poor cement shows, repeat with 1,000 psi pressure on production casing. Correlate the log depths with RTE-10.2\_triple combo openhole log October 2020 and compare with the RTE-10.2\_isolation scanner log October 2020.
8. RD wireline service company.
9. Install surface equipment installation for continual monitoring operations with proposed completed wellbore, Figure 5-7.




CAMERON CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION			
DO NOT SCALE			Surface Systems
DRAWN BY: C.MOORE	DATE: 12/18/2019		
CHECKED BY: N.PRIVETT	DATE: 12/18/2019	3-1/8" 5K Production Tree	
DRAWING NO.: 1762404	REV: 01		

Figure 5-6. RTE-10.2 well – proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead schematic – Cameron Supplier.




# EERC

## RTE-10.2

Proposed Completed Well Schematic



10-2020-Post\_Drilling



**Note:**

This schematic has been updated post-drilling before CBL logging in the long-string hole section. PBTD at 6,985' based on the GR/CCL during gauge verification pre-cement.

Not to scale

Figure 5-7. RTE-10.2 well – proposed completed wellbore schematic.



### **5.3 Variance Request for Operating Annular Pressure**

RTE requests a variance from NDAC §43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain pressure on the tubing-casing annulus that exceeds the operating injection pressure. The basis for this request is to minimize the risk of well integrity degradation.

NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 states in part, “The storage operator shall maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure, unless the commission determines that such requirement might harm the integrity of the well or endanger underground sources of drinking water.”

The RTE-10 proposed CO<sub>2</sub> injection well is designed to operate at 1,300 psi surface injection pressure, with a maximum surface injection pressure at 2,250 psi. Operating the annulus pressure above these injection pressures could result in the debonding of the well cement interfaces with the long-string casing being exposed to varying pressures throughout the wellbore. Micro annuli are the most common failures caused by the tensile forces exceeding the cement bonding strength (ARMA 18-1298, Numerical investigations of cement interface debonding for assessing well integrity risks).

RTE is proposing to operate the RTE-10 annular pressure at 100 psi (Table 5-1).



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## APPENDIX A

### DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS

# **DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS**

## **INTRODUCTION**

A detailed geologic model of the Red Trail Energy (RTE) site was built to simulate carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) injection for 20 years and assess the site's fitness for permanent geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage. The RTE site is located near Richardton, North Dakota, in the south-central portion of North Dakota's Williston Basin. RTE will be injecting 180,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> into the sandstone of the underlying Broom Creek Formation. During the creation of the geologic model, data from RTE-10.2 were not yet ready for integration. Well logs from RTE-10.2 were later used to verify and correlate data from RTE-10. A 3D seismic survey was collected over the RTE site, and a stratigraphic test well was drilled on location to augment data available from the few offset wells in the study area. Data collected from these sources were incorporated into a geologic model of the Broom Creek Formation and the overlying and underlying sealing formations. Simulated CO<sub>2</sub> injection studies were conducted to determine the wellhead and downhole pressure resulting from injection and how the injected CO<sub>2</sub> would distribute in the Broom Creek. Reservoir conditions observed from the stratigraphic test well were used to establish the initial conditions. Results of the injection studies were then used to determine the project's area of review (AoR) pursuant to North Dakota's geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage regulations.

A geologic model was constructed using Schlumberger's Petrel software suite. Petrel is a software platform that allows for the development of geologic models using well and seismic data in combination with geostatistics. The geologic model represents the subsurface geology of the proposed CO<sub>2</sub> storage reservoir and its upper and lower confining zones, which are made up of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations and the upper interval (i.e., 50 ft) of the Amsden Formation (Figure A-1). Geologic properties were distributed within the 3D volume of the reservoir as inputs for numerical simulations of CO<sub>2</sub> injection to predict the migration of CO<sub>2</sub> and pressure effects throughout the storage reservoir. These geologic properties included 1) lithofacies/lithology (bodies of rock with similar geologic characteristics), which were used to assign relative permeability data; 2) porosity; 3) matrix permeability; 4) temperature; and 5) pressure.

Multiple sets of data were used to construct the geologic model. Publicly available data, which included well logs and formation top depths, were acquired from the online database of the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC). Site-specific data, which were collected as part of storage reservoir characterization efforts and included geophysical well logs, petrophysical analyses, formation fluid analyses, and a surface seismic survey, were also used in the model construction.

The well logs acquired in the RTE-10 well were used to pick formation top depths, interpret lithology, estimate petrophysical properties, and determine a time–depth shift for seismic data. Formation top depths were picked from the top of the Pierre Formation to the top of the Amsden Formation. Regional formation top depths from wellbores within a 25-mile radius of the study area were added to these existing site-specific data to understand the geologic extent, depth, and thickness of subsurface geologic strata. Lateral structure trends from the acquired seismic data were used to reinforce interpolation of the formation tops to create structural surfaces which served as inputs for geologic model construction.




Figure A-1. Stratigraphic column identifying the storage reservoir and confining zones for the geology overlying the storage facility area.



Core samples obtained from the RTE-10 wellbore were analyzed and added to existing Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden data sets that were obtained from the NDIC database. These analyses included x-ray fluorescence (XRF), x-ray diffraction (XRD), thin sections, porosity, and flow measurements. Learnings from these site-specific core data analyses and well logs collected from the RTE-10 wellbore were used to determine Broom Creek Formation lithologies in legacy wellbores throughout the area for which no core data were collected. Lithologies assigned to each wellbore were then used to generate the facies properties of the Broom Creek Formation. Eleven offset wells with porosity logs were used to inform petrophysical property distributions in addition to the core data from RTE-10. The various data sets derived from RTE-10 showed good agreement with the limited offset well data available near the RTE-10 site.

## **OVERVIEW OF SIMULATION ACTIVITIES**

### **Modeling of the Injection Zone and Overlying and Underlying Seals**

The geologic modeling activities performed to characterize the injection zone and overlying and underlying sealing formations included data aggregation, structural modeling, data analysis, property distribution (including, lithofacies and petrophysical properties), and uncertainty analysis. Major inputs for the geologic model, which acted as control points during distribution of the geologic properties throughout the modeled area, included seismic survey data, nearby well logs, and core sample measurements.

### **Structural Framework**

Structural modeling of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formation surfaces was accomplished using interpolation methods with Petrel software. Input data included formation top depths, from the online NDIC database and data collected from the RTE-10 well and a 3D seismic survey conducted at the site. The interpolated data were used to constrain the model extent in 3D space.

### **Data Analysis and Property Distribution**

#### ***Confining Zones (Opeche and Amsden Formations)***

The Opeche and Amsden Formations were assigned a single lithology, based on their primary lithology determined by well log analysis to be shale and dolostone, respectively. Porosity and permeability logs, after comparison with core data sets, were upscaled from a well log scale to the scale of the geologic model grid to serve as control points for property distributions in combination with circular 5000-ft-diameter variogram structures in the lateral direction and a 10-ft vertical variogram length.

#### ***Injection Zone (Broom Creek Formation)***

Seismic data were resampled to match the resolution of the geologic model grid and used to determine lateral heterogeneity within the geologic model via a variogram assessment. On a general level, variograms are geostatistical structures used to model semivariance and express the rate of change of a regionalized variable along a specific orientation (Davis, 2002). Variogram mapping investigations, which entailed experimenting with the size and shape of variograms in several azimuthal directions, indicated that geobody structures with the following dimensions are

present in the Broom Creek Formation: major axis range of 4,000 ft, minor axis range of 3,100 ft, and an azimuth of 75°. Well logs recorded from the RTE-10 wellbore served as the basis for deriving a vertical variogram length of 15 ft.

To aid in discovering trends between well log data and primary wave velocity (Vp) seismic data, available sonic well logs ( $\Delta T$ ) in the area were transformed to Vp logs ( $1,000,000/\Delta T$ ). The Vp logs were smoothed to resolve vertical resolution differences between the two data sets. For each point in the derived Vp log, a smoothing algorithm calculated an arithmetic average from the point itself and the seven samples above and below. With this smoothing method, a correlation coefficient of 0.922 was observed between the Vp logs and Vp seismic (Figure A-2). This correlation allows for a higher level of control when using seismic results to apply trends during property distributions.




Figure A-2. Correlation coefficient between well log-derived Vp and seismic Vp data:  
 1) correlation coefficient of 0.522 was determined based on the initial data (left panel) and  
 2) correlation coefficient of 0.922 was determined after performing smoothing every 15 samples to resolve vertical resolution differences (right panel).

Because of a low count of well logs containing DT logs near the RTE-10 wellsite, two pseudologs were added to the geologic model, one at the north (Pseudo\_North) and one at the south (Pseudo\_South) edges (Figure A-3). Only sonic data from wells from outside the bounds of the model were projected onto the pseudowells, which were used to help control Vp distribution outside of the seismic boundary. Sonic data from well 9074 was projected on to Pseudo\_North and sonic data from well 8169 was projected on to Pseudo\_South.




Figure A-3. Map of the geologic model boundary (orange polygon), seismic survey (blue polygon), SW-NE cross section (A = A' in green), pseudo-DT logs (Pseudo North and Pseudo South) and nearby wells with available DT logs overlain on a structural surface of the Broom Creek Formation. Sonic data from Well 9074 was projected on to Pseudo North, and sonic data from Well 8169 was projected onto Pseudo South.

Facies distributions were performed by applying a value cutoff to the distributed Vp property. A cutoff of 12,500 ft/s was selected after comparing porosity and gamma ray logs to derived Vp well logs (Figure A-4). All cells with Vp values >12,500 ft/s were designated as dolostone, while cells with Vp values <12,500 ft/s were classified as sandstone (Figure A-5 and A-6). Figure A-7 reflects the sandstone and dolostone heterogeneity and the correlation of the Vp property based upon seismic data.




Figure A-4. Upscaled gamma ray logs vs. upscaled Vp logs (left panel) and upscaled porosity logs vs. upscaled Vp logs (right panel). Upscaled cells colored by interpreted lithology: yellow represents sandstone and purple represents dolostone. A cutoff of 12,500 ft/s captures the primary interpreted lithologies within the injection zone.






Figure A-5. Lithofacies classification based on a Vp cutoff value of 12,500 ft/s. Sandstone and dolostone heterogeneity is reflected and correlates well with the Vp property based on seismic data (Figure A-7). Vertical units on the Y-axis are displayed as feet below sea level (30× vertical exaggeration shown).




Figure A-6. Lithofacies classification in wells RTE-10 and 6797. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) gamma ray (green) and caliper (red); 2) delta time (dark purple) and sonic porosity (light purple); 3) neutron porosity (dark blue), density porosity (red), and core porosity (black dots); 4) permeability (light blue) and core permeability (black dots); 5) derived primary velocity (dark red; 6) interpreted lithology log; and 7) calculated lithology based upon primary velocity cutoff.




Figure A-7. Distributed Vp property along the SW–NE cross section, as illustrated in Figure A-3. The distributed Vp property was used to distribute lithofacies and petrophysical properties to seismic data. Vertical units on the Y-axis are displayed as feet below mean sea level (30× vertical exaggeration shown).

Prior to distributing the porosity property, core data from the RTE-10 well were compared with well logs to ensure good agreement between the two data sets. A porosity property was distributed using porosity well logs, upscaled to the resolution of the 3D model (approximately 7.0 ft on average) as control points; variogram structures described previously; and the distributed Vp property as a secondary cokriging variable.

After porosity was distributed, a sandstone connected volume property of the sandstone was estimated. The connected volume property estimates the total gridded volume of sandstone cells which are next to one another, effectively creating a single connected sandstone. This property, used in combination with the distributed porosity property, yielded an estimate of the pore volume of the sandstone throughout the model.

### Uncertainty Analysis and Case Selection

An uncertainty analysis was performed on several properties, (i.e., Vp, lithofacies, porosity, and connected volume) to account for the uncertainty inherently associated with any geologic modeling activity and the stochastic nature of the property distributions. This was achieved by generating hundreds of realizations of each property, which would be analyzed and reduced to representative cases. Realizations were generated by randomly altering the parameters of the Vp and porosity distributions and then regenerating the associated connected volume. Specifically, the Vp cutoff was randomly altered by up to  $\pm 150$  ft/ms for lithofacies classification and the porosity range was randomly altered by  $\pm 1$  porosity unit (pu). A total of 826 realizations were generated.

The method from Belobraydic and Kaufman (2014) was used to select a number of cases from the 826 realizations, based on the ratio of the total pore volume to the connected sand pore volume. One hundred cases were chosen by using linear regression of the midpoints of these ratios from P10, P25, P33, P50, P67, P75, and P90 rankings (Figure A-8). The first 100 points closest to the regression line were chosen and ranked by connected sand pore volume. The median case from each ranking set was then chosen as the basis for the remainder of the modeling activities.

For each median case selected from the uncertainty analysis and ranking, permeability was distributed in a similar manner to the porosity property. Permeability logs, once upscaled from well log resolution to the resolution of the 3D grid, had the expected logarithmic relationship with upscaled porosity logs (Figure A-9). After distribution methods were tested, it was found the correlation trend matched upscale data more consistently after a base-10 logarithm was applied to upscaled permeability values prior to distribution. This allowed the permeability values to be distributed along a better fit to the porosity trend as scalar values. Permeability was distributed using 1) upscaled values as control points converted to scalar values by applying the logarithmic, 2) previously described variogram ranges, and 3) the distributed porosity volume as an ordinarily kriged trend. The ordinary kriging algorithm recalculated a mean for each location based upon the porosity-permeability trend. In effect, the resulting property better fit the trend of the observed porosity-permeability trend. Finally, a power function was used to return the distributed permeability values back to the original logarithmic scale.

A small artifact in the porosity and permeability relationship is visible (Figure A-9) in a small percentage of sandstone cells (0.14% of model pore volume) reaching a permeability “floor” of 20 mD. The artifact is attributed to the lithofacies classification and the minimum range of permeability within the classified sand lithofacies. Upscaled permeability values demonstrate a minimum permeability of 20 mD for cells classified as sand lithofacies. Therefore, a minimum permeability value for the entire model was assigned to 20 mD for sandstone classified cells, resulting in a modeling artifact for porosity vs. permeability crossplots.







Figure A-8. Illustration of the selection process from an ensemble of 826 property realizations (Belobraydic and Kaufman, 2014). Total modeled pore volume is displayed along the X-axis. Pore volume of the classified sand lithofacies is displayed along the Y-axis; both axes use millions of barrels as units. Each realization is displayed as a point on the graph. Colored points represent probability groups, P10 (red), P25 (blue), P33 (light purple), P50 (large gray points), P67 (orange), P75 (green), and P90 (pink). Large magenta points represent median cases of each probability group. Selected cases are represented by bold black dots and are chosen according to distance from the linear regression of the median cases.



EERC KL59640.AI

Figure A-9. Illustration of the relationship between the modeled porosity and permeability. Upscaled well log values are represented by triangles, while circles represent distributed values. Values are colored according to lithofacies distribution, as seen in Figure A-5 (yellow = sandstone; purple = dolostone). The logarithmic relationship between upscaled values is illustrated.

Temperature data recorded from logging the RTE-10 wellbore were used to derive a temperature gradient of 0.016°F/ft for the proposed injection site. In combination with depth, this temperature gradient was used to calculate subsurface temperatures throughout the geologic model of the study area. Pressure testing within the RTE-10 well was performed with a modular formation dynamics tester (MDT) logging tool. Multiple pressure readings recorded from the Broom Creek Formation were used to derive a pore pressure gradient of 0.45 psi/ft (Table A-1). Combined with depth, this gradient was used to distribute pressure throughout the geologic model.

**Table A-1. MDT Pressure Measurements Recorded from the RTE-10 Well and Derived Formation Pressure Gradients**

Test Depth, ft MD*	Formation Pressure, psi	Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft
6,438	2,932.88	0.45
6,441	2,932.21	0.45
6,511	2,963.00	0.45
6,539	2,976.54	0.45
6,540	2,975.64	0.45

\* Measured depth.

Both calculated temperature and pressure, along with the reference datum depth, were used to initialize the reservoir equilibrium condition for performing numerical simulations using Computer Modelling Group's (CMG's) GEM, a fully compositional equation-of-state (EOS) reservoir simulator. A compositional simulator is the one of the most mechanistically accurate methods to solve compositional multiphase fluid flow processes. It utilizes cubic equations of state, such as Peng–Robinson's EOS, which calculates thermal dynamic properties of fluids within the reservoir, including the resulting mixture of fluids when CO<sub>2</sub> is injected into the saline formation. During the simulation process for this study, the compositional EOS simulator accounts for and estimates CO<sub>2</sub> solubility, residual gas trapping, and flow dynamics through a duration of time.

### Numerical Simulation

Numerical simulations of CO<sub>2</sub> injection into the Broom Creek Formation were conducted using the geologic model of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations described above. Simulations were carried out using CMG's GEM, a compositional reservoir simulation module (Figure A-10). The simulation model boundaries were assigned infinite-acting conditions to allow lateral water flux and pressure dispersion through the simulated-boundary aquifer. The reservoir was assumed to be 100% brine saturated with an initial formation salinity of 164,000 ppm total dissolved solids (TDS). The fluid model used Henry's solubility model, which allowed CO<sub>2</sub> to dissolve into the native formation brine. Both the relative permeability and the capillary pressure data for Broom Creek were analyzed and generated through the laboratory evaluation at the EERC (Figure A-11). Relative permeability curves were not upscaled or smoothed to avoid significantly altering the data and correlations determined from the laboratory evaluation. Table A-2 shows the general properties used for numerical simulation analysis in this study. The injection well, RTE-10, is simulated as perforated across the Broom Creek Formation interval. The RTE-10 well constraints and wellbore model inputs for the simulation model are shown in Table A-3.

### Sensitivity Analysis

Because the availability of data for this study included well logs, core data, and rock-fluid properties (such as relative permeability), the need to investigate influential parameters in typical sensitivity studies has been reduced. Wellhead temperature, tubing roughness, permeability/porosity reduction, and formation compressibility were the parameters that remained to be analyzed for larger influences on simulation results. A preliminary sensitivity analysis suggested that, at the given injection volume, wellhead temperature played the most prominent role in determining wellhead pressure response. Thus a higher wellhead temperature value was chosen for the well constraint during the simulation study.




Figure A-10. The 3D view of the simulation model with the permeability property displayed. Note the low-permeability layers (dark blue) at the top and bottom of the figure. These layers represent the Opeche Formation (upper) and the Amsden Formation (lower). The varied permeability of the Broom Creek is observed in between these layers.







Figure A-11. Relative permeability (top) and capillary pressure curves (bottom) for the Broom Creek Formation.

**Table A-2. Summary of Reservoir Properties in the Simulation Model**

<b>Average Permeability, mD</b>	<b>Average Porosity, %</b>	<b>Initial Pressure, P<sub>i</sub>, psi</b>	<b>Salinity, ppm</b>	<b>Boundary Condition</b>
Opeche: 0.03 Broom Creek: ~471 Amsden: ~0.54	Opeche: ~14 Broom Creek: ~23 Amsden: ~4	~2,900	164,000	Open (infinite- acting)

**Table A-3. Well Constraints and Wellbore Model in the Simulation Model**

<b>Primary Constraint, injection rate</b>	<b>Secondary Constraint, wellhead pressure</b>	<b>Tubing Size</b>	<b>Wellhead Temperature</b>	<b>Downhole Temperature</b>
500 tonnes/day	1,500 psi	3.5 in.	90°F	148°F

### Simulation Results

The model incorporated the latest geologic data acquired from well logs, core, and the rock-fluid property (relative permeability). Therefore, most of the influential parameters which typically need to be investigated in a sensitivity study have been reduced to wellhead temperature, tubing roughness, permeability/porosity reduction, and formation compressibility. A preliminary sensitivity analysis suggested, with the given injection volume, the wellhead temperature played the most important role in determining the wellhead pressure response. Thus a higher wellhead temperature value was chosen for the well constraint during the simulation study.

Simulation with the given well constraints predicted that wellhead injection pressure (WHP) will not exceed 1,300 psi during injection operations, and the bottomhole pressure (BHP) is expected to rise to just above 3,000 psi (Figure A-12). The injection rate was held constant over the 20 years of injection. At the end of 20 years of simulated injection, a total of 3.7 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> was injected into the Broom Creek Formation (Figure A-13).

During and after injection, free-phase (supercritical) CO<sub>2</sub> accounts for the majority of CO<sub>2</sub> observed in the model's pore space, but the mass of free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> declines during the postinjection period. Throughout the injection operation, a portion of the free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> is trapped in the formation's pores through a process known as residual trapping. In residual trapping, a portion of the CO<sub>2</sub> that enters a pore clings to the pore wall and is unable to exit the pore. CO<sub>2</sub> also dissolves into the formation brine throughout injection operations (and continues afterwards), although the rate of dissolution slows over time. The relative portions of free-phase, trapped, and dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> can be tracked throughout the duration of the simulation (Figure A-14).




Figure A-12. WHP and BHP response with the expected injection rate.




Figure A-13. Cumulative injected gas mass over 20 years of injection.






Figure A-14. Simulated total dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> in brine, supercritical-phase CO<sub>2</sub>, and trapped CO<sub>2</sub>.

The pressure plume shows the distribution of pressure increase in the Broom Creek Formation during the 20-year injection period. Figure A-15 shows where the pressure increase is greater than 10 psi. The largest increase will appear in the near-wellbore area, where a maximum increase of 52 psi is observed.






Figure A-15. Pressure response at the top of the Broom Creek Formation at the end of a simulated 20-year CO<sub>2</sub> injection operation. The area adjacent to the injection wellbore is expected to experience a pressure increase of 52 psi.

Long-term CO<sub>2</sub> migration potential was also investigated through the numerical simulation efforts. The slow lateral migration of the plume is caused by the effects of buoyancy where the free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> injected into the formation rises to the cap rock or lower-permeability layers present in the Broom Creek and then outward. This process results in a higher concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> at the center which gradually spreads out toward the model edges where the CO<sub>2</sub> saturation is lower. Figures A-16 and A-17 show the gas saturation changes between the end of injection (year 2041) and 100 years postinjection (year 2141) in the cross-sectional view. The RTE-10 wellbore displayed is perforated below well gauge depths.



EERC KL59775.AI

Figure A-16. CO<sub>2</sub> plume cross section at the end of injection (top) and as a stabilized plume (bottom), displayed south to north through the RTE-10 well.





Figure A-17. CO<sub>2</sub> plume cross section at the end of injection (top) and as a stabilized plume (bottom), displayed east to west through the RTE-10 well.



### **Maximum Surface Injection Pressure**

Additional cases were run to determine if the well would ultimately be limited by the maximum calculated surface injection pressure of 2,250 psi (based on flow line rating) or by the maximum calculated downhole pressure of 4,019 psi (90% of the formation fracture pressure). Other parameters were kept the same for the additional tests.

The maximum surface pressure was reached in the simulations before the maximum BHP was encountered. At the maximum surface pressure of 2,250 psi, the predicted BHP response was observed with a peak of less than 3,200 psi and an average pressure of less than 3,100 psi. At this pressure, the well is able to injection 2,140 tonnes/day of CO<sub>2</sub> with 3.5-in.-diameter tubing. Simulations with 4.5-in.-diameter tubing showed that the well can achieve a higher injection rate of 4,150 tonnes/day of CO<sub>2</sub>, but the BHP does not exceed 3,360 psi, with an average BHP of 3,240 psi. These values are all below the maximum calculated BHP of 4,019 psi.

### **Stabilized Plume**

Movement of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> plume is driven by the potential energy found in the buoyant force of the injected CO<sub>2</sub>. As the plume spreads out within the reservoir and CO<sub>2</sub> is trapped residually through the effects of relative permeability and dissolution, the potential energy of the buoyant CO<sub>2</sub> is gradually lost. Eventually, the buoyant force of the CO<sub>2</sub> is no longer able to overcome capillary entry pressure of the surround reservoir rock. At this point, the CO<sub>2</sub> plume ceases to move within the subsurface and becomes stabilized. The extent of the stabilized plume is important for determining the project's AoR and the corresponding scale and scope of the project's monitoring and safety plans.

Plume stabilization can be visualized at the micro scale as CO<sub>2</sub> being unable to exit its current pore space and enter the neighboring pore space, but at the macro scale these interactions cannot be measured. Instead, plume stabilization may be estimated using the tools available to predict the CO<sub>2</sub> plume's extent. For the RTE project, stabilization was defined as the time when CO<sub>2</sub> no longer migrates to adjacent cells within the simulation model. CO<sub>2</sub> may still experience gradual redistribution within the plume, but the geographic extents of the plume remain unchanged.

The CO<sub>2</sub> plume was simulated in 1-year time steps until the extent ceased to change in order to define the plume extent boundary and the associated buffers and boundaries (Figures A-16 and A-17). This estimate is anticipated to be regularly updated during the CO<sub>2</sub> storage operation as data collected from the site are used to update predictions made about the behavior of the injected CO<sub>2</sub>.

### **Delineation of AoR**

The AoR is defined as the region surrounding the geologic storage project where underground sources of drinking water (USDWs) may be endangered by CO<sub>2</sub> injection activity (North Dakota Administrative Code [NDAC] § 43-05-01-05). The primary endangerment risk is due to the potential for vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> and/or formation fluids to a USDW from the storage reservoir. Therefore, the AoR encompasses the region overlying the extent of reservoir fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids (e.g., brine) into a USDW, assuming pathways for this migration (e.g., abandoned wells or fractures) are present. The minimum pressure increase in the reservoir that results in a sustained flow of brine upward into an overlying drinking

water aquifer is referred to as the “critical threshold pressure increase” and the resultant pressure as the “critical threshold pressure.” The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance for AoR delineation under the Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program for Class VI wells provides several methods for estimating the critical threshold pressure increase and the resulting critical threshold pressure.

The method presented by Nicot and others (2008) and Bandilla and others (2012) was used to calculate the critical threshold pressure increase ( $\Delta P_c$ ), which is the fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids into the closest USDW, the Fox Hills Formation. This  $\Delta P_c$  is determined using Equation 2, assuming 1) hydrostatic conditions, 2) initially linearly varying densities in the borehole, and 3) constant density once the injection zone fluid is lifted to the top of the borehole (i.e., uniform density approach):

$$\Delta P_c = \frac{1}{2} g \xi (z_u - z_i)^2 \quad [\text{Eq. 2}]$$

Where  $\xi$  is a linear coefficient determined by:

$$\xi = \frac{\rho_i - \rho_u}{z_u - z_i} \quad [\text{Eq. 3}]$$

Where:

$\Delta P_c$  is the change in pressure from baseline (hydrostatic) conditions (Pa).

$g$  is the acceleration of gravity ( $\text{m/s}^2$ ).

$z_u$  is the elevation of the base of the lowermost USDW (m).

$z_i$  is the elevation of the top of the injections zone (m).

$\rho_i$  is the fluid density in the injection zone ( $\text{kg/m}^3$ ).

$\rho_u$  is the fluid density in the USDW ( $\text{kg/m}^3$ ).

### **Critical Threshold Pressure Increase Estimation at RTE-10**

For the purposes of delineating the  $\Delta P_c$  for the RTE study area, constant fluid densities for the lowermost USDW (the Fox Hills Formation) and the injection zone (the Broom Creek Formation) were used. A density of  $1001 \text{ kg/m}^3$  was used to represent the USDW fluids, and a density of  $1106 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , which is estimated based on the in situ brine salinity, temperature, and pressure, was used to represent injection zone fluids.

Critical pressure threshold increases were calculated for the proposed storage reservoir at a range of depths across the reservoir using Equations 2 and 3, depth from the bottom of the USDW, injection zone depth, and fluid density values from the RTE-10 well (Table A-4). Using this method, the threshold pressure increase at the top of the Broom Creek Formation at the RTE-10 well was determined to be 107.3 psi.

**Table A-4. Critical Threshold Pressure Increase Calculated at the RTE-10 Wellbore Location. Chosen depths represent the top, middle, and base of the Broom Creek Formation.**

Depth, ft MD	Depth Descriptor	Elevation, m AMSL*	$p_i$ , kg/m <sup>3</sup>	$p_u$ , kg/m <sup>3</sup>	$z_u$ , m	$z_i$ , m	$\xi$ , coefficient	$\Delta P_c$ , psi
1668	Fox Hills Base	785	–	–	–	–	–	–
6379	Broom Creek Top	–1,197	1,106	1,001	239	–1,197	0.0731	107.3
6529	Broom Creek Middle	–1,242	1,106	1,001	239	–1,242	0.0709	110.7
6678	Broom Creek Base	–1,288	1,110	1,001	239	–1,288	0.0688	114.1

\* Above mean sea level.

These estimates of critical threshold pressure increase were compared to potential pressure increases within the storage facility area that would result from CO<sub>2</sub> injection and the potential lateral extent of the injection fluid as determined by predictive simulations. Table A-2 provides estimates of  $\Delta P_c$  for various depths within the Broom Creek Formation, which were then compared against the difference in pressure predicted for each cell in the simulation model at the end of injection, where the greatest increase in pressure was observed. Within the bounds of the modeled area and throughout the entire storage facility area, the maximum pressure difference during the final year of injection is estimated to reach approximately 52 psi, which occurs in near proximity to the injection well. This pressure is below the calculated critical threshold pressure increase of 107.3 psi. Therefore, the critical pressure is not exceeded at the RTE injection site anywhere within or around the injected CO<sub>2</sub> plume and critical pressure is not a deciding factor in determining the AoR extent.

At RTE, the maximum extent of injected CO<sub>2</sub> plus one-half mile is the storage facility area, as the critical pressure is not exceeded by injection of CO<sub>2</sub> in the “storage reservoir.” The AoR is then 1 mile beyond the storage facility area (Figure A-18). As shown, the AoR is depicted by the black dotted line, which includes the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> extent (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and AoR (dotted black boundary). Figure A-19 illustrates the land use within the AoR.




Figure A-18. Final AoR estimations of the RTE-10 storage facility area in relation to nearby legacy wells. Shown are the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> extent (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and AoR (dotted black boundary). Orange circles represent nearby legacy wells near the storage facility area.






Figure A-19. Land use in and around the AoR of the RTE-10 storage facility.

## References

- Bandilla, K.W., Kraemer, S.R., and Birkholzer, J.T., 2012, Using semi-analytical solutions to approximate the area of potential impact for carbon dioxide injection: *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, v. 8, p. 196–204.
- Belobraydic, M., and Kaufman, P., 2014, Geomodeling unconventional plays—improved selection of uncertainty cases: *Unconventional Resources Technology Conference*. doi:10.15530/URTEC-2014-1922075.
- Davis, J., 1986, *Statistics and data analysis in geology*: New York, John Wiley & Sons.
- Nicot, J.P., Oldenburg, C.M., Bryant, S.L., and Hovorka, S.D., 2008, Pressure perturbations from geologic carbon sequestration—area-of-review boundaries and borehole leakage driving forces: *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference of Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies*, Washington, USA, November 2008.



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## APPENDIX B

### RTE-10 AND RTE-10.2 FORMATION FLUID- SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS



# MINNESOTA VALLEY TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

1126 North Front St. ~ New Ulm, MN 56073 ~ 800-782-3557 ~ Fax 507-359-2890  
2616 East Broadway Ave. ~ Bismarck, ND 58501 ~ 800-279-6885 ~ Fax 701-258-9724  
1201 Lincoln Hwy. ~ Nevada, IA 50201 ~ 800-362-0855 ~ Fax 515-382-3885  
www.mvttl.com



Page: 1 of 1

Janet Crossland  
UND - EERC  
15 N 23rd St, Stop 9018  
Grand Forks ND 58202-9018

Report Date: 5 May 20  
Lab Number: 20-W741  
Work Order #: 82-0924  
Account #: 007033  
Date Sampled: 21 Apr 20 7:31  
Date Received: 22 Apr 20 8:00  
Sampled By: MVTL Field Services  
PO #: J. Crossland

Project Name: RTE 10  
Sample Description: Inyan Kara

Temp at Receipt: 5.4C ROI

	As Received Result		Method RL	Method Reference	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Metal Digestion				EPA 200.2	22 Apr 20	SD
pH	* 7.5	units	N/A	SM4500 H+ B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Conductivity (EC)	17772	umhos/cm	N/A	SM2510-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
pH - Field	7.38	units	NA	SM 4500 H+ B	21 Apr 20 7:31	JSM
Temperature - Field	20.1	Degrees C	NA	SM 2550B	21 Apr 20 7:31	JSM
Total Alkalinity	243	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Phenolphthalein Alk	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Bicarbonate	243	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Carbonate	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Hydroxide	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Conductivity - Field	18624	umhos/cm	1	EPA 120.1	21 Apr 20 7:31	JSM
Total Organic Carbon	708	mg/l	0.5	SM5310-C	24 Apr 20 13:05	NAS
Sulfate	261	mg/l	5.00	ASTM D516-11	22 Apr 20 9:51	EMS
Chloride	7570	mg/l	1.0	SM4500-Cl-E	27 Apr 20 10:19	EV
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	< 0.1	mg/l	0.10	EPA 353.2	23 Apr 20 15:14	EV
Ammonia-Nitrogen as N	17.1	mg/l	0.20	EPA 350.1	28 Apr 20 12:22	EV
Mercury - Dissolved	< 0.0002	mg/l	0.0002	EPA 245.1	29 Apr 20 12:59	MDE
Total Dissolved Solids	11100	mg/l	10	I1750-85	22 Apr 20 15:39	HT
Calcium - Total	346	mg/l	1.0	6010D	24 Apr 20 13:37	MDE
Magnesium - Total	15.8	mg/l	1.0	6010D	24 Apr 20 13:37	MDE
Sodium - Total	3840	mg/l	1.0	6010D	24 Apr 20 13:37	MDE
Potassium - Total	96.0	mg/l	1.0	6010D	24 Apr 20 13:37	MDE
Iron - Total	1.98	mg/l	0.10	6010D	23 Apr 20 14:55	SZ
Manganese - Total	0.40	mg/l	0.05	6010D	23 Apr 20 14:55	SZ
Copper - Dissolved	< 0.25 @	mg/l	0.05	6010D	23 Apr 20 15:55	SZ
Molybdenum - Dissolved	< 0.5 @	mg/l	0.10	6010D	23 Apr 20 15:55	SZ
Strontium - Dissolved	16.3	mg/l	0.10	6010D	23 Apr 20 15:55	SZ
Arsenic - Dissolved	0.0036	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Barium - Dissolved	0.3737	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Cadmium - Dissolved	< 0.001 +	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Chromium - Dissolved	< 0.002	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Lead - Dissolved	< 0.001 +	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Selenium - Dissolved	< 0.005	mg/l	0.0050	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Silver - Dissolved	< 0.001 +	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC

\* Holding time exceeded

Approved by:

*Claudette K. Carroll* *6 May 2020* *CC*

Claudette K. Carroll, Laboratory Manager, Bismarck, ND

RL = Method Reporting Limit

The reporting limit was elevated for any analyte requiring a dilution as coded below:

@ = Due to sample matrix # = Due to concentration of other analytes  
! = Due to sample quantity + = Due to internal standard response

CERTIFICATION: ND # ND-00016





# MINNESOTA VALLEY TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

1126 North Front St. ~ New Ulm, MN 56073 ~ 800-782-3557 ~ Fax 507-359-2890  
2616 East Broadway Ave. ~ Bismarck, ND 58501 ~ 800-279-6885 ~ Fax 701-258-9724  
1201 Lincoln Hwy. ~ Nevada, IA 50201 ~ 800-362-0855 ~ Fax 515-382-3885  
www.mvttl.com



Page: 1 of 1

Janet Crossland  
UND - EERC  
15 N 23rd St, Stop 9018  
Grand Forks ND 58202-9018

Report Date: 5 May 20  
Lab Number: 20-W742  
Work Order #: 82-0924  
Account #: 007033  
Date Sampled: 21 Apr 20 16:07  
Date Received: 22 Apr 20 8:00  
Sampled By: MVTL Field Services  
PO #: J. Crossland

Project Name: RTE 10  
Sample Description: Broom Creek

Temp at Receipt: 5.4C ROI

	As Received Result		Method RL	Method Reference	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Metal Digestion				EPA 200.2	22 Apr 20	SD
pH	* 6.7	units	N/A	SM4500 H+ B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Conductivity (EC)	154610	umhos/cm	N/A	SM2510-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
pH - Field	6.41	units	NA	SM 4500 H+ B	21 Apr 20 16:07	JSM
Temperature - Field	25.2	Degrees C	NA	SM 2550B	21 Apr 20 16:07	JSM
Total Alkalinity	100	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Phenolphthalein Alk	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Bicarbonate	100	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Carbonate	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Hydroxide	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320-B	22 Apr 20 17:00	SD
Conductivity - Field	156450	umhos/cm	1	EPA 120.1	21 Apr 20 16:07	JSM
Total Organic Carbon	155	mg/l	0.5	SM5310-C	24 Apr 20 13:05	NAS
Sulfate	774	mg/l	5.00	ASTM D516-11	22 Apr 20 9:51	EMS
Chloride	98100	mg/l	1.0	SM4500-Cl-E	27 Apr 20 10:19	EV
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	274	mg/l	0.10	EPA 353.2	23 Apr 20 15:14	EV
Ammonia-Nitrogen as N	28.6	mg/l	0.20	EPA 350.1	28 Apr 20 14:01	EV
Mercury - Dissolved	< 0.0002	mg/l	0.0002	EPA 245.1	29 Apr 20 12:59	MDE
Total Dissolved Solids	159000	mg/l	10	11750-85	22 Apr 20 15:39	HT
Calcium - Total	3740	mg/l	1.0	6010D	24 Apr 20 13:37	MDE
Magnesium - Total	473	mg/l	1.0	6010D	24 Apr 20 13:37	MDE
Sodium - Total	46300	mg/l	1.0	6010D	24 Apr 20 13:37	MDE
Potassium - Total	1010	mg/l	1.0	6010D	24 Apr 20 13:37	MDE
Iron - Total	< 5 @	mg/l	0.10	6010D	23 Apr 20 13:55	SZ
Manganese - Total	< 2.5 @	mg/l	0.05	6010D	23 Apr 20 13:55	SZ
Copper - Dissolved	< 2.5 @	mg/l	0.05	6010D	23 Apr 20 15:55	SZ
Molybdenum - Dissolved	< 5 @	mg/l	0.10	6010D	23 Apr 20 15:55	SZ
Strontium - Dissolved	133	mg/l	0.10	6010D	23 Apr 20 15:55	SZ
Arsenic - Dissolved	< 0.04 @	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Barium - Dissolved	0.0951	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Cadmium - Dissolved	0.0105	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Chromium - Dissolved	< 0.04 @	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Lead - Dissolved	0.0045	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Selenium - Dissolved	0.0341	mg/l	0.0050	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC
Silver - Dissolved	< 0.01 @	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	27 Apr 20 10:20	CC

\* Holding time exceeded

Approved by:

*Claudette K. Carroll*

*CC*  
*6 May 2020*

Claudette K. Carroll, Laboratory Manager, Bismarck, ND

RL = Method Reporting Limit

The reporting limit was elevated for any analyte requiring a dilution as coded below:

@ = Due to sample matrix

# = Due to concentration of other analytes

! = Due to sample quantity

+ = Due to internal standard response

CERTIFICATION: ND # ND-00016





2616 E. Broadway Ave  
Bismarck, ND 58501  
(701) 258-9720

## Chain of Custody Record

Project Name: <b>EERC</b>	Event:	Work Order Number: <b>82-0924</b>
Report To: <b>EERC</b> Attn: <b>Janet Crossland</b> Address: <b>15 North 23rd St, Stop 901B</b> <b>Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018</b> Phone: <b>701-777-5000</b> Email: <b>jcrossland@undeerc.org</b>	CC:	Collected By: <b>Jeremy Meyer</b>

Lab Number	Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	1 Liter Raw	500 mL Nitric	500 mL Nitric (filtered)	3 VOC	3 TOC	1 Liter Amber	1 Liter Amber HCL	Temp (°C)	Spec. Cond.	pH	Analysis Required
<b>W741</b>	<b>Inyan Kara</b>	<b>20 Apr 2020</b>	<b>0731</b>	<b>GW</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>			<b>20.06</b>	<b>18624</b>	<b>7.38</b>	<b>See auste</b> <b>PG-54-20</b> <b>R-8 Apr 20</b>
<b>W742</b>	<b>Broom Creek</b>	<b>21 Apr 2020</b>	<b>1607</b>	<b>GW</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>			<b>25.21</b>	<b>156448</b>	<b>6.41</b>	

Comments:

Relinquished By		Sample Condition	
Name	Date/Time	Location	Temp (°C)
<b>1</b>	<b>21 Apr 20</b>	<b>Log In</b>	<b>R01 5:4</b>
	<b>1840</b>	<b>Walk In #2</b>	<b>TM562 / TM805</b>
<b>2</b>			

Received By	
Name	Date/Time
<b>E. J. J. J.</b>	<b>22 Apr 2020 0800</b>

# MVTL

## MINNESOTA VALLEY TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

1126 N. Front St. ~ New Ulm, MN 56073 ~ 800-782-3557 ~ Fax 507-359-2890

2616 E. Broadway Ave. ~ Bismarck, ND 58501 ~ 800-279-6885 ~ Fax 701-258-9724

51 W. Lincoln Way ~ Nevada, IA 50201 ~ 800-362-0855 ~ Fax 515-382-3885

MEMBER  
ACIL

MVTL guarantees the accuracy of the analysis done on the sample submitted for testing. It is not possible for MVTL to guarantee that a test result obtained on a particular sample will be the same on any other sample unless all conditions affecting the sample are the same, including sampling by MVTL. As a mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Page: 1 of 2

Lonny Jacobson  
UND EERC/SBG Energy  
3682 ND8  
Richardton ND 58652

Report Date: 6 Nov 20  
Lab Number: 20-W4082  
Work Order #: 82-2903  
Account #: 007033  
Date Sampled: 16 Oct 20 1:15  
Date Received: 16 Oct 20 8:00  
Sampled By: MVTL Field Services

Project Name: RTE 10.2  
Sample Description: Broom Creek

Temp at Receipt: 6.5C ROI

	As Received Result		Method RL	Method Reference	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Metal Digestion				EPA 200.2	16 Oct 20	HT
pH	* 7.0	units	N/A	SM4500-H+-B-11	19 Oct 20 17:05	HT
Conductivity (EC)	145600	umhos/cm	N/A	SM2510B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
pH - Field	6.68	units	NA	SM 4500 H+ B	16 Oct 20 1:15	JSM
Temperature - Field	18.8	Degrees C	NA	SM 2550B	16 Oct 20 1:15	JSM
Total Alkalinity	104	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Phenolphthalein Alk	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Bicarbonate	104	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Carbonate	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Hydroxide	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Conductivity - Field	169910	umhos/cm	1	EPA 120.1	16 Oct 20 1:15	JSM
Cation Summation	2720	meq/L	NA	SM1030-F	20 Oct 20 13:45	Calculated
Anion Summation	3030	meq/L	NA	SM1030-F	21 Oct 20 13:51	Calculated
Percent Error	-5.36	%	NA	SM1030-F	21 Oct 20 13:51	Calculated
Total Organic Carbon	112	mg/l	0.5	SM5310C-11	28 Oct 20 23:56	NAS
Sulfate	1880	mg/l	5.00	ASTM D516-11	21 Oct 20 10:33	SD
Chloride	105000	mg/l	2.0	SM4500-Cl-E-11	19 Oct 20 10:14	SD
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	307	mg/l	0.20	EPA 353.2	21 Oct 20 13:51	SD
Ammonia-Nitrogen as N	< 0.2	mg/l	0.20	EPA 350.1	20 Oct 20 11:29	SD
Mercury - Dissolved	< 0.0002	mg/l	0.0002	EPA 245.1	21 Oct 20 13:46	MDE
Total Dissolved Solids	161000	mg/l	10	USGS I1750-85	20 Oct 20 14:30	HT
Calcium - Total	3080	mg/l	1.0	6010D	20 Oct 20 11:38	MDE
Magnesium - Total	437	mg/l	1.0	6010D	20 Oct 20 11:38	MDE
Sodium - Total	57500	mg/l	1.0	6010D	20 Oct 20 11:38	MDE
Potassium - Total	1040	mg/l	1.0	6010D	20 Oct 20 11:38	MDE

RL = Method Reporting Limit

The reporting limit was elevated for any analyte requiring a dilution as coded below:

@ = Due to sample matrix # = Due to concentration of other analytes  
! = Due to sample quantity + = Due to internal standard response

CERTIFICATION: ND # ND-00016

# MVTL

## MINNESOTA VALLEY TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

1126 N. Front St. ~ New Ulm, MN 56073 ~ 800-782-3557 ~ Fax 507-359-2890

2616 E. Broadway Ave. ~ Bismarck, ND 58501 ~ 800-279-6885 ~ Fax 701-258-9724

51 W. Lincoln Way ~ Nevada, IA 50201 ~ 800-362-0855 ~ Fax 515-382-3885

MEMBER  
ACIL

MVTL guarantees the accuracy of the analysis done on the sample submitted for testing. It is not possible for MVTL to guarantee that a test result obtained on a particular sample will be the same on any other sample unless all conditions affecting the sample are the same, including sampling by MVTL. As a mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Page: 2 of 2

Lonny Jacobson  
UND EERC/SBG Energy  
3682 ND8  
Richardton ND 58652

Report Date: 6 Nov 20  
Lab Number: 20-W4082  
Work Order #: 82-2903  
Account #: 007033  
Date Sampled: 16 Oct 20 1:15  
Date Received: 16 Oct 20 8:00  
Sampled By: MVTL Field Services

Project Name: RTE 10.2  
Sample Description: Broom Creek

Temp at Receipt: 6.5C ROI

	As Received Result		Method RL	Method Reference	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Iron - Total	< 5 @	mg/l	0.10	6010D	20 Oct 20 13:45	SZ
Manganese - Total	< 2.5 @	mg/l	0.05	6010D	20 Oct 20 13:45	SZ
Strontium - Dissolved	106	mg/l	0.10	6010D	20 Oct 20 10:45	SZ
Arsenic - Dissolved	< 0.04 @	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	21 Oct 20 11:32	CC
Barium - Dissolved	0.9254	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	21 Oct 20 11:32	CC
Cadmium - Dissolved	0.0604	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	21 Oct 20 11:32	CC
Chromium - Dissolved	< 0.04 @	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	21 Oct 20 11:32	CC
Copper - Dissolved	0.1193	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	21 Oct 20 11:32	CC
Lead - Dissolved	0.0126	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	21 Oct 20 11:32	CC
Molybdenum - Dissolved	0.4949	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	21 Oct 20 11:32	CC
Selenium - Dissolved	0.1164	mg/l	0.0050	6020B	21 Oct 20 11:32	CC
Silver - Dissolved	< 0.01 @	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	21 Oct 20 11:32	CC

\* Holding time exceeded

Approved by:

*Claudette K. Carroll*

Claudette K. Carroll, Laboratory Manager, Bismarck, ND

RL = Method Reporting Limit

The reporting limit was elevated for any analyte requiring a dilution as coded below:

@ = Due to sample matrix                      # = Due to concentration of other analytes  
! = Due to sample quantity                      + = Due to internal standard response

CERTIFICATION: ND # ND-00016

# MVTL

## MINNESOTA VALLEY TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

1126 N. Front St. ~ New Ulm, MN 56073 ~ 800-782-3557 ~ Fax 507-359-2890

2616 E. Broadway Ave. ~ Bismarck, ND 58501 ~ 800-279-6885 ~ Fax 701-258-9724

51 W. Lincoln Way ~ Nevada, IA 50201 ~ 800-362-0855 ~ Fax 515-382-3885

MEMBER  
ACIL

MVTL guarantees the accuracy of the analysis done on the sample submitted for testing. It is not possible for MVTL to guarantee that a test result obtained on a particular sample will be the same on any other sample unless all conditions affecting the sample are the same, including sampling by MVTL. As a mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Page: 1 of 2

Lonny Jacobson  
UND EERC/SBG Energy  
3682 ND8  
Richardton ND 58652

Report Date: 6 Nov 20  
Lab Number: 20-W4083  
Work Order #: 82-2903  
Account #: 007033  
Date Sampled: 16 Oct 20 1:25  
Date Received: 16 Oct 20 8:00  
Sampled By: MVTL Field Services

Project Name: RTE 10.2  
Sample Description: Inyan Kara

Temp at Receipt: 6.5C ROI

	As Received Result		Method RL	Method Reference	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Metal Digestion				EPA 200.2	20 Oct 20	SD
pH	* 7.8	units	N/A	SM4500-H+-B-11	19 Oct 20 17:05	HT
Conductivity (EC)	9573	umhos/cm	N/A	SM2510B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
pH - Field	7.62	units	NA	SM 4500 H+ B	16 Oct 20 1:25	JSM
Temperature - Field	17.6	Degrees C	NA	SM 2550B	16 Oct 20 1:25	JSM
Total Alkalinity	269	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Phenolphthalein Alk	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Bicarbonate	269	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Carbonate	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Hydroxide	< 20	mg/l CaCO3	20	SM2320B-11	16 Oct 20 19:00	HT
Conductivity - Field	10457	umhos/cm	1	EPA 120.1	16 Oct 20 1:25	JSM
Cation Summation	98.0	meq/L	NA	SM1030-F	5 Nov 20 10:27	Calculated
Anion Summation	109	meq/L	NA	SM1030-F	21 Oct 20 14:10	Calculated
Percent Error	-5.36	%	NA	SM1030-F	5 Nov 20 10:27	Calculated
Total Organic Carbon	1320	mg/l	0.5	SM5310C-11	28 Oct 20 23:56	NAS
Sulfate	418	mg/l	5.00	ASTM D516-11	21 Oct 20 10:33	SD
Chloride	3370	mg/l	2.0	SM4500-Cl-E-11	19 Oct 20 10:14	SD
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	< 0.2	mg/l	0.20	EPA 353.2	21 Oct 20 14:10	SD
Ammonia-Nitrogen as N	2.10	mg/l	0.20	EPA 350.1	20 Oct 20 11:29	SD
Mercury - Dissolved	< 0.0002	mg/l	0.0002	EPA 245.1	21 Oct 20 13:46	MDE
Total Dissolved Solids	5850	mg/l	10	USGS I1750-85	20 Oct 20 14:30	HT
Calcium - Total	47.7	mg/l	1.0	6010D	5 Nov 20 10:27	MDE
Magnesium - Total	< 5 @	mg/l	1.0	6010D	5 Nov 20 10:27	MDE
Sodium - Total	2190	mg/l	1.0	6010D	5 Nov 20 10:27	MDE
Potassium - Total	11.0	mg/l	1.0	6010D	5 Nov 20 10:27	MDE

RL = Method Reporting Limit

The reporting limit was elevated for any analyte requiring a dilution as coded below:

@ = Due to sample matrix # = Due to concentration of other analytes  
! = Due to sample quantity + = Due to internal standard response

CERTIFICATION: ND # ND-00016



# MVTL

## MINNESOTA VALLEY TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

1126 N. Front St. ~ New Ulm, MN 56073 ~ 800-782-3557 ~ Fax 507-359-2890

2616 E. Broadway Ave. ~ Bismarck, ND 58501 ~ 800-279-6885 ~ Fax 701-258-9724

51 W. Lincoln Way ~ Nevada, IA 50201 ~ 800-362-0855 ~ Fax 515-382-3885

MEMBER  
ACIL

MVTL guarantees the accuracy of the analysis done on the sample submitted for testing. It is not possible for MVTL to guarantee that a test result obtained on a particular sample will be the same on any other sample unless all conditions affecting the sample are the same, including sampling by MVTL. As a mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Page: 2 of 2

Lonny Jacobson  
UND EERC/SBG Energy  
3682 ND8  
Richardton ND 58652

Report Date: 6 Nov 20  
Lab Number: 20-W4083  
Work Order #: 82-2903  
Account #: 007033  
Date Sampled: 16 Oct 20 1:25  
Date Received: 16 Oct 20 8:00  
Sampled By: MVTL Field Services

Project Name: RTE 10.2  
Sample Description: Inyan Kara

Temp at Receipt: 6.5C ROI

	As Received Result		Method RL	Method Reference	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Iron - Total	< 0.5 @	mg/l	0.10	6010D	27 Oct 20 11:37	MDE
Manganese - Total	< 0.25 @	mg/l	0.05	6010D	27 Oct 20 11:37	MDE
Strontium - Dissolved	0.54	mg/l	0.10	6010D	20 Oct 20 10:45	SZ
Arsenic - Dissolved	0.0085	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	20 Oct 20 14:56	CC
Barium - Dissolved	0.3166	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	20 Oct 20 14:56	CC
Cadmium - Dissolved	< 0.001 ^	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	20 Oct 20 14:56	CC
Chromium - Dissolved	< 0.002	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	20 Oct 20 14:56	CC
Copper - Dissolved	0.0029	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	20 Oct 20 14:56	CC
Lead - Dissolved	< 0.0005	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	20 Oct 20 14:56	CC
Molybdenum - Dissolved	0.0101	mg/l	0.0020	6020B	20 Oct 20 14:56	CC
Selenium - Dissolved	< 0.005	mg/l	0.0050	6020B	20 Oct 20 14:56	CC
Silver - Dissolved	< 0.0005	mg/l	0.0005	6020B	20 Oct 20 14:56	CC

\* Holding time exceeded

^ Elevated result due to instrument performance at the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ).

Approved by:

Claudette K. Carroll

Claudette K. Carroll, Laboratory Manager, Bismarck, ND

RL = Method Reporting Limit

The reporting limit was elevated for any analyte requiring a dilution as coded below:

@ = Due to sample matrix                      # = Due to concentration of other analytes  
! = Due to sample quantity                      + = Due to internal standard response

CERTIFICATION: ND # ND-00016




# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## APPENDIX C

### FRESHWATER WELL FLUID-SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## FRESHWATER WELL FLUID-SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS

The preinjection baseline of groundwater-monitoring results acquired for the RTE project site were collected and characterized groundwater samples taken from Well Nos. 51002, 61337, and 10648 in May, August, and November 2019. The locations of these wells are shown in the repeat figure and table below, with detailed laboratory analyses for each sampling event following.



EERC KL59786.AI

Figure C-1. Location of baseline groundwater wells (currently sampled and planned for sampling prior to injection) and abandoned wells within a 1.5-mile buffer around the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well.

**Table C-1. Baseline Groundwater-Sampling Results – May Through November 2019**

**Note: Highlighted well colors coordinate with the following analysis results reports.**

Parameter	pH (pH unit)			SpC, mS/cm			Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub> , mg/L		
Well No.	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19	May-19	Aug-19	Nov-19
51002	8.21	8.42	8.47	2,643	2,740	2,731	1,570	1,540	1,540
61337	8.18	8.46	8.51	1,851	1,886	1,890	1,070	1,060	1,040
10648	*	8.36	8.24	*	1,931	1,928	*	1,010	960

\* Well not accessible.

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

**Set Number:** 54442

**Request Date:** Monday, May 20, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, June 3, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54442-01</b>	<b>51002 5/17/19 10:00</b>	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1920 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1570 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	104 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.75 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.91 mg/L
	Chloride	18.8 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	369 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	3.7 mg/L
	Fluoride	< 1 mg/L
	Iron	0.38 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.096 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.38 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	13.3 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

Set Number: 54442

Request Date: Monday, May 20, 2019

Fund#: 23717

Due Date: Monday, June 3, 2019

PI: Nick Kalenze

Set Description: Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019

Contact Person: Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54442-01	<b>51002</b> 5/17/19 10:00	
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.146 mg/L
	Potassium	2.5 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	5.03 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	763 mg/L
	Strontium	0.177 mg/L
	Sulfate	27.5 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1720 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	370 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	3.4 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L
54442-02	<b>61337</b> 5/17/19 11:00	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1250 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	27.1 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1070 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	83.0 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.937 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

**Set Number:** 54442

**Request Date:** Monday, May 20, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, June 3, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54442-02	61337 5/17/19 11:00	
	Calcium	1.94 mg/L
	Chloride	8.5 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	246 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	6.1 mg/L
	Fluoride	5.6 mg/L
	Iron	0.020 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.053 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.00 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	8.67 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.362 mg/L
	Potassium	2.3 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.42 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	521 mg/L
	Strontium	0.092 mg/L
	Sulfate	7.6 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1160 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	246 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	6.3 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.104 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

**Set Number:** 54442

**Request Date:** Monday, May 20, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, June 3, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54442-02	61337 5/17/19 11:00	
54442-03	Field Blank 5/17/19	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	< 5 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	< 0.2 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	< 1 mg/L
	Chloride	< 1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Fluoride	< 1 mg/L
	Iron	< 0.005 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	< 0.005 mg/L
	Magnesium	< 1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	< 5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	< 0.1 mg/L
	Potassium	< 1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	< 1 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	< 1 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Sulfate	< 1 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

**Set Number:** 54442

**Request Date:** Monday, May 20, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, June 3, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54442-03	Field Blank 5/17/19	
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L
54442-04	Trip Blank	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	< 5 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	< 0.2 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	< 1 mg/L
	Chloride	< 1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Fluoride	< 1 mg/L
	Iron	< 0.005 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	< 0.005 mg/L
	Magnesium	< 1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	< 5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	< 0.1 mg/L
	Potassium	< 1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

**Set Number:** 54442

**Request Date:** Monday, May 20, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, June 3, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54442-04	<b>Trip Blank</b>	
	Silicon	< 1 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	< 1 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Sulfate	< 1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L
54442-05	<b>Equipment Blank</b>	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	< 5 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	< 0.2 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	< 1 mg/L
	Chloride	< 1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Fluoride	< 1 mg/L
	Iron	< 0.005 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	< 0.005 mg/L
	Magnesium	< 1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

**Set Number:** 54442

**Request Date:** Monday, May 20, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, June 3, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54442-05	Equipment Blank	
	Molybdenum	< 5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	< 0.1 mg/L
	Potassium	< 1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	< 1 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	< 1 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Sulfate	< 1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

**Set Number:** 54443

**Request Date:** Monday, May 20, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, June 3, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54443-01	<b>51002</b> 5/17/19 (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	110 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.79 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	3.32 mg/L
	Chromium	5.1 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	7.5 µg/L
	Iron	0.512 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.098 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.36 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	15.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.150 mg/L
	Potassium	2.5 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	5.20 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	821 mg/L
	Strontium	0.179 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

**Set Number:** 54443

**Request Date:** Monday, May 20, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, June 3, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54443-01	<b>51002</b> 5/17/19 (Total Metals)	
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.020 mg/L
54443-02	<b>61337</b> 5/17/19 (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	83.3 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.946 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.05 mg/L
	Chromium	5.8 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Iron	0.152 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.054 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.01 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	9.36 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.373 mg/L
	Potassium	2.3 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.57 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	580 mg/L
	Strontium	0.093 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

June 14, 2019

**Set Number:** 54443

**Request Date:** Monday, May 20, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, June 3, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy Water Samples May 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54443-02	61337 5/17/19 (Total Metals)	
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.051 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
 Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54508-01</b>	<b>51002 8/14/19 0930</b>	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1820 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	26.8 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1530 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	168 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.30 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	3.10 mg/L
	Chloride	20.9 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	7.0 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	366 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	3.7 mg/L
	Fluoride	< 1 mg/L
	Iron	0.426 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.068 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.52 mg/L
	Manganese	5.0 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	20.0 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54508-01	51002 8/14/19 0930	
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.155 mg/L
	Potassium	2.50 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	5.03 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	718 mg/L
	Strontium	0.213 mg/L
	Sulfate	28.0 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1700 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	366 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	3.6 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.012 mg/L
54508-02	51002 8/14/19 0930 dup	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1860 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	12.2 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1550 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	1.0 µg/L
	Barium	167 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.31 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54508-02	51002 8/14/19 0930 dup	
	Calcium	3.10 mg/L
	Chloride	21.9 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	16.0 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	366 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	3.8 mg/L
	Fluoride	< 1 mg/L
	Iron	0.399 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.068 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.52 mg/L
	Manganese	5.0 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	20.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.146 mg/L
	Potassium	2.50 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	5.06 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	722 mg/L
	Strontium	0.217 mg/L
	Sulfate	29.8 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1700 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	367 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	3.6 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.017 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



## ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

Set Number: 54508

Request Date: Thursday, August 22, 2019

Fund#: 23717

Due Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019

PI: Nick Kalenze

Set Description: Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

Contact Person: Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54508-02	51002 8/14/19 0930 dup	
54508-03	61337 8/14/19 0930	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1240 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	23.2 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1050 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	139 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.679 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.12 mg/L
	Chloride	10.1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	8.0 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	242 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	6.2 mg/L
	Fluoride	4.7 mg/L
	Iron	0.015 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.040 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.17 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	12.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.411 mg/L
	Potassium	2.17 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54508-03	61337 8/14/19 0930	
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.65 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	500 mg/L
	Strontium	0.115 mg/L
	Sulfate	9.2 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1150 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	248 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	6.2 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.065 mg/L
54508-04	61337 8/14/19 0930 dup	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1250 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	19.3 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1060 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	139 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.685 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.10 mg/L
	Chloride	9.6 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54508-04	61337 8/14/19 0930 dup	
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	8.0 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	246 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	6.4 mg/L
	Fluoride	4.9 mg/L
	Iron	0.015 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.040 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.16 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	12.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.433 mg/L
	Potassium	2.18 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.63 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	491 mg/L
	Strontium	0.115 mg/L
	Sulfate	8.4 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1140 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	242 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	6.0 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.063 mg/L
54508-05	10648 8/14/19 0930	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1210 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54508-05	10648 8/14/19 0930	
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> =)	7.9 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1000 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	2.0 µg/L
	Barium	197 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.629 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	22.4 mg/L
	Chloride	21.6 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	6.0 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	229 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	9.0 mg/L
	Fluoride	4.3 mg/L
	Iron	0.035 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.043 mg/L
	Magnesium	14.3 mg/L
	Manganese	21.0 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	9.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.332 mg/L
	Potassium	11.6 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.66 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



## ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

Set Number: 54508

Request Date: Thursday, August 22, 2019

Fund#: 23717

Due Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019

PI: Nick Kalenze

Set Description: Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

Contact Person: Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54508-05</b>	<b>10648 8/14/19 0930</b>	
	Sodium	460 mg/L
	Strontium	0.274 mg/L
	Sulfate	27.0 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1180 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	232 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	8.3 mg/L
	Uranium	3.0 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.033 mg/L
<b>54508-06</b>	<b>10648 8/14/19 0930 dup</b>	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1200 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	19.3 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1020 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	2.0 µg/L
	Barium	147 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.635 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	22.4 mg/L
	Chloride	18.5 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	7.0 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	230 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54508-06</b>	<b>10648 8/14/19 0930 dup</b>	
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	8.6 mg/L
	Fluoride	4.4 mg/L
	Iron	0.037 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.045 mg/L
	Magnesium	14.3 mg/L
	Manganese	22.0 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	9.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.334 mg/L
	Potassium	11.5 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.66 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	454 mg/L
	Strontium	0.278 mg/L
	Sulfate	21.2 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1170 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	232 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	7.9 mg/L
	Uranium	3.0 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.034 mg/L
<b>54508-07</b>	<b>Field Blank 8/14/19 0930</b>	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54508-07</b>	<b>Field Blank 8/14/19 0930</b>	
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	< 0.2 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	< 1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Iron	< 0.005 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	< 5 mg/L
	Magnesium	< 1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	< 5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	< 0.1 mg/L
	Potassium	< 1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	< 1 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	< 1 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L
<b>54508-08</b>	<b>Trip Blank 8/14/19 0930</b>	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54508-08</b>	<b>Trip Blank 8/14/19 0930</b>	
	Barium	< 5 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	< 0.2 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	< 1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	11.0 µg/L
	Iron	< 0.005 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	< 5 mg/L
	Magnesium	< 1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	< 5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	< 0.1 mg/L
	Potassium	< 1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	< 1 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	< 1 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.018 mg/L
<b>54508-09</b>	<b>Equipment Blank 8/14/19 0930</b>	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54508

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54508-09</b>	<b>Equipment Blank 8/14/19 0930</b>	
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	< 5 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	< 0.2 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	< 1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Iron	< 0.005 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	< 5 mg/L
	Magnesium	< 1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	< 5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	< 0.1 mg/L
	Potassium	< 1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	< 1 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	< 1 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54509

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
 Samples August 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54509-01</b>	<b>51002 8/14/19 0930 (Total Metals)</b>	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	1.0 µg/L
	Barium	169 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.36 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	3.22 mg/L
	Chromium	5.0 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	26.0 µg/L
	Iron	0.416 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.072 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.55 mg/L
	Manganese	5.0 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	20.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.130 mg/L
	Potassium	2.6 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	5.00 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	732 mg/L
	Strontium	0.218 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54509

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54509-01	51002 8/14/19 0930 (Total Metals)	
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.022 mg/L
54509-02	51002 8/14/19 0930 dup (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	1.0 µg/L
	Barium	162 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.55 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	3.14 mg/L
	Chromium	6.0 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	20.0 µg/L
	Iron	0.448 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.084 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.53 mg/L
	Manganese	5.0 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	20.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.149 mg/L
	Potassium	2.5 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	5.04 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	720 mg/L
	Strontium	0.213 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54509

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54509-02	51002 8/14/19 0930 dup (Total Metals)	
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.017 mg/L
54509-03	61337 8/14/19 0930 (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	136 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.784 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.24 mg/L
	Chromium	6.0 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	15.0 µg/L
	Iron	0.030 mg/L
	Lead	5.0 µg/L
	Lithium	0.047 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.20 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	12.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.393 mg/L
	Potassium	2.2 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.70 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	494 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54509

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54509-03	61337 8/14/19 0930 (Total Metals)	
	Strontium	0.115 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.036 mg/L
54509-04	61337 8/14/19 0930 dup (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	1.0 µg/L
	Barium	133 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.780 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.16 mg/L
	Chromium	7.0 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	8.0 µg/L
	Iron	0.015 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.048 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.16 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	12.0 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.397 mg/L
	Potassium	2.2 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.67 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54509

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54509-04	61337 8/14/19 0930 dup (Total Metals)	
	Sodium	495 mg/L
	Strontium	0.113 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.049 mg/L
54509-05	10648 8/14/19 0930 (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	2.0 µg/L
	Barium	117 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.719 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	16.4 mg/L
	Chromium	6.0 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	7.0 µg/L
	Iron	0.129 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.051 mg/L
	Magnesium	10.5 mg/L
	Manganese	19.0 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	9.0 µg/L
	Nickel	5.0 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.325 mg/L
	Potassium	8.9 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.45 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54509

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54509-05</b>	<b>10648 8/14/19 0930 (Total Metals)</b>	
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	469 mg/L
	Strontium	0.213 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	3.0 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.035 mg/L
<b>54509-06</b>	<b>10648 8/14/19 0930 dup (Total Metals)</b>	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	2.0 µg/L
	Barium	116 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.723 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	16.2 mg/L
	Chromium	5.0 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	7.0 µg/L
	Iron	0.126 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.051 mg/L
	Magnesium	10.2 mg/L
	Manganese	19.0 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	9.0 µg/L
	Nickel	5.0 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.342 mg/L
	Potassium	8.8 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

October 10, 2019

**Set Number:** 54509

**Request Date:** Thursday, August 22, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Thursday, September 5, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples August 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54509-06	10648 8/14/19 0930 dup (Total Metals)	
	Silicon	3.45 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	468 mg/L
	Strontium	0.211 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	3.0 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.034 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
 Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54560-01</b>	<b>51002 11/19/19 0900</b>	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1780 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	47.2 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1540 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	147 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.46 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.98 mg/L
	Chloride	16.0 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	379 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	3.7 mg/L
	Fluoride	1.1 mg/L
	Iron	0.672 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.137 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.4 mg/L
	Manganese	5.5 µg/L
	Mercury	1.11 µg/L
	Molybdenum	16.4 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54560-01	<b>51002</b> 11/19/19 0900	
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.16 mg/L
	Potassium	2.5 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	4.95 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	748 mg/L
	Strontium	0.182 mg/L
	Sulfate	27.7 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1710 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	386 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	3.5 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L
54560-02	<b>51002</b> 11/19/19 0900 dup	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1780 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	43.3 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1540 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	147 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.50 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54560-02	51002 11/19/19 0900 dup	
	Calcium	3.00 mg/L
	Chloride	16.2 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	388 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	3.8 mg/L
	Fluoride	1.1 mg/L
	Iron	0.661 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.139 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.4 mg/L
	Manganese	5.5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	16.5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.16 mg/L
	Potassium	2.5 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	4.91 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	742 mg/L
	Strontium	0.186 mg/L
	Sulfate	27.8 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1680 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	388 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	4.1 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54560-02	51002 11/19/19 0900 dup	
54560-03	61337 11/19/19 1000	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1220 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	19.4 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1030 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	112 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.78 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.05 mg/L
	Chloride	7.5 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	253 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	6.4 mg/L
	Fluoride	5.5 mg/L
	Iron	0.040 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.075 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.0 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	10.3 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.40 mg/L
	Potassium	2.3 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54560-03	<b>61337</b> 11/19/19 1000	
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.49 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	521 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Sulfate	8.2 mg/L
	Sulfide	0.22 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1110 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	253 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	6.1 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.038 mg/L
54560-04	<b>61337</b> 11/19/19 1000 dup	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1230 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	21.6 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1050 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	113 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.78 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.05 mg/L
	Chloride	7.5 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54560-04	61337 11/19/19 1000 dup	
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	254 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	6.3 mg/L
	Fluoride	5.5 mg/L
	Iron	0.036 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.075 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	9.7 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.40 mg/L
	Potassium	2.4 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.49 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	509 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Sulfate	8.1 mg/L
	Sulfide	0.20 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1120 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	256 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	6.2 mg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.035 mg/L
54560-05	10648 11/19/19 1100	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1170 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54560-05	10648 11/19/19 1100	
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> =)	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	957 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	1.6 µg/L
	Barium	83.4 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.68 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	25.2 mg/L
	Chloride	25.4 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	233 mg/L
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	9.0 mg/L
	Fluoride	4.2 mg/L
	Iron	0.066 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.079 mg/L
	Magnesium	17.9 mg/L
	Manganese	13.2 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	7.8 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.37 mg/L
	Potassium	12.1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.77 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

## ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

Set Number: 54560

Request Date: Monday, November 25, 2019

Fund#: 23717

Due Date: Monday, December 9, 2019

PI: Nick Kalenze

Set Description: Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

Contact Person: Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54560-05</b>	<b>10648 11/19/19 1100</b>	
	Sodium	452 mg/L
	Strontium	0.252 mg/L
	Sulfate	43.9 mg/L
	Sulfide	< 0.05 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1110 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	237 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	9.2 mg/L
	Uranium	3.3 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.044 mg/L
<b>54560-06</b>	<b>10648 11/19/19 1100 dup</b>	
	Alkalinity, as Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	1170 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup> )	2.8 mg/L
	Alkalinity, as Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	0 mg/L
	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	963 mg/L
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	1.6 µg/L
	Barium	84.2 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.68 mg/L
	Bromide	< 1 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	25.3 mg/L
	Chloride	23.0 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	239 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54560-06</b>	<b>10648 11/19/19 1100 dup</b>	
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	9.0 mg/L
	Fluoride	4.3 mg/L
	Iron	0.065 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.081 mg/L
	Magnesium	17.8 mg/L
	Manganese	13.2 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	7.9 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.37 mg/L
	Potassium	12.1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.73 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	447 mg/L
	Strontium	0.252 mg/L
	Sulfate	39.0 mg/L
	Sulfide	0.10 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Total Dissolved Solids	1090 mg/L
	Total Inorganic Carbon	235 mg/L
	Total Organic Carbon	9.2 mg/L
	Uranium	3.3 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.043 mg/L
<b>54560-07</b>	<b>Field Blank 11/19/19 0900</b>	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54560-07</b>	<b>Field Blank 11/19/19 0900</b>	
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	< 0.2 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	< 1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Iron	< 0.005 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	< 0.005 mg/L
	Magnesium	< 1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	< 5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	< 0.1 mg/L
	Potassium	< 1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	< 1 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	< 1 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L
<b>54560-08</b>	<b>Trip Blank 11/19/19 0900</b>	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
<b>54560-08</b>	<b>Trip Blank 11/19/19 0900</b>	
	Barium	< 5 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	< 0.2 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	< 1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Iron	< 0.005 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	< 0.005 mg/L
	Magnesium	< 1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	< 5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	< 0.1 mg/L
	Potassium	< 1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	< 1 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	< 1 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	< 0.005 mg/L
<b>54560-09</b>	<b>Equipment Blank 11/19/19 0900</b>	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54560

**Request Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Monday, December 9, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54560-09	Equipment Blank 11/19/19 0900	
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	< 5 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	< 0.2 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	< 1 mg/L
	Chromium	< 5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Iron	0.005 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	< 0.005 mg/L
	Magnesium	< 1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	0.11 µg/L
	Molybdenum	< 5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	< 0.1 mg/L
	Potassium	2.4 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	< 1 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	< 1 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.011 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54561

**Request Date:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
 Samples November 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54561-01	<b>51002</b> 11/19/19 0900 (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	148 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.67 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	3.09 mg/L
	Chromium	6.0 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	26.7 µg/L
	Iron	0.744 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.137 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.4 mg/L
	Manganese	6.3 µg/L
	Mercury	0.12 µg/L
	Molybdenum	15.2 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.15 mg/L
	Potassium	2.5 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	5.04 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	729 mg/L
	Strontium	0.184 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54561

**Request Date:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54561-01	51002 11/19/19 0900 (Total Metals)	
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.016 mg/L
54561-02	51002 11/19/19 0900 dup (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	146 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	1.65 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	3.06 mg/L
	Chromium	6.5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	5.5 µg/L
	Iron	0.740 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.139 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.4 mg/L
	Manganese	6.2 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	15.4 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.16 mg/L
	Potassium	2.5 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	5.06 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	735 mg/L
	Strontium	0.182 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54561

**Request Date:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54561-02	51002 11/19/19 0900 dup (Total Metals)	
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.007 mg/L
54561-03	61337 11/19/19 1000 (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	114 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.88 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.12 mg/L
	Chromium	6.1 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	8.3 µg/L
	Iron	0.056 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.076 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	9.5 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.38 mg/L
	Potassium	2.3 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.63 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	503 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54561

**Request Date:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54561-03	61337 11/19/19 1000 (Total Metals)	
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.028 mg/L
54561-04	61337 11/19/19 1000 dup (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	< 1 µg/L
	Barium	113 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.85 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	2.13 mg/L
	Chromium	6.5 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	6.6 µg/L
	Iron	0.046 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.075 mg/L
	Magnesium	1.1 mg/L
	Manganese	< 5 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	9.4 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.39 mg/L
	Potassium	2.3 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.62 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54561

**Request Date:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54561-04	61337 11/19/19 1000 dup (Total Metals)	
	Sodium	501 mg/L
	Strontium	< 0.1 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	< 1 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.027 mg/L
54561-05	10648 11/19/19 1100 (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	1.6 µg/L
	Barium	89.5 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.72 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	30.6 mg/L
	Chromium	7.0 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	< 5 µg/L
	Iron	0.119 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.079 mg/L
	Magnesium	21.5 mg/L
	Manganese	14.8 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	7.2 µg/L
	Nickel	5.1 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.36 mg/L
	Potassium	14.1 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L
	Silicon	3.77 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54561

**Request Date:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54561-05	<b>10648</b> 11/19/19 1100 (Total Metals)	
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	439 mg/L
	Strontium	0.291 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	3.7 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.055 mg/L
54561-06	<b>10648</b> 11/19/19 1100 dup (Total Metals)	
	Aluminum	< 0.05 mg/L
	Antimony	< 5 µg/L
	Arsenic	1.7 µg/L
	Barium	75.3 µg/L
	Beryllium	< 4 µg/L
	Bismuth	< 0.5 µg/L
	Boron	0.75 mg/L
	Cadmium	< 2 µg/L
	Calcium	20.2 mg/L
	Chromium	8.1 µg/L
	Cobalt	< 5 µg/L
	Copper	11.5 µg/L
	Iron	0.170 mg/L
	Lead	< 5 µg/L
	Lithium	0.078 mg/L
	Magnesium	14.0 mg/L
	Manganese	13.0 µg/L
	Mercury	< 0.1 µg/L
	Molybdenum	7.7 µg/L
	Nickel	< 5 µg/L
	Phosphorus	0.38 mg/L
	Potassium	9.9 mg/L
	Selenium	< 1 µg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# ANALYTICAL RESEARCH LAB - Final Results

January 23, 2020

**Set Number:** 54561

**Request Date:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019

**Fund#:** 23717

**Due Date:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019

**PI:** Nick Kalenze

**Set Description:** Red Trail Energy - Richardton Water  
Samples November 2019 (Total Metals)

**Contact Person:** Janet Crossland

Sample	Parameter	Result
54561-06	10648 11/19/19 1100 dup (Total Metals)	
	Silicon	3.54 mg/L
	Silver	< 5 µg/L
	Sodium	459 mg/L
	Strontium	0.209 mg/L
	Thallium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Thorium	< 0.5 µg/L
	Uranium	2.5 µg/L
	Vanadium	< 5 µg/L
	Zinc	0.077 mg/L

Distribution \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_



# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## APPENDIX D

### QUALITY ASSURANCE AND SURVEILLANCE PLAN



## QUALITY ASSURANCE AND SURVEILLANCE PLAN

The primary goal of the testing and monitoring plan of this storage facility permit application is to ensure that the geologic sequestration project is operating as permitted and is not endangering underground sources of drinking water (USDW). In compliance with NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.4 (Testing and Monitoring Requirements), this Quality Assurance and Surveillance Plan (QASP) was developed and is being provided as part of the testing and monitoring program.

### D.1 Overview

The testing and monitoring program for the project includes the analysis of the injected CO<sub>2</sub>, periodic testing of the injection well (i.e., testing of external and internal mechanical integrity), a corrosion-monitoring plan for the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well components, a leak detection and monitoring plan for surface components of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection system (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> flow line and wellhead), and a near-surface/deep-subsurface leak detection plan to monitor any movement of the CO<sub>2</sub> outside of the storage reservoir (see Table 4-6). The latter consists of a combination of soil gas and groundwater monitoring, storage reservoir monitoring, downhole monitoring, and geophysical monitoring. The quality assurance and surveillance procedures for this testing and monitoring plan are provided in the remainder of this QASP.

### D.2 Monitoring and Analysis of Injected CO<sub>2</sub>

NDAC § 43-05-01-11.4 subsection 1a requires analysis of the carbon dioxide stream in compliance with applicable analytical methods and standards generally accepted by industry and with sufficient frequency to yield data representative of its chemical and physical characteristics.

Samples of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> stream will be characterized to determine the concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen, oxygen, hydrogen, water, and a suite of hydrocarbons (i.e., ethane, propane, n-butane, and methane) as well as selected isotopes (i.e., isotopes of carbon dioxide [<sup>13</sup>C and <sup>14</sup>C], methane [<sup>14</sup>C], and deuterium [<sup>2</sup>H]). These analyses will be outsourced to commercial laboratories, with the isotopic analyses performed by Isotech Laboratories, Inc., and all other analyses performed by Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratories, Inc. (MVTl). These laboratories utilize analytical methods and standards that are generally accepted by industry and will employ their standard analytical QA/QC (quality assurance/quality control) protocols ([www.iostechlabs.com](http://www.iostechlabs.com) and [www.mvtl.com/QualityAssurance](http://www.mvtl.com/QualityAssurance)).

### D.3 Injection Well Testing

The external mechanical integrity of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection well (RTE-10) will be continuously monitored using a DAS (distributed acoustic sensing)/DTS (distributed temperature sensing) fiber optic cable that is externally installed on the long string casing (Figure 4-9). The technical specifications for the DAS/DTS fiber optic cable are provided in Attachment A-1 of this appendix. An ultrasonic log will be run after the first year of injection and once every 5 years thereafter to provide corroborating evidence of the external mechanical integrity of the wellbore. The technical specifications for the ultrasonic imager tool are provided in Attachment A-2.

The internal mechanical integrity of the injection well will be tested at a minimum of once every 5 years by performing tubing/casing annular pressure tests. A detailed description of this test is provided in Attachment A-3.

The pressure test provides an assessment of the internal mechanical integrity of the wellbore between the tubing-casing annulus. The pressure test procedure will be generated following the NDIC Injection Well Construction and Completion Standards (NDAC § 43-05-01-11) that the pressure must be applied for a period of 30 minutes and must have no decrease in pressure greater than 10% of the required minimum test pressure.

## **D.4 Corrosion Monitoring and Prevention**

### ***D.4.1 Corrosion Monitoring***

Corrosion coupons that are representative of the construction materials of the flow line and injection well will be tested quarterly during the first year of injection, and once per year thereafter, to aid in ensuring the mechanical integrity of the injection well equipment. These coupons will be prepared, installed, and analyzed in accordance with NACE Standard RP0775 (Preparation, Installation, Analysis, and Interpretation of Corrosion Coupons in Oilfield Operations) and/or ASTM Method G1-03 (Standard Practice of Preparing, Cleaning, and Evaluating Corrosion Test Specimens) to determine and document corrosion loss rates based on mass loss. The testing will be performed on the captured CO<sub>2</sub> gas stream at the beginning of the flow line to the injection wellhead. The quality assurance and quality control procedures specified in the NACE and ASTM methods will be followed.

### ***D.4.2 Corrosion Prevention***

The primary actions taken to prevent corrosion include 1) maintaining a low moisture content in the injected CO<sub>2</sub> and 2) using CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant materials of construction in both the flow line and injection well. To that end, the target moisture level of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> is estimated to be 0.1% (by volume). The injection well tubulars will use materials manufactured to API 5CT (Casing and Tubing Specification) and ISO 11960 (Petroleum and Natural Gas Industries – Steel Pipes for Use as Casing or Tubing for Wells) (e.g., Grade 13Cr-80 martensitic stainless steel with gastight premium seal connection such as VAM TOP or JFE BEAR). The cement and additives will comply with API 10A (Specification for Cements and Materials for Well Cementing). However, if warranted, based on the results of the corrosion monitoring, removal of corrosive constituents from the CO<sub>2</sub> stream may be necessary using a variety of methods: 1) dehydration of the gas when water is present (e.g., water separator, coalescers, filters, glycol, or dry desiccant) and 2) corrosion inhibitor packages (anodic, cathodic, or both) (e.g., solvents, surfactants, phosphate esters, phosphonates, amine-containing compounds, or imidazolines). Should this be necessary, deployment methods will be chosen by the appropriate vendor that has designed a catered approach to removing corrosive components from the CO<sub>2</sub> stream. Over time, the effectiveness of the catered design will be evaluated based on the corrosion monitoring results, and corrosion removal methods will be adjusted accordingly.

## **D.5 Monitoring of Surface Equipment Leaks**

DAS/DTS fiber optic cables located along the CO<sub>2</sub> flow line to the wellhead and CO<sub>2</sub> detectors located on the wellhead and key wellsite locations (e.g., flow line riser), which will be integrated into an automatic alarm system, will be used to monitor for any leaks of CO<sub>2</sub> from the flow line and/or surface equipment of the storage facility. The technical specifications for the DAS/DTS fiber optic cable are provided in Attachment A-1 of this QASP.

## **D.6 Near-Surface Monitoring: Soil Gas and Groundwater**

Near-surface sampling discussed herein comprises 1) sampling of shallow groundwater aquifers (USDWs) and 2) sampling of soil gas in the shallow vadose zone. Sampling and chemical analysis of these zones provide concentrations of chemical constituents, including carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), which are focused on detecting movement of the CO<sub>2</sub> out of the reservoir. Ultimately, these monitoring efforts will provide data to confirm that near-surface environments are not adversely impacted by CO<sub>2</sub> injection and storage operations.

### ***D.6.1 Soil Gas***

Vadose zone soil gas monitoring directly measures the characteristics of the air space between soil components and is an indirect indicator of both chemical and biological processes occurring in and below a sampling horizon. A total of 13 soil gas-sampling sites were identified in the area around and between the injection well (RTE-10) and the monitoring well (RTE-10.2) (SG01 through SG11 and SS01 and SS02 as shown in Figures 4-5 and 4-6, respectively). Five of these locations (SG01, SG02, SG06, SG10, and SG11) are on private land; the remainder are on RTE property.

#### ***D.6.1.1 Soil Gas-Sampling and Analysis Protocol***

### **Soil Gas Locations: SG01 to SG11**

Hand-driven probes were used to collect the soil gas samples at locations SG01 through SG11. All of these soil gas-sampling locations were identified and marked using GPS. At each location, a stainless steel rod with a retractable tip was driven into the ground (either with a slide hammer or electric rotary hammer) to a depth of approximately 3.5 feet. The rod was then retracted to expose an integrated mesh screen through which soil gas samples were obtained.

Prior to the collection of each sample, a minimum of three probe casing volumes were removed, and the representativeness of the gas flow was determined by analyzing the soil gas over time for CO<sub>2</sub>, total VOCs, hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), and O<sub>2</sub> using a RAE System PGM-54 handheld multigas meter, which was calibrated daily based on manufacturer instructions. After these measurements of the soil gas composition stabilized, two soil gas samples were collected for characterization at each location using a Tedlar<sup>®</sup> bag, which was labeled with the appropriate sample number and site information and transported to the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC) laboratory for analysis. The composition of one sample was determined at the EERC using an Agilent 7890A refinery gas analyzer (RGA) gas chromatograph (GC). The second sample was transferred to an IsoBag<sup>®</sup> for isotope analyses by mass spectrometer at Isotech Laboratories, Inc. (Champaign, Illinois). The target analytes for these analyses are shown below in Table D-1 and Table D-2, respectively.

**Table D-1. Soil Gas Analytes Identified with Field and Laboratory Instruments**

<b>RAE Handheld Meter</b>	<b>Agilent Technologies RGA-GC 7890A</b>
CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>
O <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>
H <sub>2</sub> S	N <sub>2</sub>
Total VOCs*	He
	H <sub>2</sub>
	CH <sub>4</sub>
	CO
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>
	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>8</sub>
	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH-CH <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
	HC≡CH
	H <sub>2</sub> C=CH-C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>
	H <sub>3</sub> C-CH=CH-CH <sub>3</sub>
	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> C=CH <sub>2</sub>
	H <sub>3</sub> C-CH=CH-CH <sub>3</sub>
	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH-CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>3</sub>
	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>12</sub>
	H <sub>2</sub> C=CH-CH=CH <sub>2</sub>

\* Volatile organic compounds.

**Table D-2. Isotope Measurements of Soil Gas Samples**

<b>Isotope</b>	<b>Units</b>
δ <sup>13</sup> C of CO <sub>2</sub>	‰
δD	‰
<sup>14</sup> C in CO <sub>2</sub>	pMC
<sup>14</sup> C in CH <sub>4</sub>	pMC

## Soil Gas Locations: SS01 and SS02

Fixed soil gas profile stations will be installed for the sampling of soil gas at locations SS01 and SS02 prior to the initiation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection. A schematic of these soil gas profile stations is shown below in Figure D-1. As shown, each soil profile station contains three isolated gas sampling probes from which individual soil gas samples will be obtained.

The procedures for the acquisition of the soil gas samples from the soil gas profile stations will follow the same procedures as described above for the hand-driven probes; i.e., sampling will not proceed until the probes have been purged and the composition of the soil gas has been determined to be stable. Following industry standards for landfill gas analysis, MVTL, Inc., will perform an on-site analysis of the soil gas for the parameters identified in Table D-1 using a high accuracy handheld meter, i.e., Landtec GEMTM 5000 portable gas analyzer. In addition, a sample will be collected and sent to Isotech Laboratories, Inc. (Champaign, Illinois) for isotopic analyses (see Table D-2).




Figure D-1. Schematic of Soil Gas Profile Stations SS01 and SS02.



### **D.6.1.2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control Procedures**

#### **Soil Gas Locations: SG01 to SG11.**

A field blank (ambient air) was collected three times daily (morning, midday, day's end) through the sample probe prior to the insertion of the probe into the ground. If an anomaly was detected with the RAE handheld meter, decontamination procedures were deployed, and a blank was collected again. If collection of anomalous results continued, the calibration of the meter was examined and, if necessary, adjusted. This process was repeated until a satisfactory blank was collected from the probe. Additionally, results from the handheld meter and the EERC laboratory GC were compared for all sampling events as a QA/QC measure to the generation of a valid data set.

Duplicate gas samples were collected at a rate of one for each ten samples taken to assess the comparative accuracy of the field sampling and laboratory analyses. Sample collection procedures followed guidance outlined in ASTM International D-5314 (2006).

#### **Soil Gas Locations SS01 and SS02**

The standard sampling and analytical QA/QC protocols which will be applied by MVTI, Inc., and Isotech Laboratories at these sample locations were provided earlier in this QASP (see [www.iostechlabs.com](http://www.iostechlabs.com) and [www.mvtl.com/QualityAssurance](http://www.mvtl.com/QualityAssurance)).

### **D.6.2 Groundwater-Sampling and Analysis Protocol**

#### **Baseline Groundwater Wells (Well Nos. 51002, 61337, and 10648)**

Groundwater field samples were collected from these wells using the well's submersible pump. Individual wells were purged a minimum of three casing volumes (typically 20 to 30 minutes of pumping) prior to sampling. Physical parameters were measured using the flow-through cell of the YSI Professional Plus handheld multiparameter meter. The YSI handheld multiparameter meter was then turned on to monitor dissolved oxygen (DO) until the measurements had stabilized (i.e., remained within  $\pm 10\%$ ). Following DO stabilization, readings were recorded for the rest of the field parameters (pH, temperature, and specific conductance [SpC]). A groundwater sample was then collected in a clean container for the analysis of alkalinity as  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$ , and chloride using the Hanna test kit.

The YSI handheld multiparameter meter was calibrated daily prior to sampling in accordance with the manufacturer-specified procedures. The YSI probe was placed in contact with the water sample to obtain a field reading. TDS measurements were calculated automatically by the YSI meter, multiplying the SpC measurements by a factor of 0.65.

For laboratory analyses, sample bottles were filled directly from the designated groundwater well by personnel wearing disposable gloves to avoid potential contamination of the sample. Each sample container was labeled with a sample identification number, date, and time of sample collection. Filtration and preservation requirements for the specific laboratory analytical methods and procedures were implemented. Sample bottles were placed in a cooler with ice along with a completed chain-of-custody form and submitted to the appropriate laboratory for analysis.

Two laboratories were used to analyze the water samples: 1) the EERC laboratory analyzed samples for general parameters, anions, cations, metals (dissolved and total), and nonmetals (Tables D-3 and D-4) and 2) Isotech Laboratories, Inc., analyzed the samples for isotopic signatures (Table D-5).

**Table D-3. Measurements of General Parameters for Groundwater Samples**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Method</b>
Alkalinity	SM <sup>1</sup> 2320B
Bromide	EPA <sup>2</sup> 300.0
Chloride	EPA 300.0
Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC)	EPA 9060
Dissolved Mercury	EPA 245.2
Dissolved Metals <sup>3</sup> (31 metals)	EPA 200.7/200.8
Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)	SM 5310B
Fluoride	EPA 300.0
Sulfate	EPA 300.0
Sulfide	SM 4500-S <sup>2-</sup> F
TDS	SM 2540C
Total Inorganic Carbon (TIC)	EPA 9060
Total Mercury	EPA 7470A
Total Metals <sup>2</sup> (31 metals)	EPA 6010B/6020
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	SM 5310B

<sup>1</sup> Standard method; American Public Health Association (2017).

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

<sup>3</sup> See Table B-2 for entire sampling list of total and dissolved metals.

**Table D-4. Total and Dissolved Metals and Cation Measurements for Groundwater Samples**

<b>Metals</b>	<b>Major Cations</b>	<b>Trace Metals</b>
Antimony	Barium	Aluminum
Arsenic	Boron	Bismuth
Beryllium	Calcium	Cobalt
Cadmium	Iron	Lithium
Chromium	Magnesium	Molybdenum
Copper	Manganese	Thorium
Lead	Phosphorus	Uranium
Mercury	Potassium	Vanadium
Nickel	Silicon	
Selenium	Sodium	
Silver	Strontium	
Thallium		
Zinc		

**Table D-5. Isotope Measurements for Groundwater Samples**

<b>Isotope</b>	<b>Units</b>
$\delta^2\text{H H}_2\text{O}$	‰ <sup>1</sup>
$\delta^{18}\text{O H}_2\text{O}$	‰
Tritium	TU <sup>2</sup>
$\delta^{13}\text{C DIC}$	‰
$^{14}\text{C DIC}$	pMC <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> One tenth of a percent (0.1%).

<sup>2</sup> Tritium unit.

<sup>3</sup> Percent modern carbon.

### **Operational and PISC Groundwater Wells**

The operational and PISC groundwater wells that will be monitored include sampling of the baseline groundwater wells that are operational and accessible within the AoR (area of review) and the two dedicated groundwater Fox Hills Formation monitoring wells installed at RTE-10 and RTE-10.2. MVTL, Inc., will perform the sampling of the wells to provide two samples for analysis from each well. One sample will be analyzed by MVTL, Inc., for the general parameters, anions, cations, metals (dissolved and total), and nonmetals listed in Tables D-3 and D-4; the other sample will be sent to Isotech, Inc., for the determination of the isotopic signatures (see Table D-5). These sampling and analysis efforts will be performed MVTL, Inc., in conjunction with Isotech Laboratories, Inc., with the specific sampling and analysis SOPs (standard operating procedures).

### ***D.6.3 Quality Assurance/Quality Control***

#### **Baseline Groundwater Wells (51002, 61337, and 10648)**

A field QA/QC program including control samples was employed to evaluate the accuracy of the groundwater sampling effort (field sampling and laboratory analysis). Field blanks, trip and equipment blanks, duplicate samples, and field control samples were used as part of the comprehensive QA/QC program to ensure accuracy of the monitoring results. In addition, all field and laboratory analytical instruments were calibrated on a routine basis to ensure that they were operating within manufacturer specifications. More details regarding these efforts are provided in the remainder of this section.

Field blanks were utilized to identify sample contamination caused by exposure to ambient air during the sampling process. Field blanks were prepared by filling sample containers with deionized water during each sampling event. A sampling frequency of one field blank a day was employed throughout the baseline sampling program.

Trip blanks were employed to help identify whether sample contamination specific to the presence of VOCs was present. The trip blank containers were filled in the laboratory with purified water, transported, handled like a sample during field activities, and then returned to the laboratory for analysis. Containers testing positive for VOCs suggested contamination of the sample during its handling from the field to the laboratory. One trip blank accompanied every cooler containing VOC samples.

Equipment blanks were used to verify sources of contaminants that may be present on the sampling equipment. Equipment blanks were collected by pouring deionized water over and/or through any of the sampling devices. One equipment blank was collected from each applicable piece of equipment (flow-through cell, etc.) during each sampling event. To avoid cross-contamination, all field sampling equipment was decontaminated prior to use and between samples. Decontamination procedures included washing and rinsing sample probes and field multiparameter meters using Alconox<sup>®</sup> and deionized water.

All of the laboratory analyses conducted by the EERC and Isotech Laboratories, Inc., were performed in accordance with their internal QA/QC procedures (Table D-3 and [www.iostechlabs.com](http://www.iostechlabs.com)). In addition, duplicate samples were taken to assess the combined accuracy of the field sampling and laboratory analysis methods. These duplicate samples were collected at the same time and location for each of the groundwater wells.

### **Operational and PISC Groundwater Wells**

The standard sampling and analytical QA/QC protocols that will be applied by MVTL, Inc., and Isotech Laboratories, Inc., as part of the monitoring efforts at these sample locations were provided earlier in this QASP ([www.iostechlabs.com](http://www.iostechlabs.com) and [www.mvtl.com/QualityAssurance](http://www.mvtl.com/QualityAssurance)).

### **D.7 Storage Reservoir Monitoring**

Monitoring of the storage reservoir during injection well operations includes monitoring of the injection flow rates and volumes, wellhead injection temperature and pressure (WHT/P), bottomhole injection pressure, and the tubing-casing annulus pressure or casing pressure. In addition, the volume of the corrosion inhibited packer fluid in the casing will be monitored and recorded throughout the project.

The storage monitoring will be accomplished using flowmeters, surface digital pressure and temperature gauges, and bottomhole pressure/temperature (BHP/BHT) gauges. The specifications for these bottomhole pressure/temperature gauges are provided in Attachment A-5. The surface injection temperature along with the flowline, wellhead, and bottomhole will be continuously monitored and recorded in real time. These pressure/temperature data will be either periodically downloaded (i.e., monthly basis or bimonthly basis) or continuously recorded as part of the supervisory control and data acquisition or SCADA (see Attachment A-4) system that is employed on-site.

### **D.8 Downhole Monitoring**

The downhole monitoring of the injection (RTE-10) and monitoring (RTE-10.2) wells will focus on the downhole pressure and temperature. This monitoring will be achieved on both wells using external borehole temperature (BHT) and pressure (BHP) gauges along with a fiber optic DTS system to provide continuous data recorded in real time. The specifications for the DTS and the BHT/BHP gauges are provided in Attachments A-1 and A-5, respectively. These pressure and temperature data will be either periodically downloaded (i.e., monthly basis or bimonthly basis) or continuously recorded as part of the SCADA system that is employed on-site.

## **D.9 Wireline Logging and Retrievable Monitoring**

The wireline logging and retrievable monitoring that will be performed comprise pulse neutron logs (PNLs) and ultrasonic logs, injection zone pressure falloff tests, DAS/DTS fiber optic, and corrosion monitoring. The information provided by these monitoring efforts is as follows:

- PNL: provides information regarding gas saturation in the formations, which can be used to determine if the injected CO<sub>2</sub> is contained within the storage formation as well as ground-truth information provided by 3D seismic surveys.
- Ultrasonic log (ultrasonic imager tool) and casing pressure test: provides an assessment of the external and internal mechanical integrity, respectively, of the wellbore.
- DAS/DTS: provides a continuous assessment of the external mechanical integrity of the wellbore.
- Corrosion monitoring: provides a measure of the loss of mass of the wellbore materials over time due to interaction of the wellbore with the injected CO<sub>2</sub> and formation fluids.
- Pressure fall-off test: provides an assessment of the storage reservoir injectivity.

All wireline logging events will follow API (American Petroleum Institute) guidelines along with the SOPs of a third-party wireline operator. More details regarding each of these monitoring techniques is provided below.

### ***D.9.1 Pulse Neutron Logs***

PNL provides formation evaluation and reservoir monitoring in cased holes. PNL is deployed as a wireline logging tool with an electronic pulsed neutron source and one or more detectors that typically measure neutrons or gamma rays (Rose and others, 2015). High-speed digital signal electronics process the gamma ray response and its time of arrival relative to the start of the neutron pulse. Spectral analysis algorithms translate the gamma ray energy and time relationship into concentrations of elements (Schlumberger, 2019).

Schlumberger's Pulsar Multifunction Spectroscopy Service (PNX) tool is a slim tool with an outer diameter (o.d.) of 1.72 in. for through-tubing access in cased hole environments. The housing is corrosion-resistant, allowing deployment in wellbore environments such as CO<sub>2</sub>. The PNX tool can provide a direct volumetric measurement of gas-filled porosity and differentiate between gas-filled porosity, liquid-filled, and tight zones (Schlumberger, 2019). Detection limits for CO<sub>2</sub> saturation for the PNX tool vary with the logging speed as well as the formation porosity as shown in Table D-6 below. Detailed measurement and mechanical specifications for the PNX tool are provided in Attachment A-6. The wireline operator will provide QA/QC procedures and tool calibration for their equipment.



**Table D-6. Gas Saturation Detection Limits for PNL – PNX Tool**

Porosity Value, %	Gas Saturation Detection Limit, %	
	Minimum at Logging Speed of 1000 ft/hour	Minimum at Logging Speed of 200 ft/hour
10	~39	~18
15	~22	~10
20	~18	~8

***D.9.2 Ultrasonic Logs***

The UltraSonic Imager tool (USIT) indicates the quality of the cement bond at the cement/casing interface and provides casing inspection (corrosion detection, monitoring, and casing thickness analysis). The tool is deployed on wireline with a transmitter emitting ultrasonic pulses and measuring the reflected ultrasonic waveforms received from the internal and external casing interfaces. The entire circumference of the casing is scanned, enabling the evaluation of the radial cement bond and the detection of internal and external casing damage or deformation. The high angular and vertical tool resolutions can detect cement channels as narrow as 1.2 in. (Schlumberger, 2004). Detailed measurement and mechanical specifications for the USIT tool are provided in Attachment A-2. The wireline operator will provide QA/QC procedures and tool calibration for their equipment.

***D.9.3 Injection Zone Pressure Fall-Off Test***

The injection zone pressure fall-off test will be performed in the injection well prior to initiation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection activities and at least once every 5 years thereafter to demonstrate storage reservoir injectivity. Pressure data will be recorded during the pressure fall-off test at the bottomhole and at the wellhead using the tandem BHP gauges and wellhead pressure gauge, respectively. The BHP gauge specification is provided in Attachment A-5.

**D.10 Geophysical Monitoring Methods**

The geophysical monitoring that is planned for the project includes time lapse seismic surveys, gravity surveys, interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) and passive seismic recording. These indirect monitoring methods will characterize attributes associated with the injected CO<sub>2</sub>, including the plume extents, mass changes, pressure changes, and potential seismicity. The proven monitoring methods that will be implemented as part of this testing and monitoring plan are the state of the art in their application. These methods can be applied as both standalone and time lapse measurements. Details regarding the application and quality of these methods are provided in the remainder of this section:

- Time lapse seismic surveys: provide a measurement of the change in acoustic properties of the storage formation as injected CO<sub>2</sub> saturates the storage interval.
- Gravity surveys: provide a measurement of the mass of injected CO<sub>2</sub> that has accumulated in the storage formation.
- InSAR: provides frequent measurements of satellite-based surface deformation over the entire AoR.

- Passive seismic recording: provides continuous collection of seismicity measurements over the AoR.

#### ***D.10.1 Time Lapse Seismic Surveys***

Application of time-lapse seismic surveys (4D seismic) for monitoring changes in acoustic properties requires a quality preoperational seismic survey for baseline conditions. The monitor survey should be repeated as closely to the baseline conditions and parameters as possible. The seismic monitor data should be reprocessed simultaneously with the original baseline data or processed with the same steps and workflow to ensure repeatability. Repeatability is a measure of 4D seismic quality (Lumley, D. et al., 4D seismic risk analysis spreadsheet, SEG abstract, 1997, 2000) that can be quantified once the processed data are analyzed by an experienced 4D seismic interpreter.

#### ***D.10.2 Gravity Surveys***

Gravity is a measure of mass and, when used as a time-lapse method (4D gravity), can provide a measure of mass change related to a difference in density. The changes in gravity related to CO<sub>2</sub> density diminish with depth requiring a large volume of mass change for the measurement. This measurement requires high-precision instruments with microgal precision. Ideally, a field-worthy instrument (i.e., MicroG Lacoste A10 and/or CG5) can achieve this level of precision. Monitoring with 4D gravity requires a baseline survey with high resolution location and elevation (Hare et al., 2008, Society of Exploration Geophysicists. *Geophysics*, v. 73, no. 6, p. WA173–WA180, <http://zonge.com/4d-microgravity-method-for-waterflood-surveillance-part-iv-modeling-and-interpretation-of-early-epoch-4d-gravity-surveys-at-prudhoe-bay-alaska/> (accessed 2020).

#### ***D.10.3 InSAR***

InSAR<sup>1</sup> can detect small-scale surface ground deformation and has been shown to be one such technique for approximately mapping pressure distribution associated with subsurface fluid injection.<sup>2</sup> Geodetic methods, like InSAR, are widely available and allow for multiple nonunique interpretations requiring integration with other monitoring methods (e.g., time lapse seismic). InSAR requires continuous satellite coverage with consistent surface reflectivity.<sup>3</sup> In areas where there is snowfall, agricultural changes, or erosional features, the InSAR results will be uncertain and unreliable for elevation changes. To improve inSAR measurement sensitivity, reflectivity challenges can be mitigated by installing stable reflective monuments.

#### ***D.10.4 Passive Seismic Recording***

Continuous monitoring of seismic activity will include five surface-installed seismometer stations near the project site and DAS fiber optic systems installed on the injection well RTE-10 and the monitoring well RTE-10.2. The seismic monitoring stations and DAS are capable of autonomously and continuously measuring a wide range of seismicity (micro/macro events). Baseline passive seismic data will be collected both prior to injection as well as throughout the operational phase of the project to understand the level of preoperational seismicity.

---

<sup>1</sup> Donald, W. et al., 2020, Monitoring the fate of injected CO<sub>2</sub> using geodetic techniques: Vasco, The Leading Edge, v. 39, no. 1, p. 29.

<sup>2</sup> Reed\_inSAR\_BellCreek.

<sup>3</sup> PSinSAR\_May2010.

### **D.11 Completed Well Logging – RTE 10 and RTE 10.2**

Several continuous measurements of the storage formation properties were made in Injection Well RTE-10 and Monitoring Well RTE-10.2 using wireline logging techniques. These logs, which are identified along with the justification for their use in Table 4-12, are listed below:

- Ultrasonic log
- Casing collar locator (CCL) log
- Variable density log (VDL)
- Gamma ray log
- Triple combo logs (i.e., resistivity, density, porosity, caliper, and spontaneous potential)
- Combinable magnetic resonance (CMR) log
- Spectral gamma ray log
- Dipole sonic log
- Fracture finder log

### **D.12 Modular Formation Dynamics Tester (MDT) Tool**

The Schlumberger MDT\* modular formation dynamics tester tool, a wireline formation testing tool, was used to collect real-time formation fluid samples, pressure measurements, and test formation stress of the injection zone and the upper confining zone.

#### **Formation Fluid Sample**

The wireline-conveyed MDT tool assembly incorporated a dual-packer module to isolate intervals, a large-diameter probe for formation pressure and temperature measurements, a pump-out module to pump unwanted mud filtrate, a flow control module, and sample chambers for formation fluid collection.

Fluid samples from the Broom Creek and Inyan Kara Formations were collected from the RTE-10 wellbore via MDT tool (Table 2-5), using the Schlumberger Saturn 3D radial probe. Schlumberger Saturn 3D radial probe specifications are found at <https://www.slb.com/-/media/files/fe/product-sheet/saturn-ps.ashx>.

In situ fluid pressure testing was performed in the upper confining zone, the Opeche Formation, with the MDT tool. This test utilized the tool's large-diameter probe to test both mobility and reservoir pressure.

#### **Microfracture Testing**

Microfracture testing was also performed using the MDT tool. In situ reservoir stress testing measurements provided real-time formation temperatures, formation, fracture breakdown, fracture propagation, and closure pressures.


Microfracture tests were performed in the Mowry, Inyan Kara, Opeche, and Broom Creek Formations (Table 2-4). The use of the dual-packer module on the MDT tool assembly to isolate the designated intervals tested a 1.5-foot section of the zone of interest. This small representative sample should be taken into consideration in the analysis of the pressures.

Schlumberger MDT tool Specifications are at <https://www.slb.com/-/media/files/fe/brochure/mdt-br.ashx>.

## ATTACHMENTS: SPECIFICATIONS FOR SPECIALIZED MONITORING TOOLS

### A-1. Distributed Acoustic Sensing/Distributed Temperature Sensing Fiber Optics


Items	Description
Contractor	Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth (RITE), Japan
Service	Distributed temperature sensing (DTS) and Distributed acoustic sensing (DAS)
Line OD, in.	1/4
Line Length, ft	Up to 7,000 (2,100 m)
Temperature Rating, °F	Up to 302 (150°C)
Pressure Rating	—
Spooling Unit	56" × 32" × 32" spool
Clamp	Run in tandem with BHT/P gauges



Specifications for DAS/DTS fiber optics from RITE currently installed in RTE-10.



## A-2. Ultrasonic Logging Tool (Mechanical Integrity Test)



Schlumberger's isolation scanner ultrasonic imager tool used to provide evidence of external mechanical integrity in RTE-10 and RTE-10.2

### **A-3. Mechanical Integrity Test Procedure**

#### **Standard Annulus Pressure Test – Internal MIT – pursuant to Section 43-05-01-11.1**

1. Contact NDIC (North Dakota Industrial Commission) to witness MIT procedure a minimum of 24 hours prior to test.
2. Completely fill the tubing/casing annulus with corrosion-inhibited packer fluid. Temperature stabilization of the well and annulus fluid is necessary; therefore, injection shall either be ceased, or a stabilized injection rate and temperature will be maintained.
3. After stabilization, the annulus will be pressurized to the maximum allowable injection pressure or an alternate pressure approved by NDIC. A positive pressure differential between the annulus and the injection string shall be maintained throughout the entire annulus.
4. Following pressurization, the annulus will be isolated from the source of pressure by a closed valve.
5. The annulus will remain isolated for a period no less than 30 minutes or as otherwise approved by NDIC. Pressure measurements will be recorded every 5 minutes, as well as continuously charted.
6. If the pressure deviates more than 10% of the required minimum test pressure, check for seal leaks, otherwise repeat steps. If failure occurs, well will be shut in, report of the failure will be sent to NDIC, and isolation and repair of the leak will commence within 90 days, unless otherwise approved by NDIC.

### **A-4. Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) System**

The supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system is a computer-based system or systems used by personnel in a control room that aims to collect and display information about the Red Trail Energy (RTE) CO<sub>2</sub> storage injection operations in real time. This supervisory system collects data at an assigned time interval and stores the data in the server. With specified process algorithms, the SCADA will have the ability to send commands and control the storage injection network (i.e., start or stop pumps, open or close valve/s, control process equipment remotely, etc.).

In addition to monitoring and control ability, the SCADA system will include warnings, both audible and visual, to alert on-site or off-site operators of near or excessive violations of set parameters within the system.

## A-5 External Borehole Temperature/Pressure Gauges

### DataSphere® Array System - Temperature Performance

Accuracy (°C)	0.5
Typical Accuracy (°C)	0.15
Achievable Resolution (°C/sec)	< 0.005
Repeatability (°C)	< 0.01
Drift at 177°C (°C/year)	< 0.1


### DataSphere® Array System - Temperature Performance

Pressure Range (psi/bar)	0 to 10,000 / 0 to 690
Accuracy (%FS)	0.015
Typical Accuracy (%FS)	0.012
Achievable Resolution (psi/sec)	< 0.006
Repeatability (%FS)	< 0.01
Response Time to FS Step (for 99.5% FS)	< 1 sec
Acceleration Sensitivity (psi/g – any axis)	< 0.02
Drift at 14 psi and 25°C (%FS/year)	Negligible
Drift at Max. Pressure and Temperature (%FS/year)	0.02

EERC KL59652.AI

### DATASPHERE ARRAY SYSTEM DESIGNS

- » Quartz transducer and hybrid technology
- » ASIC technology
- » Maximum 175°C operating temperature
- » Multi-drop capability to 30,000 ft max depth
- » Can be used in conjunction with existing gauges
- » Improved shock and vibration performance
- » 0.625-in. OD ultra slim design
- » Less than 7-in. length per sensor
- » Does not need a gauge mandrel to be deployed
- » Short-circuit protection per sensor, prevents line takedowns



*Temperature and Pressure Sensor > The DataSphere® Array system is comprised of multiple ultra slim, highly accurate quartz-based temperature and pressure sensors.*

Halliburton DataSphere array system specifications for external BHT/BHP gauges installed in RTE-10 and RTE-10.2.

## A-6 Wireline Logging

**Pulsar**

Multifunction spectroscopy service



Measurement Specifications	
<b>Acquisition</b>	Real time with surface readout
<b>Output</b>	
Time domain	Sigma (SIGM), porosity (TPHI), fast-neutron cross section (FNXS)
Energy domain	Inelastic and capture yields of various elements, carbon/oxygen ratio, total organic carbon
<b>Logging speed<sup>†</sup></b>	
Inelastic capture mode	200 ft/h [61 m/h]
Inelastic gas, sigma, and hydrogen index (GSH) mode	3,600 ft/h [1,097 m/h]
Sigma lithology mode	1,000 ft/h [305 m/h]
<b>Range of measurement</b>	Porosity: 0 to 60 pu
<b>Mud type or weight limitations</b>	None
<b>Combinability</b>	Combinable with tools that use the PS Platform production services platform's telemetry system and ThruBit through-the-bit logging services
<b>Special application</b>	Qualified per the requirements of NACE MR0175 H <sub>2</sub> S and CO <sub>2</sub> resistance
<sup>†</sup> Logging speed determined using the tool planner	
Mechanical Specifications	
<b>Temperature rating</b>	350 degF [175 degC]
<b>Pressure rating</b>	15,000 psi [103.4 MPa]
<b>Casing size — min.</b>	2% in [6.03 cm]
<b>Casing size — max.</b>	9% in [24.45 cm]
<b>Outside diameter</b>	1.72 in [4.37 cm]
<b>Length</b>	18.3 ft [5.58 m]
<b>Weight</b>	88 lbm [40 kg]
<b>Tension</b>	10,000 lbf [44,480 N]
<b>Compression</b>	1,000 lbf [4,450 N]

\*Mark of Schlumberger  
Copyright © 2019 Schlumberger. All rights reserved. 19-PH-546187

Measurement and mechanical specifications for Schlumberger's pulsar multifunction spectroscopy service or PNX tool.



**SPE 127233**

## **Advances in Wireline Conveyed In-situ Reservoir Stress Testing Measurements: Case Studies from the Sultanate of Oman**

Koksal Cig and Alyaqdhan Sulaiman Al Mandhari, Schlumberger, and Salem Mohammed El Msallati and Latifa Qobi, Petroleum Development Oman

Copyright 2009, Society of Petroleum Engineers

This paper was prepared for presentation at the 2009 Kuwait International Petroleum Conference and Exhibition held in Kuwait City, Kuwait, 14–16 December 2009.

This paper was selected for presentation by an SPE program committee following review of information contained in an abstract submitted by the author(s). Contents of the paper have not been reviewed by the Society of Petroleum Engineers and are subject to correction by the author(s). The material does not necessarily reflect any position of the Society of Petroleum Engineers, its officers, or members. Electronic reproduction, distribution, or storage of any part of this paper without the written consent of the Society of Petroleum Engineers is prohibited. Permission to reproduce in print is restricted to an abstract of not more than 300 words; illustrations may not be copied. The abstract must contain conspicuous acknowledgment of SPE copyright.

### **Abstract**

In-situ reservoir stress measurements are essential input to a wide variety of the production and injection applications of reservoirs. Most of the reservoirs in this article require water injection to maximize recovery without breaking the matrices unintentionally. In some cases, it is also important to create a controlled fracture growth in a formation unit without breaking bordering barriers or zones. The main purpose of the in-situ reservoir stress testing of the case studies in this article is to calculate the minimum stress to improve the reservoir management plans for well placement, production, injection and fracturing processes.

One approach of measuring stresses in many zones is to use the wireline conveyed stress testing tools. The wireline conveyed in-situ reservoir stress testing measurements are frequently performed in the Sultanate of Oman for a wide range of operational and geomechanics applications such as but not limited to:

- Hydraulic fracturing
- Fracture growth/containment issues
- Polymer injection
- Borehole stability
- Sand production prediction
- Stress evolution with depletion, hot and cold injection

The stress testing zones vary from tight to high permeable zones as well as shale zones. The complexity and wide variety of the stress testing applications inevitably led modifications and improvements on the wireline conveyed stress testing tools. These improvements mainly are various types of pumps, higher performance dual packers and mandrels, innovative stress testing methods. The latest improvements and methods in stress testing help addressing the broader range of formations (deep and shallow, tight and permeable) in an extensive type of wells from vertical or deviated to horizontal.

In this article, the examples of several unique stress testing applications are presented. Shale stress testing with a viscous fluid, horizontal well stress testing, tight and very high permeability formation stress testing, sleeve fracturing stress testing methods are discussed in details.

### **Introduction**

In-situ stress magnitude and direction measurements in vertical and lateral directions are required in a reservoir for several reasons. These are for hydraulic fracture design, fracture type identification, water and gas injection management, fault activity, wellbore stability, sand production, rock mechanical properties, casing strings design, cap and base rock integrity, subsidence, and gas storage design.


In-situ reservoir stress testing (ST) measurements provide formation breakdown, propagation and closure pressures. The pressure data is further interpreted for tensile strength and minimum stress determination. The minimum stress is one of the most requested answers of stress testing measurements. The fracturing pressure has a strong relationship with the minimum stress. Knowing the fracturing pressure, for example, will help maximize the matrix sweep efficiency in a water flooding



application without creating an unintended fracture (Roegiers *et al.*, 2000). The fracturing pressure is generally set to the fracture closure pressure in which a rock has fissures and natural fractures. The bordering cap and base rock minimum stress values are other important parameters to create directionally controlled fracture growth in a formation. Auxiliary measurements such as sonic and formation imager tools compliment the stress magnitude values obtained from ST measurements.

### Stress Testing Tool String and Methodology

The operation requires mud injection to break the rock initially and to re-open /close the rock subsequently with the repeated injection cycles (Desroches, Kurkjian, 1998). ST is conducted with a wireline formation tester which has a dual packer module and a single probe for pressure measurements, pumps, a flow control module for low permeability zones and sample chambers for high permeability zones (Fig.1). The wireline formation tester can have many objectives in the same descent such as pressure measurements, downhole fluid identifications, sampling, and vertical interference tests with real-time measurements (Khalil *et al.* 2008). The dual packer interval seals across the 1-m. length of the wellbore. This small interval lowers the wellbore storage effects and focuses on zonal applications. It has an accurate depth control measures allowing the tests conducted at the desired depth intervals. The wireline conveyed in-situ reservoir stress testing can be extended to carbonate and sandstone formations, shales, tight zones, high permeability and/or fractured intervals. The operation can be conducted with a wireline or drill-pipe-conveyed method. Several tests can be performed during the same trip in vertical, deviated or horizontal wells. ST can also be performed in a cased hole if required.



**Figure 1- Wireline formation tester designed for an in-situ reservoir stress testing measurement.**

The ST objective is to create a controlled fracture in a desired zone and to measure the related pressure response. The created fracture plane is perpendicular to the direction of the minimum in-situ stress (Fig.2). The fracture then is re-opened and closed for the measurement repeatability with several constant rate injection cycles. The repeated cycles also assist fracture to grow 2-well-diameter away beyond hoop stresses to sense far field stresses accurately.

Stress testing operation is performed as following:

- (1) Inflate the dual packers by pumping mud into them from the wellbore or from sample chambers filled with water when a high solid content exists in the mud system.
- (2) Perform several cycles of small volume mud injections into the formation, which will lead pressure increase stepwise. This looks like very short period of pressure increasing and decreasing cycles. These are called filtration cycles which help choosing the suitable pump speed to initiate the fracture and confirm the dual packer seal.
- (3) Inject the mud into formation through the interval of the dual packers. The pressure will sharply increase and will suddenly drop. This is an indication of fracture initiation. Breakdown pressure is the highest pressure at which the fracture is initiated. When the sudden drop in pressure is observed, the mud injection is continued for a short period. Then the pumping is stopped and stabilization is monitored. As the pumping stops, the fracture starts closing back with the reducing pressure. This is called fall-off or bleed-off.

- (4) Repeat the cycles with the same injection rate. Fracture re-opens and reaches a rather constant pressure. This is called propagation pressure. The pump is later stopped for a subsequent fall-off. The cycles can be repeated three to five times as needed.
- (5) Deflate and move to the next ST depth station if required (Fig. 3).




Figure 2- shows the principal stresses acting on the reservoir. The created fracture plane is perpendicular to the direction of the minimum stress.




Figure 3- Stress testing measurement.  $\Delta$  represents packer inflation. I, II, III are filtration cycles. \*\* is Fracture initiation pressure. A, B, C, D are propagation pressures. 1, 2, 3, 4 are fall-off pressures. \* is closure pressure. ISIP is instantaneous shut-in pressure. Minimum stress is located between ISIP and closure pressure.

The station may take 1-4 hrs depending on the rock type, depth and injection fluid type. The test time is mostly consumed by the fall-off duration of the cycles. ST measurements are non damaging fracturing operations. Fractures are closed most of the cases after completing the tests.

There is a difference between the Extended Leak-Off Test (ELOT) and the wireline conveyed in-situ reservoir stress testing. ELOT rates and pressures are measured at the surface. Fluid compressibility and wellbore storage will play a big part in stress measurements in ELOT operations. Injected fluid will enter and fracture the weakest formation measuring the stress of highest permeability rock since generally large openhole intervals are exposed.

### Stress Testing Interpretation

Stress is a tensor. For reservoir geomechanics, we are interested in the so-called principal stresses. These are three and often referred to as great principal stress ( $\sigma_1$ ), intermediate principal stress ( $\sigma_2$ ), and least principal stress ( $\sigma_3$ ). In 95% of the crust, one of the principal stresses is vertical ( $\sigma_v$ ) and the other two are horizontal ( $\sigma_H$ ,  $\sigma_h$ ).

- In normal fault environment,  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_v$  and  $\sigma_3 = \sigma_h$ .
- In strike slip environment,  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_H$ .
- In reverse fault environment,  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_H$  and  $\sigma_3 = \sigma_v$ .

ST provides a number of measurements namely formation breakdown pressure, fracture propagation and closure pressures. The following section describes briefly the theory of fracturing and ST data analysis:

ST creates a fracture plane perpendicular to the direction of the minimum horizontal stress (Fig. 2). In other words, the fracture initiated by ST will propagate (away from the borehole) parallel to the maximum horizontal stress direction and opens against the minimum horizontal stress.

The rock breakdown pressure ( $P_b$ ) is dependent on stress distribution and anisotropy. Lower breakdown pressure is measured in higher stress anisotropy formations. Rock breakdown pressure estimation is very important for the success of the operation (Carnegie *et al.*, 2000). This is related to pump and dual packer selection of the wireline tester equipment. Rocks with a larger tensile strength are fractured with higher rated wireline tester modules. Tensile strength can be obtained with a laboratory analysis or estimated during ST from the difference between propagation and breakdown pressures. There are also developed relationships between unconfined compressive strength (UCS) to tensile strength (Desroches and Thiercelin, 1994).

Considering a normal fault environment, the breakdown pressure ( $P_b$ ) for a vertical wellbore can be estimated as:

$$P_b = 3\sigma_h - \sigma_H - P + T$$

Where:

$P$  = Formation pressure

$T$  = Rock tensile strength

$\sigma_h$  = Minimum stress ( $\sigma_3$ )

$\sigma_H$  = Maximum horizontal stress ( $\sigma_2$ )

The re-opening pressure ( $P_r$ ) for a vertical well can be predicted from:

$$P_r = 3\sigma_h - \sigma_H + P_f$$

Where:

$P_f$  = Fluid pressure in the fracture.  $P_f$  is considered equal to formation pressure in a relatively permeable formation.  $P_f$  is taken as equal to hydrostatic mud pressure in a very low permeability formation.

$\sigma_h$  = Minimum stress ( $\sigma_3$ )

$\sigma_H$  = Intermediate stress ( $\sigma_2$ )

The measured pressure data is stacked together for injection (propagation) and shut-in (fall-off) cycles. The cycles then are interpreted separately:

Propagation cycles are plotted as pressure vs. volume (or time if rates are constant). The plot provides a range of propagation pressures and fracture re-opening pressures. The re-opening pressures are obtained in the early time from the deviation of the straight line of the pressure measurements (Fig. 4). Re-opening pressure represents the opening of the fracture initiated in the first cycle. Propagation pressure is verified with the relatively constant pressures after re-opening of the fracture is achieved.

Fall-off pressures can be analyzed with pressure derivative analyses (Bourdet *et al.*, 1989) (Fig. 6) or they can be plotted with a square root of shut-in time (Fig. 5). The plot yields straight lines with different slopes. The fracture closure is estimated from the intersection of the straight lines.

Reconciliation plot is later prepared with the interpreted re-opening, propagation, instantaneous shut-in (ISIP) and closure pressures (Fig.7). ISIP is the pressure at the shut-in (when injection is stopped); the pressure quickly stabilizes to a value. ISIP represents a pressure value at which the fracture is open and stops growing (Desroches and Woods, 1998). ISIP does not have frictional pressure effects as opposed to propagation pressure. Reconciliation plot shows the trend of each cycle. If the interpreted pressures in cycles have nearly same values, this is an indication of the measurement in the far field region. Minimum stress can safely be reported between the stacked closure pressures.




Figure 4- The stacked plot shows pressure vs. volume (delta time if rate is constant). The deviation from a linear line in early time represents the fracture re-opening.




Figure 5- The stacked plot represents pressure vs. square root of delta time. Two distinct straight lines in the same cycle identify the fracture closure pressure.




Figure 6- Fall-off pressure derivative analysis which shows the closure of the fracture in each cycle.




Figure 7- Reconciliation plot shows the trend of each cycle. If the interpreted pressures in cycles have nearly same values, this is an indication of the measurement in the far field region. Minimum stress resides in between the stacked closure pressures.

Auxiliary measurements will assist to complete the estimation of stresses in the far field region. Formation imager tools can provide the azimuthal direction for the fracture created. Sonic Logs with a geomechanical interpretation derive continuous curves of stresses throughout the far field region. ST further calibrates the stress curves estimated in the geomechanical interpretation.

## New Technologies

Modular Formation Dynamics Tester (MDT) has been utilized for stress testing more than 15 years (Thiercelin *et al.*, 1994, 1996). Prior to recent technological improvements, MDT ST applications were suitable for a limited permeability range. ST limits occurred in two ways: (1) Tight formations (<1 md) experience higher breakdown pressures. These cases require larger pressure rating pumps, higher differential pressure limits for dual packers and mandrels. (2) High permeability formations (>50 md) require larger wellbore fluid injection rates to initiate the fracture.

Increasing demands in mature and exploratory fields for extensive applications, new modules and methods are developed to improve MDT ST capabilities. The recent improvements paved a way for expanding the permeability envelope around 0.1 - 1000 md. Introducing higher rating MDT dual packer mandrel allows up to 6000 psia differential pressure (maximum pump provided pressure – mud pressure). Recently introduced High Performance (HP) dual packers can withstand differential pressures as high as 6000 psia. This means if breakdown pressure is within the range of mud pressure plus 6000 psia, the fracture is created and ST is completed as planned. These high pressures are required when formations are extremely tight. HP dual packers can be used for more than 10 different settings. The dual packers can be set in the wellbores from 6 in. to 14 in.



Previous generation packers have a maximum differential limit of 4000 psia with 3-5 settings. A variety of pumps for their volumes and pressures can be also selected at the present. The utilization of dual pumps is another possibility for increasing a pump capacity.

When formations have relatively high permeabilities ( $>50$  md), the injected mud viscosity is not enough to achieve the fracture. The injected fluid dissipates into a formation before creating enough stress to achieve a fracture. This drawback can be solved in two ways: Either the volume injected should be raised or the viscosity of the injected fluid should be increased. Both methods are introduced. Increasing volume requires two pumps injecting fluid simultaneously. Another method is to carry a viscous fluid downhole with chambers in the tool string and inject it in the high permeability zones. The injected viscous fluid can be easily chosen from heavy oil of a producing well. Let us assume that maximum mobility that fracture can be initiated with the wellbore fluid (mud) is very moderately around  $(k/\mu) = 10$  md/cp. If mud filtrate viscosity is taken around as 1 cp, the maximum permeable zone to fracture is around 10 md. If we choose to change an injection fluid viscosity to 100 cp in downhole conditions, then maximum permeability range can go up to 1000 md (1 Darcy).

## Case Studies

The wireline conveyed stress testing measurements are frequently performed in the Sultanate of Oman. The stress testing zones vary from tight to higher permeable zones as well as shale zones. Geomechanical computations and predictions are important part of the decision making in reservoir management processes such as drilling performance, reservoir depletion mechanism, and water/gas/steam injection management in the Sultanate of Oman. The chosen examples below are some of the many wireline conveyed stress testing cases:

### 1. Stress Testing in a High Permeability Sandstone Formation with Viscous Fluid

The objective of the stress testing was to understand the minimum stress in a heavy oil formation for water flooding. Several overlying formations were tested for the cap rock integrity since a layered reservoir system exists with different producing zones. One of the tests was performed successfully in a sandstone formation where the formation mobility was measured as 549 md/cp. A viscous fluid of 100 cp at 30 Deg.C was carried downhole and injected into the formation. The viscous fluid was the produced and treated oil from the same field. The downhole condition of the viscous fluid was estimated around 65 cp at 54 Deg.C. HP dual packers were utilized in an 8.5-in. vertical well drilled with water based mud. Figure 8 depicts the formation pressures with openhole logs. Figure 9 shows the high mobility stress testing station. The test time was 3.3 hrs. The compressibility of the viscous fluid is higher than that of water based mud. This is very pronounced with the changing slope just before initiating the fracture. The previous stress testing experience in the same field showed that injecting mud alone into this high mobility formation will not result in successful fracture initiation. The stress testing with the mobility of 549 md/cp is a world record to date with a wireline formation tester. Total of 5 successful tests were conducted in carbonate, sandstone, shale and shally sandstone layers in the same run. 2 out 5 tests were with the viscous fluid injection method. The important factor in this stress testing operation was to know the formation pressures and mobilities to selectively choose the fluid types for each zone to achieve the fractures, consequently minimum stress values.




Figure 8- shows the openhole logs and measured pressures and mobilities. Total of 5 stress tests were conducted successfully in sandstone, carbonate, shale and shally sandstone layers. The fluid types varied from wellbore fluid to viscous fluid (heavy oil) which is carried downhole with the wireline formation tester tool. Case 1 example is located in the Sandstone layer and Case 2 example is located in the Shale layer.




Figure 9- shows the stress testing in high mobility sandstone formation in the Case 1. Figure 8 depicts the location of the test. The viscous fluid of 100 cp was carried downhole and injected into formation.

## 2. Stress Testing in a Shale Layer with Viscous Fluid

This example station is taken from the same well as in the Case 1. A shallower shale layer as in the figure 8 was tested for the cap rock integrity. Mud was injected in the first attempt but it was not possible to break the shale due its plastic behavior. The viscous fluid was injected to initiate the fracture and later stage mud and viscous fluid were used together. Figure 10 shows the stress testing station. The test time was 3.2 hrs. The changing slope just before initiating the fracture is also seen in this shale zone. This may be due to the plastic behavior of the shale layer.




Figure 10- Stress testing in the shale layer in the Case 2. Figure 8 depicts the location of the test. The viscous fluid initiated the fracture in this station. The changing slope just before initiating the fracture is also seen in the shale zone. This may be due to viscous fluid compressibility and plastic behavior of the shale layer.

## 3. Stress Testing in a Shale Layer with Two Pumps

The objective of the test was to obtain stress magnitude in an exploration well. The vertical well was drilled with water based mud in 8.5 in. hole. First time in the Sultanate of Oman, two pumps were used simultaneously to achieve a stress testing. 20 liters of fluid was pumped with two pumps in 10 mins in each cycle, which is quite large amount of fluid in a short period of time for a wireline formation tester. The volume was required to overcome the plastic behavior of the shale (Fig. 11). The test time was 2.5 hrs. The pressure increase during the fracture initiation was very steep with a same slope due to the wellbore fluid injection.





Figure 11- Stress testing was achieved with the usage of two synchronized pumps (Case 3). The pressure increase during the fracture initiation was very steep with a same slope due to wellbore fluid injection.

#### 4. Stress Testing in a Tight Carbonate Formation with Sleeve Fracturing

The stress testing was conducted to understand the magnitude of the minimum stress and to improve the drilling practices in this part of the field. Breakouts are commonly observed in the wellbores in this field. This particular well having a maximum deviation of 15 Deg. was drilled in 6 in. hole with water based mud. The target formation was a tight carbonate gas reservoir. HP dual packers were utilized and the maximum temperature observed was 125 Deg.C. The mobility of the formation was 1.4 md/cp. The test was attempted at 3373.7 m. without a success. However, an excessive dual packer pressure was applied to the formation during the test. Therefore, there was a possibility of achieving a sleeve fracture.

Sleeve Fracturing occurs during the standard stress testing procedure, which initiates the fracture under one of the dual packer elements if the formation is nearly impermeable. This can also be achieved by pumping the fluid at a constant rate into one of the packer elements up to the maximum allowable inflatable pressure. The packer element itself initiates the fracture rather than the packer interval. In fact in this test station, the standard stress test failed prematurely. The packer then was deflated and the tool was positioned so that the dual packer interval was at the level of an expected fracture. Therefore the tool was moved to 3372.6 m. and the stress testing procedure was repeated with a success. Figure 12 shows the sleeve fracturing application. The plot on the right at 3372.6 m. shows no fracture initiation but a successful re-opening and closure cycles because the fracture was initiated by sleeve fracturing with the previous attempt.



**Figure 12-** The plot on the left shows the failed stress testing attempt at 3373.7 m. However, the upper packer element achieved the sleeve fracturing as explained in the Case 4. The plot on the right shows the successful stress testing after the dual packer interval is positioned 1.1 m. higher at 3372.6 m. It does not show breakdown pressure since the fracture was initiated by sleeve fracturing previously.

#### 5. Stress Testing with Rebound (Flowback) Pressure Technique in a Shale Formation

The stress testing objective is similar to Case 4 since the well in this example was drilled in the same field. The wellbore size is 6 in. with a maximum deviation of 45 Deg. The target formation is a tight carbonate gas reservoir. HP dual packers were utilized in this well. The maximum temperature observed was 127 Deg.C. The stress testing was conducted at 3125.5 m in a shale zone. The shale acts as a cap rock for the deeper, gas producing carbonate zones. Shale is practically impermeability at this depth. Fracture was initiated at 5870 psia above the hydrostatic pressure (Fig. 13). Breakdown pressure was 75150 kPa (10900 Psia). Hydrostatic pressure was 36540 kPa (5030 Psia). After the fracture initiation to re-confirm the fracture, the dual packer interval pressure was bled to hydrostatic pressure. Then the injection cycle was repeated. The injection cycle pressure did not increase higher than the propagation pressure. It showed a pressure reading similar to the propagation pressure in the fracture initiation cycle. This method confirmed the existence of the fracture by re-opening it. The repeated injection cycle needed a fall-off period to obtain the closure pressure. After nearly four hours of fall-off period, it had been clear that the pressure would not be reduced to the hydrostatic pressure for a classical interpretation. It was decided to use re-bound pressure technique. It required withdrawing the injected fluid very slowly from the open fracture with a flow control module. The flow control module, having a volume of 1 lt., can flow the fluid with very small rates and assist closing the fracture. This method provided the rebound pressure.



**Rebound Pressure:** When injection is stopped, the fluid can be withdrawn from the fracture to close it in the vicinity of the wellbore only. The rest of the fracture is still pressurized above the closure pressure and it is open. The fluid in the fracture flows back to the wellbore, resulting in a pressure to rebound. If a rebound pressure level is higher than the mud pressure, it is an indicator that a hydraulic fracture has certainly been created and it can help providing an estimation of minimum stress.




Figure 13- The stress testing in a shale formation in the Case 5. The rebound technique was used for the closure pressure estimation.

## 6. Stress Testing in a Horizontal Well

The objective of the stress testing application in this horizontal well was to obtain the minimum stress for a water injection design under matrix and controlled fracture conditions. The 6.125-in. horizontal well was drilled with water based mud in a carbonate formation. The geology of the reservoir shows a stratigraphic trap in a carbonate formation sealed by a shale layer above and by argillaceous limestone facies laterally. The horizontal wells in this field were drilled with Logging While Drilling (LWD) to target the carbonate structures in several branches. Some of the horizontal branches will be later converted into water injectors. The wireline tester tool is designed for pressures, sampling, interference testing and stress testing in the same drill-pipe-conveyed run. Figure 14 depicts the horizontal well stress testing in a carbonate formation. This particular station was completed in 2 hrs.

The principle stresses may not be parallel or orthogonal to the borehole axis in a horizontal well. The stress will be dependent on all three far field stress components. Moreover, the angle between minimum stress and the horizontal wellbore is subjected to the changing wellbore trajectory. When a fracture is created, it will open against the local minimum stress. The hydraulic fracture will not display itself as a planar feature and will typically be created with an angle to the borehole axis.




Figure 14- The horizontal well stress testing in the Case 6. The test was design to improve water flooding process in the field.



## 7. Stress Testing Calibration with Sonic Logs

The objective of the stress testing in this well was to optimize the water flooding operations in the depleted carbonate reservoir. The wellbore was drilled in 6.125 in. hole with water based mud. The maximum wellbore deviation was 11 Deg. The open hole and sonic logs were acquired prior to the stress testing. Figure 15 shows one of the stress tests conducted in the carbonate formation. Sonic measurements provide compressional, fast shear, slow shear, and stoneley wave slownesses in the formation. The geomechanical interpretation of the sonic logs in this particular well supplied continuous curves of far field stress measurements. Figure 16 shows the results of the wireline formation tester pressures and stress tests and the geomechanical interpretation.

The Sonic log interpretation results can also assist choosing the stress test stations. Stresses are calculated with the open hole logs such as density, porosity and Gamma-Ray and saturation curves and sonic logs such as compressional and shear wave slownesses. Young's Modulus, Poisson's Ratio, Shear Modulus, Bulk Modulus are calculated from the mechanical earth model. Then UCS, minimum and maximum stresses are further calculated from the formulas. The magnitude difference should be noted between the stress tests and the uncalibrated stress curves in Figure 16. The stress testing results will assist re-calibrating the stress curves with parameter changes in the geomechanical interpretation (Plumb *et al.* 2000, Russell *et al.* 2006).




Figure 15- shows one of the stress testing stations in a carbonate formation in the Case 7. Stress testing interpretations were conducted for individual stations. This test was one of the stress tests used for calibrating sonic log interpretation results.




Figure 16- shows stress testing results with the uncalibrated geomechanical interpretation in the Case 7. The sonic log interpretation requires a calibration with stress test results to obtain accurate curves of stress values. In the above figure, GR is Gamma Ray, Formation is Formation Pressure, UCS Fuller is unconfined compressive strength, ALP\_STA is 1 as a constant, PR\_STA is Poisson's Ratio Static, YME\_STA is Young's Modulus Static, FANG is Fraction Angle, SIGV\_OB is Sigma Vertical (Overburden Pressure), HLLD, HLLS are Laterolog Deep and Shallow Resistivities respectively, RXOZ is Invaded Zone Resistivity, NPOR is Formation Porosity, RHOB is Formation Density, PEZF is Formation Photoelectric Factor.

Conclusions

The wireline conveyed in-situ reservoir stress testing measurements are frequently performed in the Sultanate of Oman to meet an extensive range of business requirements in a wide variety of sedimentary formations. The success rate has increased from 30% (when we started providing this service) to 60% today. The major factors for this increasing success rate are:

- 1. The continuous efforts in understanding where the tool limitations reside and react to them by generating solutions to overcome these limitations
- 2. Overall good communication between the service provider and the study and asset teams in order to have clarity of the test objectives on case by case basis. This includes a pre-job planning to decide on the measurement depths (based on all available other data), the pressures and rates.
- 3. The real-time decision making of a witnessing technologist
- 4. Valuable feedback session to assess the success or not of the test


Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Petroleum Development Oman and Schlumberger for the permission to write the paper. The authors are also grateful to the managements of Petroleum Development Oman and Schlumberger for giving them the time to write this paper and the approval for publication.

## References

- Bourdet, J. A., Ayoub, J. A., Pirard, Y. M., "Use of Pressure Derivative in Well Test Interpretation", SPE Formation Evaluation, page 293–302, 1989
- Britt L.K., Jones J. R., Heidt J. H., Adil I., Kelly P., Sparkes D., Collin B., "Application of After-Closure Analysis Techniques to Determine Permeability in Tight Formation Gas Reservoirs", SPE 90865
- Cantini S., Desroches J., Fourmaintraux D., Le Goff C., "Detailed In Situ Stress Measurements Above the Reservoir for Safety Assessment of Underground Gas Storage", OMC 2005, Proceedings Offshore Marine Conference, Ravenna, Italy, 2005
- Carnegie A., Thomas M., Efnik M.S., Hamawi M., Akbar M., Burton M., "An Advanced Method of Determining Insitu Reservoir Stresses: Wireline Conveyed Micro-Fracturing", SPE 78486, presented at 10th ADIPEC conference in Abu Dhabi, UAE, 2000
- Chipperfield S., "After-Closure Analysis to Identify Naturally Fractured Reservoirs", SPE 90002
- Davidson B.M., Pursell D.A., Owen K., Dukharan P., Maniere J., "Study offshore Trinidad determines frac-pack effectiveness", Oil&Gas Journal, Sep 7, 1998
- Desroches J., Kurkjian, A., "Applications of Wireline Stress Measurement", SPE 48960, 1998
- Desroches J., Marsden J. R. and Colley N. M., "Wireline Open-Hole Stress Tests in a Tight Gas Sandstone", Proceedings of the 1995 International Gas Research Conference, 6-9 November 1995, Cannes, France, page 69-82
- Desroches J., Woods, T.E., "Stress Measurements for Sand Control", SPE Paper 47247, Presented at the SPE/ISRM Conference Eurock 98, Trondheim, Norway, 8- 10 July 1998
- Desroches J. and Woods T., "Stress Measurements for Sand Control", SPE 47247, Proceedings of the 17th Annual Oilfield Services Interpretation Symposium, 19–21 June 1997, Ridgefield, USA. 26
- Desroches J., Thiercelin M., "Estimating the in Situ State of Stress and Rock Properties Using Small Scale Hydraulic Fractures", 5th Brazilian Petroleum Congress and Conexpo Arpel, 1994
- Desroches J., Marsden J.R., Colley N.M., "Wireline Open Hole Stress Tests in a Tight Gas Sandstone", Oil & Gas Journal, Drilling & Production, Cannes, France, November 6-9, 1995
- Desroches J., Etchécopar A., Wileveau Y., Diaz E., Cantini S., Blum M.S., "Combination of Microhydraulic Fracturing and Wellbore Images Provides Measurement of The Full Stress Sensor: A Case Study", 46th SPWLA meeting, New Orleans, 2005
- Enever J.R., Yassir N., Willoughby D.R., Addis M.A., "Recent Experience with Leak-off Tests for In-Situ Stress Measurements in Australia", APPEA Journal, 1996
- Fourmaintraux D., Bois A.P., Cyril Le Goff C., Cantini S., "Detailed In Situ Stress Measurements for Qualifying the Safety of Underground Gas Storage", SPE 94253, presented at Europec, Madrid, Spain, 2005
- Khalil M., Randrianavony M., Mullins O.C., Cig K., Godefroy S.N., "Downhole Fluid Characterization Integrating Insitu Density and Viscosity Measurements - Field Test from Oman Sandstone Formation", SPE 117164, ADIPEC, Abu Dhabi, U.A.E., 3-6 Nov, 2008
- Plumb Richard, Edwards Stephen, Pidcock Gary, Lee Donald, Stacey Brian, "The Mechanical Earth Model Concept and Its Application to High-Risk Well Construction Projects", SPE59128, IADC/SPE Drilling Conference, New Orleans, Louisiana, 23-25 February 2000
- Ramakrishnan H., Waters G., Boratko E., Latifzai A. and Bentley D., "Application of Downhole Injection Stress Testing in the Barnett Shale Formation", SPE 116272, 2008 SPE ATCE held in Denver, Colorado, USA, 21–24, September 2008
- Roegiers J.C., "Rock Mechanics: Reservoir Stimulation", Chapter 3, Published by Schlumberger Educational Services, Edited by Economides M.J. Nolte K.G., 2000
- Russell K. A., Ayan C., Hart N. J., Rodriguez J. M., Scholey H., and Sugden C., Davidson J. K., "Predicting and Preventing Wellbore Instability: Tullich Field Development, North Sea", SPE84269, Journal SPE Drilling & Completion, page 12-22, Vol 21,1, March 2006
- Thiercelin M., Desroches J. and Kurkjian A., 1994, "Open Hole Stress Tests in Shales", SPE 28144, Proceedings of the joint SPE/ISRM Conference on Rock Mechanics in Petroleum Engineering, Eurock'94, Delft, The Netherlands, 29–31 August 1994, page 921–928
- Thiercelin M., Desroches J., Bixenman P., Jonas J. and Davie W., "A New Wireline Tool for In-Situ Stress Measurements", SPE Formation Evaluation, page 19–25, March 1996
- Winkler K.W., Sinha B.K., Plona T.J., "Effects of Borehole Stress Concentrations on Dipole Anisotropy Measurements", Geophysics 63, No. 1, Jan-Feb 1998

**Schlumberger**



**Saturn**  
3D radial probe



# Saturn

Fluid flow and pressure measurement where not previously possible

## Applications

- Formation fluid sampling
- Downhole fluid analysis (DFA)
- Formation pressure measurement
- Fluid-gradient determination
- Far-field permeability measurement and anisotropy determination
- Well testing design optimization

## Benefits

- Fluid sampling and DFA for
  - Low-permeability formations
  - Heavy oil
  - Fluids with a bubble- or dewpoint near reservoir pressure
  - Unconsolidated formations
  - Rugose boreholes
- Low-permeability formation pressure testing
- Interval pressure transient testing (IPTT) with reduced storage for fast flow-regime identification







### Features

- Combinable with all MDT\* modular formation dynamics tester modules
- High-temperature rated to 350 degF
- 8,000-psi differential pressure rating between flowline and hydrostatic pressure
- Low storage effect
- No sump, eliminating fluids mixing with stationary mud
- Four field-replaceable, elliptical suction probes
- 79.44-in<sup>2</sup> total surface flow area
- Individual probe filters to prevent flowline plugging
- Self-sealing drain assembly for excellent seal maintenance during sampling in any quality of borehole





# The keys to fluid acquisition and pressure pretests

## A revolution in sampling and pressure-testing technology

The self-sealing Saturn\* 3D radial probe enables true 3D circumferential flow in the formation around the borehole, significantly reducing the time needed to obtain representative formation fluids and extend fluid sampling and downhole fluid analysis (DFA) to what were previously challenging environments:

- low-permeability formations
- heavy oil
- near-critical fluids
- unconsolidated formations
- rugose boreholes.


The low storage volume of the Saturn design not only facilitates fluid sampling and DFA but also the efficient performance of complete pressure surveys in extremely low-permeability formations.

## Surface area open to flow and pressure drawdown

Successful wireline fluid sampling and DFA begin with accessing a representative sample of the virgin reservoir fluid, ideally in a minimum amount of time. Formation pressure testing similarly requires fluid withdrawal.

The fluid extraction is typically conducted with a probe module that includes a packer, telescoping backup pistons, and a flowline.

The pistons extend the probe and packer assembly against the borehole wall to provide a sealed fluid path from the reservoir to the flowline. The governing principle behind flowing any fluid from a reservoir for formation testing is Darcy's law, in which flow ( $q$ ) is a function of permeability ( $k$ ), drawdown pressure ( $dP$ ), surface area open to flow ( $A$ ), fluid viscosity ( $\mu$ ), and the length ( $L$ ) over which the drawdown is applied.


$$q = \frac{k A dP}{\mu L}$$

*Flow from the formation to a conventional formation tester is narrowed to the intake of the single probe, not from the entire circumference of the borehole wall.*



Different probe surface flow areas and the maximum pressure drawdowns that the formation tester can manage are used depending on the formation permeability and fluid viscosity. Typically, the larger the surface area and the higher the maximum drawdown pressure, the higher the flow rate of fluid from the formation that can be achieved for a formation testing operation.

Over the years, Schlumberger innovation has increased the maximum allowable differential pressure from 4,596 psi with the standard pumpout displacement unit to 11,760 psi with the high-pressure displacement unit. Concurrently, the available surface area of the probes has increased by nearly 40 times, from the standard probe's 0.15 in<sup>2</sup> to the 6.03-in<sup>2</sup> elliptical probe. This technical progression enables successfully performing


formation testing in a wider range of environments. However, as operators attempt to tap into hydrocarbons previously thought to be unproducible—low-permeability or unconsolidated reservoirs, high-viscosity formation fluids—or where reduced drawdown is necessary to test reservoirs in which the saturation pressure of the fluid is close to the reservoir pressure, formation testing is technologically challenged.

The **Saturn 3D radial probe** meets these challenges with a radical redesign of the fluid-extraction module to deploy multiple self-sealing probes around the borehole. With a total surface flow area of 79.44 in<sup>2</sup>, Saturn technology expands the operating envelope of formation testing for both fluid flow and reservoir environments.


*The self-sealing drain assembly incorporating the four Saturn probes circumferentially extracts fluid from the formation instead localizing flow at a single probe.*







The Saturn 3D radial probe increases the probe surface area by more than 500 times.



*Probes not to scale.*

**79.44**

Surface flow  
area, in<sup>2</sup>

Saturn 3D  
radial probe

**6.03**

Surface flow  
area, in<sup>2</sup>

Elliptical  
probe

**2.01**

Surface flow  
area, in<sup>2</sup>

Extralarge-  
diameter probe

**1.01**

Surface flow  
area, in<sup>2</sup>

Quicksilver Probe\*  
probe

**0.85**

Surface flow  
area, in<sup>2</sup>

Large-diameter  
probe

**0.15**

Surface flow  
area, in<sup>2</sup>

Standard  
probe



**Flow certainty for  
understanding your heavy oil  
and low-permeability reservoir**

The 79.44 in<sup>2</sup> of surface flow area of the Saturn 3D radial probe makes it easy to extract heavy oils for conducting DFA, sampling, and pressure testing. Having brought uncontaminated oil with a relative density as low as 7.5 API to the surface, the Saturn probe significantly expands the operating envelope of sampling and determining mobility for viscous fluids.

**Reliably out of the hole, every time**

Sixty-four individual heavy-duty springs mounted around the edges of the Saturn assembly and two large-diameter heavy-duty springs around the mandrel ensure reliable, consistent retraction of the elliptical suction probes after every station. The large cumulative closing force of the mechanical spring system keeps operational risk to a bare minimum.




*The mechanical retract mechanism of the Saturn 3D radial probe employs heavy-duty springs to secure the probes when not deployed.*



### Flow fluid in three dimensions


The Saturn 3D radial probe comprises four elliptical-shaped suction probes, distributed at 90° intervals around the circumference of the tool. This placement pulls fluid circumferentially from around the borehole, instead of the conventional probe arrangement of one port as the sole fluid access point. Each of the four Saturn probes has a surface flow area of 19.86 in<sup>2</sup>, which is more than 2 times larger than the surface area of the largest conventional probe. Together, the four Saturn probes total 79.44 in<sup>2</sup> of surface flow area, an increase of more than 500 times over the area of the standard conventional probe.



*Flow from the formation to a conventional formation tester is narrowed to the intake of the single probe, not from the entire circumference of the borehole wall.*



Circumferential flow around the wellbore has significant benefits for both sampling cleanup and interval pressure transient testing (IPTT). The Saturn 3D radial probe quickly removes the filtrate from the entire circumference of the wellbore to draw in uncontaminated formation fluid. In addition, the significantly larger flow area of the 3D radial probe can induce and sustain flow in low-mobility formations, formations in which the matrix is uncemented, and the viscous fluid content of heavy oil reservoirs.




*The four Saturn probes efficiently establish circumferential flow from the formation to quickly remove filtrate-contaminated fluid and flow uncontaminated, representative fluid for DFA, sampling, and pressure measurements.*

### Sealing with confidence

Unlike the packer incorporated in a conventional probe assembly or operations using a dual straddle packer in the testing string, the Saturn probes self-seal with suction to the borehole wall to receive direct flow from the formation with faster cleanup.

### Direct rig-time savings in low-permeability formations

As the permeability of a formation decreases, the performance improvement of the Saturn 3D radial probe over conventional probes widens significantly. As shown in comparison with the extralarge-diameter probe for achieving 5% contamination, the Saturn 3D radial probe improves sampling efficiency beginning at formation mobilities of 500 mD/cP, with the performance gap greatly expanding as the mobility decreases. Once mobility approaches 10 mD/cP, the extralarge-diameter probe cannot move the formation fluid, whereas the Saturn 3D radial probe is an enabling technology.



*Modeled cleanup times for the Saturn 3D radial probe and a conventional extralarge-diameter probe show the increase in sampling efficiency possible. The Saturn 3D radial probe is an enabling technology for sampling at mobilities less than 10 mD/cP, at which the conventional probe cannot perform.*



### **Complete pressure surveys in low-mobility formations**

The technology that makes the Saturn 3D radial probe excel at fluid extraction also delivers a step change in formation pressure testing. Conventional formation tester probes with the largest surface flow area currently available are limited to pressure testing formations with mobilities no lower than about 1 mD/cP. Pretesting-only service is the current benchmark for excellent performance in low-permeability formations, but the mobility limit for pressure tests is about 0.1 mD/cP.

The Saturn 3D radial probe, with 79.44 in<sup>2</sup> of surface flow area, can perform pressure tests at mobilities as low as 0.01 mD/cP. In addition to its unprecedented pressure-testing capability in very tight formations, the Saturn 3D radial probe has proved far less susceptible to supercharging. Conducted with the MDT Pumpout Module, Saturn pressure tests produce significantly more fluid than during a conventional probe test.


### **Circumferential support for unconsolidated formations**

The circumferential self-sealing technology of the Saturn 3D radial probe mechanically supports the borehole with the compliant rubber seal of its drain assembly throughout the sampling operation. Pressure drawdown is localized to the four elliptical suction probes, which minimizes the matrix stress while flowing fluid. If any matrix disengages while flowing fluid, the Saturn 3D radial probe is equipped with sandface filtering mechanisms on each of the probes to prevent plugging of the system.





## Case Studies



### Saturn probe retrieves uncontaminated 7.5-API oil from friable sandstone

Accurate fluid description and determination of pressure differentials were needed to guide well placement and completion in an onshore Mexico field to avoid the development of preferential flow along higher-mobility intervals. However, the combination of a poorly consolidated formation, with unconfined compressive strength (UCS) values ranging from 100 to 800 psi, and high-viscosity fluid content meant that the pressure differential generated by conventional formation testing inevitably caused collapse of the wellbore wall and failure of the seal or sanding out of the tool.

The operator had to resort to temporarily perforating, completing, and flowing each sand separately to collect samples in coiled tubing-deployed bottles on a DST string. The complicated logistics and high costs of this approach were not sustainable.

Unlike single-probe conventional formation testers, the Saturn 3D radial probe is ideal for flowing fluid in these challenging conditions of an unconsolidated reservoir with low mobility. The four self-sealing elliptical probes, with the industry's largest surface flow area of more than 79 in<sup>2</sup>, quickly establish and maintain flow from the entire circumference of the wellbore instead of funneling fluid from the reservoir to a single access point. The result is quicker cleanup and the efficient performance of pressure measurements.

In unconsolidated formations, the compliant rubber surface of the Saturn drain assembly mechanically supports the borehole throughout the sampling operation. Pressure drawdown is localized to the four elliptical probes, which minimizes matrix stress while fluid is flowing.





*Each self-sealing Saturn probe incorporates a filter to capture any dislodged matrix and prevent plugging.*

If sand grains were drawn in with the flowing fluid, the Saturn drain assembly incorporates individual probe filters to prevent flowline plugging.

The Saturn 3D radial probe was deployed in the field to test and sample at multiple stations in several wells, which have up to 12% ovalization. Whereas conventional probes commonly experienced lost seals in the rugose holes, the Saturn self-sealing probes maintained seal integrity to support the borehole in the unconsolidated sandstone reservoirs. There was no evidence of sand grains reaching the pumps.


Full pressure surveys were conducted in both water- and oil-base mud environments with only minor storage effects observed in the pressure responses. The pressure surveys in combination with the mobilities determined from every pretest are being used to design completions that will evenly distribute injected steam among designated intervals and avoid channeling.

Fluid sampling successfully captured an uncontaminated sample of 7.5-API oil; subsequent laboratory analysis reported a viscosity of approximately 1,030 cP at downhole conditions. Being able to use the Saturn 3D radial probe to collect what were previously unobtainable high-quality samples and pressure data is providing a wealth of information for the operator.



*The Saturn 3D radial probe collected an uncontaminated sample of 7.5-API oil from an unconsolidated sandstone reservoir without sanding or seal failure.*

## Case Study



### Saturn probe delineates low-mobility oil zone in carbonate reservoir


The extent of the oil zone in a tight carbonate reservoir in a Middle East field was not clear. Openhole logs strongly indicated that the top of the formation was oil bearing and the bottom was water-wet, but the fluid contents of the middle zone were ambiguous. The middle zone had a lower resistivity response that was similar to that in the underlying water zone. The location of the oil/water contact could not be determined from the logs alone, and conventional formation tester probes would not be able to acquire fluid samples from the tight formation.

By establishing true 3D circumferential flow around the borehole in the low-permeability formation, the Saturn 3D radial probe successfully collected samples from the top, middle, and bottom of the carbonate reservoir.


Extensive pumpout by the Saturn probe confirmed light oil in the top zone through DFA. A radial flow regime was established with an estimated horizontal permeability of approximately 1 mD. The station in the bottom zone yielded water and had a similar permeability.

DFA then identified mobile light oil in the middle of the reservoir, and the operator was able to determine the thickness of the oil zone with confidence. Pumpout for the middle station was achieved with a 4,900-psi pressure differential for 15 h, resulting in a mobility determination of 0.04 mD/cP.






Mobile oil was acquired by the Saturn 3D radial probe at the top and middle stations to favorably place the oil/water contact compared with the ambiguous low-resistivity logs. The bottom pressure plot shows the pressure differential applied over an extensive pumpout at the middle station to retrieve representative oil from the carbonate reservoir, with a mobility of 0.04 mD/cP.



## Case Study



### 650% faster flow rate efficiently acquires fluids from dolomite

The openhole logs from a dolomitic limestone interval drilled with saline water-base mud in the Middle East did not indicate the presence of hydrocarbon, but the analysis was ambiguous because some zones had resistivity values as low as 0.7 ohm.m. The operator wanted to conduct DFA and collect samples to resolve the identity of the reservoir fluids, but the time allowed at each sampling station was limited to 4 h in consideration of mud losses during the job.


Schlumberger deployed an advanced wireline formation tester toolstring that included both the Saturn 3D radial probe and an extralarge-diameter conventional probe to acquire fluid at multiple stations in a single trip.

After DFA at Station I clearly identified 60%–70% oil, Station II was selected for determining the lowest mobile oil. The initial sampling attempt with the extralarge-diameter probe experienced a significant pressure drop, with 2,000-psi drawdown and a low flow rate of 5.2 L/h. The resulting pretest mobility was 1.5 mD/cP. After 1.5 h of pumping out, flow was switched to the Saturn 3D radial probe, and the rate increased 650% with only 680-psi drawdown. The performance of the Saturn 3D radial probe for the ratio of rate to pressure drop was a 19-times improvement over that of the extralarge-diameter probe for the 1.5-mD/cP mobility. Flowline resistivity stabilization was achieved with water identification at Station II within the 4-h limit for the well, and the water collected in the sample bottle confirmed the DFA results.











No oil was observed by the optical analyzers for the 34 L of fluid extracted at Station II by the extralarge-diameter probe (left) at a large drawdown and low rate. Once flow was switched to the Saturn 3D radial probe (right), cleanup was achieved at a rate that was about 3.5 times faster. The insets show how the fluid flow in the reservoir is to a single point for the conventional probe but circumferentially for the four self-sealing Saturn probes.



Comparison of pressure and rate of the extralarge-diameter probe (left) and Saturn 3D radial probe (right) at Station II shows that the Saturn probe increased the flow rate 650% with only 680-psi drawdown, which is one-third of the conventional single probe's drawdown. The resulting ratio of rate to pressure drop delivered an improvement of 19 times over the single probe's performance.



## Specifications

### Saturn 3D Radial Probe

#### Measurement

Output	Ultralow-contamination formation fluids, formation pressure, fluid mobility
Logging speed	Stationary
Mud type or weight limitations	None
Combinability	Fully integrates with MDT modular formation dynamics tester system and InSitu Family* sensors
Special applications	Low-permeability formations, heavy oil, near-critical fluids, unconsolidated formations, and rugose boreholes

#### Mechanical

Temperature rating	350 degF [177 degC]
Pressure rating	20,000 psi [138 MPa]
Borehole size—min.	7.875 in [20.00 cm]
Borehole size—max.	9.5 in [24.13 cm]
Max. hole ovality	20%
Outside diameter	Tool body: 4.75 in [12.06 cm] Drain assembly: 7 in [17.78 cm]
Length	5.7 ft [1.74 m] With Modular Reservoir Sonde and Electronics (MRSE): 12.4 ft [3.78 m]
Weight (in air)	385 lbm [175 kg] With MRSE: 585 lbm [265 kg]



# Saturn



[www.slb.com/saturn](http://www.slb.com/saturn)

# Schlumberger

\*Mark of Schlumberger  
Other company, product, and service names are the properties of their respective owners.  
Copyright © 2012 Schlumberger. All rights reserved. 12-FE-0056





# RED TRAIL ENERGY, LLC

## APPENDIX E

### STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT REGULATORY COMPLIANCE TABLE

STORAGE FACILITY PERMIT REGULATORY COMPLIANCE TABLE

Permit Item	NDAC Reference	Requirement	Regulatory Summary	Storage Facility Permit (section; see main body for reference cited)	Figure/Table Number and Description		
Pore Space Amalgamation	NDCC 38-22-06 §3 & 4  NDAC 43-05-01-08 §1 & 2	NDCC 38-22-06 3. Notice of the hearing must be given to each mineral lessee, mineral owner, and pore space owner within the storage reservoir and within one-half mile of the storage reservoir's boundaries.	a. An affidavit of mailing certifying that all pore space owners and lessees within the storage reservoir boundary and within one-half mile outside of its boundary have been notified of the proposed carbon dioxide storage project.	Red Trail Energy (RTE) has identified the owners (surface and mineral); in addition, no mineral lessees or operators of mineral extraction activities are within the facility area or within one-half mile of its outside boundary. RTE will notify all owners of a pore space amalgamation hearing at least 45 days prior to the scheduled hearing and will provide information about the proposed CO <sub>2</sub> storage project and the details of the scheduled hearing. An affidavit of mailing will be provided to the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) to certify that these notifications were made.			
		4. Notice of the hearing must be given to each surface owner of land overlying the storage reservoir and within one-half mile of the reservoir's boundaries.	b. A map showing the extent of the pore space that will be occupied by carbon dioxide over the life of the project.	<b>1.0 PORE SPACE ACCESS</b> North Dakota law explicitly grants title of the pore space in all strata underlying the surface of lands and waters to the overlying surface estate, i.e., the surface owner owns the pore space (North Dakota Century Code [NDCC] Chapter 47-31-Subsurface Pore Space Policy). Prior to issuance of the Storage Facility Permit (SFP), the storage operator is mandated by North Dakota statute for geologic storage of carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) to obtain the consent of landowners who own at least 60% of the pore space of the storage reservoir. The statute also mandates that a good faith effort be made to obtain consent from all pore space owners and that all nonconsenting pore space owners are or will be equitably compensated. North Dakota law grants NDIC the authority to require pore space owned by nonconsenting owners to be included in a storage facility and subject to geologic storage through pore space amalgamation. Amalgamation of pore space will be considered at an administrative hearing as part of the regulatory process required for consideration of the SFP application (NDCC § 38-22-06(3) and -06(4) and North Dakota Administrative Code [NDAC] § 43-05-01-08(1) and -08(2)).	Figure 1-1. Storage facility area map showing pore space ownership.		
		NDAC 43-05-01-08 1. The commission shall hold a public hearing before issuing a storage facility permit. At least forty-five days prior to the hearing, the applicant shall give notice of the hearing to the following:	c. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of the storage reservoir boundary with a description of pore space ownership.		Figure 1-1. Storage facility area map showing pore space ownership.		
		a. Each operator of mineral extraction activities within the facility area and within one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of its outside boundary.	d. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of its boundary with a description of each operator of mineral extraction activities.		Figure 1-2. Landowners hearing notification area.		
		b. Each mineral lessee of record within the facility area and within one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of its outside boundary.	e. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of its boundary with a description of each mineral lessee of record.		Table 1-2 showing mineral ownership and lessees		
		c. Each owner of record of the surface within the facility area and one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of its outside boundary.	f. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of its boundary with a description of each surface owner of record.	RTE has identified the owners (surface and mineral); in addition, no mineral lessees or operators of mineral extraction activities are within the facility area or within one-half mile of its outside boundary. RTE will notify all owners of a pore space amalgamation hearing at least 45 days prior to the scheduled hearing and will provide information about the proposed CO <sub>2</sub> storage project and the details of the scheduled hearing. An affidavit of mailing will be provided to NDIC to certify that these notifications were made.	Figure 1-1. Storage Facility area map showing pore space ownership.		
		d. Each owner of record of minerals within the facility area and within one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of its outside boundary.	g. A map showing the storage reservoir boundary and one-half mile outside of its boundary with a description of each owner of record of minerals.		Figure 1-2. Landowners hearing notification area.		
		e. Each owner and each lessee of record of the pore space within the storage reservoir and within one-half mile [.80 kilometer] of the reservoir's boundary.			Table 1-1. Owners, Lessees, and Operators Requiring Pore Space Hearing Notification		
						The identification of the owners, lessees, and operators that require notification was based on the following, recognizing that all surface owners also own the underlying pore space per North Dakota law, which vests the title to pore space in all strata underlying the surface of lands to the owner of the overlying surface estate (NDCC Chapter 47-31):	
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A map showing the extent of the pore space that will be occupied by CO<sub>2</sub> over the life of the project, including the storage reservoir boundary and 0.5 miles (0.8 kilometers) outside of the storage reservoir boundary with a description of pore space ownership, surface owner, and pore space lessees of record (Figure 1-1 and Figure 1-2).</li><li>A table identifying all pore space (surface) owners, each owner's mailing address, and a legal description of pore space landownership (Table 1-1).</li><li>A table identifying each owner of record of minerals and each mineral lessee of record (Table 1-2).</li></ul>	
						Note: All surface owners and pore space owners and lessees are the same owner of record, and there are no operators of mineral extraction activities within the storage facility area.	

		<div>f. Any other persons as required by the commission.</div> <div>2. The notice given by the applicant must contain:</div> <div>a. A legal description of the land within the facility area.</div> <div>b. The date, time, and place that the commission will hold a hearing on the permit application.</div> <div>c. A statement that a copy of the permit application and draft permit may be obtained from the commission.</div>																															
Geologic Exhibits	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(1) and §1b(2)(k)	<div>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(1) and §1b(2)(k)</div> <div>(1) The name, description, and average depth of the storage reservoirs.</div> <div>(k) Data on the depth, areal extent, thickness, mineralogy, porosity, permeability, and capillary pressure of the injection and confining zone, including facies changes based on field data, which may include geologic cores, outcrop data, seismic surveys, well logs, and names and lithologic descriptions;</div>	<div>a. Geologic description of the storage reservoir:</div> <div>Name</div> <div>Lithology</div> <div>Average depth</div> <div>Average thickness</div>	<div>2.3 Storage Reservoir (injection zone)</div> <div>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</div> <div>At RTE-10, the Broom Creek Formation is made up of 201 ft of sandstone and 97 ft of dolostone and is located at a depth of 6,379 ft. Across the project area, the Broom Creek Formation varies in thickness from 210 to 406 ft (Figure 2-9), with an average thickness of 313 ft. Based on offset well data and geologic model characteristics, the net sandstone thickness within the project area ranges from 48 to 324 ft, with an average of 192 ft.</div> <div>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</div> <table><tr><th colspan="6">Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Complex</th></tr><tr><th></th><th>Formation</th><th>Purpose</th><th>Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft</th><th>Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD ft</th><th>Lithology</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">Storage Complex</td><td>Opeche</td><td>Upper confining zone</td><td>103</td><td>3,871</td><td>Mudstone/siltstone</td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)</td><td>313</td><td>3,974</td><td>Sandstone, dolomite</td></tr><tr><td>Amsden</td><td>Lower confining zone</td><td>329</td><td>4,285</td><td>Dolomite/shaly sand</td></tr></table>	Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO <sub>2</sub> Storage Complex							Formation	Purpose	Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft	Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD ft	Lithology	Storage Complex	Opeche	Upper confining zone	103	3,871	Mudstone/siltstone	Broom Creek	Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)	313	3,974	Sandstone, dolomite	Amsden	Lower confining zone	329	4,285	Dolomite/shaly sand	Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO <sub>2</sub> Storage Complex
	Table 2-1. Formations Comprising the RTE CO <sub>2</sub> Storage Complex																																
	Formation	Purpose	Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft	Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD ft	Lithology																												
Storage Complex	Opeche	Upper confining zone	103	3,871	Mudstone/siltstone																												
	Broom Creek	Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)	313	3,974	Sandstone, dolomite																												
	Amsden	Lower confining zone	329	4,285	Dolomite/shaly sand																												
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(k)	<div>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(k)</div> <div>(k) Data on the depth, areal extent, thickness, mineralogy, porosity, permeability, and capillary pressure of the injection and confining zone, including facies changes based on field data, which may include geologic cores, outcrop data, seismic surveys, well logs, and names and lithologic descriptions.</div>	<div>b. Data on the injection zone and source of the data which may include geologic cores, outcrop data, seismic surveys, and well logs:</div> <div>Depth</div> <div>Areal extent</div> <div>Thickness</div> <div>Mineralogy</div> <div>Porosity</div> <div>Permeability</div> <div>Capillary pressure</div>	<div>Table 2-2. Description of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Reservoir (injection zone) at the RTE-10 Well</div> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Injection Zone Properties</th></tr><tr><th>Property</th><th>Description</th></tr><tr><td>Formation Name</td><td>Broom Creek</td></tr><tr><td>Lithology</td><td>Sandstone, dolomite</td></tr><tr><td>Formation Top Depth, ft</td><td>6,379</td></tr></table>	Injection Zone Properties		Property	Description	Formation Name	Broom Creek	Lithology	Sandstone, dolomite	Formation Top Depth, ft	6,379	<div>Table 2-4. Description of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Reservoir (injection zone) at the RTE-10 Well</div> <div>Figure 2-1. Areal extent of the Broom Creek Formation in North Dakota</div> <div>Figure 2-9. Isopach map of the Broom Creek Formation in the RTE project area.</div>																		
Injection Zone Properties																																	
Property	Description																																
Formation Name	Broom Creek																																
Lithology	Sandstone, dolomite																																
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,379																																

			Facies changes	<table><tr><td colspan="2">Thickness, ft</td><td colspan="2">298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi</td><td colspan="2">1.1</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">Geologic Properties</td></tr><tr><td>Formation</td><td>Property</td><td>Laboratory Analysis</td><td>Model Property Distribution</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Broom Creek (sandstone)</td><td>Porosity, %</td><td>21.68 (12.18–33.65)*</td><td>25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD</td><td>419.1 (25.35–5,120)**</td><td>277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Broom Creek (dolomite)</td><td>Porosity, %</td><td>6 (2.91–8.54)*</td><td>15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD</td><td>0.08 (0.004–1.12)**</td><td>8.65 (0.01– 2,261.53)**</td></tr></table> <p>2.3 Storage Reservoir (injection zone)</p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p>At RTE-10, the Broom Creek Formation is made up of 201 ft of sandstone and 97 ft of dolostone and is located at a depth of 6379 ft. Across the project area, the Broom Creek Formation varies in thickness from 210 to 406 ft (Figure 2-9), with an average thickness of 313 ft. Based on offset well data and geologic model characteristics, the net sandstone thickness within the project area ranges from 48 to 324 ft, with an average of 192 ft.</p> <p>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</p> <p>2.3.1 Mineralogy</p> <p>The combined interpretation of core, well logs, and thin sections shows that the Broom Creek Formation is dominated by fine- to medium-grained sandstone with lesser amounts of carbonates and anhydrites. Forty-three depth intervals representing nearly 300 ft of the Broom Creek Formation were sampled for thin-section creation, x-ray diffraction (XRD) mineralogical determination, and x-ray fluorescence (XRF) bulk chemical analysis. For the assessment below, thin sections and XRD provide independent confirmation of the mineralogical constituents of the Broom Creek Formation.</p> <p>Thin-section analysis of the sandstone intervals show that quartz (80%) is the dominant mineral. Throughout these intervals are minor occurrence of feldspar (3%), dolomite (5%), and anhydrite as cement (10%). Where present, anhydrite is crystallized between quartz grains and obstructs the intercrystalline porosity. The contact between grains is long (straight) to tangential. The porosity ranges between 20% to 25%.</p> <p>Two distinct carbonate intervals are notable. First is the presence of a very fine- to fine-grained dolostone (80%), with quartz of variable size and shape (5%) and iron oxides (10%) present. The porosity is intercrystalline and not well-developed, averaging 5%. Diagenesis is expressed by dolomitization of the original calcite grains. Fossils are not present in this interval. In the second occurrence of carbonate, the texture becomes coarse and more fossil-rich, comprising fine-grained dolomite (35%), dolomitized fossils (25%), quartz (15%), and silicified fossils (25%). Diagenesis is expressed by the dissolution of dolomite, resulting in shelter and vuggy porosity. The presence of quartz crystallized inside fossils shows</p>	Thickness, ft		298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)		Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi		1.1		Geologic Properties				Formation	Property	Laboratory Analysis	Model Property Distribution	Broom Creek (sandstone)	Porosity, %	21.68 (12.18–33.65)*	25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*	Permeability, mD	419.1 (25.35–5,120)**	277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**	Broom Creek (dolomite)	Porosity, %	6 (2.91–8.54)*	15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*	Permeability, mD	0.08 (0.004–1.12)**	8.65 (0.01– 2,261.53)**	<p>Figure 2-2. Well log display of the interpreted lithologies of the lower Opeche, Broom Creek, and upper Amsden Formation in RTE-10.</p> <p>Figure 2-3a. Regional well log stratigraphic cross sections of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations flattened on the top of the Amsden Formation. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red); 2) delta time (purple) and 3) interpreted lithology log.</p> <p>Figure 2-11b. Regional well log cross sections showing the structure of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red) and 2) delta time (purple).</p> <p>Figure 2-4. Structure map of the Broom Creek Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-5. Cross section of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.</p> <p>Figure 2-6. Vertical distribution of core-derived porosity and permeability values in the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex.</p> <p>Figure 2.15 Laboratory-derived mineralogical characteristics of the Broom Creek Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-16. XRF data from the Broom Creek from RTE-10.</p> <p>Figure 2-17. Upper graph shows cumulative injection vs. time. The two cases overlay each other. Lower graph shows wellhead injection pressure for the two cases. There is no observable change in injection performance.</p> <p>Figure 2-18a. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> molality.</p> <p>Figure 2-18b. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the pH of formation brine. The extent of the pH-affected area is slightly larger (~300 feet) than the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation.</p>
Thickness, ft		298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)																																	
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi		1.1																																	
Geologic Properties																																			
Formation	Property	Laboratory Analysis	Model Property Distribution																																
Broom Creek (sandstone)	Porosity, %	21.68 (12.18–33.65)*	25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*																																
	Permeability, mD	419.1 (25.35–5,120)**	277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**																																
Broom Creek (dolomite)	Porosity, %	6 (2.91–8.54)*	15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*																																
	Permeability, mD	0.08 (0.004–1.12)**	8.65 (0.01– 2,261.53)**																																



			<p>several episodes of crystallization partially obstructing the vuggy porosity. The porosity averages 20%. The anhydrite intervals are expressed as thin beds that separate different sand bodies and as cement. The porosity is almost null.</p> <p>XRD data from the samples supported facies interpretations from core descriptions and thin-section analysis. The Broom Creek Formation core primarily comprises quartz, feldspar, dolomite, anhydrite, clay, and iron oxides (Figure 2-15).</p> <p>XRF data are shown in Figure 2-16 for the Broom Creek Formation. As shown, the majority of the sandstone and dolomite intervals are confirmed through the high percentages of SiO<sub>2</sub> (70%–90%), CaO (5%–10%), and MgO (5%–10%). The high percentage of CaO and SO<sub>3</sub> at 6,640 ft indicates a presence of a thin layer of anhydrite. The formation shows very little clay, with a range of 0.0.5% to 3% being the highest detected.</p> <p><u>To locate permit text, go to Section 2.3.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>2.3.2 Mechanism of Geologic Confinement</b></p> <p>For the RTE project, the initial mechanism for geologic confinement of CO<sub>2</sub> injected into the Broom Creek Formation will be the cap rock (Opeche Formation), which will contain the initially buoyant CO<sub>2</sub> under the effects of relative permeability and capillary pressure. Lateral movement of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will be restricted by residual gas trapping (relative permeability) and solubility trapping (dissolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> into the native formation brine). After the injected CO<sub>2</sub> becomes dissolved in the formation brine, the brine density will increase. This higher-density brine will ultimately sink in the storage formation (convective mixing). Over a much longer period of time (&gt;100 years), mineralization of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will ensure long-term, permanent geologic confinement. Injected CO<sub>2</sub> is not expected to adsorb to any of the mineral constituents of the target formation and, therefore, is not considered to be a viable trapping mechanism in this project. Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> is a trapping mechanism notable in the storage of CO<sub>2</sub> in deep unminable coal seams.</p> <p><b>2.3.3 Geochemical Information of Injection Zone</b></p> <p>Geochemical simulation has been performed to calculate the effects of introducing the CO<sub>2</sub> stream to the injection zone. The effects have been found to be minimal and not threatening to the geologic integrity of the storage system.</p> <p>The injection zone, the Broom Creek Formation, was investigated using the geochemical analysis option available in the Computer Modelling Group Ltd. (CMG) compositional simulation software package GEM. GEM is also the primary simulation software used for evaluation of the reservoir’s dynamic behavior resulting from the expected CO<sub>2</sub> injection. The project’s base case simulation (base case) was rerun with the geochemical analysis option included (geochemistry case), and results from the two cases were compared. Geochemical alteration effects were seen in the geochemistry case, as described below. However, these effects were not significant enough to cause observable change to storage reservoir performance or to mechanical integrity of the storage formation.</p> <p>The geochemistry case was constructed using the base case simulation inputs and assumptions as well as honoring the average mineralogical composition of the Broom Creek rock materials (80% of bulk reservoir volume) and the average formation brine composition (20% of bulk reservoir volume). XRD data from the RTE 10 core samples were used to inform the mineralogical composition of the Broom Creek used in the geochemical modeling (Table 2-8). CO<sub>2</sub> injection stream composition remained the same as the base case, as described by RTE (Table 2-9). The geochemistry case was run for the 20-year injection period followed by 25 years of postinjection shutdown and monitoring.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Table 2-3. XRD Results for RTE-10 Broom Creek Core Samples</th></tr><tr><th colspan="2">Depth 6,599.5 ft</th><th colspan="2">Depth 6,667 ft</th></tr><tr><th>Mineral Data</th><th>%</th><th>Mineral Data</th><th>%</th></tr><tr><td>Kaolinite</td><td>2</td><td>Illite/muscovite</td><td>3.9</td></tr><tr><td>Illite/Muscovite</td><td>5.3</td><td>Chlorite</td><td>1.1</td></tr></table>	Table 2-3. XRD Results for RTE-10 Broom Creek Core Samples				Depth 6,599.5 ft		Depth 6,667 ft		Mineral Data	%	Mineral Data	%	Kaolinite	2	Illite/muscovite	3.9	Illite/Muscovite	5.3	Chlorite	1.1	<p>Figure 2-19. Dissolution and precipitation quantities of reservoir minerals due to CO<sub>2</sub> injection.</p> <p>Figure 2-20a. Molar distribution of key dissolved and precipitated minerals at the end of the injection period. Dissolution of halite is shown by the dark blue color. Compare to the molar CO<sub>2</sub> distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18a. Some reprecipitation of halite is indicated in lower and peripheral areas of the reservoir, as shown by areas of green and yellow color.</p> <p>Figure 2-20b. Molar distribution of key dissolved and precipitated minerals at the end of the injection period. Illite precipitation is indicated throughout the affected area of the reservoir.</p> <p>Figure 2-21. Change in porosity due to geochemical dissolution after the 20-year injection period (compare to the molar CO<sub>2</sub> distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18).</p> <p>Table 2-5. XRD Results for RTE-10 Broom Creek Core Samples</p>
Table 2-3. XRD Results for RTE-10 Broom Creek Core Samples																								
Depth 6,599.5 ft		Depth 6,667 ft																						
Mineral Data	%	Mineral Data	%																					
Kaolinite	2	Illite/muscovite	3.9																					
Illite/Muscovite	5.3	Chlorite	1.1																					

					<table><tr><td>K-Feldspar</td><td>3</td><td>K-feldspar</td><td>12.3</td></tr><tr><td>Quartz</td><td>58.2</td><td>Quartz</td><td>53.2</td></tr><tr><td>Rutile</td><td>0.8</td><td>Calcite</td><td>0.8</td></tr><tr><td>Aphthitalite</td><td>1.1</td><td>Dolomite</td><td>1.3</td></tr><tr><td>Halite</td><td>0.9</td><td>Anhydrite</td><td>27.4</td></tr><tr><td>Anhydrite</td><td>28.7</td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>	K-Feldspar	3	K-feldspar	12.3	Quartz	58.2	Quartz	53.2	Rutile	0.8	Calcite	0.8	Aphthitalite	1.1	Dolomite	1.3	Halite	0.9	Anhydrite	27.4	Anhydrite	28.7				
K-Feldspar	3	K-feldspar	12.3																												
Quartz	58.2	Quartz	53.2																												
Rutile	0.8	Calcite	0.8																												
Aphthitalite	1.1	Dolomite	1.3																												
Halite	0.9	Anhydrite	27.4																												
Anhydrite	28.7																														
				<p>For additional information, go to Section 2.3.3 of the RTE SFP.</p>																											
		<p>c. Data on the confining zone and source of the data which may include geologic cores, outcrop data, seismic surveys, and well logs:</p> <p>Depth</p> <p>Areal extent</p> <p>Thickness</p> <p>Mineralogy</p> <p>Porosity</p> <p>Permeability</p> <p>Capillary pressure</p> <p>Facies changes</p>	<p><b>2.4 Confining Zones</b></p> <p>The confining zones for the Broom Creek Formation are the overlying Opeche Formation and underlying Amsden Formation (Figure 2-2, Table 2-10). Both the Amsden and the Opeche Formations consist of impermeable rock layers.</p> <p><b>Table 2-10. Properties of Upper and Lower Confining Zones</b></p> <table><tr><th>Confining Zone Properties</th><th>Upper Confining Zone</th><th>Lower Confining Zone</th></tr><tr><td>Formation Name</td><td>Opeche</td><td>Amsden</td></tr><tr><td>Lithology</td><td>Mudstone/siltstone</td><td>Dolomite/shaly sand</td></tr><tr><td>Formation Top Depth, ft</td><td>6,276</td><td>6,677</td></tr><tr><td>Thickness, ft</td><td>103</td><td>329</td></tr><tr><td>Porosity, % (core data)</td><td>4.01 (1.36–9.89)*</td><td>6.13 (2.25–9.24)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD (core data)</td><td>0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**</td><td>0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**</td></tr><tr><td>Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi</td><td>27.1</td><td>23.8</td></tr><tr><td>Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</td><td>4307</td><td>4708</td></tr></table> <p>* Porosity values are reported as the arithmetic mean followed by the range of values in parenthesis. ** Permeability values are reported as the geometric mean followed by the range of values in parenthesis.</p> <p><b>2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone</b></p> <p>In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area (Figures 2-22 and 2-23) and is 6,276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10 and Figure 22-24). The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation’s extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact (Figure 2-25).</p> <p>For additional information, go to section 2.4.1 of the RTE SFP.</p> <p><i>2.4.1.1 Mineralogy</i></p> <p>Thin-section investigation shows that the Opeche Formation comprises alternating intervals of silty mudstone, argillaceous siltstone, mudstone, and anhydrite. In all, 11 thin sections were created covering greater than 60 ft of the Opeche. The mineral components present are clay, quartz, anhydrite, feldspar, dolomite, and iron oxides. The grains are almost always surrounded by anhydrite or clay as cement or matrix. The rare porosity is due to the dissolution of quartz and feldspar. The porosity ranges between 1% and 3%.</p>	Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone	Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden	Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand	Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677	Thickness, ft	103	329	Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24)*	Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**	Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8	Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4307	4708	<p>Table 2-10. Properties of Upper and Lower Confining Zones</p> <p>Figure 2-22. Areal extent of the Opeche Formation in western North Dakota. Extent is derived from Carlson (1993).</p> <p>Figure 2-23. Structure map of the Opeche Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-24. Isopach map of the Opeche Formation in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-25. Well log display of the Opeche Formation at the RTE-10 well.</p> <p>Figure 2-26. XRF data for the Opeche Formation from RTE-10.</p> <p>Figure 2-27. Change in fluid pH vs. time. Red line shows pH for Cell C1, 0 to 1 meter above the Opeche cap rock base. Yellow line shows Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters above the cap rock base. Green line shows Cell C3, 2 to 3 meters above the cap rock base. pH for Cell C3 does not begin to change until after 35 years. For cases with lower exposure levels, pH for Cell C3 does not change at all.</p> <p>Figure 2-28. Dissolution and precipitation of minerals in the Opeche cap rock. Dashed lines show results for Cell C1, 0 to 1 meter above the cap rock base. Solid lines show results for Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters above the cap rock base; changes are barely visible. Results from Cell C3, 2 to 3 meters above the cap rock base, are not shown as they are too small to be seen.</p> <p>Figure 2-29. Change in percent porosity of the Opeche cap rock. Red line shows porosity change for Cell C1, 0 to 1 meter above the cap rock base. Yellow line shows Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters above the cap rock base. Green line</p>
Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone																													
Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden																													
Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand																													
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677																													
Thickness, ft	103	329																													
Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24)*																													
Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**																													
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8																													
Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4307	4708																													

			<p>XRD data from 11 samples from the RTE-10 core supported facies interpretations from core descriptions and thin-section analysis. The Opeche Formation mainly comprises clay, quartz, dolomite, and anhydrite.</p> <p>XRF analysis of the Opeche Formation shown in Figure 2-26 identifies the major chemical constituents to be dominated by SiO<sub>2</sub> (30%–60%), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (3%–10%), CaO (5%–40%), and MgO (1%–16%) correlating well with the silicate-, carbonate-, and aluminum-rich mineralogy determined by XRD (Figure 2-26). Two samples toward the base of the Opeche show high percentages of CaO and SO<sub>3</sub> attributed to an interval of anhydrite separating the two formations. This correlates with XRD, core description, and thin-section analysis.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.1.2 Geochemical Interaction</i></p> <p>Geochemical simulation using PHREEQC geochemical software was performed to calculate the potential effects of injected CO<sub>2</sub> on the Opeche Formation, the primary confining zone. A vertically oriented 1D simulation was created where the formation was exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> at the bottom boundary of the simulation and allowed to enter the system by diffusion processes. Results were monitored at 1-meter increments above the cap rock–CO<sub>2</sub> exposure boundary. The mineralogical composition of the Opeche determined from XRD analysis was honored (Table 2-13). Formation brine composition was assumed to be the same as the known composition from the Broom Creek injection zone below (Table 2-14). This composition was determined from analysis of fluid samples from the RTE-10 well. CO<sub>2</sub> stream composition was as provided by RTE (Table 2-9). Three different CO<sub>2</sub> exposure levels of the CO<sub>2</sub> stream to the cap rock (1.15, 2.3, and 4.5 moles/yr) were used. These values are considerably higher than the actual expected exposure levels. This was done to ensure that the degree and pace of geochemical change would not be underestimated. These three simulations were run for 45 years to represent 20 years of injection plus 25 years postinjection. The simulations were performed at reservoir pressure and temperature conditions.</p> <p>Results showed geochemical processes at work, but even at extreme exposure levels, these processes did not extend more than 3 meters up into the cap rock during the simulation period. Figures 2-27–2-29 show results from the most extreme exposure case. Figure 2-27 shows change in fluid pH over time as CO<sub>2</sub> enters the system. For the cell at the CO<sub>2</sub> interface, C1, the pH declines to a level of 4.6 before recovering to a value of 5.25. For the cell occupying the space 2 to 3 meters into the cap rock, C3, the pH only begins to change after Year 35. Figure 2-28 shows change in mineral dissolution and precipitation in grams. Dashed lines are for Cell C1; solid lines that are only faintly seen in the figure are from Cell C2, 1 to 2 meters into the cap rock. Any effects in Cell C3 are too small to represent at this scale. Figure 2-29 shows change in porosity of the cap rock. Cell 1 experiences a rapid increase in porosity as it is first exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> due to dissolution. The porosity then decreases around Year 9 due to precipitation. As precipitation occurs in Cell 1, reaction products move into Cell 2 where they precipitate, causing decreased porosity. When CO<sub>2</sub> reaches Cell 2 at Year 9, dissolution occurs, increasing the porosity. Note the scale of percent porosity change, ~0.00001%. The net porosity changes from dissolution and precipitation are miniscule and unchanging in later years of the simulation. These results show that exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> will not cause deterioration of the Opeche cap rock.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones</b></p> <p>Several additional formations provide additional confinement above the Opeche Formation. Impermeable rocks above the primary seal, the Opeche Formation, include the Minnekahta, Spearfish, Piper, Rierdon, and Swift Formations, which make up the first additional group of confining formations (Table 2-15). Together with the Opeche, these formations are 1,200 ft thick and will isolate Broom Creek Formation fluids from migrating upward to the next permeable interval, the Inyan Kara Formation (see Figure 2-30). Above the Inyan Kara Formation, 3,000 ft of impermeable rocks acts as an additional seal between the Inyan Kara and the lowermost USDW, the Fox Hills Formation (see Figure 2-31). Confining layers above the Inyan Kara include the Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre Formations (Table 2-15).</p> <p>These formations between the Broom Creek and Inyan Kara and between the Inyan Kara and lowest USDW have demonstrated the ability to prevent the vertical migration of fluids throughout geologic time and are recognized as impermeable flow barriers in the Williston Basin.</p>	<p>shows Cell C3, 2 to 3 meters above the cap rock base. Long-term change in porosity is miniscule and stabilized.</p> <p>Figure 2-30. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Broom Creek Formation and the top of the Swift Formation. This interval represents the primary and secondary confinement zones.</p> <p>Figure 2-31. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Pierre Formation. This interval represents the tertiary confinement zone.</p> <p>Figure 2-32. Structure map of the Amsden Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-33. Isopach map of the Amsden Formation across the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-34. XRF data for the Amsden Formation from the RTE-10 well.</p> <p>Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)</p>
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>Sandstones of the Inyan Kara Formation comprise the first unit with relatively high porosity and permeability above the injection zone and the primary sealing formation. The Inyan Kara represents the most likely candidate to act as an overlying pressure dissipation zone. In the unlikely event of out-of-zone migration through the primary and secondary sealing formations, CO<sub>2</sub> would become trapped in the Inyan Kara. Monitoring the Inyan Kara Formation provides an additional opportunity for monitoring, mitigation, and remediation (Section 4). The depth to the Inyan Kara Formation in the project area is approximately 4,800 ft, and the formation itself is about 350 ft thick.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to section 2.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <div><p><b>Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)</b></p><table><tr><th>Name of Formation</th><th>Lithology</th><th>Formation Top Depth, ft</th><th>Thickness, ft</th><th>Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</th></tr><tr><td>Pierre</td><td>Shale</td><td>1,969</td><td>2,063</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Greenhorn</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,032</td><td>435</td><td>2,063</td></tr><tr><td>Mowry</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,467</td><td>314</td><td>2,498</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>Sandstone</td><td>4,781</td><td>345</td><td>2,812</td></tr><tr><td>Swift</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,125</td><td>494</td><td>3,156</td></tr><tr><td>Rierdon</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,619</td><td>173</td><td>3,650</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Kline</td><td>Limestone</td><td>5,792</td><td>139</td><td>3,823</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Picard</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,931</td><td>68</td><td>3,962</td></tr><tr><td>Spearfish</td><td>Siltstone</td><td>5,999</td><td>230</td><td>4,030</td></tr><tr><td>Minnekahta</td><td>Limestone</td><td>6,229</td><td>47</td><td>4,260</td></tr></table></div> <p><i>2.4.3 Lower Confining Zones</i></p> <p>The lower confining zone of the storage complex is the Amsden Formation, which comprises primarily dolostone, mudstone, and anhydrite. The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the top of an argillaceous dolostone, with relatively high GR character that could be correlated across the project area (Figures 2-32 and 2-33). The Amsden Formation is 6,677 ft below land surface and 329 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10).</p> <p>The contact between the overlying Broom Creek and Amsden is evident on wireline logs as there is a lithological change from the porous sandstones of the Broom Creek Formation to the dolostone and anhydrite beds of the Amsden Formation. This lithologic change is recognized in the core from RTE-10. The lithology of the cored section of the Amsden from RTE-10 is dolostone, anhydrite, and mudstone with laminated, fine-grained sandstone and siltstone. Three feet below the contact with the Broom Creek is an 11-ft-thick anhydrite layer. Data acquired from the seven core plug samples taken from the Amsden show porosity values ranging from 2.25% to 9.24% and permeability values from &lt;0.001 to 0.595 mD (Table 2-16).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0	Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063	Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498	Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812	Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156	Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650	Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823	Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962	Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030	Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260	
Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth below Lowest Identified USDW, ft																																																							
Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0																																																							
Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063																																																							
Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498																																																							
Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812																																																							
Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156																																																							
Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650																																																							
Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823																																																							
Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962																																																							
Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030																																																							
Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260																																																							



				<p><i>2.4.3.1 Mineralogy</i></p> <p>Thin-section analysis shows that the Amsden Formation comprises dolomite, anhydrite, sandy dolomite, and shaly sand. The dolomite is expressed by very fine- to fine-grained dolostone (90%), with the presence of quartz of variable size and shape, feldspar, clay, and iron oxides. The porosity is very low and is mainly due to the dissolution of feldspar and quartz. The porosity averages 5% (Table 2-16).</p> <p>Anhydrite is present as beds that separate the dolomite intervals. It is composed of needles of anhydrite with minor inclusions of iron oxides. Also, dolomite and quartz are present and found filling rare fractures. The porosity is almost null.</p> <p>The sandy dolomite is mainly composed of dolomite and grains of quartz. Minor iron oxides and feldspar are present, with rare occurrence of anhydrite observed. The grains of quartz are almost always separated by dolomite cement. The porosity is mainly due to the dissolution of feldspar and averages 5%.</p> <p>Finally, the shaly sandstone comprises quartz, clay, and dolomite. A minor presence of feldspar, anhydrite, and iron oxides exists. The grains of quartz and anhydrite are almost always separated by the dolomite cement and clay minerals. The porosity is very low, averaging 5% and is mainly due to the dissolution of feldspar and quartz.</p> <p>XRD was performed, and the results confirm the observations made during core analyses and thin-section description.</p> <p>XRF data show the Amsden Formation has the same major chemical constituents as the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-34). However, the formation at the contact with the Broom Creek is dominated by CaO and SO<sub>3</sub> (major chemical elements of anhydrite). As the formation gets deeper, the chemistry changes to a more carbonate-rich siltstone, as shown by the high percentage of SiO<sub>2</sub>, CaO, and MgO.</p> <p><u>To locate permit text, go to Section 2.4.3.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.3.2 Geochemical Interaction</i></p> <p>Review of simulation results of the Broom Creek Formation suggest that neither free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> saturation nor CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in formation brine will come in contact with the Amsden Formation. Therefore, no geochemical reaction effects are anticipated in the Amsden.</p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) ¶	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)</b></p> <p>(2) A geologic and hydrogeologic evaluation of the facility area, including an evaluation of all existing information on all geologic strata overlying the storage reservoir, including the immediate caprock containment characteristics and all subsurface zones to be used for monitoring. The evaluation must include any available geophysical data and assessments of any regional tectonic activity, local seismicity and regional or local fault zones, and a comprehensive description of local and regional structural or stratigraphic features. The evaluation must describe the storage reservoir’s mechanisms of geologic confinement, including rock properties, regional</p>	<p>d. A description of the storage reservoir’s mechanisms of geologic confinement characteristics with regard to preventing migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Rock properties</li><li>Regional pressure gradients</li><li>Adsorption processes</li></ul>	<p><i><b>2.3.2 Mechanism of Geologic Confinement</b></i></p> <p>For the RTE project, the initial mechanism for geologic confinement of CO<sub>2</sub> injected into the Broom Creek Formation will be the cap rock (Opeche Formation), which will contain the initially buoyant CO<sub>2</sub> under the effects of relative permeability and capillary pressure. Lateral movement of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will be restricted by residual gas trapping (relative permeability) and solubility trapping (dissolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> into the native formation brine). After the injected CO<sub>2</sub> becomes dissolved in the formation brine, the brine density will increase. This higher-density brine will ultimately sink in the storage formation (convective mixing). Over a much longer period of time (&gt;100 years), mineralization of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will ensure long-term, permanent geologic confinement. Injected CO<sub>2</sub> is not expected to adsorb to any of the mineral constituents of the target formation and, therefore, is not considered to be a viable trapping mechanism in this project. Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> is a trapping mechanism notable in the storage of CO<sub>2</sub> in deep unminable coal seams.</p>	<p>Figure 2-6. Map showing the extent of the 7.8-square-mile 3D seismic survey in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-7. Cross section of the inverted compressional wave velocity volume that transects the RTE-10 well. The compressional wave velocities from the RTE-10 sonic log are shown on the inset panel.</p> <p>Figure 2-7. Areal extent of the Broom Creek Formation in North Dakota.</p>

		pressure gradients, structural features, and adsorption characteristics with regard to the ability of that confinement to prevent migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir. The evaluation must also identify any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the facility area and any underground sources of drinking water in the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary. The evaluation must include exhibits and plan view maps showing the following:			
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(g)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(g) (g) Identification of all structural spill points or stratigraphic discontinuities controlling the isolation of stored carbon dioxide and associated fluids within the storage reservoir.	e. Identification of all characteristics controlling the isolation of stored carbon dioxide and associated fluids within the storage reservoir, including: Structural spill points Stratigraphic discontinuities	<p><b>2.3.2 Mechanism of Geologic Confinement</b></p> <p>For the RTE project, the initial mechanism for geologic confinement of CO<sub>2</sub> injected into the Broom Creek Formation will be the cap rock (Opeche Formation), which will contain the initially buoyant CO<sub>2</sub> under the effects of relative permeability and capillary pressure. Lateral movement of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will be restricted by residual gas trapping (relative permeability) and solubility trapping (dissolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> into the native formation brine). After the injected CO<sub>2</sub> becomes dissolved in the formation brine, the brine density will increase. This higher-density brine will ultimately sink in the storage formation (convective mixing). Over a much longer period of time (&gt;100 years), mineralization of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> will ensure long-term, permanent geologic confinement. Injected CO<sub>2</sub> is not expected to adsorb to any of the mineral constituents of the target formation and, therefore, is not considered to be a viable trapping mechanism in this project. Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> is a trapping mechanism notable in the storage of CO<sub>2</sub> in deep unminable coal seams.</p> <p><b>2.2.2.6 Seismic Survey</b> A 7.8-square-mile 3D seismic survey was acquired in early 2019 (Figure 2-6). The 3D seismic data allowed for visualization of deep geologic formations at lateral spatial intervals as short as tens of feet. The seismic data were used for assessment of geologic structure, interpretation of interwell heterogeneity, and to inform well placement. Additionally, data products generated from the interpretation of the 3D seismic data were used as inputs into the geologic model.</p> <p>The 3D seismic data and RTE-10 well logs were used to interpret surfaces for the formations of interest within the survey area. These surfaces were converted to depth using the time-to-depth relationship derived from the RTE-10 sonic log. The depth-converted surfaces for the storage reservoir and upper and lower confining zones were used as inputs for the geologic model. These surfaces captured detailed information about the structure and varying thickness of the formations between wells. Interpretation of the 3D seismic data suggests there are no major stratigraphic pinch-outs or structural features with associated spill points in the RTE project area. No structural features, faults, or discontinuities that would cause a concern about seal integrity were observed in the seismic data. Section 2.5.2 describes interpretation of the seismic data in more detail.</p> <p>The 3D seismic data were also used to gain a better understanding of interwell heterogeneity across the study area for petrophysical property distributions. The 3D seismic data suggest the interbedded dolomite and anhydrite intervals within the Broom Creek Formation seen in RTE-10 are laterally discontinuous in the RTE project area; however, the data do not suggest that these lower-permeability intervals compartmentalize the storage reservoir in the RTE project area. A compressional wave (P-wave) velocity volume was created using the 3D seismic data and RTE-10 sonic and density log data (Figure 2-7). The velocity volume was used to classify sandstone and dolostone lithofacies of the Broom Creek Formation and distribute lithofacies through the geologic model as well as inform petrophysical property distribution in the geologic model.</p>	<p>Figure 2-6. Map showing the extent of the 7.8-square-mile 3D seismic survey in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-7. Cross section of the inverted compressional wave velocity volume that transects the RTE-10 well. The compressional wave velocities from the RTE-10 sonic log are shown on the inset panel.</p> <p>Figure 2-8. Areal extent of the Broom Creek Formation in North Dakota.</p> <p>Figure 2-17. Upper graph shows cumulative injection vs. time. The two cases overlay each other. Lower graph shows wellhead injection pressure for the two cases. There is no observable change in injection performance.</p> <p>Figure 2-18a. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> molality.</p> <p>Figure 2-18b. Geochemistry case simulation results after 20 years of injection showing the pH of formation brine. The extent of the pH-affected area is slightly larger (~300 feet) than the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation.</p> <p>Figure 2-19. Dissolution and precipitation quantities of reservoir minerals due to CO<sub>2</sub> injection.</p> <p>Figure 2-20. Molar distribution of key dissolved and precipitated minerals at the end of the injection period. Left: halite showing dissolution in the areas of dark blue color.</p>

					<p>Compare to the molar CO<sub>2</sub> distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18. Some reprecipitation of halite is indicated in lower and peripheral areas of the reservoir, as shown by areas of green and yellow color. Right: illite precipitation is indicated throughout the affected area of the reservoir.</p> <p>Figure 2-21. Change in porosity due to geochemical dissolution after the 20-year injection period (compare to the molar CO<sub>2</sub> distribution in the left side of Figure 2-18).</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)c	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)c (c) Any regional or local faulting;	f. Any regional or local faulting;	<p><b>2.5 Faults, Fractures, and Seismic Activity</b></p> <p>In the RTE project area, no known or suspected regional faults or fractures with sufficient permeability and vertical extent to allow fluid movement between formations have been identified through site-specific characterization activities, previous studies, or oil and gas exploration activities.</p> <p>Regional structural features, including the Heart River Fault and collapse features above the Broom Creek Formation, are discussed in this section as well as the data that support the low probability that these features will interfere with containment. This section also discusses the seismic history of North Dakota and low probability that seismic activity will interfere with containment.</p> <p><b>2.5.1 Heart River Fault</b></p> <p>The Heart River Fault is located 3.2 miles southwest of the RTE plant and 1.4 miles from the outer edge of the AoR for the RTE project (Figure 2-46). This high-angle reverse fault originates in the Precambrian basement. Through the interpretation of seismic data, the offset of the Heart River Fault is interpreted to be less than 400 ft in rocks up through the Stony Mountain, Stonewall, and lower Interlake Formations (Figure 2-47), well below the Broom Creek Formation (Figure 2-2). Formations between the lower Interlake Formation and the Niobrara show some flexure from the fault but have no apparent offset.</p>	<p>Figure 2-46. Map showing the trend of the Heart River Fault in the RTE project area. The blue line is a 2D seismic line transecting the Heart River Fault. See Figure 2-47 for a geologic interpretation along the seismic line.</p> <p>Figure 2-9. Seismic Line 3022 showing the interpreted location of the Heart River Fault shown in purple (Chimney and others, 1992). Faulting offset is observed in the Winnipeg horizon, but only slight flexure is observed in other overlying interpreted horizons.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(j)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(j) (j) The location, orientation, and properties of known or suspected faults and fractures that may transect the confining zone in the area of review, and a determination that they would not interfere with containment.	g. Properties of known or suspected faults and fractures that may transect the confining zone in the area of review: Location Orientation Determination of the probability that they would interfere with containment	<p><b>2.5.1 Heart River Fault</b></p> <p>The Heart River Fault is located 3.2 miles southwest of the RTE plant and 1.4 miles from the outer edge of the AoR for the RTE project (Figure 2-46). This high-angle reverse fault originates in the Precambrian basement. Through the interpretation of seismic data, the offset of the Heart River Fault is interpreted to be less than 400 ft in rocks up through the Stony Mountain, Stonewall, and lower Interlake Formations (Figure 2-47), well below the Broom Creek Formation (Figure 2-2). Formations between the lower Interlake Formation and the Niobrara show some flexure from the fault but have no apparent offset.</p>	<p>Figure 2-46. Map showing the trend of the Heart River Fault in the RTE project area. The blue line is a 2D seismic line transecting the Heart River Fault. See Figure 2-47 for a geologic interpretation along the seismic line.</p> <p>Figure 2-10. Seismic Line 3022 showing the interpreted location of the Heart River Fault shown in purple (Chimney and others, 1992). Faulting offset is observed in the Winnipeg horizon, but only slight flexure is observed in other overlying interpreted horizons.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) ¶ & §1b(2)(m)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) (2) A geologic and hydrogeologic evaluation of the facility area, including an evaluation of all existing information on all geologic strata overlying the storage reservoir, including the immediate caprock containment characteristics and all	h. Information on any regional tectonic activity, and the seismic history, including: The presence and depth of seismic sources. Determination of the probability that seismicity would interfere with containment.	<p><b>2.5 Faults, Fractures, and Seismic Activity</b></p> <p>In the RTE project area, no known or suspected regional faults or fractures with sufficient permeability and vertical extent to allow fluid movement between formations have been identified through site-specific characterization activities, previous studies, or oil and gas exploration activities.</p> <p>Regional structural features, including the Heart River Fault and collapse features above the Broom Creek Formation, are discussed in this section as well as the data that support the low probability that these features will interfere with</p>	<p>Table 2-6. Summary of Earthquakes Reported to Have Occurred in North Dakota (from Anderson, 2016)</p> <p>Figure 2-46. Map showing the trend of the Heart River Fault in the RTE project area. The blue line is a 2D seismic line transecting the Heart River Fault. See Figure 2-47 for a geologic interpretation along the seismic line.</p>

		<p>subsurface zones to be used for monitoring. The evaluation must include any available geophysical data and assessments of any regional tectonic activity, local seismicity and regional or local fault zones, and a comprehensive description of local and regional structural or stratigraphic features. The evaluation must describe the storage reservoir's mechanisms of geologic confinement, including rock properties, regional pressure gradients, structural features, and adsorption characteristics with regard to the ability of that confinement to prevent migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir. The evaluation must also identify any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the facility area and any underground sources of drinking water in the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary. The evaluation must include exhibits and plan view maps showing the following:</p> <p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(m)</b> (m) Information on the seismic history, including the presence and depth of seismic sources and a determination that the seismicity would not interfere with containment.</p>		<p>containment. This section also discusses the seismic history of North Dakota and low probability that seismic activity will interfere with containment.</p> <p><b>2.5.1 Heart River Fault</b></p> <p>The Heart River Fault is located 3.2 miles southwest of the RTE plant and 1.4 miles from the outer edge of the AoR for the RTE project (Figure 2-46). This high-angle reverse fault originates in the Precambrian basement. Through the interpretation of seismic data, the offset of the Heart River Fault is interpreted to be less than 400 ft in rocks up through the Stony Mountain, Stonewall, and lower Interlake Formations (Figure 2-47), well below the Broom Creek Formation (Figure 2-2). Formations between the lower Interlake Formation and the Niobrara show some flexure from the fault but have no apparent offset.</p> <p><b>2.5.2 Collapse Features above the Broom Creek Formation</b></p> <p>The analysis of 3D seismic data acquired specifically for the RTE project in 2019 (Figure 2-6) revealed evidence for suspected collapse features in strata above the Broom Creek Formation. These features appear as depressions in the seismic data and are bounded by dipping or offset reflections (Figure 2-48 and 2-49). These collapse features correlate to 30–50-ft decreases in thickness in known evaporite-bearing formations, the Spearfish and Opeche Formations, suggesting they were caused by dissolution of evaporites and subsequent collapse of overlying sediments (Figure 2-50). The polygonal nature of these features also supports the interpretation of collapse features. The vertical extent of these features and increased thickness in the Inyan Kara Formation suggest collapse of overlying sediment ceased during the deposition of the Inyan Kara and the depressions were filled in with newly deposited sediment (Figures 2-48 and 2-51). The lack of deformation to the reflections in the upper Inyan Kara supports the argument that collapse caused by dissolution stopped during the early Cretaceous.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.5.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>2.5.3 Seismic Activity</b></p> <p>The Williston Basin is a tectonically stable region of the North American Craton. Zhou and others (2008) summarize that “the Williston Basin as a whole is in an overburden compressive stress regime,” which could be attributed to the general stability of the North American Craton. Interpreted structural features associated with tectonic activity in the Williston Basin in North Dakota include anticlinal and synclinal structures in the western half of the state, lineaments associated with Precambrian basement block boundaries, and faults (North Dakota Industrial Commission, 2019).</p> <p>Between 1870 and 2015, 13 earthquakes have been detected within the North Dakota portion of the Williston Basin (Table 2-21) (Anderson, 2016). Of these 13 earthquakes, only three have occurred along one of the eight interpreted Precambrian basement faults in the North Dakota portion of the Williston Basin (Figure 2-52). The earthquake recorded closest to the RTE project occurred in 1927 9.4 miles to the east, near Hebron, North Dakota (Table 2-21). The magnitude of this earthquake is estimated to have been 3.2.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.5.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>Figure 2-11. Seismic Line 3022 showing the interpreted location of the Heart River Fault shown in purple (Chimney and others, 1992). Faulting offset is observed in the Winnipeg horizon, but only slight flexure is observed in other overlying interpreted horizons.</p> <p>Figure 2-48. Cross-sectional view of the 3D seismic data through the proposed injection well, RTE-10, showing the interpreted boundaries of the collapse features in orange. Identified formations include Inyan Kara (yellow), Rierdon (green), Spearfish (aqua), Minnekahta (pink), Broom Creek (magenta), and Amsden (red). The collapse features near the proposed injection well do not extend below the Spearfish Formation. The red arrow indicates an area of increased thickness in sediment above these features. Figure 2-49 shows the location of this cross section.</p> <p>Figure 2-49. The location of the cross section highlighted in Figure 2-48.</p> <p>Figure 2-50. Map showing the thickness of the Spearfish–Minnekahta Formations calculated using the seismic data. Several of the interpreted collapse features correspond to areas of decreased thickness.</p> <p>Figure 2-51. Maps showing the thickness of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Rierdon Formation calculated using the seismic data. The increased thickness supports that the collapse features formed prior to or during the deposition of the Inyan Kara.</p> <p>Figure 2-52. Location of major faults, tectonic boundaries, and earthquakes in North Dakota (modified from Anderson, 2016). The black dots indicate earthquake locations listed in Table 2-20.</p> <p>Figure 2-53. Probabilistic map showing how often scientists expect damaging earthquake shaking around the United States (U.S. Geological Survey, 2019). The map shows there is a low probability of damaging earthquake events occurring in North Dakota.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) ¶	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)</b> (2) A geologic and hydrogeologic evaluation of the facility area, including an evaluation of all existing</p>	i. Illustration of the regional geology, hydrogeology, and the geologic structure of the storage reservoir area: Geologic maps	<p><b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b></p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek</p>	<p>Figure 2-12. Areal extent of the Broom Creek Formation in North Dakota.</p>



	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(n)	information on all geologic strata overlying the storage reservoir, including the immediate caprock containment characteristics and all subsurface zones to be used for monitoring. The evaluation must include any available geophysical data and assessments of any regional tectonic activity, local seismicity and regional or local fault zones, and a comprehensive description of local and regional structural or stratigraphic features. The evaluation must describe the storage reservoir’s mechanisms of geologic confinement, including rock properties, regional pressure gradients, structural features, and adsorption characteristics with regard to the ability of that confinement to prevent migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir. The evaluation must also identify any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the facility area and any underground sources of drinking water in the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary. The evaluation must include exhibits and plan view maps showing the following:  NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(n) (n) Geologic and topographic maps and cross sections illustrating regional geology, hydrogeology, and the geologic structure of the facility area.	Topographic maps Cross sections	<p>Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</p> <table><caption>Table 2-7. Formations Comprising the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Complex</caption><thead><tr><th rowspan="4">Storage Complex</th><th>Formation</th><th>Purpose</th><th>Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft</th><th>Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD, ft</th><th>Lithology</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Opeche</td><td>Upper confining zone</td><td>103</td><td>3,871</td><td>Mudstone/siltstone</td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)</td><td>313</td><td>3,974</td><td>Sandstone, dolomite</td></tr><tr><td>Amsden</td><td>Lower confining zone</td><td>329</td><td>4,285</td><td>Dolomite/shaly sand</td></tr></tbody></table> <table><caption>Table 2-8. Description of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Reservoir (injection zone) at the RTE-10 Well</caption><thead><tr><th colspan="4">Injection Zone Properties</th></tr><tr><th>Property</th><th colspan="3">Description</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Formation Name</td><td colspan="3">Broom Creek</td></tr><tr><td>Lithology</td><td colspan="3">Sandstone, dolomite</td></tr><tr><td>Formation Top Depth, ft</td><td colspan="3">6,379</td></tr><tr><td>Thickness, ft</td><td colspan="3">298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)</td></tr><tr><td>Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi</td><td colspan="3">1.1</td></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Geologic Properties</th></tr><tr><th>Formation</th><th>Property</th><th>Laboratory Analysis</th><th>Model Property Distribution</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Broom Creek (sandstone)</td><td>Porosity, %</td><td>21.68 (12.18–33.65)*</td><td>25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD</td><td>419.1 (25.35–5,120)**</td><td>277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Broom Creek (dolomite)</td><td>Porosity, %</td><td>6 (2.91–8.54)*</td><td>15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD</td><td>0.08 (0.004–1.12)**</td><td>8.65 (0.01–2,261.53)**</td></tr></tbody></table> <p>2.4 Confining Zones</p> <p>The confining zones for the Broom Creek Formation are the overlying Opeche Formation and underlying Amsden Formation. Both the Amsden and the Opeche Formations consist of impermeable rock layers.</p>	Storage Complex	Formation	Purpose	Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft	Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD, ft	Lithology	Opeche	Upper confining zone	103	3,871	Mudstone/siltstone	Broom Creek	Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)	313	3,974	Sandstone, dolomite	Amsden	Lower confining zone	329	4,285	Dolomite/shaly sand	Injection Zone Properties				Property	Description			Formation Name	Broom Creek			Lithology	Sandstone, dolomite			Formation Top Depth, ft	6,379			Thickness, ft	298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)			Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	1.1			Geologic Properties				Formation	Property	Laboratory Analysis	Model Property Distribution	Broom Creek (sandstone)	Porosity, %	21.68 (12.18–33.65)*	25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*	Permeability, mD	419.1 (25.35–5,120)**	277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**	Broom Creek (dolomite)	Porosity, %	6 (2.91–8.54)*	15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*	Permeability, mD	0.08 (0.004–1.12)**	8.65 (0.01–2,261.53)**	<p>Figure 2-9. Isopach map of the Broom Creek Formation in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-13. Well log display of the interpreted lithologies of the lower Opeche, Broom Creek, and upper Amsden Formation in RTE-10.</p> <p>Figure 2-14a. Regional well log stratigraphic cross sections of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations flattened on the top of the Amsden Formation. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red), 2) delta time (purple), and 3) interpreted lithology log.</p> <p>Figure 2-11b. Regional well log cross sections showing the structure of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red) and 2) delta time (purple).</p> <p>Figure 2-15. Structure map of the Broom Creek Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-16. Cross section of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.</p> <p>Figure 2-22. Areal extent of the Opeche Formation in western North Dakota. Extent is derived from Carlson (1993).</p> <p>Figure 2-23. Structure map of the Opeche Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-24. Isopach map of the Opeche Formation in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-25. Well log display of the Opeche Formation at the RTE-10 well.</p> <p>Figure 2-30. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Broom Creek Formation and the top of the Swift Formation. This interval represents the primary and secondary confinement zones.</p> <p>Figure 2-31. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Pierre Formation. This interval represents the tertiary confinement zone.</p>
Storage Complex	Formation	Purpose	Average Thickness at RTE Site, ft	Average Depth at RTE Site, SSTVD, ft		Lithology																																																																						
	Opeche	Upper confining zone	103	3,871		Mudstone/siltstone																																																																						
	Broom Creek	Storage reservoir (i.e., injection zone)	313	3,974		Sandstone, dolomite																																																																						
	Amsden	Lower confining zone	329	4,285	Dolomite/shaly sand																																																																							
Injection Zone Properties																																																																												
Property	Description																																																																											
Formation Name	Broom Creek																																																																											
Lithology	Sandstone, dolomite																																																																											
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,379																																																																											
Thickness, ft	298 (sandstone 201; dolomite 97)																																																																											
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	1.1																																																																											
Geologic Properties																																																																												
Formation	Property	Laboratory Analysis	Model Property Distribution																																																																									
Broom Creek (sandstone)	Porosity, %	21.68 (12.18–33.65)*	25.26 (1.01 – 32.14)*																																																																									
	Permeability, mD	419.1 (25.35–5,120)**	277.45 (20.20 – 2,483.64)**																																																																									
Broom Creek (dolomite)	Porosity, %	6 (2.91–8.54)*	15.24 (1.01 – 32.14)*																																																																									
	Permeability, mD	0.08 (0.004–1.12)**	8.65 (0.01–2,261.53)**																																																																									

				<div><div><b>Table 2-9. Properties of Upper and Lower Confining Zones</b></div><table><tr><th>Confining Zone Properties</th><th>Upper Confining Zone</th><th>Lower Confining Zone</th></tr><tr><td>Formation Name</td><td>Opeche</td><td>Amsden</td></tr><tr><td>Lithology</td><td>Mudstone/siltstone</td><td>Dolomite/shaly sand</td></tr><tr><td>Formation Top Depth, ft</td><td>6,276</td><td>6,677</td></tr><tr><td>Thickness, ft</td><td>103</td><td>159</td></tr><tr><td>Porosity, % (core data)</td><td>4.01 (1.36–9.89)*</td><td>6.13 (2.25–9.24) *</td></tr><tr><td>Permeability, mD (core data)</td><td>0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**</td><td>0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**</td></tr><tr><td>Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi</td><td>27.1</td><td>23.8</td></tr><tr><td>Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</td><td>4,307</td><td>4,708</td></tr></table><div><b>2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone</b></div><p>In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area and is 6,276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site. The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation’s extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact.</p><p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p><div><b>2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones</b></div><p>Several additional formations provide additional confinement above the Opeche Formation. Impermeable rocks above the primary seal, the Opeche Formation, include the Minnekahta, Spearfish, Piper, Rierdon, and Swift Formations, which make up the first additional group of confining formations. Together with the Opeche, these formations are 1200 ft thick and will isolate Broom Creek Formation fluids from migrating upward to the next permeable interval, the Inyan Kara Formation. Above the Inyan Kara Formation, 3,000 ft of impermeable rocks acts as an additional seal between the Inyan Kara and the lowermost USDW, the Fox Hills Formation. Confining layers above the Inyan Kara include the Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre Formations.</p><p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p><div><div><b>Table 2-14. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)</b></div><table><tr><th>Name of Formation</th><th>Lithology</th><th>Formation Top Depth, ft</th><th>Thickness, ft</th><th>Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</th></tr><tr><td>Pierre</td><td>Shale</td><td>1,969</td><td>2,063</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Greenhorn</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,032</td><td>435</td><td>2,063</td></tr><tr><td>Mowry</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,467</td><td>314</td><td>2,498</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>Sandstone</td><td>4,781</td><td>345</td><td>2,812</td></tr><tr><td>Swift</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,125</td><td>494</td><td>3,156</td></tr><tr><td>Rierdon</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,619</td><td>173</td><td>3,650</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Kline</td><td>Limestone</td><td>5,792</td><td>139</td><td>3,823</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Picard</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,931</td><td>68</td><td>3,962</td></tr><tr><td>Spearfish</td><td>Siltstone</td><td>5,999</td><td>230</td><td>4,030</td></tr><tr><td>Minnekahta</td><td>Limestone</td><td>6,229</td><td>47</td><td>4,260</td></tr></table></div><div><b>2.4.3 Lower Confining Zones</b></div></div>	Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone	Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden	Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand	Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677	Thickness, ft	103	159	Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24) *	Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**	Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4,307	4,708	Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0	Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063	Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498	Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812	Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156	Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650	Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823	Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962	Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030	Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260	<div><p>Figure 2-32. Structure map of the Amsden Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p><p>Figure 2-33. Isopach map of the Amsden Formation across the RTE project area.</p><p>Figure 3-8. Major aquifer systems of the Williston Basin.</p><p>Figure 3-9. Upper stratigraphy of Stark County showing the stratigraphic relationship of Cretaceous and Tertiary groundwater-bearing formations (modified from Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p><p>Figure 3-10. Depth to surface of the Fox Hills Formation in western North Dakota (Fischer, 2013).</p><p>Figure 3-11. Potentiometric surface of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system shown in feet of hydraulic head above sea level. Flow is to the northeast through the area of investigation in central Stark County (modified from Fischer, 2013).</p><p>Figure 3-12. Map of water wells in the AoR in relation to the RTE Facility, RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells, stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, facility area, 1-mile AoR, and legacy oil and gas wells.</p><p>Figure 3-13. West–east cross section of the major aquifer layers in Stark County (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The black dots on the inset map represent the locations of the wells illustrated on the cross section.</p><p>Figure 3-14. Cross section of the major aquifer layers in the RTE storage facility area (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The location of the water wells used to create the cross section are represented on the inset map. The water wells are labeled with their designation which also correlates to their township range location (e.g., 139-092-18CCC is located in T139N R92W, Section 18).</p></div>
Confining Zone Properties	Upper Confining Zone	Lower Confining Zone																																																																																					
Formation Name	Opeche	Amsden																																																																																					
Lithology	Mudstone/siltstone	Dolomite/shaly sand																																																																																					
Formation Top Depth, ft	6,276	6,677																																																																																					
Thickness, ft	103	159																																																																																					
Porosity, % (core data)	4.01 (1.36–9.89)*	6.13 (2.25–9.24) *																																																																																					
Permeability, mD (core data)	0.0046 (0.0029–0.0056)**	0.0267 (0.017–0.059)**																																																																																					
Capillary Entry Pressure (GW), psi	27.1	23.8																																																																																					
Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	4,307	4,708																																																																																					
Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft																																																																																			
Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0																																																																																			
Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063																																																																																			
Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498																																																																																			
Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812																																																																																			
Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156																																																																																			
Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650																																																																																			
Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823																																																																																			
Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962																																																																																			
Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030																																																																																			
Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260																																																																																			

			<p>The lower confining zone of the storage complex is the Amsden Formation, which comprises primarily dolostone, mudstone, and anhydrite. The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the top of an argillaceous dolostone, with relatively high GR character that could be correlated across the project area. The Amsden Formation is 6,677 ft below land surface and 329 ft thick at the RTE site.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>3.4 Protection of USDWs</b></p> <p><b><i>3.4.1 Introduction of USDW Protection</i></b></p> <p>The primary confining zone and additional overlying confining zones geologically isolate the Fox Hills Formation, the lowest underground source of drinking water (USDW) in the AoR. The Opeche Formation is the primary confining zone with additional confining layers above, geologically isolating all USDWs from the injection zone (Table 2-14).</p> <p><b><i>3.4.2 Geology of USDW Formations</i></b></p> <p>The hydrogeology of western North Dakota is composed of several shallow freshwater-bearing formations of the Quaternary, Tertiary, and upper Cretaceous-aged sediments underlain by multiple saline aquifer systems of the Williston Basin (Figure 3-8). These saline and freshwater systems are separated by the Cretaceous Pierre Shale of the Williston Basin, a regionally extensive shale between 1,000 and 1,500 ft thick (Thamke and others, 2014).</p> <p>The freshwater aquifers comprise the Cretaceous Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations; the overlying Cannonball, Tongue River, and Sentinel Butte Formations of the Tertiary Fort Union Group; and the Tertiary Golden Valley and White River Formations (Figure 3-9). Above these are undifferentiated alluvial and glacial drift Quaternary aquifer layers, which are not necessarily present in all parts of the AoR (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>The lowest USDW in the AoR is the Fox Hills Formation, which together with the overlying Hell Creek Formation, is a confined aquifer system. The Hell Creek Formation is a poorly consolidated unit composed of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, and claystones with occasional carbonaceous beds, all fluvial origin. The underlying Fox Hills Formation is interpreted as interbedded nearshore marine deposits of sand, silt, and shale deposited as part of the final Western Interior Seaway retreat (Fischer, 2013). The Fox Hills Formation in the AoR is approximately 1,000 to 1,600 ft deep and 240–400 ft thick. The structure of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations follows that of the Williston Basin, dipping gently toward the center of the basin to the northwest of the AoR (Figure 3-10).</p> <p>The Pierre Shale is a thick, regionally extensive shale unit which forms the lower boundary of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system, also isolating all overlying freshwater aquifers from the deeper saline aquifer systems. The Pierre Shale is a dark gray to black marine shale and is typically over 1,000 ft thick in the AoR (Thamke and others, 2014).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to section 3.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b><i>3.4.3 Hydrology of USDW Formations</i></b></p> <p>The aquifers of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations are hydraulically connected and function as a single confined aquifer system (Fischer, 2013). The Bacon Creek Member of the Hell Creek Formation forms a regional aquitard for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system, isolating it from the overlying aquifer layers. Recharge for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system occurs in southwestern North Dakota along the Cedar Creek Anticline and discharges into overlying strata under central and eastern North Dakota (Fischer, 2013). Flow through the AoR is to the northeast (Figure 3-11). Water sampled from the Fox Hills Formation is sodium bicarbonate type with a total dissolved solids (TDS) content of approximately 1,500–1,600 ppm. Previous analysis of Fox Hills Formation water has also noted high levels of fluoride, more than 5 mg/L (Trapp and Croft, 1975). As such, the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system is typically not used as a primary source of drinking water. However, it is occasionally produced for irrigation and/or livestock watering. One active Fox Hills Formation well in AoR is located immediately south of the RTE site on the south side of Interstate 94 (Figure 3-12). Two other Fox Hills wells previously served the city of Richardton, North Dakota, but were plugged and abandoned in the late 1990s.</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

				<p>Multiple other freshwater-bearing units, primarily of Tertiary age, overlie the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system in the AoR (Figure 3-13). These formations are often used for domestic and agricultural purposes. The Cannonball and Tongue River Formations comprise the major aquifer units of the Fort Union Group, which overlies the Hell Creek Formation. The Cannonball Formation consists of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, claystone, and thin lignite beds of marine origin. The Tongue River Formation is predominantly sandstone interbedded with siltstone, claystone, lignite, and occasional carbonaceous shales. The basal sandstone member of the Tongue River is persistent and a reliable source of groundwater in the region. Thickness of this basal sand ranges from approximately 50 to 200 ft and can be found at a depth of approximately 550 ft. Tongue River groundwaters are generally sodium bicarbonate with a TDS of approximately 1,000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>The Sentinel Butte Formation, a silty fine- to medium-grained sandstone with claystone and lignite interbeds, overlies the Tongue River Formation. The upper Sentinel Butte Formation is predominantly sandstone with lignite interbeds, forming another important source of groundwater in the region. Generally, the upper Sentinel Butte is 100 to 150 ft thick in the AoR. TDS in the Sentinel Butte Formation range from approximately 400–1,000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 3.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>3.4.4 Protection of USDWs</b></p> <p>The Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system is the lowest USDW in the AoR. The injection zone (Broom Creek Formation) and the lowest USDW (Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system) are isolated geologically and hydrologically by multiple impermeable rock layers consisting of shale and siltstone formations of Permian, Jurassic, and Cretaceous ages (Figure 3-8). The primary seal of the injection zone is the Permian-aged Opeche Formation with the shales of the Permian-aged Spearfish, the Jurassic-aged Piper, Reirton, and Swift Formations, all of which overly the Opeche Formation. Above the Swift is the confined saltwater aquifer system of the Inyan Kara Formation, which extends across much of the Williston Basin. The Inyan Kara will be monitored for temperature and pressure changes in the injection well (RTE-10) and the monitoring well (RTE-10.2). Results for baseline geochemical data for USDWs in the AoR can be found in Appendix C. Above the Inyan Kara are the Cretaceous-aged shale formations Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre. The Pierre Formation is the thickest shale formation in the AoR and the primary geologic barrier between the USDWs and the injection zone. The geologic strata overlying the injection zone consists of multiple impermeable rock layers that are free of transmissive faults or fractures and provide adequate isolation of the USDWs from CO<sub>2</sub> injection activities in the AoR.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 3.4.4 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(d)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(d) (d) An isopach map of the storage reservoirs.	j. An isopach map of the storage reservoir(s);	<p><b>Figure 2-9</b></p> <p><b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b></p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	Figure 2-9. Isopach map of the Broom Creek Formation in the RTE project area.
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(e)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(e) (e) An isopach map of the primary and any secondary containment barrier for the storage reservoir.	k. An isopach map of the primary containment barrier for the storage reservoir.	<p><b>Figure 2-24 and Figure 2-33</b></p> <p><b>2.4 Confining Zones</b></p>	<p>Figure 2-24. Isopach map of the Opeche Formation in the RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-33. Isopach map of the Amsden Formation across the RTE project area.</p>



				<p>The confining zones for the Broom Creek Formation are the overlying Opeche Formation and underlying Amsden Formation (Figure 2-2, Table 2-10). Both the Amsden and the Opeche Formations consist of impermeable rock layers.</p> <p><b>2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone</b></p> <p>In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area (Figures 2-22 and 2-23) and is 6,276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10 and Figure 22-24). The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation’s extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact (Figure 2-25).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>2.4.3 Lower Confining Zones</b></p> <p>The lower confining zone of the storage complex is the Amsden Formation, which comprises primarily dolostone, mudstone, and anhydrite. The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the top of an argillaceous dolostone, with relatively high GR character that could be correlated across the project area (Figures 2-32 and 2-33). The Amsden Formation is 6,677 ft below land surface and 329 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	
			<p>l. An isopach map of the secondary containment barrier for the storage reservoir.</p>	<p><b>Figure 2-30 and Figure 2-31</b></p> <p><b>2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones</b></p> <p>Several additional formations provide additional confinement above the Opeche Formation. Impermeable rocks above the primary seal, the Opeche Formation, include the Minnekahta, Spearfish, Piper, Rierdon, and Swift Formations, which make up the first additional group of confining formations (Table 2-15). Together with the Opeche, these formations are 1,200 ft thick and will isolate Broom Creek Formation fluids from migrating upward to the next permeable interval, the Inyan Kara Formation (see Figure 2-30). Above the Inyan Kara Formation, 3,000 ft of impermeable rocks acts as an additional seal between the Inyan Kara and the lowermost USDW, the Fox Hills Formation (see Figure 2-31). Confining layers above the Inyan Kara include the Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre Formations (Table 2-15).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>Figure 2-30. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Broom Creek Formation and the top of the Swift Formation. This interval represents the primary and secondary confinement zones.</p> <p>Figure 2-31. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Pierre Formation. This interval represents the tertiary confinement zone.</p>
	<p>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(f)</p>	<p>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(f) (f) A structure map of the top and base of the storage reservoirs.</p>	<p>m. A structure map of the top of the storage formation.</p>	<p><b>Figure 2-12 and Figure 2-23</b></p> <p><b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b></p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>2.4.1 Upper Confining Zone</b></p> <p>In the RTE project area, the Opeche Formation consists of silty mudstone with interbedded fine sandstone and anhydrite. The Opeche is laterally extensive across the project area (Figures 2-22 and 2-23) and is 6276 ft below the land surface and 103 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10 and Figure 22-24). The contact between the underlying Broom Creek sandstone is an unconformity that can be correlated across the formation’s extent where the resistivity and GR logs show a significant change across the contact (Figure 2-25).</p>	<p>Figure 2-17. Structure map of the Broom Creek Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-23. Structure map of the Opeche Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p>

				<u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.1 of the RTE SFP.</u>	
			n. A structure map of the base of the storage formation.	<p><b>Figure 2-12 and Figure 2-32</b></p> <p><b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b></p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.3 Lower Confining Zones</i></p> <p>The lower confining zone of the storage complex is the Amsden Formation, which comprises primarily dolostone, mudstone, and anhydrite. The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the top of an argillaceous dolostone, with relatively high GR character that could be correlated across the project area (Figures 2-32 and 2-33). The Amsden Formation is 6677 ft below land surface and 329 ft thick at the RTE site (Table 2-10).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>Figure 2-18. Structure map of the Broom Creek Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p> <p>Figure 2-32. Structure map of the Amsden Formation across the greater RTE project area.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(i)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(i) (i) Structural and stratigraphic cross sections that describe the geologic conditions at the storage reservoir.	o. Structural cross sections that describe the geologic conditions at the storage reservoir.	<p><b>Figures 2-11a and 2-11b; and 2-13</b></p> <p><b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b></p> <p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p>At RTE-10, the Broom Creek Formation is made up of 201 ft of sandstone and 97 ft of dolostone and is located at a depth of 6,379 ft. Across the project area, the Broom Creek Formation varies in thickness from 210 to 406 ft (Figure 2-9), with an average thickness of 313 ft. Based on offset well data and geologic model characteristics, the net sandstone thickness within the project area ranges from 48 to 324 ft, with an average of 192 ft.</p> <p>The top of the Broom Creek Formation was picked across the project area based on the transition from a relatively high GR signature representing the mudstones and siltstones of the Opeche Formation to a relatively low GR signature of sandstone and dolostone lithologies within the Broom Creek (Figure 2-10). The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the bottom of a relatively high GR signature representing an argillaceous dolostone that could be correlated across the project area. Seismic data collected as part of site characterization efforts (Figure 2-6) were used to reinforce structural correlation and thickness estimations of the storage reservoir. The combined structural correlation and analyses indicate that there should be few-to-no major reservoir stratigraphic discontinuities near RTE-10 (Figures 2-11a and 2-11b). The 3D seismic data suggest the interbedded dolomite and anhydrite intervals in the RTE-10 well are laterally discontinuous and do not compartmentalize the storage reservoir in the RTE project area. A structure map of the Broom Creek Formation shows no detectable features (e.g., folds, domes, or fault traps) with associated spill points in the project area (Figures 2-12 and 2-13).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>Figure 2-19a. Regional well log stratigraphic cross sections of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations flattened on the top of the Amsden Formation. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red); 2) delta time (purple) and 3) interpreted lithology log.</p> <p>Figure 2-11b. Regional well log cross sections showing the structure of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red) and 2) delta time (purple).</p> <p>Figure 2-20. Cross section of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.</p>
			p. Stratigraphic cross sections that describe the geologic conditions at the storage reservoir.	<p><b>Figures 2-11a and 2-11b; and 2-13</b></p> <p><b>2.3 Storage Reservoir (Injection Zone)</b></p>	<p>Figure 2-21a. Regional well log stratigraphic cross sections of the Opeche and Broom Creek Formations flattened on the top of the Amsden Formation. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red);</p>

				<p>Regionally, the Broom Creek is laterally extensive (Figure 2-8) and comprises interbedded eolian/nearshore marine sandstone (permeable storage intervals) and dolostone and anhydrite layers (impermeable layers). The Broom Creek Formation unconformably overlies the Amsden Formation and is unconformably overlain by mudstone and siltstones of the Opeche Formation (Figure 2-2).</p> <p>At RTE-10, the Broom Creek Formation is made up of 201 ft of sandstone and 97 ft of dolostone and is located at a depth of 6,379 ft. Across the project area, the Broom Creek Formation varies in thickness from 210 to 406 ft (Figure 2-9), with an average thickness of 313 ft. Based on offset well data and geologic model characteristics, the net sandstone thickness within the project area ranges from 48 to 324 ft, with an average of 192 ft.</p> <p>The top of the Broom Creek Formation was picked across the project area based on the transition from a relatively high GR signature representing the mudstones and siltstones of the Opeche Formation to a relatively low GR signature of sandstone and dolostone lithologies within the Broom Creek (Figure 2-10). The top of the Amsden Formation was placed at the bottom of a relatively high GR signature representing an argillaceous dolostone that could be correlated across the project area. Seismic data collected as part of site characterization efforts (Figure 2-6) were used to reinforce structural correlation and thickness estimations of the storage reservoir. The combined structural correlation and analyses indicate that there should be few-to-no major reservoir stratigraphic discontinuities near RTE-10 (Figures 2-11a and 2-11b). The 3D seismic data suggest the interbedded dolomite and anhydrite intervals in the RTE-10 well are laterally discontinuous and do not compartmentalize the storage reservoir in the RTE project area. A structure map of the Broom Creek Formation shows no detectable features (e.g., folds, domes, or fault traps) with associated spill points in the project area (Figures 2-12 and 2-13).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>2) delta time (purple) and 3) interpreted lithology log.</p> <p>Figure 2-11b. Regional well log cross sections showing the structure of the Opeche, Broom Creek, and Amsden Formations. Logs displayed in tracks from left to right are 1) GR (green) and caliper (red) and 2) delta time (purple).</p> <p>Figure 2-22. Cross section of the RTE CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.</p>
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(h)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(h) (h) Evaluation of the pressure front and the potential impact on underground sources of drinking water, if any.	q. Evaluation of the pressure front and the potential impact on underground sources of drinking water, if any.	<p><b>3.1 Area of Review Delineation</b></p> <p><b>3.1.1 Written Description</b></p> <p>North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> storage regulations require that each storage facility permit delineate an AoR, which is defined as the region surrounding the geologic storage project where USDWs may be endangered by the injection activity (NDAC § 43-05-01-01 Subsection 4). Concern regarding the endangerment of USDWs is related to the potential vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> and/or brine from the injection zone to the USDW. Therefore, the AoR encompasses the region overlying the injected free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> and the region overlying the extent of formation fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids (e.g., brine) into USDWs, assuming pathways for this migration (e.g., abandoned wells or fractures) are present. The minimum fluid pressure increase in the reservoir that results in a sustained flow of brine upward into an overlying drinking water aquifer is referred to as the “critical threshold pressure increase” and the resultant pressure as the “critical threshold pressure.”</p> <p>The results of computational modeling and simulation of 20 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection at the RTE site show that consequent subsurface pressure increases are below the critical threshold pressure necessary to force formation fluids into USDWs (Figure 3-1). Within the bounds of the modeled area and throughout the entire storage facility area, the maximum fluid pressure increase during the final year of injection is estimated to be 52 psi, which occurs near the RTE-10 wellbore. This maximum pressure increase is below the calculated critical threshold pressure increase of 107.3 psi (Appendix A, Table A-2).</p> <p>NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1b(3) requires, “A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary.” Based on the pressure response of the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> injection, the resulting AoR for the RTE project is delineated as being 1 mile beyond the facility area boundary. This extent ensures compliance with existing state regulations.</p> <p>Appendix A includes a detailed discussion on the computational modeling and simulations (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, pressure front, AoR boundary etc.) and the assumptions and justification used to delineate the AoR.</p>	<p>Figure 3-8. Major aquifer systems of the Williston Basin.</p> <p>Figure 3-9. Upper stratigraphy of Stark County showing the stratigraphic relationship of Cretaceous and Tertiary groundwater-bearing formations (modified from Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>Figure 3-10. Depth to surface of the Fox Hills Formation in western North Dakota (Fischer, 2013).</p> <p>Figure 3-11. Potentiometric surface of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system shown in feet of hydraulic head above sea level. Flow is to the northeast through the area of investigation in central Stark County (modified from Fischer, 2013).</p> <p>Figure 3-12. Map of water wells in the AoR in relation to the RTE Facility, RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells, stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, facility area, 1-mile AoR, and legacy oil and gas wells.</p> <p>Figure 3-13. West–east cross section of the major aquifer layers in Stark County (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The black dots on the inset map represent the locations of the wells illustrated on the cross section.</p>	

			<p>The two deep wells located in the RTE project AoR that penetrate the storage reservoir were evaluated by a professional engineer pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1b(3). The evaluation was performed to determine if corrective action is required and included a review of all available well records. The evaluation determined that both wells penetrating the storage reservoir within the AoR have sufficient isolation to prevent formation fluids or injected CO<sub>2</sub> from vertically migrating outside of the storage reservoir or into USDWs and that no corrective action is necessary (Table 3-2–3-4 and Figures 3-6 and 3-7).</p> <p>An extensive geologic and hydrogeologic characterization, performed by a team of geologists, has shown no evidence of transmissive faults or fractures in the upper confining zone within the AoR and has shown evidence that the upper confining zone has sufficient geologic integrity to prevent vertical fluid movement. All geologic data and investigations indicate the storage reservoir within the AoR has sufficient containment and geologic integrity, including geologic confinement above and below the injection zone to prevent vertical fluid movement and protect USDWs.</p> <p><b>Appendix A – DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS</b></p> <p><b>Delineation of AoR</b></p> <p>The AoR is defined as the region surrounding the geologic storage project where USDWs may be endangered by CO<sub>2</sub> injection activity (NDAC § 43-05-01-05). The primary endangerment risk is due to the potential for vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> and/or formation fluids to a USDW from the storage reservoir. Therefore, the AoR encompasses the region overlying the extent of reservoir fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids (e.g., brine) into a USDW, assuming pathways for this migration (e.g., abandoned wells or fractures) are present. The minimum pressure increase in the reservoir that results in a sustained flow of brine upward into an overlying drinking water aquifer is referred to as the “critical threshold pressure increase” and the resultant pressure as the “critical threshold pressure.” The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance for AoR delineation under the Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program for Class VI wells provides several methods for estimating the critical threshold pressure increase and the resulting critical threshold pressure.</p> <p>The method presented by Nicot and others (2008) and Bandilla and others (2012) was used to calculate the critical threshold pressure increase (<math>\Delta P_c</math>), which is the fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids into the closest USDW, the Fox Hills Formation. This <math>\Delta P_c</math> is determined using Equation 2, assuming 1) hydrostatic conditions, 2) initially linearly varying densities in the borehole, and 3) constant density once the injection zone fluid is lifted to the top of the borehole (i.e., uniform density approach):</p> <div><math display="block">\Delta P_c = \frac{1}{2} g \xi (z_u - z_i)^2</math></div> <p>[Eq. 2]</p> <p>Where <math>\xi</math> is a linear coefficient determined by:</p> <div><math display="block">\xi = \frac{\rho_i - \rho_u}{z_u - z_i}</math></div> <p>[Eq. 3]</p> <p>Where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><math>\Delta P_c</math> is the change in pressure from baseline (hydrostatic) conditions (Pa).</li><li><math>g</math> is the acceleration of gravity (m/s<sup>2</sup>).</li><li><math>z_u</math> is the elevation of the base of the lowermost USDW (m).</li><li><math>z_i</math> is the elevation of the top of the injections zone (m).</li><li><math>\rho_i</math> is the fluid density in the injection zone (kg/m<sup>3</sup>).</li><li><math>\rho_u</math> is the fluid density in the USDW (kg/m<sup>3</sup>).</li></ul> <p><b>Critical Threshold Pressure Increase Estimation at RTE-10</b></p> <p>For the purposes of delineating the <math>\Delta P_c</math> for the RTE study area, constant fluid densities for the lowermost USDW (the Fox Hills Formation) and the injection zone (the Broom Creek Formation) were used. A density of 1,001 kg/m<sup>3</sup> was used to</p>	<p>Figure 3-14. Cross section of the major aquifer layers in the RTE storage facility area (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The location of the water wells used to create the cross section are represented on the inset map. The water wells are labeled with their designation which also correlates to their township range location (e.g., 139-092-18CCC is located in T139N R92W, Section 18).</p>
--	--	--	--	--



				<p>represent the USDW fluids, and a density of 1,106 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, which is estimated based on the in situ brine salinity, temperature, and pressure, was used to represent injection zone fluids.</p> <p>Critical pressure threshold increases were calculated for the proposed storage reservoir at a range of depths across the reservoir using Equations 2 and 3, depth from the bottom of the USDW, injection zone depth, and fluid density values from the RTE-10 well (Table A-4). Using this method, the threshold pressure increase at the top of the Broom Creek Formation at the RTE-10 well was determined to be 107.3 psi.</p> <p>These estimates of critical threshold pressure increase were compared to potential pressure increases within the storage facility area that would result from CO<sub>2</sub> injection and the potential lateral extent of the injection fluid as determined by predictive simulations. Table A-2 provides estimates of <math>\Delta P_c</math> for various depths within the Broom Creek Formation, which were then compared against the difference in pressure predicted for each cell in the simulation model at the end of injection, where the greatest increase in pressure was observed. Within the bounds of the modeled area and throughout the entire storage facility area, the maximum pressure difference during the final year of injection is estimated to reach approximately 52 psi, which occurs in near proximity to the injection well. This pressure is below the calculated critical threshold pressure increase of 107.3 psi. Therefore, the critical pressure is not exceeded at the RTE injection site anywhere within or around the injected CO<sub>2</sub> plume and critical pressure is not a deciding factor in determining the AoR extent.</p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(l)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(l)</b> (l) Geomechanical information on fractures, stress, ductility, rock strength, and in situ fluid pressures within the confining zone. The confining zone must be free of transmissive faults or fractures and of sufficient areal extent and integrity to contain the injected carbon dioxide stream.</p>	<p>r. Geomechanical information on the confining zone. The confining zone must be free of transmissive faults or fractures and of sufficient areal extent and integrity to contain the injected carbon dioxide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Fractures</li><li>Stress</li><li>Ductility</li><li>Rock strength</li><li>In situ fluid pressure</li></ul>	<p><b>2.4.4 Geomechanical Information of Confining Zone</b></p> <p><i>2.4.4.1 Fracture Analysis</i></p> <p>Fractures within the Opeche Formation, the overlying confining zone, and Amsden Formation, the underlying confining zone, have been assessed during the description of the RTE-10 well core. Observable fractures were categorized by attributes including morphology, orientation, aperture, and origin. Secondly, natural, in situ fractures were assessed through the interpretation of the FMI log acquired during the drilling of the RTE-10 well.</p> <p><i>2.4.4.2 Fracture Analysis Core Description</i></p> <p>Fractures within the Opeche Formation are primarily closed and are commonly filled with anhydrite. The fractures vary in orientation and exhibit horizontal, oblique, and vertical trends. The aperture varies from closed to, in rare cases, centimeter scale.</p> <p>In the Amsden Formation, closed tension fractures are commonly coincident with the horizontal compaction features (stylolite) observed. Calcite is the dominant mineral found to fill observable fractures. Very few-to-no connected fractures were observed in the Amsden core interval from the RTE well.</p> <p><i>2.4.4.3 Borehole Image Fracture Analysis (FMI)</i></p> <p>Schlumberger’s FMI log was chosen to evaluate the geomechanical condition of the formation in the subsurface. This log provides a 360-degree image of the formation of interest and can be oriented to provide an understanding of the general direction of features observed.</p> <p>Figures 2-35a and 2-35b show two sections of the interpreted borehole imagery and the primary features observed. The far-right track on Figure 2-35a notes the presence of electrically resistive features. These are interpreted as minor anhydrite-filled fractures. Figure 2-35b demonstrates that the tool provides information on surface boundaries and bedding features. Some isolated fractures are identified in Figure 2-35b and are likely clay-filled because of their electrically conductive signal. Figures 2-36a and 2-36b show two thin-section images and give an indication of different minerals within the reservoir and observed change in the electrical response shown on the FMI log.</p> <p>Finally, Figure 2-37 shows the logged interval for the entire Opeche Formation. As shown, the section closest to the Broom Creek (6,377 ft) is dominated by compaction features (stylolites) and has corresponding tensional features, as noted in the core description analysis. The observed stylolites are parallel to bedding and are commonly filled with clay minerals. Effectively, these features reduce the porosity of a formation. The midregion of the formation is dominated by electrically resistive features likely due to the presence of anhydrite-filled fractures. Toward the upper portion of the formation, fractures are fewer in number but are still found to be electrically resistive. The diagrams shown in Figures 2-38 and 2-39</p>	<p>Figure 2-35a. Examples of the interpreted FMI log for the RTE-10 well. Two examples show the traces of features observed and their interpreted feature type. This example shows the common feature types seen in the Opeche FMI borehole image analysis.</p> <p>Figure 2-35b. Examples of the interpreted FMI log for the RTE-10 well. Two examples show the traces of features observed and their interpreted feature type. This example shows the common feature types seen in the Opeche FMI borehole image analysis.</p> <p>Figure 2-36a. Plane-polarized light thin-section images from the RTE well Opeche Formation. This image shows the silt-rich nature of this interval of the Opeche Formation. On the example shown, the quartz grains (white) are rimmed by iron.</p> <p>Figure 2-36b. Plane-polarized light thin-section images from the RTE well Opeche Formation. This image shows the heterogeneity of this interval. The dark material shown (between the white quartz grains) is clay and is likely responsible for the electrical conductivity identified on the FMI log.</p> <p>Figure 2-37. Interpreted FMI log through the lower Opeche Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-38. Conductive fracture dip orientation in the Opeche Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-39. Resistive fracture dip orientation in the Opeche Formation.</p>

			<p>provide the orientation of the electrically conductive and resistive fractures in the Opeche Formation. As shown, the electrically conductive fractures are fewer in number and are mainly oriented NW–SE. On the other hand, the resistive fractures have no preferred orientation.</p> <p>The logged interval of the Amsden shows that the main features present are stylolite–tension pairs, an indication that the formation has undergone a reduction in porosity in response to postdepositional stress. Two zones at 6,743 and 6,762 ft, respectively, show some evidence of resistive fractures (Figure 2-40). Core was not retrieved from this depth. The interpretation of this logged interval supports the core-based and thin-section descriptions, suggesting these features are anhydrite-filled. The rose diagrams shown in Figures 2-41 and 2-42 provide the orientation of the conductive and resistive features in the Amsden Formation. As shown, only one electrically conductive feature was picked in the Amsden interval and is oriented NE–SW. Some electrically resistive features are present and oriented N–S, NE–SW, and E–W, respectively. Drilling-induced fractures were identified mainly in the Amsden Formation and are oriented NE–SW (Figure 2-43), parallel to the maximum horizontal stress (<math>SH_{max}</math>).</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.4.3 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.4.4 Stress</i></p> <p>During drilling of the RTE-10 well, an openhole MDT minifrac was completed to determine the minimum horizontal stress of the formation. The minifrac operation was performed using a dual-packer setup where four minifrac tests were successful among the seven conducted. The induced fractures observed in the Amsden Formation have an orientation NE–SW, parallel to the maximum horizontal stress. Figure 2-44 shows an annotated example of an expected result in the determination of minimum horizontal stress during MDT applications. As shown, the combined insight gained from the propagation pressure, closure pressure, and reopening pressure define the minimum horizontal stress in the subsurface (Figure 2-44).</p> <p>Within the Opeche Formation confining zone, several attempts were made to generate the fracture needed to determine a suitable breakdown pressure, which is generally considered a close approximation of minimum horizontal stress of a material. A successful test was performed in the Opeche Formation at a depth of 6,377 ft, 3 vertical feet above the reservoir contact. Figure 2-44 shows the results of testing in the overlying Opeche Formation and presents the multiple cycles performed during the determination of initial breakdown pressure, fracture propagation pressure, and closure pressure. As shown, the breakdown pressure was in excess of 7,500 psi. To determine the potential for reopening and closure pressures, injection was reinitiated and allowed to develop until a stable value was attained. Based on the test, the average minimum stress is shown in Table 2-17.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="5">Table 2-9. Average Minimum Stress of the Opeche Formation as Determined by Horizontal Stress Test</th></tr><tr><th>Depth, ft</th><th>Average Propagation Pressure, psi</th><th>Reopening Pressure, psi</th><th>Closure Pressure, psi</th><th>Average Minimum Stress, psi</th></tr><tr><td>6,377</td><td>4,995</td><td>4,823</td><td>4,680</td><td>4,680</td></tr></table> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.4.4 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><i>2.4.4.5 Ductility and Rock Strength</i></p> <p>Ductility and rock strength have been determined through laboratory testing of rock samples acquired from the Opeche Formation core in the RTE-10 well. To determine these parameters, a multistage triaxial test was performed at confining pressures exceeding 40 MPa (5,800 psi). This commonly used test provides information regarding the elastic parameters and peak strength of a material. Because of the low porosity and anhydrite mineralogy, samples were not saturated for testing. Table 2-18 shows the sample parameters, and Table 2-19 shows the elastic parameters obtained.</p> <p>Rock strength was determined at the final stage of confinement and axial loading. As shown in Figure 2-45, the sample failed at a maximum stress of 143 MPa (20,740 psi). Based on the plot below, the final stage (Radial Stage 4) of testing, shown in yellow, has significant residual strength postfailure, indicating a high degree of ductility.</p>	Table 2-9. Average Minimum Stress of the Opeche Formation as Determined by Horizontal Stress Test					Depth, ft	Average Propagation Pressure, psi	Reopening Pressure, psi	Closure Pressure, psi	Average Minimum Stress, psi	6,377	4,995	4,823	4,680	4,680	<p>Figure 2-40. Interpreted FMI log through the upper Amsden Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-41. Conductive fracture dip orientation in the Amsden Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-42. Resistive fracture dip orientation in the Amsden Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-43. Drilling-induced fractures dip orientation in the Amsden Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-44. Results of MDT testing for a depth interval of 6,377 ft in the Opeche Formation.</p> <p>Figure 2-45. Results of multistage triaxial test performed at confining pressures exceeding 40 MPa (5800 psi), providing information regarding the elastic parameters and peak strength of the rock sample. Failure occurred at the fourth-stage peak stress of 143 MPa.</p>
Table 2-9. Average Minimum Stress of the Opeche Formation as Determined by Horizontal Stress Test																			
Depth, ft	Average Propagation Pressure, psi	Reopening Pressure, psi	Closure Pressure, psi	Average Minimum Stress, psi															
6,377	4,995	4,823	4,680	4,680															

				<p>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.4.5 of the RTE SFP.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Table 2-10. Description of RTE-10 Formation Pressure Measurements and Calculated Pressure Gradients</th></tr><tr><th>Formation</th><th>Test Depth, ft</th><th>Formation Pressure, psi</th></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>4,849.66</td><td>1,947.97</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>4,869.73</td><td>1,956.62</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>4,910.08</td><td>1,974.03</td></tr><tr><td>Mean Inyan Kara Pressure</td><td>1,959.51</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft</td><td>0.40</td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>6,432.17</td><td>2,935.16</td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>6,458.91</td><td>2,947.73</td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek</td><td>6,565.09</td><td>2,997.91</td></tr><tr><td>Mean Broom Creek Pressure</td><td>2,960.14</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Broom Creek Pressure Gradient, psi/ft</td><td>0.45</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Appendix A – DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Table A-1. MDT Pressure Measurements Recorded from the RTE-10 Well and Derived Formation Pressure Gradients</th></tr><tr><th>Test Depth, ft</th><th></th><th></th></tr><tr><th>MD*</th><th>Formation Pressure, psi</th><th>Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft</th></tr><tr><td>6,438</td><td>2,932.88</td><td>0.45</td></tr><tr><td>6,441</td><td>2,932.21</td><td>0.45</td></tr><tr><td>6,511</td><td>2,963.00</td><td>0.45</td></tr><tr><td>6,539</td><td>2,976.54</td><td>0.45</td></tr><tr><td>6,540</td><td>2,975.64</td><td>0.45</td></tr></table> <p>* Measured depth.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="5">Table A-2. Summary of Reservoir Properties in the Simulation Model</th></tr><tr><th>Average Permeability, mD</th><th>Average Porosity, %</th><th>Initial Pressure, P<sub>i</sub>, psi</th><th>Salinity, ppm</th><th>Boundary Condition</th></tr><tr><td>Opeche: 0.03 Broom Creek: ~471 Amsden: ~0.54</td><td>Opeche: ~14 Broom Creek: ~23 Amsden: ~4</td><td>~2,900</td><td>164,000</td><td>Open (Infinite-Acting)</td></tr></table>	Table 2-10. Description of RTE-10 Formation Pressure Measurements and Calculated Pressure Gradients			Formation	Test Depth, ft	Formation Pressure, psi	Inyan Kara	4,849.66	1,947.97	Inyan Kara	4,869.73	1,956.62	Inyan Kara	4,910.08	1,974.03	Mean Inyan Kara Pressure	1,959.51		Inyan Kara Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	0.40					Broom Creek	6,432.17	2,935.16	Broom Creek	6,458.91	2,947.73	Broom Creek	6,565.09	2,997.91	Mean Broom Creek Pressure	2,960.14		Broom Creek Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	0.45		Table A-1. MDT Pressure Measurements Recorded from the RTE-10 Well and Derived Formation Pressure Gradients			Test Depth, ft			MD*	Formation Pressure, psi	Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	6,438	2,932.88	0.45	6,441	2,932.21	0.45	6,511	2,963.00	0.45	6,539	2,976.54	0.45	6,540	2,975.64	0.45	Table A-2. Summary of Reservoir Properties in the Simulation Model					Average Permeability, mD	Average Porosity, %	Initial Pressure, P <sub>i</sub> , psi	Salinity, ppm	Boundary Condition	Opeche: 0.03 Broom Creek: ~471 Amsden: ~0.54	Opeche: ~14 Broom Creek: ~23 Amsden: ~4	~2,900	164,000	Open (Infinite-Acting)
Table 2-10. Description of RTE-10 Formation Pressure Measurements and Calculated Pressure Gradients																																																																																		
Formation	Test Depth, ft	Formation Pressure, psi																																																																																
Inyan Kara	4,849.66	1,947.97																																																																																
Inyan Kara	4,869.73	1,956.62																																																																																
Inyan Kara	4,910.08	1,974.03																																																																																
Mean Inyan Kara Pressure	1,959.51																																																																																	
Inyan Kara Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	0.40																																																																																	
Broom Creek	6,432.17	2,935.16																																																																																
Broom Creek	6,458.91	2,947.73																																																																																
Broom Creek	6,565.09	2,997.91																																																																																
Mean Broom Creek Pressure	2,960.14																																																																																	
Broom Creek Pressure Gradient, psi/ft	0.45																																																																																	
Table A-1. MDT Pressure Measurements Recorded from the RTE-10 Well and Derived Formation Pressure Gradients																																																																																		
Test Depth, ft																																																																																		
MD*	Formation Pressure, psi	Formation Pressure Gradient, psi/ft																																																																																
6,438	2,932.88	0.45																																																																																
6,441	2,932.21	0.45																																																																																
6,511	2,963.00	0.45																																																																																
6,539	2,976.54	0.45																																																																																
6,540	2,975.64	0.45																																																																																
Table A-2. Summary of Reservoir Properties in the Simulation Model																																																																																		
Average Permeability, mD	Average Porosity, %	Initial Pressure, P <sub>i</sub> , psi	Salinity, ppm	Boundary Condition																																																																														
Opeche: 0.03 Broom Creek: ~471 Amsden: ~0.54	Opeche: ~14 Broom Creek: ~23 Amsden: ~4	~2,900	164,000	Open (Infinite-Acting)																																																																														
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(o)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(o) (o) Identify and characterize additional strata overlying the storage reservoir that will prevent vertical fluid movement, are free of transmissive faults or fractures, allow for pressure dissipation, and provide additional opportunities for monitoring, mitigation, and remediation.	s. Identify and characterize additional strata overlying the storage reservoir that will prevent vertical fluid movement: Free of transmissive faults Free of transmissive fractures Effect on pressure dissipation Utility for monitoring, mitigation, and remediation.	2.4.2 Additional Overlying Confining Zones  Several additional formations provide additional confinement above the Opeche Formation. Impermeable rocks above the primary seal, the Opeche Formation, include the Minnekahta, Spearfish, Piper, Rierdon, and Swift Formations, which make up the first additional group of confining formations (Table 2-15). Together with the Opeche, these formations are 1,200 ft thick and will isolate Broom Creek Formation fluids from migrating upward to the next permeable interval, the Inyan Kara Formation (see Figure 2-30). Above the Inyan Kara Formation, 3,000 ft of impermeable rocks acts as an additional seal between the Inyan Kara and the lowermost USDW, the Fox Hills Formation (see Figure 2-31). Confining layers above the Inyan Kara include the Skull Creek, Mowry, Belle Fourche, Greenhorn, Carlile, Niobrara, and Pierre Formations (Table 2-15).	Figure 2-23. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Broom Creek Formation and the top of the Swift Formation. This interval represents the primary and secondary confinement zones.  Figure 2-24. Isopach map of the interval between the top of the Inyan Kara Formation and the top of the Pierre Formation. This interval represents the tertiary confinement zone.																																																																														

				<p>These formations between the Broom Creek and Inyan Kara and between the Inyan Kara and lowest USDW have demonstrated the ability to prevent the vertical migration of fluids throughout geologic time and are recognized as impermeable flow barriers in the Williston Basin.</p> <p>Sandstones of the Inyan Kara Formation comprise the first unit with relatively high porosity and permeability above the injection zone and the primary sealing formation. The Inyan Kara represents the most likely candidate to act as an overlying pressure dissipation zone. In the unlikely event of out-of-zone migration through the primary and secondary sealing formations, CO<sub>2</sub> would become trapped in the Inyan Kara. Monitoring the Inyan Kara Formation provides an additional opportunity for monitoring, mitigation, and remediation (Section 4). The depth to the Inyan Kara Formation in the project area is approximately 4,800 ft, and the formation itself is about 350 ft thick.</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 2.4.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <table><tr><th colspan="5">Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)</th></tr><tr><th>Name of Formation</th><th>Lithology</th><th>Formation Top Depth, ft</th><th>Thickness, ft</th><th>Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft</th></tr><tr><td>Pierre</td><td>Shale</td><td>1,969</td><td>2,063</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Greenhorn</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,032</td><td>435</td><td>2,063</td></tr><tr><td>Mowry</td><td>Shale</td><td>4,467</td><td>314</td><td>2,498</td></tr><tr><td>Inyan Kara</td><td>Sandstone</td><td>4,781</td><td>345</td><td>2,812</td></tr><tr><td>Swift</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,125</td><td>494</td><td>3,156</td></tr><tr><td>Rierdon</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,619</td><td>173</td><td>3,650</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Kline</td><td>Limestone</td><td>5,792</td><td>139</td><td>3,823</td></tr><tr><td>Piper Picard</td><td>Shale</td><td>5,931</td><td>68</td><td>3,962</td></tr><tr><td>Spearfish</td><td>Siltstone</td><td>5,999</td><td>230</td><td>4,030</td></tr><tr><td>Minnekahta</td><td>Limestone</td><td>6,229</td><td>47</td><td>4,260</td></tr></table>	Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)					Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft	Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0	Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063	Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498	Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812	Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156	Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650	Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823	Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962	Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030	Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260	
Table 2-15. Description of Zones of Confinement above the Immediate Upper Confining Zone (data based on the RTE-10 well)																																																																	
Name of Formation	Lithology	Formation Top Depth, ft	Thickness, ft	Depth Below Lowest Identified USDW, ft																																																													
Pierre	Shale	1,969	2,063	0																																																													
Greenhorn	Shale	4,032	435	2,063																																																													
Mowry	Shale	4,467	314	2,498																																																													
Inyan Kara	Sandstone	4,781	345	2,812																																																													
Swift	Shale	5,125	494	3,156																																																													
Rierdon	Shale	5,619	173	3,650																																																													
Piper Kline	Limestone	5,792	139	3,823																																																													
Piper Picard	Shale	5,931	68	3,962																																																													
Spearfish	Siltstone	5,999	230	4,030																																																													
Minnekahta	Limestone	6,229	47	4,260																																																													
Area of Review Delineation	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1j & §1b(3)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1j</b> j. An area of review and corrective action plan that meets the requirements pursuant to Section 43-05-01-05.1.</p> <p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)</b> (3) A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary. The review must include the following:</p>	<p>The carbon dioxide storage reservoir area of review includes the areal extent of the storage reservoir and 1 mile outside of the storage reservoir boundary, plus the maximum extent of the pressure front caused by injection activities. The area of review delineation must include the following:</p>	<p><b>3.0 AREA OF REVIEW</b></p> <p><b>3.1 AOR Delineation</b></p> <p><i>3.1.1 Written Description</i></p> <p>North Dakota CO<sub>2</sub> storage regulations require that each storage facility permit delineate an AoR, which is defined as the region surrounding the geologic storage project where underground sources of drinking water (USDWs) may be endangered by the injection activity (North Dakota Administrative Code [NDAC] § 43-05-01-01 Subsection 4). Concern regarding the endangerment of USDWs is related to the potential vertical migration of CO<sub>2</sub> and/or brine from the injection zone to the USDW. Therefore, the AoR encompasses the region overlying the injected free-phase CO<sub>2</sub> and the region overlying the extent of formation fluid pressure increase sufficient to drive formation fluids (e.g., brine) into USDWs, assuming pathways for this migration (e.g., abandoned wells or fractures) are present. The minimum fluid pressure increase in the reservoir that results in a sustained flow of brine upward into an overlying drinking water aquifer is referred to as the “critical threshold pressure increase” and the resultant pressure as the “critical threshold pressure.”</p> <p>The results of computational modeling and simulation of 20 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection at the RTE site show that consequent subsurface pressure increases are below the critical threshold pressure necessary to force formation fluids into USDWs (Figure 3-1). Within the bounds of the modeled area and throughout the entire storage facility area, the maximum fluid pressure increase during the final year of injection is estimated to be 52 psi, which occurs near the RTE-10 wellbore. This maximum pressure increase is below the calculated critical threshold pressure increase of 107.3 psi (Appendix A, Table A-2). At the estimated maximum fluid pressure increase (52 psi), a column of formation fluid could be raised to a depth of 4,223 feet (i.e., the Mowry Formation) based on calculations and assuming a vertical migration pathway exists.</p> <p>NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1b(3) requires, “A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed</p>																																																													



				<p>necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary.” Based on the pressure response of the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> injection, the resulting AoR for the RTE project is delineated as being 1 mile beyond the facility area boundary. This extent ensures compliance with existing state regulations.</p> <p>Appendix A includes a detailed discussion on the computational modeling and simulations (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, pressure front, AoR boundary etc.) and the assumptions and justification used to delineate the AoR.</p> <p>The two deep wells located in the RTE project AoR that penetrate the storage reservoir were evaluated by a professional engineer pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1b(3). The evaluation was performed to determine if corrective action is required and included a review of all available well records. The evaluation determined that both wells penetrating the storage reservoir within the AoR have sufficient isolation to prevent formation fluids or injected CO<sub>2</sub> from vertically migrating outside of the storage reservoir or into USDWs and that no corrective action is necessary (Table 3-2–3-4 and Figures 3-6 and 3-7).</p> <p>An extensive geologic and hydrogeologic characterization, performed by a team of geologists, has shown no evidence of transmissive faults or fractures in the upper confining zone within the AoR and has shown evidence that the upper confining zone has sufficient geologic integrity to prevent vertical fluid movement. All geologic data and investigations indicate the storage reservoir within the AoR has sufficient containment and geologic integrity, including geologic confinement above and below the injection zone to prevent vertical fluid movement and protect USDWs.</p> <p>This section of the storage facility permit application is accompanied by maps and a cross section (Figures 3-1–3-5) that include information required in accordance with NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1a and 1b(3) and § 43-05-01-05.1 Subsection 2, such as all critical boundaries and the location of any proposed injection wells or monitoring wells, the presence of significant surface structures or land disturbances, and the location of water wells and any other wells within the AoR boundary. Table 3-1 lists all surface and subsurface features that were investigated as part of the AoR evaluation, pursuant to NDAC § 43-05-01-05 Subsection 1a and 1b(3) and NDAC § 43-05-01-05.1 Subsection 2. Surface features that were investigated but not found within the AoR boundary are identified in Table 3-1.</p> <p><b>See Appendix A – DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS.</b></p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3) & §1a	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)</b> (3) A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary. The review must include the following:</p> <p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1a</b> a. A site map showing the boundaries of the storage reservoir and the location of all proposed wells, proposed cathodic protection boreholes, and surface facilities within the carbon dioxide storage facility area.</p>	a. A map showing the following within the carbon dioxide reservoir area: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. Boundaries of the storage reservoir.</li><li>ii. Location of all proposed wells.</li><li>iii. Location of proposed cathodic protection boreholes.</li><li>iv. Any existing or proposed above ground facilities.</li></ul>	<b>3.1.2 Supporting Maps</b>	<p>Figure 3-1. Final AoR map showing the RTE storage facility area, including the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and AoR (dotted black boundary). Black circles represent occupied dwellings, and orange boundaries represent buildings.</p> <p>Table 3-1. Investigated and Identified Surface and Subsurface Features (Figures 3-1 through 3-5)</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(a)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(a)</b> (a) All wells, including water, oil, and natural gas exploration and development wells, and</p>	b. A map showing the following within the storage reservoir area and within 1 mile outside of its boundary:	<b>3.1.2 Supporting Maps</b>	Figure 3-2. Final AoR map showing the RTE storage facility area, including the stabilized CO <sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area

		other man-made subsurface structures and activities, including coal mines, within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary.	<div><div>i. All wells, including water, oil, and natural gas exploration and development wells.</div><div>ii. All other man-made subsurface structures and activities, including coal mines.</div></div>		<p>(dotted white boundary), and AoR (dotted black boundary). Black circles represent occupied dwellings, and orange boundaries represent buildings.</p> <p>Figure 3-3. AoR map in relation to nearby legacy wells and groundwater wells. Shown are the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and 1-mile AoR (dotted black boundary). All groundwater wells and springs in the AoR are identified above.</p> <p>Figure 3-4. AoR map in relation to nearby legacy wells. Shown are the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and 1-mile AoR (dotted black boundary). Orange circles represent nearby legacy wells near the project area, including within the 1-mile AoR.</p> <p>Figure 3-5. Cross section of the AoR from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation, the proposed injection well (RTE-10), the proposed monitoring well (RTE-10.2), and the Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) well within the AoR. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1c NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §1a	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1c</b></p> <p>c. The extent of the pore space that will be occupied by carbon dioxide as determined by utilizing all appropriate geologic and reservoir engineering information and reservoir analysis, which must include various computational.</p> <p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §1a</b></p> <p>a. The method for delineating the area of review, including the model to be used, assumptions that will be made, and the site characterization data on which the model will be based.</p>	<p>c. A description of the method used for delineating the area of review, including:</p> <div><div>i. The computational model to be used.</div><div>ii. The assumptions that will be made.</div><div>iii. The site characterization data on which the model will be based.</div></div>	<b>Appendix A – DATA, PROCESSING, AND OUTCOMES OF CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE GEOMODELING AND SIMULATIONS</b>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §1b(1-4)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §1b(1-4)</b></p> <p>b. A description of:</p> <div><div>(1) The reevaluation date, not to exceed five years, at which time the storage operator shall reevaluate the area of review.</div><div>(1) The reevaluation date, not to exceed five years, at which time the storage operator shall reevaluate the area of review.</div></div>	<p>d. A description of:</p> <div><div>(1) The reevaluation date, not to exceed five years, at which time the storage operator shall reevaluate the area of review.</div><div>(2) Any monitoring and operational conditions that would warrant a</div></div>	<p><b>3.3 Reevaluation of AOR and Corrective Action Plan</b></p> <p>It is required that the storage operator routinely reevaluate the AOR and corrective action plan, with the period between evaluations not to exceed 5 years. As part of the SFP, the application describes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Any monitoring and operational conditions that would warrant a reevaluation of the AOR prior to the scheduled 5-year reevaluation date.</li></ul>	

		<p>(2) The monitoring and operational conditions that would warrant a reevaluation of the area of review prior to the next scheduled reevaluation date</p> <p>(3) How monitoring and operational data (e.g., injection rate and pressure) will be used to inform an area of review reevaluation.</p> <p>(4) How corrective action will be conducted to meet the requirements of this section, including what corrective action will be performed prior to injection and what, if any, portions of the area of review will have corrective action addressed on a phased basis and how the phasing will be determined; how corrective action will be adjusted if there are changes in the area of review; and how site access will be guaranteed for future corrective action.</p>	<p>reevaluation of the area of review prior to the next scheduled reevaluation date.</p> <p>(3)How monitoring and operational data (e.g., injection rate and pressure) will be used to inform an area of review reevaluation.</p> <p>(4)How corrective action will be conducted if necessary, including:</p> <p>a. What corrective action will be performed prior to injection.</p> <p>b. How corrective action will be adjusted if there are changes in the area of review.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• How monitoring and operational data (e.g., injection rate and pressure) will be used to inform a reevaluation of the AOR and corrective action plan, including how the computational model that was used to determine the AOR will be updated and what operational data will be used as the basis for that update.</li><li>• How corrective action, if necessary, will be conducted, including 1) what corrective action will be performed prior to, or following, injection and 2) how corrective action will be adjusted if there are changes in the AOR.</li></ul>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(b)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)(b)</b></p> <p>(b) All man-made surface structures that are intended for temporary or permanent human occupancy within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary.</p>	<p>e. A map showing the areal extent of all man-made surface structures that are intended for temporary or permanent human occupancy within the storage reservoir area, and within 1 mile outside of its boundary.</p>	<p><b>3.1.2 Supporting Maps</b></p>	<p>Figure 3-5. Final AoR map showing the RTE storage facility area, including the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and AoR (dotted black boundary). Black circles represent occupied dwellings, and orange boundaries represent buildings.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2) ¶	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(2)</b></p> <p>(2) A geologic and hydrogeologic evaluation of the facility area, including an evaluation of all existing information on all geologic strata overlying the storage reservoir, including the immediate caprock containment characteristics and all subsurface zones to be used for monitoring. The evaluation must include any available geophysical data and assessments of any regional tectonic activity, local seismicity and regional or local fault zones, and a comprehensive description of local and regional structural or stratigraphic</p>	<p>f. A map and cross section identifying any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the storage reservoir area and within 1 mile outside of its boundary.</p>	<p><b>2.6 Potential Mineral Zones</b></p> <p>The North Dakota Geological Survey recognizes the Spearfish as the only potential oil-bearing formation above the Broom Creek Formation. However, production from the Spearfish Formation is limited to the northern tier of counties in western North Dakota (Figure 2-54). There has been no exploration for, nor development of, hydrocarbon resource from the Spearfish Formation in the greater RTE project region.</p> <p>There has been no historic hydrocarbon exploration or production from formations below the Broom Creek Formation within the storage facility area. Although there was some historical gas production from deeper formations along the nearby Heart River Fault trend, there is no known commercial accumulations of hydrocarbons in the storage facility area.</p> <p>Shallow gas resources can be found in many areas of North Dakota, but there are no known references to shallow gas resources in the greater RTE project area.</p>	<p>Figure 2-54. Drillstem results indicating the presence of oil in the Spearfish Formation samples (modified from Stolldorf, 2020).</p>

		features. The evaluation must describe the storage reservoir’s mechanisms of geologic confinement, including rock properties, regional pressure gradients, structural features, and adsorption characteristics with regard to the ability of that confinement to prevent migration of carbon dioxide beyond the proposed storage reservoir. The evaluation must also identify any productive existing or potential mineral zones occurring within the facility area and any underground sources of drinking water in the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of its outside boundary. The evaluation must include exhibits and plan view maps showing the following:			
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3) NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §2b	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)</b> (3) A review of the data of public record, conducted by a geologist or engineer, for all wells within the facility area, which penetrate the storage reservoir or primary or secondary seals overlying the reservoir, and all wells within the facility area and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers], or any other distance as deemed necessary by the commission, of the facility area boundary. The review must include the following:  <b>NDAC 43-05-01-05.1 §2b</b> b. Using methods approved by the commission, identify all penetrations, including active and abandoned wells and underground mines, in the area of review that may penetrate the confining zone.	g. A map identifying all wells within the AoR, which penetrate the storage formation or primary or secondary seals overlying the storage formation.	<b>3.1.2 Supporting Maps</b>	Figure 3-6. AoR map in relation to nearby legacy wells. Shown are the stabilized CO <sub>2</sub> plume extent postinjection (purple boundary and shaded area), storage facility area (dotted white boundary), and 1-mile AoR (dotted black boundary). Orange circles represent nearby legacy wells near the project area, including within the 1-mile AoR.



		Provide a description of each well’s type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of plugging and completion, and any additional information the commission may require.																																																																	
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(a)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(a)</b> (a) A determination that all abandoned wells have been plugged and all operating wells have been constructed in a manner that prevents the carbon dioxide or associated fluids from escaping from the storage reservoir.	<p>h. A review of these wells must include the following:</p> <p>(1) A determination that all abandoned wells have been plugged in a manner that prevents the carbon dioxide or associated fluids from escaping the storage formation.</p> <p>(2) A determination that all operating wells have been constructed in a manner that prevents the carbon dioxide or associated fluids from escaping the storage formation.</p> <p>(3) A description of each well:</p> <p>a. Type</p> <p>b. Construction</p> <p>c. Date drilled</p> <p>d. Location</p> <p>e. Depth</p> <p>f. Record of plugging</p> <p>g. Record of completion</p> <p>(4) Maps and stratigraphic cross sections of all underground sources of drinking water within the area of review indicating the following:</p> <p>a. Their positions relative to the injection zone</p> <p>b. The direction of water movement, where known</p> <p>c. General vertical and lateral limits</p> <p>d. Water wells</p> <p>e. Springs</p> <p>(5) Map and cross sections of the area of review.</p> <p>(6) A map of the area of review showing the following:</p>	<p><b>3.2 Corrective Action Evaluation</b></p> <p><b>Table 3-2. Wells in AoR Evaluated for Corrective Action</b></p> <p><b>Table 3-3. Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) Well Evaluation</b></p> <p><b>Table 3-4. RTE 10.2 (NDIC File No. 37858) Well Evaluation</b></p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Table 3-2. Investigated and Identified Surface and Subsurface Features (Figures 3-1 through 3-5)</th></tr><tr><th>Surface and Subsurface Features</th><th>Investigated and Identified (Figures 3-1–3-5)</th><th>Investigated But Not Found in AoR</th></tr><tr><td>Producing (active) Wells</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Abandoned Wells</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Plugged Wells or Dry Holes</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Deep Stratigraphic Boreholes</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Subsurface Cleanup Sites</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Surface Bodies of Water</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Springs</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Water Wells</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Mines (surface and subsurface)</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Quarries</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Subsurface Structures (e.g., coal mines)</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Location of Proposed Wells</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>*Location of Proposed Cathodic Protection Boreholes</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td></tr><tr><td>Any Existing Aboveground Facilities</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Roads</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>State Boundary Lines</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>County Boundary Lines</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Indian Boundary Lines</td><td></td><td>x</td></tr><tr><td>Other Pertinent Surface Features</td><td>x</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>*There are no plans for cathodic protection for the RTE injection wells</p>	Table 3-2. Investigated and Identified Surface and Subsurface Features (Figures 3-1 through 3-5)			Surface and Subsurface Features	Investigated and Identified (Figures 3-1–3-5)	Investigated But Not Found in AoR	Producing (active) Wells		x	Abandoned Wells	x		Plugged Wells or Dry Holes	x		Deep Stratigraphic Boreholes		x	Subsurface Cleanup Sites		x	Surface Bodies of Water	x		Springs	x		Water Wells	x		Mines (surface and subsurface)		x	Quarries		x	Subsurface Structures (e.g., coal mines)		x	Location of Proposed Wells	x		*Location of Proposed Cathodic Protection Boreholes	NA	NA	Any Existing Aboveground Facilities	x		Roads	x		State Boundary Lines		x	County Boundary Lines	x		Indian Boundary Lines		x	Other Pertinent Surface Features	x		Figure 3-5. Cross section of the AoR from the geologic model showing lithofacies distribution in the Broom Creek Formation, the proposed injection well (RTE-10), the proposed monitoring well (RTE-10.2), and the Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) well within the AoR. Depths are referenced to mean sea level.
Table 3-2. Investigated and Identified Surface and Subsurface Features (Figures 3-1 through 3-5)																																																																			
Surface and Subsurface Features	Investigated and Identified (Figures 3-1–3-5)			Investigated But Not Found in AoR																																																															
Producing (active) Wells				x																																																															
Abandoned Wells	x																																																																		
Plugged Wells or Dry Holes	x																																																																		
Deep Stratigraphic Boreholes		x																																																																	
Subsurface Cleanup Sites		x																																																																	
Surface Bodies of Water	x																																																																		
Springs	x																																																																		
Water Wells	x																																																																		
Mines (surface and subsurface)		x																																																																	
Quarries		x																																																																	
Subsurface Structures (e.g., coal mines)		x																																																																	
Location of Proposed Wells	x																																																																		
*Location of Proposed Cathodic Protection Boreholes	NA	NA																																																																	
Any Existing Aboveground Facilities	x																																																																		
Roads	x																																																																		
State Boundary Lines		x																																																																	
County Boundary Lines	x																																																																		
Indian Boundary Lines		x																																																																	
Other Pertinent Surface Features	x																																																																		
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(b)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(b)</b> (b) A description of each well’s type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of plugging, and completion.			Figure 3-6. Rummel-State 1 (NDIC File No. 6797) well schematic showing the location and thickness of cement plugs.																																																															
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(c)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(c)</b> (c) Maps and stratigraphic cross sections indicating the general vertical and lateral limits of all underground sources of drinking water, water wells, and springs within the area of review; their positions relative to the injection zone; and the direction of water movement, where known.			Figure 3-7. RTE 10.2 (NDIC File No. 37858) well schematic showing the current status and wellbore construction.																																																															
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(d)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(d)</b> (d)Maps and cross sections of the area of review.																																																																		
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(e)	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(e)</b> (e) A map of the area of review showing the number or name and location of all injection																																																																		

	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(b)(f)	<p>wells, producing wells, abandoned wells, plugged wells or dry holes, deep stratigraphic boreholes, state-approved or United States environmental protection agency-approved subsurface cleanup sites, surface bodies of water, springs, mines (surface and subsurface), quarries, water wells, other pertinent surface features, including structures intended for human occupancy, state, county, or Indian country boundary lines, and roads.</p> <p><b>NDAC-43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(b)(f)</b> (f) A list of contacts, submitted to the commission, when the area of review extends across state jurisdiction boundary lines.</p>	<p>a. Number or name and location of all injection wells</p> <p>b. Number or name and location of all producing wells</p> <p>c. Number or name and location of all abandoned wells</p> <p>d. Number of name and location of all plugged wells or dry holes</p> <p>e. Number or name and location of all deep stratigraphic boreholes</p> <p>f. Number or name and location of all state-approved or United States Environmental Protection Agency-approved subsurface cleanup sites</p> <p>g. Name and location of all surface bodies of water</p> <p>h. Name and location of all springs</p> <p>i. Name and location of all mines (surface and subsurface)</p> <p>j. Name and location of all quarries</p> <p>k. Name and location of all water wells</p> <p>l. Name and location of all other pertinent surface features</p> <p>m. Name and location of all structures intended for human occupancy</p> <p>n. Name and location of all state, county, or Indian country boundary lines</p> <p>o. Name and location of all roads</p> <p>(7) A list of contacts, submitted to the Commission, when the area of review extends across state jurisdiction boundary lines.</p>		
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(g)	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(3)(g)</b> (g) Baseline geochemical data on subsurface formations, including all underground sources of drinking water in the area of review.</p>	<p>i. Baseline geochemical data on subsurface formations, including all underground sources of drinking water in the area of review.</p>	<p><b>Appendix C – FRESHWATER WELL FLUID-SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS</b></p> <p><b>3.4 Protection of USDWs</b></p> <p><b>3.4.1 Introduction of USDW Protection</b> The primary confining zone and additional overlying confining zones geologically isolate the Fox Hills Formation, the lowest USDW in the AoR. The Opeche Formation is the primary confining zone with additional confining layers above, geologically isolating all USDWs from the injection zone (Table 2-14).</p>	<p>Figure 3-8. Major aquifer systems of the Williston Basin.</p> <p>Figure 3-9. Upper stratigraphy of Stark County showing the stratigraphic relationship of Cretaceous and Tertiary groundwater-bearing formations (modified from Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p>

				<p><b>3.4.2      <i>Geology of USDW Formations</i></b> The hydrogeology of western North Dakota is composed of several shallow freshwater-bearing formations of the Quaternary, Tertiary, and upper Cretaceous-aged sediments underlain by multiple saline aquifer systems of the Williston Basin (Figure 3-8). These saline and freshwater systems are separated by the Cretaceous Pierre Shale of the Williston Basin, a regionally extensive shale between 1,000 and 1,500 ft thick (Thamke and others, 2014).</p> <p>The freshwater aquifers comprise the Cretaceous Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations; the overlying Cannonball, Tongue River, and Sentinel Butte Formations of the Tertiary Fort Union Group; and the Tertiary Golden Valley and White River Formations (Figure 3-9). Above these are undifferentiated alluvial and glacial drift Quaternary aquifer layers, which are not necessarily present in all parts of the AoR (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>The lowest USDW in the AoR is the Fox Hills Formation, which together with the overlying Hell Creek Formation, is a confined aquifer system. The Hell Creek Formation is a poorly consolidated unit composed of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, and claystones with occasional carbonaceous beds, all fluvial origin. The underlying Fox Hills Formation is interpreted as interbedded nearshore marine deposits of sand, silt, and shale deposited as part of the final Western Interior Seaway retreat (Fischer, 2013). The Fox Hills Formation in the AoR is approximately 1,000 to 1,600 ft deep and 240–400 ft thick. The structure of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations follows that of the Williston Basin, dipping gently toward the center of the basin to the northwest of the AoR (Figure 3-10).</p> <p>The Pierre Shale is a thick, regionally extensive shale unit which forms the lower boundary of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system, also isolating all overlying freshwater aquifers from the deeper saline aquifer systems. The Pierre Shale is a dark gray to black marine shale and is typically over 1,000 ft thick in the AoR (Thamke and others, 2014).</p> <p><b>3.4.3      <i>Hydrology of USDW Formations</i></b> The aquifers of the Fox Hills and Hell Creek Formations are hydraulically connected and function as a single confined aquifer system (Fischer, 2013). The Bacon Creek Member of the Hell Creek Formation forms a regional aquitard for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system, isolating it from the overlying aquifer layers. Recharge for the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system occurs in southwestern North Dakota along the Cedar Creek Anticline and discharges into overlying strata under central and eastern North Dakota (Fischer, 2013). Flow through the AoR is to the northeast (Figure 3-11). Water sampled from the Fox Hills Formation is sodium bicarbonate type with a total dissolved solids (TDS) content of approximately 1,500–1,600 ppm. Previous analysis of Fox Hills Formation water has also noted high levels of fluoride, more than 5 mg/L (Trapp and Croft, 1975). As such, the Fox Hills–Hell Creek system is typically not used as a primary source of drinking water. However, it is occasionally produced for irrigation and/or livestock watering. One active Fox Hills Formation well in AoR is located immediately south of the RTE site on the south side of Interstate 94 (Figure 3-12). Two other Fox Hills wells previously served the city of Richardton, North Dakota, but were plugged and abandoned in the late 1990s.</p> <p>Multiple other freshwater-bearing units, primarily of Tertiary age, overlie the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system in the AoR (Figure 3-13). These formations are often used for domestic and agricultural purposes. The Cannonball and Tongue River Formations comprise the major aquifer units of the Fort Union Group, which overlies the Hell Creek Formation. The Cannonball Formation consists of interbedded sandstone, siltstone, claystone, and thin lignite beds of marine origin. The Tongue River Formation is predominantly sandstone interbedded with siltstone, claystone, lignite, and occasional carbonaceous shales. The basal sandstone member of the Tongue River is persistent and a reliable source of groundwater in the region. Thickness of this basal sand ranges from approximately 50 to 200 ft and can be found at a depth of approximately 550 ft. Tongue River groundwaters are generally sodium bicarbonate with a TDS of approximately 1,000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p> <p>The Sentinel Butte Formation, a silty fine- to medium-grained sandstone with claystone and lignite interbeds, overlies the Tongue River Formation. The upper Sentinel Butte Formation is predominantly sandstone with lignite interbeds, forming another important source of groundwater in the region. Generally, the upper Sentinel Butte is 100 to 150 ft thick in the AoR. TDS in the Sentinel Butte Formation range from approximately 400–1000 ppm (Trapp and Croft, 1975).</p>	<p>Figure 3-10. Depth to surface of the Fox Hills Formation in western North Dakota (Fischer, 2013).</p> <p>Figure 3-11. Potentiometric surface of the Fox Hills–Hell Creek aquifer system shown in feet of hydraulic head above sea level. Flow is to the northeast through the area of investigation in central Stark County (modified from Fischer, 2013).</p> <p>Figure 3-12. Map of water wells in the AoR in relation to the RTE Facility, RTE-10 and RTE-10.2 wells, stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume extent, facility area, 1-mile AoR, and legacy oil and gas wells.</p> <p>Figure 3-13. West–east cross section of the major aquifer layers in Stark County (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The black dots on the inset map represent the locations of the wells illustrated on the cross section.</p> <p>Figure 3-14. Cross section of the major aquifer layers in the RTE storage facility area (modified from Trapp and Kroft, 1975). The location of the water wells used to create the cross section are represented on the inset map. The water wells are labeled with their designation which also correlates to their township range location (e.g., 139-092-18CCC is located in T139N R92W, Section 18).</p>
Required Plans	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1k	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1k k. The storage operator shall comply with the financial responsibility requirements	a. Financial Assurance Demonstration	<b>4.2 Financial Assurance Demonstration Plan</b>  <b>Table 4-1. Cost Estimates for Activities to Be Covered by Surety Bond</b>	

		pursuant to Section 43-05-01-9.1.			<table><tr><th>Activity</th><th>Estimated Total Cost (millions of dollars)</th></tr><tr><td>Corrective Action on Wells in the AoR</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Plugging of Injection and Monitoring Wells*</td><td>0.22</td></tr><tr><td>Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure</td><td>1.1</td></tr><tr><td>Emergency and Remedial Response (including endangerment to USDWs)</td><td>16.0</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>17.32</td></tr></table>	Activity	Estimated Total Cost (millions of dollars)	Corrective Action on Wells in the AoR	0	Plugging of Injection and Monitoring Wells*	0.22	Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure	1.1	Emergency and Remedial Response (including endangerment to USDWs)	16.0	Total	17.32		
	Activity	Estimated Total Cost (millions of dollars)																	
	Corrective Action on Wells in the AoR	0																	
	Plugging of Injection and Monitoring Wells*	0.22																	
	Postinjection Site Care and Facility Closure	1.1																	
	Emergency and Remedial Response (including endangerment to USDWs)	16.0																	
	Total	17.32																	
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1d	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1d</b> d. An emergency and remedial response plan pursuant to Section 43-05-01-13.	b. An emergency and remedial response plan.	<b>4.1 Emergency and Remedial Response Plan</b>  <i>4.1.1 Background</i>  <i>4.1.2 Local Resources and Infrastructure</i>  <i>4.1.3 Identification of Potential Emergency Events</i>  <i>4.1.3.1 Definition of an Emergency Event</i>  <i>4.1.4 Emergency Response Actions</i>  <i>4.1.5 Response Personnel/Equipment and Training</i>  4.1.5.1 Response Personnel and Equipment  <i>4.1.6 Emergency Communications Plan</i>  <i>4.1.7 ERRP Reviews and Updates</i>		Figure 4-1. Locations of the RTE ethanol plant and CO <sub>2</sub> injection well (RTE-10) and monitoring well (RTE-10.2). Also shown are the city limits of Richardton, North Dakota; the RTE property limits; the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) property limits; the planned CO <sub>2</sub> flow line from the ethanol plant to the CO <sub>2</sub> injection well; and the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad.  Figure 4-2. Residential, commercial, and public land use within 1 mile of the storage facility area.														
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1e	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1e</b> e. A detailed worker safety plan that addresses carbon dioxide safety training and safe working procedures at the storage facility pursuant to Section 43-05-01-13.	c. A detailed worker safety plan that addresses the following: i. Carbon dioxide safety training ii. Safe working procedures at the storage facility	<b>4.3 Worker Safety Plan (NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1e; NDAC 43-05-01-13)</b>																
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1f	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1f</b> f. A corrosion monitoring and prevention plan for all wells and surface facilities pursuant to Section 43-05-01-15.	d. A corrosion monitoring and prevention plan for all wells and surface facilities;	<b>4.4.2 Corrosion Monitoring and Prevention Plan</b>  <i>4.4.2.1 Corrosion Monitoring</i>  <i>4.4.2.2 Corrosion Prevention</i>																
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1g	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1g</b> g. A leak detection and monitoring plan for all wells and surface facilities pursuant to Section 43-05-01-14. The plan must:  (1) Identify the potential for release to the atmosphere.;  (2) Identify potential degradation of ground water resources with particular emphasis on underground	e. A surface leak detection and monitoring plan for all wells and surface facilities pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) Section 43-05-01-14.	<b>4.4.3 Surface Leak Detection and Monitoring Plan</b>		Figure 4-3. RTE completed groundwater well sampling program to establish a groundwater baseline, including seasonal fluctuation. The sample locations were located between the proposed CO <sub>2</sub> injection well and the city of Richardton.  Figure 4-4. RTE completed an initial soil gas-sampling program to establish baseline soil gas concentrations, including seasonal fluctuation. The sample locations were located within and around the CO <sub>2</sub> injection and monitoring wells of the RTE storage site.														



		<p>sources of drinking water.</p> <p>(3) Identify potential migration of carbon dioxide into any mineral zone in the facility area.</p>			<p>Figure 4-5. RTE near-surface monitoring plan sample locations showing the Fox Hills Formation (deepest USDW) monitoring wells, existing groundwater wells, and the two soil-gas profile stations in and around the RTE geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage project site. RTE will investigate Well Nos. 61329 and 51001 to determine accessibility for potential sampling. Well Nos. 61338 and 51004 are both identified as abandoned in the North Dakota State Water Commission database.</p>
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1h	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1h</b></p> <p>h. A leak detection and monitoring plan to monitor any movement of the carbon dioxide outside of the storage reservoir. This may include the collection of baseline information of carbon dioxide background concentrations in ground water, surface soils, and chemical composition of in situ waters within the facility area and the storage reservoir and within 1 mile [1.61 kilometers] of the facility area’s outside boundary. Provisions in the plan will be dictated by the site characteristics as documented by materials submitted in support of the permit application but must:</p> <p>(1) Identify the potential for release to the atmosphere.</p> <p>(2) Identify potential degradation of ground water resources with particular emphasis on underground sources of drinking water.</p> <p>(3) Identify potential migration of carbon dioxide into any mineral zone in the facility area.</p>	f. A subsurface leak detection and monitoring plan to monitor for any movement of the carbon dioxide outside of the storage reservoir. This may include the collection of baseline information of carbon dioxide background concentrations in ground water, surface soils, and chemical composition of in situ waters within the facility area and the storage reservoir and within 1 mile of the facility area’s outside boundary.	<p><b>4.4.4 Subsurface Leak Detection and Monitoring Program</b></p> <p><b>4.4.5 Near Surface Groundwater and Soil Gas Sampling Monitoring</b></p> <p><b>4.4.6 Completed Baseline Sampling Program</b></p> <p><i>4.4.6.1 Groundwater Baseline Sampling</i></p> <p><i>4.4.6.2 Soil Gas Baseline Sampling</i></p>	
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i	<p><b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i</b></p> <p>i. A testing and monitoring plan pursuant to Section 43-05-01-11.4;</p>	g. A testing and monitoring plan pursuant to NDAC Section 43-05-01-11.4.	<p><b>4.4 Testing and Monitoring Plan</b></p> <p><b>4.4.1 Analysis of Injected Co2 and Injection Well Testing</b></p> <p><i>4.4.1.1 CO2 Analysis</i></p> <p><i>4.4.1.2 Injection Well Integrity Tests</i></p> <p><b>4.4.5 Near-Surface Groundwater and Soil Gas Sampling and Monitoring</b></p> <p><b>4.4.6 Completed Baseline Sampling Program</b></p> <p><b>4.4.7 Near-Surface (Groundwater – and Soil Gas) Monitoring Plan</b></p> <p><b>4.4.8 Deep Subsurface Monitoring of Free-Phase CO2 Plume and Pressure Front</b></p>	<p>Table 4-2. Overview of RTE Monitoring Program for the Geologic Storage of CO<sub>2</sub></p> <p>Table 4-3. Chemical Components Targeted for Characterization in the Injected CO<sub>2</sub></p> <p>Table 4-4. Baseline (preinjection), Operational, and Postoperational Monitoring Frequency and Duration for Soil Gas, Groundwater, and Surface Air</p> <p>Table 4-5. Description of RTE Monitoring Program</p>

				<p>4.4.8.1 <i>Direct Monitoring Methods</i></p> <p>4.4.8.2 <i>Indirect Monitoring Methods</i></p> <p>4.4.9 Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan; See Appendix D</p>	<p>Figure 4-6. RTE completed an initial sampling program for near-surface groundwater wells and vadose zone soil gas. Shown are all sampling locations completed for the establishment of the baseline monitoring program (water well sample locations and soil gas sample locations); the location of all groundwater wells by type, including all plugged and abandoned legacy oil and gas wells; the city of Richardton; the RTE ethanol plant; the CO<sub>2</sub> flow line; and RTE-10 (injection well) and RTE-10.2 (monitoring well) in relation to the extent of the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume, the storage facility area, and the AoR.</p> <p>Figure 4-7. Simulated CO<sub>2</sub> plume saturation at the end of Years 1 through 5 after initial CO<sub>2</sub> injection. The simulated plume extent at 5 years (2026) results in a CO<sub>2</sub> plume with a radius of ~1,500 ft.</p> <p>Figure 4-8. Simulated extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume at the cessation of injection and the postinjection stabilized plume.</p> <p>Figure 4-9. RTE-10 wellbore schematic showing placement of external BHT/BHP-monitoring gauges and fiber optic.</p> <p>Figure 4-10. RTE-10.2 wellbore schematic showing placement of external BHT/BHP-monitoring gauges and fiber optic.</p> <p>Figure 4-11. Halliburton DataSphere Array System specifications for external BHT/BHP gauges installed in RTE-10 and RTE-10.2.</p> <p>Figure 4-12. Simulated extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume at the end of injection operations in red and the stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> plume following the cessation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection in yellow. Surface seismic and borehole VSP seismic data outlines shown on the map will provide coverage for indirectly monitoring the predicted extents of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume over time.</p> <p>Figure 4-13. The map view (left panel) shows the VSP illumination of surface sourcing (black dots) recorded in the borehole with fiber optic DAS. Also, overlain on the illumination plot (right panel) is the simulated CO<sub>2</sub> plume at 5 years (2026) after the start of CO<sub>2</sub> injection.</p> <p>Figure 4-14. The simulated CO<sub>2</sub> maps at the cessation of injection (left panel) and the postinjection stabilized plume (right panel) are</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					overlain on the VSP illumination plots from Figure 4-13. These simulated plume overlays illustrate the plume extents can be imaged with the 3D VSP method throughout CO <sub>2</sub> injection operations. The color bar on the right shows lowfold to highfold illumination of the Broom Creek injection interval depth.
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1i</b> i. The proposed well casing and cementing program detailing compliance with Section 43-05-01-09.	h. The proposed well casing and cementing program.	<b>4.5 Well Casing and Cementing Program</b>  <i>4.5.1 RTE-10 – As-Constructed CO<sub>2</sub> Injection Well Casing and Cementing Programs</i>  <i>4.5.2 RTE-10.2 – As-Constructed Monitoring Well Casing and Cementing Programs</i>	Figure 4-15. RTE-10 as-constructed wellbore schematic.  Figure 4-16. RTE-10 isolation scanner results – radial cement evaluation log summary from RTE-10 verifies the material behind the casing and the cement bond index. This enables the analyst to assess isolation in the CO <sub>2</sub> injection zone, confining zones, and USDWs using a high-resolution image.  Figure 4-17. RTE-10.2 as-constructed wellbore schematic
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1m	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1m</b> m. A plugging plan that meets requirements pursuant to Section 43-05-01-11.5.	i. A plugging plan.	<b>4.6 Plugging Plan</b>  4.6.1 RTE-10: P&A Program  <i>4.6.2 RTE-10: P&amp;A Program</i>	Figure 4-18. Proposed CO <sub>2</sub> injection well schematic for RTE-10.  Figure 4-19. Schematic of proposed abandonment plan for RTE-10.  Figure 4-20. Proposed CO <sub>2</sub> -monitoring well schematic for RTE-10.2.  Figure 4-21. Schematic of proposed abandonment plan for monitoring well RTE-10.2.
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1n	<b>NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1n</b> n. A postinjection site care and facility closure plan pursuant to Section 43-05-01-19.	j. A post-injection site care and facility closure plan.	<b>4.7 Postinjection Site and Facility Closure Plan</b>  <i>4.7.1 Predicted Postinjection Subsurface Condition</i>  <i>4.7.1.1 Pre- and Postinjection Pressure Differential</i>  <i>4.7.1.2 Predicted Extent of CO<sub>2</sub> Plume</i>  <i>4.7.1.3 Postinjection Monitoring Plan</i>  <i>4.7.2 Groundwater and Soil Gas Monitoring</i>  <i>4.7.3 Monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> Plume and Pressure Front</i>  <i>4.7.3.1 Schedule for Submitting Postinjection Monitoring Results</i>  <i>4.7.3.2 Site Closure Plan</i>  <i>4.7.3.3 Submission of Site Closure Report, Survey, and Deed</i>	Figure 4-22. Predicted pressure increase in storage reservoir following 20 years of injection of 180,000 tonnes per year of CO <sub>2</sub> .  Figure 4-23. Predicted decrease in pressure in the storage reservoir over a 10-year period following the cessation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection.  Figure 4-24. Location of soil gas and groundwater well sampling locations included in the PISC monitoring program.  Figure 4-25. Areal extents of the 3D and borehole seismic surveys proposed during the PISC period in comparison to the areal extents of the CO <sub>2</sub> plume at cessation of injection and the stabilized plume.

Storage Facility Operations	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(4)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(4) (4) The proposed calculated average and maximum daily injection rates, daily volume, and the total anticipated volume of the carbon dioxide stream using a method acceptable to and filed with the commission.	<p>The following items are required as part of the storage facility permit application:</p> <p>a. The proposed average and maximum daily injection rates.</p> <p>b. The proposed average and maximum daily injection volume.</p> <p>c. The proposed total anticipated volume of the carbon dioxide to be stored.</p>	<p><b>5.0 INJECTION WELL AND STORAGE OPERATIONS</b></p> <p>This section of the SFP application presents the engineering criteria for completing and operating the injection well in a manner that protects USDWs. The information that is presented meets the permit requirements for injection well and storage operations as presented in NDAC § 43-05-01-05 (SFP, Table 5-1) and NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3</p> <p><u>For additional information, go to Section 5.0 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Table 5-1. RTE-10 Proposed Injection Well Operating Parameters</th></tr><tr><th>Item</th><th>Values</th><th>Description/Comments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Injected Volume</th></tr><tr><td>Total Injected Volume</td><td>3.7 million tonnes (71 Bscf)</td><td>Based 180,000 tonnes/year (3.5 Bscf/year) for 20 years at an average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day (using 360 operating days per year).</td></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Injection Rates</th></tr><tr><td>Proposed Average Injection Rate</td><td>500 tonnes/day (9.6 MMscf/day)</td><td>Based 180,000 tonnes/year for 20 years (using 360 operating days per year).</td></tr><tr><td>Calculated Maximum Daily Injection Rate</td><td>4,100 tonnes/day (120 MMscf/day)</td><td>Based on surface maximum injection pressure (2,250 psi).</td></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Pressures</th></tr><tr><td>Formation Fracture Pressure at Top Perforation</td><td>4,466 psi</td><td>Modular dynamics testing (MDT) results fracture propagation formation fracture gradient of 0.7 psi/ft.</td></tr><tr><td>Average Operating Surface Injection Pressure</td><td>1,300 psi</td><td>Proposed injection well operating surface injection pressure.</td></tr><tr><td>Surface Maximum Injection Pressure</td><td>2,250 psi</td><td>Based on maximum pressure rating of the flow line.</td></tr><tr><td>Average Operating Bottomhole Pressure (BHP)</td><td>3,000 psi</td><td>An average BHP of 3,000 psi based on average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day.</td></tr><tr><td>Maximum BHP</td><td>4,019 psi</td><td>Calculated maximum BHP 4,019 psi based 90% of the formation fracture pressure 4,466 psi</td></tr><tr><td>Tubing-Casing Annular Pressure</td><td>100 psi</td><td>Variance requested (see Section 5.3) from NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure.</td></tr></table>	Table 5-1. RTE-10 Proposed Injection Well Operating Parameters			Item	Values	Description/Comments	Injected Volume			Total Injected Volume	3.7 million tonnes (71 Bscf)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year (3.5 Bscf/year) for 20 years at an average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day (using 360 operating days per year).	Injection Rates			Proposed Average Injection Rate	500 tonnes/day (9.6 MMscf/day)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year for 20 years (using 360 operating days per year).	Calculated Maximum Daily Injection Rate	4,100 tonnes/day (120 MMscf/day)	Based on surface maximum injection pressure (2,250 psi).	Pressures			Formation Fracture Pressure at Top Perforation	4,466 psi	Modular dynamics testing (MDT) results fracture propagation formation fracture gradient of 0.7 psi/ft.	Average Operating Surface Injection Pressure	1,300 psi	Proposed injection well operating surface injection pressure.	Surface Maximum Injection Pressure	2,250 psi	Based on maximum pressure rating of the flow line.	Average Operating Bottomhole Pressure (BHP)	3,000 psi	An average BHP of 3,000 psi based on average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day.	Maximum BHP	4,019 psi	Calculated maximum BHP 4,019 psi based 90% of the formation fracture pressure 4,466 psi	Tubing-Casing Annular Pressure	100 psi	Variance requested (see Section 5.3) from NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure.
	Table 5-1. RTE-10 Proposed Injection Well Operating Parameters																																													
	Item	Values	Description/Comments																																											
	Injected Volume																																													
Total Injected Volume	3.7 million tonnes (71 Bscf)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year (3.5 Bscf/year) for 20 years at an average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day (using 360 operating days per year).																																												
Injection Rates																																														
Proposed Average Injection Rate	500 tonnes/day (9.6 MMscf/day)	Based 180,000 tonnes/year for 20 years (using 360 operating days per year).																																												
Calculated Maximum Daily Injection Rate	4,100 tonnes/day (120 MMscf/day)	Based on surface maximum injection pressure (2,250 psi).																																												
Pressures																																														
Formation Fracture Pressure at Top Perforation	4,466 psi	Modular dynamics testing (MDT) results fracture propagation formation fracture gradient of 0.7 psi/ft.																																												
Average Operating Surface Injection Pressure	1,300 psi	Proposed injection well operating surface injection pressure.																																												
Surface Maximum Injection Pressure	2,250 psi	Based on maximum pressure rating of the flow line.																																												
Average Operating Bottomhole Pressure (BHP)	3,000 psi	An average BHP of 3,000 psi based on average daily injection rate of 500 tonnes/day.																																												
Maximum BHP	4,019 psi	Calculated maximum BHP 4,019 psi based 90% of the formation fracture pressure 4,466 psi																																												
Tubing-Casing Annular Pressure	100 psi	Variance requested (see Section 5.3) from NDAC § 43-05-01-11.3 Subsection 3 requiring the storage operator to maintain on the annulus a pressure that exceeds the operating injection pressure.																																												
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(5)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(5) (5) The proposed average and maximum bottom hole injection pressure to be utilized at the reservoir. The maximum allowed injection pressure, measured in pounds per square inch gauge, shall be approved by the commission and specified in the permit. In approving a maximum injection pressure limit, the commission shall consider the results of well tests and other studies that assess the risks of tensile failure and shear failure. The commission shall approve limits that, with a reasonable degree of certainty, will avoid initiating a new fracture or propagating an existing fracture in the confining zone or cause the movement of injection or formation fluids into an underground source of drinking water.	<p>d. The proposed average and maximum bottom hole injection pressure to be utilized.</p> <p>e. The proposed average and maximum surface injection pressures to be utilized.</p>																																											
	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(6)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(6) (6) The proposed preoperational formation testing program to obtain an analysis of the chemical and physical characteristics of the injection zone and confining zone pursuant to Section 43-05-01-11.2.	<p>f. The proposed preoperational formation testing program to obtain an analysis of the chemical and physical characteristics of the injection zone.</p> <p>g. The proposed preoperational formation testing program to obtain an analysis of the chemical and physical characteristics of the confining zone.</p>	<table><tr><th colspan="3">Table 4-6. Completed Logging Program for RTE-10 and RTE-10.2</th></tr><tr><th>Log</th><th>Justification</th><th>NDAC Section</th></tr><tr><td>Ultrasonic, CCL (casing collar locator), VDL (variable-density log), GR (gamma ray), Temperature Log</td><td>Identified cement bond quality radially. Detection of cement channels (none observed). Evaluated the cement top and zonal isolation.</td><td>43-05-01-11.2(1c[2])</td></tr><tr><td>Triple Combo (resistivity, density, porosity, GR, caliper, and spontaneous potential)</td><td>Quantified variability in reservoir properties such as resistivity and lithology. Identified the wellbore volume to calculate the required cement volume. Provided input for enhanced geomodeling and predictive simulation of CO<sub>2</sub> injection into the interest zones to improve test design and interpretations.</td><td>43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])</td></tr></table>	Table 4-6. Completed Logging Program for RTE-10 and RTE-10.2			Log	Justification	NDAC Section	Ultrasonic, CCL (casing collar locator), VDL (variable-density log), GR (gamma ray), Temperature Log	Identified cement bond quality radially. Detection of cement channels (none observed). Evaluated the cement top and zonal isolation.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[2])	Triple Combo (resistivity, density, porosity, GR, caliper, and spontaneous potential)	Quantified variability in reservoir properties such as resistivity and lithology. Identified the wellbore volume to calculate the required cement volume. Provided input for enhanced geomodeling and predictive simulation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection into the interest zones to improve test design and interpretations.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])																														
Table 4-6. Completed Logging Program for RTE-10 and RTE-10.2																																														
Log	Justification	NDAC Section																																												
Ultrasonic, CCL (casing collar locator), VDL (variable-density log), GR (gamma ray), Temperature Log	Identified cement bond quality radially. Detection of cement channels (none observed). Evaluated the cement top and zonal isolation.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[2])																																												
Triple Combo (resistivity, density, porosity, GR, caliper, and spontaneous potential)	Quantified variability in reservoir properties such as resistivity and lithology. Identified the wellbore volume to calculate the required cement volume. Provided input for enhanced geomodeling and predictive simulation of CO <sub>2</sub> injection into the interest zones to improve test design and interpretations.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])																																												



				Combinable Magnetic Resonance (CMR)	Aided in interpreting reservoir permeability and determined the best location for modular dynamics testing (MDT) fluid sampling depths, packer setting depths, and stress testing depths. CMR and MDT data combined provided enhanced permeability evaluation, fluid identification, and fluid contacts.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])
				Spectral GR	Identified clays and lithology that could affect injectivity. Also used for core to log depth correlation.	43-05-01-11.2(2)
				Dipole Sonic	Identified mechanical properties including stress anisotropy. Provided compression and shear waves for seismic tie-in and quantitative analysis of the seismic data.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])
				Fracture Finder Log	Quantified fractures in the Inyan Kara and Broom Creek Formations and confining layers to ensure safe, long-term storage of CO <sub>2</sub> .	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])
				MDT Fluid Sampling	Collected fluid sample from the Inyan Kara and Broom Creek for geochemical testing and TDS (total dissolved solids) quantification.	43-05-01-11.2(2)
				MDT Formation Pressure Testing	Collected reservoir pressure tests to establish a pressure profile and mobility.	43-05-01-11.2(2)
				MDT Stress Testing	Collected breakdown pressure, fracture propagation pressure, fracture closure pressure (minimum in situ stress) to establish injection pressure limits.	43-05-01-11.2(1c[1])
				Appendix B – RTE-10 AND RTE-10.2 FORMATION FLUID SAMPLING LABORATORY ANALYSIS		
NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(7)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(7) (7) The proposed stimulation program, a description of stimulation fluids to be used, and a determination that stimulation will not interfere with containment.	h. The proposed stimulation program: 1. A description of the stimulation fluids to be used. 2. A determination of the probability that stimulation will interfere with containment.	5.1 RTE-10 Well – Proposed Completion Procedure to Conduct Injection Operations  <u>Perform Injection Test and Stimulate Broom Creek Formation</u>			

	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(8)	NDAC 43-05-01-05 §1b(8) (8) The proposed procedure to outline steps necessary to conduct injection operations.	i. Steps to begin injection operations	<p><b>5.1 RTE-10 Well – Proposed Completion Procedure to Conduct Injection Operations</b></p> <p>RTE constructed the RTE-10 well (Figure 5-1 and Table 5-2) with intentions to conduct CO<sub>2</sub> stream injection operations, as referenced in previous sections. The following proposed completion procedure outlines the steps necessary to complete the RTE-10 well for injection purposes. <u>For additional information, go to Section 5.1 of the RTE SFP.</u></p> <p><b>5.2 RTE-10.2 Well – Proposed Procedure for Monitoring Well Operations</b></p> <p>RTE constructed a second well, the RTE-10.2, Figure 5-5, for direct reservoir-monitoring purposes, as referenced in Section 4, to support deep subsurface monitoring of the RTE-10 CO<sub>2</sub> stream injection well. Monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume location and the storage reservoir pressure will be conducted continuously through use of the casing-conveyed temperature and pressure gauges installed on the outside of the long-string production casing. Monitoring will be conducted during injection operations, Table 4-6, as well as during the PISC period using the methods summarized in Table 4-23, which are also discussed in more detail in the Testing and Monitoring section of this permit application. Monitoring methods include a combination of formation-monitoring methods (e.g., downhole pressure, downhole temperature, MITs; pulsed-neutron capture/reservoir saturation tool logs) that support CO<sub>2</sub> plume stabilization assessments. <u>For more additional information, go to Section 5.2 of the RTE SFP.</u></p>	<p>Figure 5-1. RTE-10 as-constructed wellbore schematic.</p> <p>Figure 5-2. RTE-10 proposed perforation intervals of the Broom Creek Formation (green-shaded sections based on the RTE-10_triple combo openhole log March 2020).</p> <p>Figure 5-3. RTE-10 well – proposed CO<sub>2</sub> resistant wellhead schematic – Cameron Supplier.</p> <p>Figure 5-4. RTE-10 well – proposed completed wellbore schematic.</p> <p>Figure 5-5. RTE-10.2 as-constructed well schematic.</p> <p>Figure 5-6. RTE-10.2 well – proposed CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant wellhead schematic – Cameron Supplier.</p> <p>Figure 5-7. RTE-10.2 well – proposed completed wellbore schematic.</p>
--	-------------------------	---	--	--	---

North Dakota Industrial Commission Notice of Hearing

KB

Kadrmass, Bethany R.

To

dustin@redtrailenergy.com;

LBender@fredlaw.com;

Anderson, Carl J.;

Murphy, Ed C.;

Best, Steve L.;

boomgaard.craig@epa.gov;

ndfieldoffice@fws.gov;

achp@achp.gov;

Steinwand, Terry R.;

Peterson, Bill;

lwickstr@blm.gov;

Kbear@mhanation.com;

slhall@mhanation.com;

texx@restel.com;

klyson@mhanation.com;

Cynthia.monteau@Tax-MHANation.com;

ceverett@mhanation.com;

Paczkowski, John A.;

chairmanfox

Bcc

Notice of Hearing.pdf

219 KB

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

The attached Notice of Hearing is sent pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01-08(5).  
The fact sheet, storage facility permit application, draft permit, and supplement filings are available for download at: <https://www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/GeoStorageofCO2.asp>

Please contact our office if you have any questions.

[Bethany Kadrmass](#)  
*Legal Assistant, Oil and Gas Division*  
701.328.8020 • [brkadrmass@nd.gov](mailto:brkadrmass@nd.gov) • [www.dmr.nd.gov](http://www.dmr.nd.gov)

600 E Boulevard Ave, Dept. 405 • Bismarck, ND 58505

North Dakota Industrial Commission Notice of Hearing



Kadrmas, Bethany R.

To

Bcc ['auditor@ndsupernet.com'](mailto:'auditor@ndsupernet.com'); [-Info-Public Service Commission](#); ['SJosephson@starkcountynd.gov'](mailto:'SJosephson@starkcountynd.gov'); [Smith, Jodi](#); [Travnicek, Andrea J](#); [Henke, Ron J](#); ['krichard@starkcountynd.gov'](mailto:'krichard@starkcountynd.gov')



 Reply

 Reply All

 Forward



Fri 7/9/2021 8:55 AM



The attached Notice of Hearing is sent pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01-08(5).

Please contact our office if you have any questions.

[Bethany Kadrmas](#)  
*Legal Assistant, Oil and Gas Division*

701.328.8020 • [brkadrmas@nd.gov](mailto:brkadrmas@nd.gov) • [www.dmr.nd.gov](http://www.dmr.nd.gov)



600 E Boulevard Ave, Dept. 405 • Bismarck, ND 58505



July 9, 2021

**NOTICE OF HEARING**  
**N.D. INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION**  
**OIL AND GAS DIVISION**

You are hereby notified of a hearing pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code § 43-05-01 requesting consideration for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota. **The hearing will be held August 12, 2021 at 9:00 a.m.**, 1000 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota.

Case No. 28848: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC requesting consideration for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01. View the draft storage facility permit, fact sheet, and storage facility permit application at [www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/](http://www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/). Red Trail intends to capture carbon dioxide from their ethanol plant and sequester it in the Broom Creek Formation. The Commission will accept and consider written comments on the merits of the application and draft permit if received no later than 5:00 pm CDT August 11, 2021. Submit written comments to the Oil and Gas Division, 1016 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512 or [brkadmas@nd.gov](mailto:brkadmas@nd.gov). Further draft permit information may be obtained from Steve Fried, and further hearing information may be obtained from Bethany Kadrmas, both at the North Dakota Oil and Gas Division, 1016 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512, 701-328-8020. Red Trail Energy, LLC, PO Box 11, Richardton, ND 58652.

Case No. 28849: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC to consider the amalgamation of the storage reservoir pore space, in which the Commission may require that the pore space owned by nonconsenting owners be included in the geologic storage facility and subject to geologic storage, as required to operate the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol storage facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Century Code Section 38-22-10.

Case No. 28850: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC for an order of the Commission determining the amount of financial responsibility for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01-09.1.

Please contact our office if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading 'Lynn D. Helms'.

Lynn D. Helms  
Director

Bruce E. Hicks  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
OIL AND GAS DIVISION

Lynn D. Helms  
DIRECTOR  
DEPT. OF MINERAL RESOURCES

Edward C. Murphy  
STATE GEOLOGIST  
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

## AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

ss.


COUNTY OF STARK


**Lindsay Dolan**, *The Dickinson Press*, being duly sworn, states as follows:

1. I am the designated agent of The Dickinson Press, under the provisions and for the purposes of, Section 31-04-06, NDCC, for the newspaper listed on the attached exhibit.
2. The newspaper listed on the exhibit published the advertisement of: **Legal Notice**; (1) time: **Wednesday, July 7, 2021**, as required by law or ordinance.
3. All of the listed newspapers are legal newspapers in the State of North Dakota and, under the provisions of Section 46-05-01, NDCC, are qualified to publish any public notice or any matter required by law or ordinance to be printed or published in a newspaper in North Dakota.

Dated this 7th day of July, 2021.

  
Legals Clerk

  
Notary Public



th



### NOTICE OF HEARING N.D. INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OIL AND GAS DIVISION

The North Dakota Industrial Commission will hold a public hearing at 9:00 a.m.

August 12, 2021, at the N.D. Oil & Gas Division, 1000 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota. At the hearing the Commission will receive testimony and exhibits. Persons interested in the cases listed below, take notice.

#### PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES:

If at the hearing you need special facilities or assistance, contact the Oil and Gas Division at 701-328-8038 by Friday, July 30, 2021.

#### STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA TO:

Case No. 28848: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC requesting consideration for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01. View the draft storage facility permit, fact sheet, and storage facility permit application at [www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/](http://www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/). Red Trail intends to capture carbon dioxide from their ethanol plant and sequester it in the Broom Creek Formation. The Commission will accept and consider written comments on the merits of the application and draft permit if received no later than 5:00 pm CDT August 11, 2021. Submit written comments to the Oil and Gas Division, 1016 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512 or [brkadrmas@nd.gov](mailto:brkadrmas@nd.gov). Further draft permit information may be obtained from Steve Fried, and further hearing information may be obtained from Bethany Kadrmas, both at the North Dakota Oil and Gas Division, 1016 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512, 701-328-8020. Red Trail Energy, LLC, PO Box 11, Richardton, ND 58652.

Case No. 28849: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC to consider the amalgamation of the storage reservoir pore space, in which the Commission may require that the pore space owned by nonconsenting owners be included in the geologic storage facility and subject to geologic storage, as required to operate the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol storage facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Century Code Section 38-22-10.

Case No. 28850: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC for an order of the Commission determining the amount of financial responsibility for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01-09.1.

Signed by,  
Doug Burgum, Governor  
Chairman, NDIC

(July 7, 2021)

2895686

\*\*\* Proof of Publication \*\*\*

State of North Dakota )  
County of Burleigh ) SS:

Before me, a Notary Public for the State of North Dakota personally

appeared Jill Lindsay who being duly sworn, deposes and says that he (she) is the Clerk of Bismarck Tribune Co., and that the publication(s) were made through the

Bismarck Tribune on the following dates:

7/10/2021

Signed

Jill Lindsay

OIL & GAS DIVISION

600 E BLVD AVE #405  
BISMARCK, ND 58505


ORDER NUMBER 30731

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 12<sup>th</sup> day of

July 20 21

Amy McMahon

Notary Public in and for the State of North Dakota



Section: Legals

Category: 5380 Public Notices

PUBLISHED ON: 07/10/2021

TOTAL AD COST: 90.48

FILED ON: 7/12/2021

NOTICE OF HEARING  
N.D. INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION  
OIL AND GAS DIVISION

The North Dakota Industrial Commission will hold a public hearing at 9:00 a.m. August 12, 2021, at the N.D. Oil & Gas Division, 1000 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota. At the hearing the Commission will receive testimony and exhibits. Persons interested in the cases listed below, take notice.

PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES: If at the hearing you need special facilities or assistance, contact the Oil and Gas Division at 701-328-8038 by Friday, July 30, 2021.

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA TO:  
Case No. 28848: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC requesting consideration for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01. View the draft storage facility permit, fact sheet, and storage facility permit application at [www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/](http://www.dmr.nd.gov/oilgas/). Red Trail intends to capture carbon dioxide from their ethanol plant and sequester it in the Broom Creek Formation. The Commission will accept and consider written comments on the merits of the application and draft permit if received no later than 5:00 pm CDT August 11, 2021. Submit written comments to the Oil and Gas Division, 1016 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512 or [brkadm@nd.gov](mailto:brkadm@nd.gov). Further draft permit information may be obtained from Steve Fried, and further hearing information may be obtained from Bethany Kadmas, both at the North Dakota Oil and Gas Division, 1016 East Calgary Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-5512, 701-328-8020. **Red Trail Energy, LLC, PO Box 11, Richardson, ND 58652.**

Case No. 28849: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC to consider the amalgamation of the storage reservoir pore space, in which the Commission may require that the pore space owned by nonconsenting owners be included in the geologic storage facility and subject to geologic storage, as required to operate the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol storage facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Century Code Section 38-22-10.

Case No. 28850: Application of Red Trail Energy, LLC for an order of the Commission determining the amount of financial responsibility for the geologic storage of carbon dioxide from the Red Trail Energy, LLC ethanol facility located in Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22 and 23, Township 139 North, Range 92 West, Stark County, North Dakota pursuant to North Dakota Administrative Code Section 43-05-01-09.1.

Signed by,  
Doug Burgum,  
Governor Chairman, NDIC  
7/10 - 30731

